

Comment No.	Section	Page	Line	Comment	Remarks/How Suggested to Resolve
2	C.11.5	C.11-4	Mitigation Measure P-1	This Mitigation Measure is excessive as many of the measures in the FPRP apply solely to wildlands.	Modify Mitigation Measure P-1 to include language recognizing that fire protection concerns are very different on non-wildlands and that the FPRP will be revised accordingly.

E.14-2

Response to Comment Set E.14: Applicant – Public Services

- E.14-1 As the majority of the proposed Project would occur on ANF lands and the greatest potential for wildfire occurs on ANF lands, and as the ANF has stated its concerns that the FPRP does not sufficiently address the potential increased risk of wildfire and how that may affect firefighting resources, no change will be made to the discussion of increased demands on fire and police protection. Additionally, while the FPRP was developed for the Pardee-Pastoria 220-kV Reconductor Project, as the ANF has found that it is not sufficient for the Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project, no change will be made to the analysis or mitigation. Finally, as the fire agencies which would respond to wildland fires in the Cities of Santa Clarita and Lancaster and unincorporated Los Angeles County would largely draw from the same pool of resources as for the ANF, the conclusion regarding increased demand on fire protection resources to these areas will remain unchanged.
- E.14-2 While many of the measures in the FPRP apply solely to wildlands, as wildlands exist in the Cities of Santa Clarita and Lancaster and in unincorporated Los Angeles County, the FPRP should apply equally to those jurisdictions as it does the ANF. No changes will be made to the mitigation.