

Data Request from SDG&E – January 4, 2008

1) In the absence of a finalized cultural resources technical report, Arcadis requests the confidential cultural resources raw data from SWCA. The raw data requested includes

A. The record search results

B. SWCA field survey results in the form of maps, site forms/paragraph summaries, & shapefiles from:

- i. Alternative 1: New In-Area All Source Generation
- ii. Alternative 2: New In-Area Renewable Generation
- iii. Alternative 3: LEAPS Transmission-Only
- iv. Alternative 4: Environmentally Superior Southern Route (SWPL)
- v. Alternative 5: Environmentally Superior Northern Route
- vi. Alternative 7: LEAPS Generation and Transmission
- vii. Shapefiles should include site locations, and areas surveyed by SWCA. In the interest of time and resources, an electronic copy of the raw data will be acceptable.

Note: I understand that Trish Mitchell, Arcadis' cultural expert, has spoken with Kevin Hunt at SWCA, so perhaps this information will be taken care of between those two experts.

Response: (a) We can provide maps that show all sites within each alternative corridor (including records search results and our survey results). These are PDFs of 11x17 maps at a scale of 1:24,000, and they can be provided on CD within a day.

(b) We can provide copies of site records that we got from the records searches (our corridor included ½ mile from centerline; our data includes info from Southeastern Info Center and Riverside and Orange Counties – mainly these are paper – we need to copy these and it will take up to a week.

(c) Draft site records for newly recorded sites. These forms are not yet completed so if you want them now, you need to know that they might change as we continue to work with BLM to get the finalized. Each site is in a separate Word file (some sites require 5 or 6 forms); there are a couple hundred sites that we are working on. Would be provided on CD within a day

(d) Shapefiles. We can provide these on CD within a day. However, note that if site records are modified as we prepare the cultural report, these changes may also change these shapefiles.

2) Air quality modeling data that was used in the AQ analysis and tables.

Response: All the air quality calculations are presented in Appendix 10. Please review the data in this appendix and let us know what additional information you need, if any.

3) Biological technical report that was prepared. There are many bio maps in the appendices, but not the supporting data.

Response: No biological technical reports were prepared since the findings are essentially presented in the EIR/EIS itself. The survey results are depicted in Appendix 8 maps. Following is the information we

do have available on biological resources that was not published in the Draft EIR/EIS. Please let us know which, if any, of these documents you would like:

- USFWS survey reports for federally listed species
- Field forms filled out during surveys
- A list of all plants and animals observed (we have not compiled this into a single list; it's on the field forms only at this point. A list could be compiled but it would be a large effort and would take a couple weeks).
- Mapped locations of CNPS List 3 and 4 plant species.
- US Forest Service modeled habitat maps for the following species:
 - i. Encinitas baccharis
 - ii. San Diego thornmint
 - iii. San Bernardino bluegrass
 - iv. California red-legged frog
 - v. Laguna Mountains skipper