

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



September 18, 2025

Thomas Diaz  
Infrastructure Projects & Programs  
Southern California Edison  
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue  
Rosemead, California 91770

**Re: Data Request #5 for the SCE EPL TLRR Project (A.23-04-009)**

Dear Mr. Diaz:

Southern California Edison Company (SCE) submitted its Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) and Proponent's Environmental Assessment (PEA) on April 26, 2023. The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Energy Division provided PEA deficiency letters to SCE on May 19 and June 27, 2023 and SCE has completed the additional analysis.

As we continue to prepare the environmental analysis for the CEQA compliance document, we have identified additional information needed from SCE. Attached please find Data Request No. 5, which defines the additional questions we have at this time. We would appreciate your prompt responses to our data requests.

One set of responses should be sent to the Energy Division and one to our consultant Panorama Environmental, Inc. in electronic format. Any questions on this data request should be directed to me by email at [andrew.chan@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:andrew.chan@cpuc.ca.gov).

Sincerely,

*Andrew Chan*

Andrew Chan  
Project Manager, Energy Division

cc:

Case Administration, Southern California Edison  
Susanne Heim and Jessica Koteen, Panorama Environmental

## Submittal

**Document Title:** Proponent's Environmental Assessment for Southern California Edison Company's TLRR EPL Project

**Data Request Form No.** No. 5

**Description:** Data Request #5

**From:** Panorama Environmental Inc.

**To:** Southern California Edison

**Date Submitted:** September 18, 2025

## Determination

- ☐ Meets CPUC Requirements, No Additional Information Needed
- ☐ Does not Meet CPUC Requirements (see Deficiencies below)
- ☒ Additional Data Needed (see Data Requests below)

## Data Request

PEA Section or Page #	Comment Code	Data Request
<b>Chapter 3: Project Description</b>		
<b>3.5.1 Construction Access</b>		
Access/Spur Road Widths	DR5-1	<p><b>Issue:</b> There would be Vegetation Management along the new and existing access roads and spur roads. However, it is unclear whether the GIS data includes the impacts associated with the vegetation management.</p> <p><b>How to Address:</b> Clarify if the clearance for vegetation management is within the 18-foot-wide road width or extending outward from the road. And if it extends outward, what are the limits on either side?</p>
<b>Chapter 5: Environmental Analysis</b>		
<b>5.3 Air Quality/5.8 Greenhouse Gas - Health Risk Assessment</b>		
Health Risk Assessment	DR5-2	<p><b>Issue:</b> The current revised Health Risk Assessment memo, dated December 1, 2023, does not include the analysis of the new staging areas, which include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Two staging yards on parcel 057-203-109</li> <li>2. One staging yard on parcel 054-449-117</li> <li>3. Three staging yards on two parcels - one on parcel 054-433-105 and two on parcel 054-433-104</li> </ol> <p><b>How to Address:</b> Provide an updated Health Risk Assessment to include the analysis of the above-mentioned materials yards.</p>
<b>5.13 Hazards and Hazardous Materials/5.13 Noise – Airport Noise and Construction Workers</b>		
Baker Airport Material Yard.	DR5-4	<p><b>Issue:</b> The Baker Airport Material Yard is located at Baker Airport and within the 60 dBA CNEL noise contour for the Baker Airport. The PEA does not include any information regarding what activities would occur at that yard, nor does the PEA reference the Baker Airport in both the Hazards or Noise Section of the PEA when describing the airports within the vicinity of the project area.</p> <p><b>How to Address:</b> Provide a description of what activities would occur at the Baker Airport Material Yard. Would there be a construction worker trailer on-site and full-time workers would be present.</p>

