

Comment Set E0002, cont.
 San Diego Gas and Electric Company

Chapter #	Page #	Paragraph #	Comment
D.7	D.7-001	6	The definition of traditional cultural property (TCP) is no longer bound or limited by Native American or ethnic communities. They can also include multi-ethnic socioeconomic classes/groups/neighborhoods like the East End neighborhood of Cincinnati, Ohio (King 2005:73-74; Halperin 1998). Paragraph 6 text should read "A traditional cultural resource or TCP can include but is not limited to Native American sacred sites, as well as traditional resources of any community that are important for maintaining the cultural traditions of any group (National Register Bulletin 38). Examples of Native American TCPs can include places such as traditional landscapes, sacred mountains, buildings, or areas where plants are collected for food, medicine, basket weaving, and ceremonial uses."
D.7	D.7-002	3	In the Approach to Data Collection the document utilizes the Proposed Project cultural data as a BLM Class II sample survey because it opted to use 91% of the survey data (from the July 2007 data submittal). The Proposed Project however, was intended to be a Class III survey and should be identified as such in the text.
D.7	D.7-010	1	The Gallegos & Associates survey of the Proposed Project defined "isolate" as 1 or 2 artifacts, while the Draft EIR/EIS for the Alternatives defined it as 3 or fewer artifacts. Therefore, when comparing the Proposed Project to the Alternatives the DEIR may indicate relatively fewer sites and more isolates in the Alternatives. Individual site records from the Proposed Project should be reviewed and number of sites and isolates recalculated for consistency.
D.7	D.7-011/Table Ap.9B-2	4	The DEIR/EIS notes the uncertainty in the location of Museum of Man site SDM-C-141A. Recent (June 2007) detailed research of original documentation at the Begole Archaeological Center by SDGE's consultant has clarified that the site area is located within the boundaries of D2-S-106. Site location verification should be updated in table and text to reflect updated location
D.7	D.7-011	6	No "San Diego Mountains" placename exists in San Diego County. Narrative description should read individual mountain range name or "the mountains of San Diego County".

E0002-207

E0002-208

E0002-209

E0002-210

E0002-211

Comment Set E0002, cont.
San Diego Gas and Electric Company

Chapter #	Page #	Paragraph #	Comment	
D.7	D.7-013	6	Mentions NRHP (Johnson Taylor Ranch Headquarters) in Coastal Link but does not mention that it's not in the Proposed ROW. This error occurs throughout the document especially with TCPs. The last sentence in paragraph 6 should read "There are also several historical building and building complexes within 0.5 mi. of the Coastal Link ROW, including Johnson Taylor Ranch Headquarters, which is listed in the NRHP." Other TCPs that are not located within the Proposed ROW should be clearly identified as such.	E0002-212
D.7	D.7-26 and throughout document.	Table D.7-4	"Construction of the project would cause an adverse change to unknown significant buried prehistoric and historical archaeological sites or buried human remains." The word " <u>could</u> " is a more accurate statement, since the presence of these sites is unknown. This comment applies generally throughout the DEIR/EIS, although for the All-Source Generation alternative, the word "could" is used (pp. E.6-128, E.6-135). The text and the impact tables (for impact C-3) for the Proposed Project and the Alternatives should be consistent and the word "could" should replace "would".	E0002-213
D.7	D.7-027	1	The text on page D.7-27 incorrectly lists the Chapel of Santa Ysabel as a TCP in the Anza-Borrego Link, which inflates the number of TCPs in the Anza Borrego Link and gives the reader a skewed opinion of the amount of Class I impacts to TCPs in the Anza Borrego Link. Other areas of the document and in Table Ap.9B correctly lists the Chapel within the Central Link. In addition, for the Proposed Project the Chapel is within 0.5 mi. of the ROW and not directly in the ROW. The text in the Summary of Findings (p. D.7-27, paragraph 1) should record the correct link (Central).	E0002-214
D.7	D.7-041	1, 5	DEIR/EIS states four sites with human remains are in areas of direct impact. It also states (paragraph 5) that all four sites are too large to span. One of the sites is very small and can be spanned; one has "modern" cremated remains and site avoidance is proposed, and two site numbers represent the same location. Since it is SDG&E's goal is to avoid direct impacts to all such sites, these comments should be included in the text and the number of sites to be directly impacted should be re-calculated.	E0002-215
D.7	D.7 45 thru 47		SDI-17,285 is a very large previously recorded village site with human remains. Its location was field verified on VID property within the Proposed ROW, but it is not mentioned in the text (only listed in Table Ap.9B). The site is considered to be potentially eligible for the NRHP and the text should include a sentence about this site.	E0002-216

Comment Set E0002, cont.
 San Diego Gas and Electric Company

Chapter #	Page #	Paragraph #	Comment
D.7	D.7-046; D.7-47	5; 4	In the first citation (p. D.7-46), visual impacts to the Chapel of Santa Ysabel (a TCP) would remain Class I after mitigation. In the second citation (p. D.7-47), visual impacts to the qualities of the Chapel of Santa Ysabel (setting/feeling impacts to a historical architectural resource) would be reduced to Class II because of the removal of the existing 69 kV line. Please provide clarification for this contradiction.
D.7	D.7-051	3	A Class I impact is described for SDM-W-278, a Malcolm Rogers site recorded in 1939 as containing two (removed) cremations and many bedrock milling elements. Survey of the 3-acre recorded (rectangular) site area within the corridor found no archaeological evidence, and it was concluded that the SDM-W-278 is not located within the corridor. Impact assessment for this site should be re-evaluated and text and tables corrected.
D.7	D.7-096	1	"Direct impacts to site with ...human remains cannot be mitigated" This is unclear. Is the intent that any impact (i.e., use of existing access road, or just if human remains are impacted?) Text should call out source of direct impacts to sites with human remains (construction and/or operational actions).
D.7	D.7-116	1, 3	Paragraph 1 states CA-SDI-17252 contains human remains, and the site will be adversely affected. Paragraph 3 says no human remains are recorded in the Partial Underground 230 kV ABDSP SR78 to S2 Alternative. Since the site is within this Alternative, paragraph 3 needs to be changed to reflect the correct statement about sites with human remains in this Alternative.
D.7	D.7-151	5	SDI-5193, consisting of two adobes and Native American artifacts, is mentioned on p. D.7-150 and in Table Ap.9B-73, but the historic structures are omitted from impact discussions here. Text should include historic impacts.

E0002-217

E0002-218

E0002-219

E0002-220

E0002-221