		• •			,	
PEA Deficiencies Section or Page #	Comment Code	Deficiency	Quick (Due April 27)	Mid-Term (Due 30 days after April 27)	Long-Term (Due 60 days after April 27)	Response/Modified Text
Chapter 3: Project Description						
3.3 Project Components						
3.4.3.4 New Rights-of-Way or Easements: Development Restrictions 3.5 Construction Pages 3-3 and 3-18	DD3.1	Issue: According to the GIS data layers "Subtransmission Structures" and "Subtransmission Alignment", nearly the entire length of Segment 1 would be permanently realigned approximately 15 feet east of the current alignment, except for the northernmost 0.6 mile from structure W4683791E_ E4683792E to Kern River 1 Substation. New structures would be installed alongside the existing alignment but offset in a new alignment immediately east or southeast (see screenshot below). No explanation of this alignment shift or its purpose was identified in the project description. More information is needed about the proposed subtransmission realignments or shifts, and impacts on trees and vegetation associated with maintaining clearances in the new alignment. Please provide a detailed description of the purpose and need of the subtransmission line realignment in Segment 1 and explain why the structure replacement is not proposed within the same alignment as it is on the other project segments. Please verify the alignment shift distances (i.e., 15 feet east- southeast) in Segment 1 and verify that such shifts only occur within Segment 1. Please verify that the subtransmission line realignments would not result in any clearance conflicts with existing structures in the proposed corridor, or alternatively identify each potential conflict and described how it would be addressed. It is noted that Section 3.4.3.4 states "No commercial or residential properties or structures would be relocated or demolished as part of the GKR Project." Please identify any areas along the proposed new subtransmission line clearance corridors, where realigned, that would require the clearance of existing vegetation or tree trimming where it is not currently cleared for the existing subtransmission corridor. If no existing vegetation is expected to be cleared or trimmed within the adjusted corridor limits, please state this.		X	2 3	The Segment 1 alignment is currently a double circuit configuration that would be converted to a single circuit. The two circuits consist of the 66 kV Gorman-Kern River 1 and Banducci-Kern River 1 Lines. The 66 kV Gorman-Kern River 1 Line would need to stay in service while there would be an outage on the Banducci-Kern River 1Line. Only Segment 1 will be shifted. The single circuit would be rebuilt 15 feet east of the existing alignment while the 66 kV Gorman-Kern River Line 1 is still in service. The alignment shift in Segment 1 would not result in any clearance conflicts with existing structures. The alignment shift has been modeled in PLS-CADD utilizing LiDAR data. No trees along the shifted alignment would be trimmed that would not otherwise be trimmed along the existing alignment. Vegetation will be trimmed around each new subtransmission structure in Segment 1; due to the 15 foot lateral shift of the alignment and the longitudinal offset of new structure locations from existing structure locations, vegetation around each new subtransmission structure in Segment 1 would be trimmed that would not otherwise be trimmed around existing structures in the existing alignment.
3.3.4.2	DD3.2	Issue: Section 3.3.4.2 states for each marker balls for each segment will be installed on	V		S	CE will not be filing FAA notifications until final engineering is
Description of Facilities by Segment		overhead wire if and where determined to be appropriate. Section 3.3.5.1.2 states "The FAA has not made a determination regarding the lighting or marking of any component of the GKR	X		C	ompleted; attached please find SCE's FAA Filing Determination that

PEA Deficiencies Section or Page # Page 3-9 3.3.5.1.2 Aviation Lighting and/or Marking	Comment Code	Project." Project." How to Address: No draft FAA notice and criteria tool results were included with the PEA materials. Please complete the preliminary structure screening for the maximum potential structure heights and span heights for the project and provide the preliminary results for the	Quick (Due April 27)	Mid-Term (Due 30 days after April 27) Long-Term (Due 60 days after	Response/Modified Text contains results from a structure screening process performed for the GKR Project.
Page 3-14		purposes of the CEQA analysis. Identify segments where marker balls may be required by FA/based on FAA criteria.	A		
3.3.4.4 Different Facilities Page 3-12	DD3.3	Issue: Section 3.3.4.4 includes the following description about guys: "Guys are typically used when LWS poles or LWS H-frames are located on angles, corners, and dead-ends to provide support to the poles. Guys may also be used on tangent/suspension poles as field conditions dictate. Guying consists of a guy wire (down guy) that is fastened to a pole and attached to a buried anchor, or when there is not adequate space for the required down guy, a shorter guy pole (stub pole) is typically placed with a down guy and buried anchor in a location that has sufficient room for these facilities. The need for and location of guy wires and anchors for LWS poles and LWS pole H-frames would be determined during final engineering and construction on a case-by- case basis. Guying across a roadway would be avoided where feasible." How to Address: More information is needed about the potential for guying across roadways. Please evaluate the project alignment and identify any potential locations where guying across roadways could be required based on the angle changes and adjacent road locations, etc. Alternatively, please clarify if guying across roadways is not anticipated, and in the event that such guying would be required to ensure the stability of the line, then provide a statement about how the guying would be established to ensure existing roadway access would not be impeded.		X	The information is being gathered and will be provided at a later time.
Chapter 5: Environmental Analysis					
5.3 Air Quality and 5.6 Greenhouse Ga					
Appendix B - Air Quality Emissions Modeling	DD5.1	Issue: Emissions from ground construction activities were estimated using CalEEMod v2016.3.2. However, in June of 2021 CalEEMod 2020.4.0 was released. How to Address: Update Appendix B of the PEA to account for the updated CALEEMod version and the issues noted in the deficiencies below.		x	Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a later time. Revised Appendix B submitted under separate electronic cover.
Appendix B - Annual Emissions	DD5.2	Issue: The equipment type, equipment horsepower, number of pieces of equipment, load factor, hours per day of operation, and number of days of usage (start/end dates) were inconsistent between CalEEMod (Appendix B Annual Emissions) and Table 3.6-1 of the PEA for each of the construction activity tasks except for the following instances:			Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a later time.
		 For Task 2: Staging Areas, Table 3.6-1 contains 10 hours of daily use for the generator while CALEEMOD contains 6 hours. 			Revised Appendix B submitted under separate electronic cover.
		 For Task 4: Install TSP Foundations, Table 3.6-1 contains 1 piece of equipment for th backhoe/front loader while CALEEMOD has 2 pieces. 	Э	x	
		For Task 7: TSP Erection: Table 3.6-1 should denote gasoline for the			
		3/4-ton truck.			
		For Task 8: Install TSP H-frame Foundation, Table 3.6-1 contains 10 hours of daily use for the backhoe/front loader while CALEEMOD contains 8 hours.	r		
		r. For Task 11: TSP H-frame Erection, Table 3.6-1 contains 6 hours of daily use for the helicopter support truck while Appendix L: Vehicle Miles Traveled Calculations contains 4			

PEA Deficiencies Section or Page #	Comment Code	Deficiency	Quick (Due April 27)	Mid-Term (Due 30 days after April 27)	Long-Term (Due 60 days after April 27)	Response/Modified Text
		hours. The corresponding calculated VMT is then used in CALEEMOD.				1
		i. For Task 13: Existing Lattice Structures/TSP Removal, Table 3.6-1 contains 1 piece o equipment for the compressor trailer while CALEEMOD has 2 pieces.				
		i. For Task 13: Existing Lattice Structures/TSP Removal, Table 3.6-1 contains 2 pieces of equipment for the backhoe/front loader while CALEEMOD has 4 pieces.				
		For Task 13: Existing Lattice Structures/TSP Removal, Table 3.6-1 contains 1 piece of equipment for the excavator while CALEEMOD has 2 pieces.				
		For Task 13: Existing Lattice Structures/TSP Removal, Table 3.6-1 contains 1 piece of equipment for the R/T crane (M) while CALEEMOD has 2 pieces.				
		For Task 13: Existing Lattice Structures/TSP Removal, Table 3.6-1 contains 1 piece of equipment for the R/T crane (L) while CALEEMOD has 2 pieces.				
		 For Task 13: Existing Lattice Structures/TSP Removal, Table 3.6-1 contains 6 hours of daily use for the helicopter support truck while Appendix L: Vehicle Miles Traveled Calculations contains 4 hours. 				
		The corresponding calculated VMT is then used in CALEEMOD.				
		 For Task 16: Install L-WS Pole, Table 3.6-1 contains 6 hours of daily use for the helicopter support truck while Appendix L: Vehicle Miles Traveled Calculations contains 4 hours. The corresponding calculated VMT is then used in CALEEMOD. 				
		 For Task 20: Install/Remove Conductor/OPGW/OHGW, Table 3.6-1 contains 1 piece of equipment for the sock line puller while CALEEMOD has 2 pieces. 				
		r. For Task 20: Install/Remove Conductor/OPGW/OHGW, Table 3.6-1 contains 1 piece of equipment for the bull wheel puller while CALEEMOD has 2 pieces.				
		For Task 20: Install/Remove Conductor/OPGW/OHGW, Table 3.6-1 contains 1 piece of equipment for the hydraulic rewind puller while CALEEMOD has 2 pieces.				
		 For Task 20: Install/Remove Conductor/OPGW/OHGW, Table 3.6-1 contains 1 piece of equipment for the backhoe/front loader while CALEEMOD has 2 pieces. 				
		 For Task 20: Install/Remove Conductor/OPGW/OHGW, Table 3.6-1 contains 1 piece of equipment for the conductor splicing rig while CALEEMOD has 2 pieces. 				
		For Task 20: Install/Remove Conductor/OPGW/OHGW, Table 3.6-1 contains 1 piece of equipment for the fiber splicing lab while CALEEMOD has 2 pieces.				
		For Task 20: Install/Remove Conductor/OPGW/OHGW, Table 3.6-1 contains 7 hours of daily use for the helicopter support truck while Appendix L: Vehicle Miles Traveled Calculations contains 4 hours. The corresponding calculated VMT is then used in CALEEMOD.				
		How to Address: Update Appendix B to account for the noted issues above.				
Appendix B - Annual Emissions	DD5.3	Issue: The estimated construction workforce, number of worker trips, number of vendor trips, and number of hauling trips (within Appendix L: Vehicle Miles Traveled Calculations) compares correctly with the information within CalEEMod (Appendix B Annual Emissions) and Table 3.6-1 of the PEA except for the following instances:			li	Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a later time. Revised Appendix B to be submitted under separate electronic cover.
		 Appendix L: Vehicle Miles Traveled Calculations uses an employee vehicle travel distance of 25 miles and CALEEMOD uses 30 miles. 				
		 Table 3.6-1 contains estimated schedule in days for each construction task. Appendix L: Vehicle Miles Traveled Calculations specifics total schedule (days) and total duration 				

PEA Deficiencies Section or Page #	Comment Code	Deficiency	Quick (Due April 27)	Mid-Term (Due 30 days after April 27)	Long-Term (Due 60 days after April 27)	Response/Modified Text
		(days), for most construction tasks these values are the same. However, for Tasks 3: Existing Lattice Structures/TSP Removal, Task 4: Install TSP Foundations, Task 13B: Existing Lattice Structures/TSP Removal, and Task 20: Install/Remove Conductor/OPGW/OHGW, these values are different with the total duration being half of the total schedule. CALEEMOD appears to use the total duration to estimate air emissions from trucks and employee vehicles. However, it is unclear why the construction duration for Tasks 3, 4, 13, and 20 are about half the total schedule. For example, for Task 3A, the total schedule is 179 days but the total duration is 90 days. The calculations are based on the duration and not the total schedule.				
		 Appendix L: Vehicle Miles Traveled Calculations does not appear to include vender trips while CALEEMOD does include vender trips. Appendix L: Vehicle Miles Traveled Calculations uses a variety of truck trip distances within each construction task. For example, Task 2: Staging Areas has 1-Ton Truck, 4x4 (50 miles per trip and 4 trucks), Boom/Crane Truck (10 miles per trip and 4 trucks), Water Truck (10 miles per trip and 8 trucks), and Truck, Semi-Tractor (30 miles per day and 4 pieces. Task 2 occurs for 599 days. The result is a weighted average of 22 miles per truck trip and 11,980 trips or 263,560 miles. However, CALEEMOD has 9,584 trips and 15 miles per truck trip or 143,760 miles. During review this situation was found in many of the construction tasks. Therefore, the CALEEMOD analysis may be incorrectly estimating truck emissions and be inconsistent with Appendix L. The errors may be in the value of truck trips and/or miles per trip within CALEEMOD. Notably, the data within appendix L is consistent with the information within Appendix B Equipment list. 				
Appendix B - Annual Emissions	DD5.4	How to Address: Update Appendix B to account for the noted issues above. Issue: The PEA does not include the electronic copy of the CalEEMod input files (in Excel format).				Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a later time.
		How to Address : Include the electronic copy of the CalEEMod input files (in Excel format) and any information with Appendix B and L revised as a result of this review.			^	Revised Appendix B to be submitted under separate electronic cover.
Appendix B - Helicopter Emissions	DD5.5	Issue: Landing and take-off cycle (LTO) emissions incorrectly equal the LTO emission factors for all three helicopter types and do not accurately account for daily hours of LTO or number of LTO. That is, the LTO emissions only accounts for one LTO per day for each helicopter.				Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a later time.
		However, the Project Description does not provide a clear indication of the number of LTOs per day per helicopter.				Revised Appendix B to be submitted under separate electronic cover.
		For Skycrane (heavy duty) helicopter, Appendix L: Vehicle Miles Traveled Calculations includes 6 daily hours of use for the Task 7A: TSP Erection and 6 daily hours of use for the Task 11: TSP H-frame Erection while Appendix B (and Table 3.6-1) uses 1 total hour. It appears the daily hours should be 12 (6 hours per task). The daily number of LTOs would be at least two (one for each task).			x	
		For Kmax (medium duty) helicopter, Appendix L: Vehicle Miles Traveled Calculations (and Table 3.6-1) includes 6 daily hours of use for the Task 13 Existing Lattice Structure/TSP Removal and 6 daily hours of use for the Task 16 Install LWS Pole while Appendix B uses 4 total hour. It appears the daily hours should be 12 (6 hours per task). The daily number of LTOs should be at least two (one for each task).				
		For Hughes (light duty) helicopter, Appendix L: Vehicle Miles Traveled Calculations (and Table 3.6-1) includes 7 hours of use for the Task 20 Install/Remove Conductor and Install				

PEA Deficiencies Section or Page #	Comment Code	Deficiency	Quick (Due April 27)	Mid-Term (Due 30 days after April 27)	Long-Term (Due 60 days after April 27)	Response/Modified Text
		OHGW while Appendix B uses 5 total hour. Appendix L: Vehicle Miles Traveled Calculations also shows two helicopters per day. It appears the daily hours should be 14 (7 hours per task times two helicopters). The daily number of LTOs would be at least two (one for each task and helicopter).				
		Therefore, there is some inconsistency between helicopter use within Table 3.6-1, Appendix B, and Appendix L and it appears the helicopter emissions are underestimated.				
		How to Address: Update Appendix B to account for the noted issues above.				
Appendix B - Helicopter Emissions	DD5.6	Issue: Table 3.6-1 of the PEA indicates one light-duty helicopter would be used for 109 days for 7 hours per day. The light-duty helicopter emissions (Hughes) only assumed 55 working days, but then multiply the emissions by two noting there would be two helicopters. However, the emissions are only multiplied by two in the summary tab for daily emissions (lbs/day). Annual emissions in the summary tab and daily and annual emissions in the Hughes tab underestimate the emissions by 50%.			X	Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a later time. Revised Appendix B to be submitted under separate electronic cover.
		How to Address: Update Appendix B to account for the noted issues above.				
Appendix B Helicopter Emissions Calculations SCAQMD	DD5.7	Issue: Landing and take-off cycle (LTO) emissions equal the LTO emission factors for all three helicopter types and do not accurately account for daily hours and number of LTO. That is, accounts for only one LTO per day. See 20220228 TLRR GKR PEA 7-of-15 (Appendix B Helicopter Emissions) above.				Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a later time.
		How to Address: Update Appendix B to account for the noted issues.				Revised Appendix B to be submitted under separate electronic cover
5.5 Cultural Resources						
Archaeological Report			T			
Throughout Report	DD5.8	Issue: Access roads and other project support areas such as pull sites located beyond the 300-foot project corridor were not inventoried and were therefore not evaluated for CRHR eligibility. How to Address: Access roads and other project support areas that are outside of the transmission corridor are part of the project impact area and need to be inventoried to allow the CPUC the ability to 1) define CRHR listed or eligible resources in the project area and 2) evaluate project impacts on those resources. All archaeological sites within the project area also need to then be evaluated for CRHR eligibility. Resource evaluation is necessary in order for the CPUC to comply with CEQA. The maps in				Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a later time.
		the Appendices also need to indicate all access roads and support areas outside the corridor as being within the project area (APE/API).				
Archaeological Report – Section 2.3.2	DD5.9	Issue: There is a potential for Tribal Cultural Resources within the APE/API, but this is not addressed in the report.				Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a later time.
Page 33		How to Address: Due to the potential for Tribal Cultural Resources within the APE/API, please include a theme for sacred sites or ritualistic sites. Archaeological sites can also have other eligibility beyond NRHP/CRHR criterion D/4 and many of these sites could also be Tribal Cultural Resources. In order to support the CPUC's impact evaluation, be sure to consider archaeological sites within the direct APE/API for consideration under NRHP/CRHR criteria A/1 and C/3.			Х	
Archaeological Report –	DD5.10	Issue: Is Kern River No. 1/ Kern River 1 Hydroelectric Substation listed on CRHR or NRHP?				Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a
Section 2.3.3		How to Address: Discuss whether the Kern River No. 1/ Kern River 1 Hydroelectric			X	later time.
Page 37		Substation is listed on the CRHR and/or NRHP. This applies to all archaeological sites within the direct APE/API. If the Project has the potential to impact archaeological sites within the				

PEA Deficiencies Section or Page #	Comment Code	Deficiency	Quick (Due April 27)	Mid-Term (Due 30 days after April 27)	Long-Term (Due 60 days after April 27)	Response/Modified Text	
		indirect APE/API, include the NRHP/CRHR eligibility. NRHP/CRHR eligibility is necessary to make CEQA impact determinations.					
Archaeological Report –	DD5.11	Issue: The report did not include a discussion regarding the treatment of isolates.			Α	Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a	
Section 3.2.1		How to Address: The treatment of isolates needs to be included here. The isolates should be			la	ater time.	
Page 40		recorded on DPR forms with primary and location maps and be submitted to the Information Center to have a Primary number assigned. Isolates are generally considered to have very little data potential, but they are not <i>de facto</i> ineligible for the NRHP/CRHR. They need to be documented and discussed in the report.			X		
Archaeological Report –	DD5.12	Issue: Management summary states that 7 previously recorded resources could not be			P	Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a	
Section 4.3		recorded, section 4.3 states 6 previously recorded resources could not be relocated.			X Ia	ater time.	
Page 47		How to Address: Inconsistencies such as this need to be corrected throughout the report in order for the CPUC to make valid CEQA findings.					
Archaeological Report - Section 4.3 Page 55	DD5.13	Issue: According to Appendix E, sites P-15-020126, TLRR-KR-005, P-15- 020129, P-15-020127, P-15-001540, P-15-001643, P-15-020125, P-15-008780, TLRR-KR-008, lie within a work area. These resources were not evaluated for eligibility and it is unclear how these sites could be avoided.				Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a ater time.	
		How to Address: The report needs to clearly indicate how sites that are within work areas will be avoided or they will need to be evaluated for eligibility on the CRHR/NRHP. All archaeological resources within the direct APE/API, including archaeological isolates need to be evaluated for eligibility on the CRHR. This may require archaeological testing/excavation (Phase II). If a site is considered a unique archaeological resource or eligible for the CRHR, attempts to avoid or mitigate the site will be necessary and need to be documented.			X		
Archaeological Report - Appendix E,	DD5.14	Issue: Boundaries of site P-15-007761 are unclear. This resource is not included in the body of				Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a	
Page 89		the report. All resources in the maps should be in the report and vice versa. How to Address: Please be sure that a thorough QA/QC check is made within the report and all Appendices so that all resources within the project area are properly documented.			X	ater time.	
Archaeological Report - Appendix E,	DD5.15	Issue: Segment 5 appears to continue off this page,			, A	Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a	
Page 402		How to Address: There needs to be a map showing the termination of segment 5.			^ la	ater time.	
5.7 Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Re	esources			<u>.</u>	,		
Section 5.7.4.2	DD5.16	Issue: The PEA Appendices do not include the geotechnical report. The geotechnical report is			10	Seotechnical report was provided under separate electronic cover.	
Page 5-200	220110	discussed in the PEA and should be provided.	X			sectorimical report mad provided and departure electrons	
. ago o <u></u>		How to Address: Provide the geotechnical report.					
Paleontological Report				•			
Paleontological Report - Executive Summary	DD5.17	Issue: Report does not specify the areas that were covered by the paleontological survey.			P	Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a	
Section 4.2 and 6.0		How to Address: Clarify areas that were covered by the paleontological field survey covered			X Ia	ater time.	
Pages 21 and 37		(i.e.: 300-foot buffer around the transmission line?). Provide a map and GIS data with the field survey area.					
Paleontological Report	DD5.18	Issue: The report does not define records search limits.			Α	Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a	
Section 5.2		How to Address: Define the paleontological record search limits.			X la	ater time.	
Page 32							
5.4 Biology and 5.11 Land Use and Planning							

PEA Deficiencies Section or Page #	Comment Code	Deficiency	Quick (Due April 27)	Mid-Term (Due 30 days after April 27)	Long-Term (Due 60 days after April 27)	Response/Modified Text
Section 5.11.1.2.1.5 Page 5-238	DD5.19	Issue: The PEA states: "Portions of Segment 2, 3, and 4 are located on lands identified as 'Conservation Areas' in the Tejon Ranch Conservation and Land Use Agreement". Additionally on page 5-7 it states, "The GKR Project alignment continues southeast, crossing largely undeveloped open grassland and seasonal wetland within Castaic Valley, a part of the Tejon Ranch Conservancy, before traversing an area of unpaved trails and near the summit of the east-west trending spine of the western Tehachapi Mountains and entering Los Angeles County". However, the document does not address how the Project would impact lands and habitats within the Tejon Ranch Conservancy and 'Conservation Areas' in the Tejon Ranch Conservation and Land Use Agreement. How to Address: Update the PEA to include analysis on how the Project would impact lands and habitats within the Tejon Ranch Conservancy and 'Conservation Areas' in the Tejon Ranch Conservation and Land Use Agreement. Provide GIS data or a map showing the conservation areas within the Project alignment and work areas.		x		Section 5.4 addresses impacts to habitat along the entirety of the GKR Project alignment, including those lands identified as 'Conservation Areas' in the Tejon Ranch Conservation and Land Use Agreement. GIS data is being updated and will be provided under separate electronic cover.
5.13 Noise						
Section 5.13.4.2.1 Table 5.13-3, Page 5-257	DD5.20	Issue: The footnote for Table 5.13-3 states that "there are no established noise level standards applicable to Project-related construction activities in unincorporate Kern County, the City of Arvin, or the City of Bakersfield; therefore, work in these jurisdictions would not generate noise in excess of established standards and work in these areas is not addressed in this Table." Although these jurisdictions do not have specific decibel thresholds for construction noise, they do restrict hours of construction.	x			Construction activities occurring outside of Los Angeles County would generate the same estimated noise levels as would construction activities shown in Table 5.13-3, Construction Noise Levels.
		How to Address : Include estimated noise levels for construction occurring outside of Los Angeles County (City of Arvin, City of Bakersfield, and Kern County) to Table 5.13-3.				
5.18 Tribal Cultural Resources						
Section 5.18.1.2.2.2 Page 5-294 and Page 5-295	DD5.21	Issue: The PEA is unclear on the number of villages in the APE and where they are located. How to Address: Clarify how many "a few" villages are and where (if location is known). If location is not known since consultation with the tribes has not yet occurred, then only use the known village sites.				Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a later time.
Section 5.18.1.2.2.3	DD5.22	Issue: The location of the Kitanemuk village is not defined				Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a
Page 5-294		How to Address: Elaborate where the one Kitanemuk village is on the transmission line. Provide a citation to the publication that gives the village location.			X	later time.
Section 5.18.1.2	DD5.23	Issue: The PEA does not include any maps showing the locations of ethnographic resources.				Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a
Page 5-293		How to Address: Provide a map and GIS data if available with the locations of ethnographic resources. Provide descriptions of each resource.			X	later time.

PEA Needs Section or Page #	Comment Code	Deficiency	Quick	Mid- i erm	Long-Term	Response/Modific	ed Text		
Chapter 3: Project Description									
3.3 Project Components									
Section 3.3.3.1.1 Page 3-6 and Appendix J, Figures 4a and 4b	DN3.1	Issue: Table 3.3-1 indicates the difference in height between existing and proposed structures. In Segment 2 the existing LST/TSP poles are indicated to be 47 feet high and will be replaced with poles approximately 100 feet high, roughly double the height. If that were correct it would appear that the simulations for KOP #6 may present a height that is not accurate. However, structure-specific height as shown in the GIS files show the new poles seen in Figures 4b to be 70 feet high, more in line with the simulation. How to Address: Revise Table 3.3-1 to show the range of new structure heights in each segment.	x			existing structures Table 3.3-1. Approximately a segment 1 a large and 1 a large a segment 2 a large a la	Number of Existing Number of Structures Removed	Structures to be Remo Number of Structures Modified	indicates that the height of height to 100 feet in height. Ved or Modified
3.3.14.3 Below- Ground Telecommunication Line Page 3-16	DN3.2	Issue: 3.3.14.3 states "Fiber optic cable would be installed below- ground within and immediately adjacent to the existing Banducci, Gorman, and Kern River 1 Hydroelectric substations. Fiber optic cable would be routed belowground from the control building or MEER at these substations to a getaway structure, and then would transition to an above-ground configuration." Figureset 3.5-3:	Х			GIS data is being	g updated and will b	e provided under s	eparate electronic cover.

PEA Needs Section or Page #	Comment Code	Deficiency	Quick	Mid-Term	Long-Term	Response/Modified Text
		Telecommunications Underground Routes roughly identifies the general routes of the underground telecom routes; however, portions are not shown and the maps are not detailed. Further the PDF files for Figureset 3.5-3 appear to be corrupted and are not displaying properly like the other figures in the file. The GIS data layer for "Telecom Alignment" includes only overhead portions of the telecom features or the underground portions are not distinguished. How to Address: Please provide GIS data for the underground portions of the telecommunication line. Please provide GIS data for the approximate locations of the vaults and pull boxes on the underground sections of the telecom lines.				
3.3.2.2.3 Substations Page 3-5	DN3.3	Issue: Section 3.3.2.2.3 includes the following description with the work described for substations; however, this paragraph and the prior paragraph appear to describe O&M for the entire project. "There are two phases associated with the GKR Project: the construction phase and the operations and maintenance (O&M) phase. This PEA addresses the construction phase and its potential impacts. Construction of the GKR Project will not be phased; construction of any one component or all components could be performed at any one time. At present, SCE is performing O&M activities along the existing subtransmission lines included in the GKR Project, and any past and potential future impacts associated with these O&M activities are considered part of the existing environment. Therefore, the potential impacts that may result during the O&M phase are not addressed unless such potential future impacts differ from the potential future impacts that may result from performing O&M activities along the existing subtransmission lines included in the GKR Project." How to Address: Please clarify if a heading is missing and if this statement about O&M is intended to apply to the entire project beyond substation activities.	x			No heading is missing. This statement is applicable to the entire scope of the proposed GKR Project.
Figure 1.1-1a and Figures 1.1-1a through 5.1-7b	DN3.4	Issue: While Figure 1.1-1a indicates the general photograph viewpoint locations of KOPs, it is unclear where the photographs of existing conditions and simulations are exactly located which makes it difficult to verify. For example, Figures 4a and 4b simply state "Towerline Road near Arvin". How to Address: Update the PEA to provide original photography of KOPs with EXIF and GPS information or provide a table listing GPS coordinates of KOP imagery.	х			The GPS coordinates for KOPs utilized in visual simulations are as follows: KOP4: 35.331164, -118.814327 KOP6: 35.200982, -118.806178 KOP9: 34.874659, -118.892719 KOP13: 34.792170, -118.835651 KOP15: 35.096493, -118.663428
Table 3.3-1. Approximate Number of Existing Structures to be Removed or Modified 3.3.3.1.2 Structures to be Modified Page 3-6 3.3.4.2.1.5 Segment 5 Page 3-10 GIS Data Layer: "Subtransmission Structures"		Issue: Section 3.3.3.1.2, Structures to be Modified, states: "In Segment 5, insulators would be replaced on existing structures and the distribution circuit underbuild would be modified on one other existing structure." In Table 3.3-1 for Segment 5, it appears 4 structures would be modified. How to Address: Please clarify if these four structures are the only structures where insulators would be replaced in Segment 5 or identify any other structures where such activities would occur. Issue: The GIS data for "Subtransmission Structures" does not include any structures in the "Modify" class in Segment 5. Besides the numerous existing and new structures, the other feature class is for "New-R-EX". How to Address: Please clarify which structures would be modified and their names/locations per the Project Description.		X		To remediate identified discrepancies or to facilitate the remediation of identified discrepancies, the insulator assemblies on up to 3 existing poles (2287523E, 2287525E, and 4410594E) may be modified; the modification of the insulator assemblies on any other existing poles is not anticipated. The distribution circuit underbuild would be modified on a different pole (314174E). Thus, the infrastructure on 4 poles would be modified as stated in Table 3.3-1. GIS data is being updated and will be provided under separate electronic cover.
		Issue: In addition, Section 3.3.4.2.1.5 states the existing distribution underbuild in Segment 5 would be modified. How to Address: Please specify the portion of Segment 5 length and structures where underbuild would be modified. Please clarify if the distribution modification would involve anything other than				The distribution circuit underbuild on pole (314174E) would be modified to remediate identified discrepancies or to facilitate the remediation of identified discrepancies. Existing underbuild elsewhere along the length of Segment 5 may be

PEA Needs Section or Page #	Comment Code	Deficiency	Quick	Mid-Term	Long-Term	Response/Modified Text
		transfer, per the statement in Section 3.3.2.2.2, Distribution.				modified (i.e., the underbuild may be raised or lowered on the pole) during installation of the ADSS fiber optic cable.
3.3.4.6 Permanent and Temporary Facilities Page 3-13	DN3.6	Issue: Section 3.3.4.6 states "Approximately two temporary wood poles would be installed and then removed at the junction of Segments 2 and 3 to facilitate construction" How to Address: Please explain the purpose of these temporary wood poles. It is assumed that these temporary poles would be located within the identified construction workspaces. Please confirm.	x			The temporary wood poles would be required so that Frazier Park Substation can be temporarily fed via Kern River 1 Hydroelectric Substation, while Segment 3 is being rebuilt. The temporary wood poles would be located within one or more identified construction work areas.
3.5.5.2.1.1 Segment 1 Page 3-36	DN3.7	Issue: Clarification is needed regarding the processed based construction description for Segment 1, based on DD3.1 above regarding the proposed realignment of the segment by approximately 15 feet east-southeast. How to Address: Would the new structures and conductor be entirely constructed alongside the existing alignment while the existing line remains energized, or would the energized conductor be transferred over to the new structures periodically as they are installed? Please explain the phasing of the realignment in Segment 1.				The new structures and conductor would be entirely constructed alongside the existing alignment while one of the two existing circuits remains energized. No energized conductor would be transferred. The description in Section 3.5.5.2.1.1 is accurate as written. Text below provides the requested clarification.
						1. Planning – Develop a wire stringing plan to determine the sequence of wire pulls and the locations of pull-and-tension/stringing sites.
						2. Establish pull-and-tension/stringing sites – Pull-and-tension/stringing sites would be established and wire pulling equipment would be set-up within the sites. At one end of a wire pull, a puller would be set-up; at the other end of a wire pull, a tensioner with wire reel stand truck would be set-up.
						3. Guard structures would be installed at all electrical structures and roads where required.
			Х			4. De-energize circuit – The subtransmission circuit on one side of the existing structures would be deenergized.
						5. Stringing sheaves (rollers or travelers) would be installed on the side of the existing structures where the de-energized circuit is located.
						6. The existing conductor would be transferred to the stringing sheaves.
						7. Roads would be closed, and traffic would be stopped where necessary.
						8. Safety devices such as traveling grounds and radio-equipped public safety roving vehicles and linemen would be placed along the wire pull. Guard structures would be installed at all electrical structures and roads where required.
						9. The existing conductor would be pulled through the stringing sheaves and spooled on wire reels sited in a pull-and-tension/stringing site. A conductor pulling rope/cable attached to the end of the conductor would allow tension on the conductor being removed to be maintained. Following the removal of the conductor, the rope/cable would be removed from the old conductor and would be used to pull in the new conductor (see Step 13 below).
						 10. Roads would be opened, and traffic flow allowed to resume. 11. Pole/tower installation – All rReplacement single-circuit structures would be

	Comment Code	Deficiency	Quick	Mid-Term	Long-Term	Response/Modified Text
						installed. 12. Stringing sheaves would be installed on the replacement structures and structures to be reused. 13. A sock line (or the rope/cable described above) would be threaded through the stringing sheaves. A bucket truck is typically used to install the lightweight sock line from structure to structure. The sock line would be threaded through the roller to engage a camlock device that would secure the pulling sock in the roller. This threading process would continue between all structures through the rollers of a set of spans selected for a conductor pull. In areas where a bucket truck is unable to install a lightweight sock line, a helicopter would fly the lightweight sock line from structure to structure. Alternatively, a helicopter may be used to install the sock line for the entire length of the pull section. Roads would be closed, and traffic would be stopped where sock line threading occurs over a public roadway. 14. Roads would be closed, and traffic would be stopped where necessary. 15. Conductor/OPGW installation — All replacement conductor and OPGW would be installed on the replacement and reused structures. The sock line would be used to pull in the conductor pulling rope and/or cable. The pulling rope or cable would be attached to the conductor using a swivel joint to prevent damage to the wire and to allow the wire to rotate freely to prevent complications from twisting as the conductor unwinds off the reel. Once the conductor is pulled in, if necessary, all mid-span splicing would be performed. Once the splicing has been completed, the conductor is deadended, the conductors would be secured to all tangent structures in a process called clipping-in. 16. Energize /deenergize circuits — The newly-installed circuit on replacement and reused structures would be energized, and the remaining existing circuit on the existing structures would be energized. 17. Conductor removal — The remaining deenergized subtransmission conductors on the existing structure removal — Existing structures would b
3.5.10.4 Livestock Page 3-47		Issue: Section 3.5.10.4 states: "No livestock fencing or guards will be installed as part of the GKR Project to prevent livestock from entering project areas." How to Address: Please describe SCE's construction work practices that will be followed, if any, within areas where livestock maybe present, such as general procedures for securely covering and/or fencing excavations, etc.		Х		19. Restoration – Areas would be restored/revegetated as appropriate. 3.5.3.1.2.5 Excavations and Associated Equipment Work Areas No excavations except those associated with the installation of LWS poles, installation of TSP foundations, removal of existing LST or TSP foundations, and installation of underground telecommunication cable are included in the GKR Project. Excavations for the installation of underground telecommunication cable would require an equipment work area extending approximately 10 feet on either side of the telecommunication cable route. Open excavations will be either attended

PEA Needs Section or Page #	Comment Code	Deficiency	Quick	Mid-Term	Long-Term	Response/Modified Text or covered.
GIS Layer: "Subtransmission Structures"	DN3.9	Issue: The GIS layer for "Subtransmission Structures" includes two structures with the STATUS attribute "New-R-EX." These proposed new structures are located in Segment 5 (structures 4332484E and 4410595E) on either side of 2287525E) in an area where no other structure replacement is identified. How to Address: Please clarify the purpose of these new structure installations. Issue: Looking at aerial imagery, two existing structures at these locations are visible; however, the existing structures are not included in the "Existing" structure GIS features (see screenshot below). How to Address: Are the proposed activities at these locations similar to existing structure modification? Please clarify.		X		These new poles, like all new poles under the GKR Project, would be installed to remediate an identified GO 95 discrepancy. The structures are shown in the GIS as New-R-Ex (New Replacing Existing). Because they are identified as New-R-Ex, they cannot also be listed as 'Existing'. The proposed activities at these locations are described in Section 3.5.5.2.1.5. The new poles would be installed per Section 3.5.5.1.2.2 and the existing poles would be removed per Section 3.5.5.1.1.1. The insulator assemblies on up to 3 existing poles (2287523E, 2287525E, and 4410594E) may be modified; the modification of the insulator assemblies on any other existing poles is not anticipated.
GIS Layer: "Subtransmission Structures"	DN3.10	Issue: The GIS layer for "Subtransmission Structures" includes a data column attribute called CONST_MTHD for Construction Method. All structures are assigned "Conventional" regardless of status. Based on the Project Description, Section 3.5.5.1.3 Foundation Installation, it appears conventional construction methods may be referring to either of the three foundation options: (1) drilled, poured-in-place, concrete foundation, (2) installed on drilled micro-piles, or (3) direct-buried. How to Address: Please clarify if the above assumptions are correct. Please identify the anticipated preliminary foundation methods for each project structure, or alternatively it may be assumed that each structure will involve the most impactful activities and greatest number of trips associated with there construction (i.e., concrete pier foundations). If specific foundation methods cannot be provided, please provide an estimated percentage of the anticipated foundation method use.	х			The assumptions are not correct. The CONST_MTHD attribute is used to identify the type of construction that will be employed. That field has three potential entries: Conventional, Helicopter, and TBD. The CONST_MTHD attribute does not correlate with the type of foundation selected for a given TSP. It can be assumed that a concrete pier foundation will be utilized for every TSP included in the GKR Project.
GIS Data Layer: "Right of Way"	DN3.11	Issue: The GIS data layer "Right of Way" (ROW) does not distinguish between existing and new ROW areas for the subtransmission lines. How to Address: Please explain how to interpret the GIS data that was provided. Figure 3.4-1, New Easements Required, identifies portions of the subtransmission line alignment where new easements are needed. Please provide GIS data that identifies existing vs. the new targeted easements corridors. If these areas are already included in GIS data that was provided, please revised the data to include an attribute that distinguishes between existing and proposed ROW areas. Issue: A ROW area is shown around a single access road in Segment 1. How to Address: Please explain this ROW corridor and why other access roads or easement roads to access the line are not shown. Please identify any additional access road corridors where ROW easements to the subtransmission line are required for construction and operation.			x	Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a later time.

PEA Needs Section or Page #	Comment Code	Deficiency	Quick	Mid-Term	Long-Term	Response/Modified Text
		Issue: There are areas of the ROW GIS layer that do not appear to align with the linear Subtransmission Alignment features where the project aligns are not within an identified ROW.				
		How to Address: Please clarify the locations where these discrepancies were observed below. Please provide a revised layer as applicable.				
		Segment 2 between existing structures 2175040E and NO 5				
		Segment 2 between existing structures M44-T1 and M46-T6				
		Segment 3 between existing structures 4410456E 4410457E and M48-T9				
		 Segment 3 between existing structures M50-T10 and Gorman Sub Segment 5 between existing structures X7655E and Banducci Sub 				
Appendix O: 300' and 1,000' Lists GIS Data Layer: "Right of Way"	DN3.12	Issue: An excel file with the 300' and 1000' lists was provided (Appendix O ¹). The CPUC does not currently poses the parcel GIS data necessary to verify the spatial methodology for compiling this list and also prepare subsequent mailing lists that may be necessary during the CEQA process. The data layer for "Right of Way" does not include APN numbers that could be used to join the tabular information with the spatial information.	х			The Terms and Conditions of the License Agreement under which that data was procured do not permit SCE or its contractor to provide said data to other parties.
		How to Address: Please provide a copy of the APN GIS data that was used to compile the lists included in Appendix O.				
Chapter 5: Environmental Analysis						
5.3 Air Quality and Noise						
Section 5.3.1.3, Page 5-34 Figure 5.13-1a-d Sensitive Receptors	DN5.1	Issue: Section 5.3.1.3 directs the reader to Figure set 5.13.1 in Section 5.13, Noise, for detailed descriptions of the locations of residential areas and other sensitive receptors in the vicinity of the GKR Project. However, Figure set 5.13.1 does not differentiate between the different types of sensitive receptors. Per the <i>Guidelines for Energy Project Applications Requiring CEQA Compliance: Pre-filing and Proponent's Environmental Assessments</i> (2019 CPUC <i>Guidelines</i>), the air quality section should "identify the location and types of each sensitive receptor locations within 1,000 feet of the project area."			X	Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a later time.
		Therefore, Figure set 5.13.1 should be updated to differentiate the different types of sensitive receptors (i.e., residences, schools, day care centers, etc.).				
		How to Address : A separate column should be added to Table 5.13-1 that identifies the type of sensitive receptors. Update Figure 5.13-1 to differentiate the different types of sensitive receptors (i.e., residences, schools, day care centers, etc.).				
5.5 Cultural Resources						
Archaeological Report				,		
Archaeological Report - Appendix G	DN5.2	Issue: Many of the photos are of poor quality and the detail is blurry. Pictures need to be of higher quality. As part of our review we need to be able to better understand the conditions and items discovered at the sites. Clear pictures are critical to completing this analysis.			X	Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a later time
		How to Address: Please provide .Jpegs of all photos				
5.6 Energy						
Section 5.6.4.3.1 Table 3.5-5 vs. Table 5.6-1 Pages 3-49 and 5-	DN5.3	Issue: Fuel consumption estimates presented in Table 5.6-1 are inconsistent with the fuel consumption estimates presented in Table 3.5-5. The diesel volume in Table 5.6-1 is higher and inconsistent with the diesel volumes anticipated to be stored on site, as reported in Table 3.5-5. For example, Table 5.6-1 reports total diesel consumption as 386,506 gallons, whereas Table 3.5-5 reports a total diesel storage volume of 386,486 gallons during construction. It is also anticipated		X	×	Note that Table 3.5-5 does not present the volumes of fuels that may be <u>stored</u> ; it presents the volumes of fuels that may be <u>consumed</u> . SCE has modified Table 3.5-5 to reference to Table 5.6-1.

PEA Needs Section or Page #	Comment Code	Deficiency	Quick	Mid-Term	Long-Term	Response/Modified Text				
175		that gasoline consumption volumes could be higher than the volumes planned to be stored onsite. For example, passenger vehicles are not expected to refuel at onsite storage locations.				Table 3.5-5. Types, Uses and Vol	umes of l	Hazardous	Material	s
		Confirmation of consistency between Table 5.6-1 diesel consumption volumes and volumes used in in the air quality calculations could not be performed because technical report was not available. How to Address: Correct the PEA so the diesel volumes in Table 5.6-1 are consistent with the diesel volumes in Table 3.5-5 AND Update Appendix B to account for the issue noted above				Hazardous Material Type Diesel Gasoline Lubricants/Hydraulic Fluids Miscellaneous Construction Fluids (solvents, etc.) Notes: Diesel and gasoline volumes develope Model® (CalEEMod) Lubricants/hydraulic fluids consumption aviation fuel consumption. Miscellaneous construction fluid volum Lubricants/Hydraulic Fluids volume.	Engine lubrica hydra Clean hai	d at <u>approxim</u>	nent 2° ring ent ing 1	cent of non-
5.9 Hazards, Hazardous Materials, and F	Public Safety					, ,				
Section 5.9.1.3 Table 5.9-2 Page 5-208	DN5.4	Issue: The SRA, LRA, and FRA columns in Table 5.9-2 do not indicate the units of measurement so it is unclear what the numbers indicate. How to Address: Update Table 5.9-2 to include the appropriate units for the SRA, LRA, and FRA columns in Table 5.9-2	x			Segment Severity Zone (1) 1 High (1) 1 Moderate (1) 1 Unzoned (1) 2 High (2) 3 Very High (3) 3 High (4) 4 High 4 Moderate 5 High		SRA* (miles) 5.26 11.46 4.03 10.22 2.25 FTA:	LRA* (miles) 14.70 15.37 0.00 1.11 0.75	FRA* (miles) 0.38 E 0.00 E 0.00 E 0.00
5.13 Noise										
Section 5.13.4.2.1 Table 5.13-3 Page 5-257	DN5.5	Issue: There are several inconsistencies between the construction noise levels depicted in Table 5.13-3 and the primary equipment descriptions in Table 3.6-1. The following inconsistencies were found:		х		Table 5.13-3 has been modified to	correspor	nd with Tab	e 3.6-1; s	ee below.

PEA Needs Section or Page #	Comment Code	Deficiency	Quick	Mid-Term	Long-Term	Response/Modified Text
		Table 3.6-1 included several primary equipment descriptions (with a detailed list of equipment) that was not listed in Table 5-13.3 including Install TSP H-frame Foundation, TSP H-frame Haul, TSP H-frame Assembly, TSP H-frame Erection, LWS H-frame Haul, LWS H-frame Assembly, Install LWS H-frame and Telecommunications Underground Infrastructure Installation For equipment required for the Staging Area, Table 5.13-3 did not list a Generator (as listed in Table 3.6-1). Table 5.13-3 listed a Jet A Fuel Truck that was not listed in Table 3.6-1 For equipment required for the TSP Erection, Table 5.13-3 did not list a Jet A Fuel Truck or a Helicopter Support Truck (as listed in Table 3.6-1). For equipment required for the Install LWS Pole, Table 5.13-3 did not list a Jet A Fuel Truck or a Helicopter Support Truck (as listed in Table 3.6-1). For equipment required for the Existing Lattice Structure/TSP Removal, Table 5.13-3 did not list a Jet A Fuel Truck or a Helicopter Support Truck (as listed in Table 3.6-1). For equipment required for Remove Conductor and OHGW and Install Conductor and OPGW/OHGW, Table 5.13-3 did not list Boom/Crane Truck, Lowboy Truck/Trailer and Jet A Fuel Truck (as listed in Table 3.6-1). Table 3.6-1 did not list Sleeving truck, R/T Crane, Flatbed Trailer, Bucket Truck, 22-Ton Manitex and Sag Cat with 2 winches (as listed in Table 5.13-3). How to Address: Include the missing items in Table 5.13-3 or update the Table 3.6-1 to provide consistency between the Noise Chapter and the Project Description.	а		1	The referenced trucks (Jet A Fuel Truck and Helicopter Support Truck) would not be located with other construction vehicles at the sites of TSP Erection, Install LWS Pole, or Existing Lattice Structure/TSP Removal. Therefore any noise generated by these trucks would not contribute to noise associated with the helicopter-supported construction activity.
5.14 Population and Housing		consistency sections and the residence of the section of the secti				
Section 5.14.1.3.1, Page 5-266	DN5.6	Issue: The PEA is missing information on housing developments within 1 mile of the proposed project. The following information is not provided for the Grapevine Specific and Community Plan: Estimated population increase Contact information for the developer (provided in the public outreach appendix) How to Address: Include the information listed above.	X			5.14.1.3.1 Kern County Approved Housing Development—Grapevine Specific and Community Plan The project is an 8,010-acre master planned community located at the southern end of the San Joaquin Valley adjacent to the existing Tejon Ranch Commerce Center. It would provide a new residential community and employment center that would extend the range of economic development opportunities that currently exist in the Tejon Ranch Commerce Center and would provide options for housing and services for the existing employees of both the project site and the adjacent Tejon Ranch Commerce Center. The project involves entitlements that would allow for 12,000 dwelling units; an additional 2,000 dwelling units may be permitted. The estimated net population increase at buildout is 38,400 people. Development was approved in December 2019; construction schedule is unknown. The developer is as follows: Tejon Ranch Company, 4436 Lebec Road, Tejon Ranch, CA 93243.
Section 5.14.1.3.2, Page 5-266	DN5.7	Issue: The PEA is missing information on housing developments within 1 mile of the proposed project. The following information is not provided for the Centennial Specific Plan: i. Location of the project i. Number of units and estimated population increase c. Contact information for the developer (provided in the public outreach appendix) How to Address: Include the information listed above.	Х		- !	5.14.1.3.2 Los Angeles County Approved Housing Development—Centennial Specific Plan The Centennial Specific Plan was adopted by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors on April 30, 2019 and became effective on May 30, 2019. The Specific Plan authorizes the development of a new master-planned community of 19,333 residences located east of Gorman Substation. Once fully built-out, the population is estimated to be 57,000 people. Development was approved in April 2019; construction schedule is unknown. The developer is as follows: Tejon Ranch

PEA Needs Section or Page #	Comment Code	Deficiency \$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\fir}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\fir}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}	Mid-Term	Long-Term	Response/Modified Text
					Company, 4436 Lebec Road, Tejon Ranch, CA 93243.
5.19 Utilities and Service Systems					
Section 5.19.4.1.6.1 Page 5-310	DN5.8	Issue: The PEA does not substantiate why construction would not increase the rate of corrosion of adjacent utility lines as a result of alternating current impacts. How to address: Update the PEA to support the claim that construction would not increase the rate of corrosion of adjacent utility lines.		x	Additional effort is underway, and information will be provided at a later time
5.19.4.5.2 Separation Distance and Length of Collocation	DN5.9	Issue: Data missing for the unconnected utilities and other infrastructure How to Address: Please provide the GIS data for the "Unconnected Utilities and Other Infrastructure" identified on Figure 5.19-1 and described in Section 5.19.4.5.2.	х		GIS data is being updated and will be provided under separate electronic cover.

Table 5.13-3. Construction Noise Levels

	Equipment Noise Level (Leq; 50	Phase Noise Level (Leq; 50	Phase Duration at Each	Receptor Nearest to	Noise Level at Nearest Receptor	Exceeds Noise Standard at Nearest	Distance to Not
Equipment Required	feet)	feet)	Location	Construction Phase	(Leq)	Receptor?	Exceed Standard
Survey	,	,	•			•	
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	80	80	1 day	Residence, 127 feet from work areas near Gorman Substation	72	No	IR
Staging Area							
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	80	<u>91</u>	180 days	None	N/A	N/A	N/A
R/T Forklift	85	_					
Boom/Crane Truck	85						
Water Truck	84						
Generator	65						
Truck, Semi-Tractor	84						
Road Work							
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	80	93	1 day	Residence, 127	85	Yes	IR
Backhoe/Front Loader	80			feet from work			
Track Type Dozer	85			areas near Gorman			
Motor Grader	85			Substation			
Water Truck	84						
Drum Type Compactor	85						
Excavator	85						
Lowboy Truck/Trailer	84						
TSP Foundation							
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	80	92	2 days	Residence, 127	84	Yes	IR
Boom/Crane Truck	85			feet from work			
Backhoe/Front Loader	80			areas near Gorman			
Auger Truck	84			Substation			
Water Truck	84						
Dump Truck	84						
Concrete Mixer Truck	85						
TSP Haul							
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	80	90	1/4 day	Residence, 127	82	Yes	IR
Boom/Crane Truck	85			feet from work			
Flat Bed Pole Truck	84			areas near Gorman			
Water Truck	84			Substation			
TSP Assembly							
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	80	89	1 day	Residence, 127	81	Yes	IR
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	80			feet from work			
Water Truck	84			areas near Gorman			
Compressor Trailer	65			Substation			
Boom/Crane Truck	85						
TSP Erection							
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	80	98	1 day	Residence, 127	90	Yes	IR
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	80			feet from work			
Water Truck	84			areas near Gorman			
Compressor Trailer	65			Substation			
R/T Crane	85						
Heavy-duty Helicopter	97						
LWS Pole Haul					_		
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	80	90	¼ day	Residence, 470	71	Yes	IR
Water Truck	84			feet			
Boom/Crane Truck	85						
Flat Bed Pole Truck	84						

Table 5.13-3. Construction Noise Levels

	Equipment Noise Level (Leq; 50	Phase Noise Level (Leq; 50	Phase Duration at Each	Receptor Nearest to	Noise Level at Nearest Receptor	Exceeds Noise Standard at Nearest	Distance to Not
Equipment Required	feet)	feet)	Location	Construction Phase	(Leq)	Receptor?	Exceed Standard
LWS Pole Assembly					(1		
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	80	89	¼ day	Residence, 470	70	Yes	IR
Compressor Trailer	65			feet			
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	80						
Water Truck	84						
Boom/Crane Truck	85						
Install LWS Pole							
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	80	98	½ day	Residence, 470	79	Yes	IR
Manlift/Bucket Truck	85			feet			1
Boom/Crane Truck	85						
Auger Truck	84						
Water Truck	84						
Backhoe/Frontloader	80						
Extendable Flat Bed Pole Truck	84						
Medium-duty Helicopter	97						
Existing Pole Removal							
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	80	91	¼ day	Residence, 127	83	Yes	IR
Compressor Trailer	65			feet from work			
Manlift/Bucket Truck	85			areas near Gorman			I
Boom/Crane Truck	85			Substation			
Flat Bed Pole Truck	84						
Water Truck	84						
Existing Lattice Structure/TSP							
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	80	99	2 days	Residence, 127	91	Yes	IR
Compressor Trailer	65			feet from work			
Manlift/Bucket Truck	85			areas near Gorman			
Backhoe/Front Loader	80			Substation			
Boom/Crane Truck	85						
Flat Bed Pole Truck	84						
Water Truck	84						
Medium-duty Helicopter	97						
Dump Truck	84						
Excavator	85						
R/T Crane (M)	85						
R/T Crane (L)	85						
Install/Remove Conductor/OPG			T .	T		ı	T
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	80	97	20 days	Residence, 127	90	Yes	IR
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	80			feet from work			
Manlift/Bucket Truck	85			areas near Gorman			
Boom/Crane Truck	85			Substation			
Dump Truck	84						
Wire Truck/Trailer	84						
Sock Line Puller	84						
Bull Wheel Puller	84						
Hydraulic Rewind Puller	84						
Static Truck/ Tensioner	84						
Backhoe/Front Loader	80						
Truck, Semi-Tractor	84						
Lowboy Truck/Trailer	84						
Water Truck	84						
Light Helicopter	90						
Conductor Splicing Rig	84						

Table 5.13-3. Construction Noise Levels

Equipment Required Fiber Splicing Lab	Equipment Noise Level (Leq; 50 feet) 84	Phase Noise Level (Leq; 50 feet)	Phase Duration at Each Location	Receptor Nearest to Construction Phase	Noise Level at Nearest Receptor (Leq)	Exceeds Noise Standard at Nearest Receptor?	Distance to Not Exceed Standard
Remove Conductor and OHG							
1 Ton Truck, 4x4	80	93	20 days	Residence, 127	85	Yes	IR
Manlift/Bucket Truck	85			feet from work areas near Gorman Substation			
Sleeving Truck	84						
R/T Crane	85						
Flatbed Trailer	0						
Truck, Semi-tractor	84						
Bull Wheel Puller	84						
Water Truck	84						
Hydraulic Rewind Puller	84						
Install Conductor and OHGW							
34-Ton Truck, 4x4	80	97	20 days	Residence, 127	89	Yes	IR
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	80			feet from work			
Wire Truck/Trailer	84			areas near Gorman			
R/T-Crane	85			Substation			
Dump Truck	84						
Bucket Truck	85						
22-Ton Manitex	85						
Splicing Rig	84						
Splicing Lab	84						
Sock Line Puller	84						
Bull Wheel Puller	84						
Backhoe/Front Loader	80						
D8 Caterpillar	82						
Light-duty Helicopter	90						
Fuel, Helicopter Support Truck	84						
Sag Cat with 2 winches	82						
Static Truck/Tensioner	84						
Install/Remove Guard Structur							
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	80	92	½ day	N/A; no guard	80	Yes	IR
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	80			structures to be			
Compressor Trailer	65			installed in Los			
Backhoe/Front Loader	80			Angeles County			
Water Truck	84						
Manlift/Bucket Truck	85						
Boom/Crane Truck	85						
Auger Truck	84						
Extendable Flat Bed Pole Truck	84						
Remove Guard Structures							
3/4 Ton Truck, 4x4	80	92	½ day	N/A; no guard	80	Yes	IR
1 Ton Truck, 4x4	80			structures to be			
Compressor Trailer	65			installed in Los			
Backhoe/Front Loader	80			Angeles County			
Water Truck	84						
Manlift/Bucket Truck	85						
Boom/Crane Truck	85						
Auger Truck	84						
Extendable Flat Bed Pole Truck	84						
Telecommunications Underground							
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	<u>80</u>	<u>91</u>	2 days	Residence, 127	<u>83</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>IR</u>
Backhoe/Front Loader	<u>80</u>			feet from work			

Table 5.13-3. Construction Noise Levels

	Equipment Noise	Phase Noise	Phase Duration	D . N	Noise Level at	Exceeds Noise	D
	Level (Leq; 50	Level (Leq; 50	at Each	Receptor Nearest to	-		Distance to Not
Equipment Required	feet)	feet)	Location	Construction Phase	(Leq)	Receptor?	Exceed Standard
<u>Dump Truck</u>	<u>84</u>			areas near Gorman			
Pipe Truck/Trailer	<u>84</u>			<u>Substation</u>			
Concrete Mixer Truck	<u>85</u>						
Water Truck	<u>84</u>						
Compressor Trailer	<u>65</u>						
Lowboy Truck/Trailer	<u>84</u>						
Restoration							
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	80	91	1 day	Residence, 127	83	Yes	IR
Backhoe/Front Loader	80			feet from work			
Motor Grader	85			areas near Gorman			
Water Truck	84			Substation			
Drum Type Compactor	85						
Lowboy Truck/Trailer	84						

NOTE: There are no established noise level standards applicable to Project-related construction activities in unincorporated Kern County, the City of Arvin, or the City of Bakersfield; therefore, work in these jurisdictions would not generate noise in excess of established standards and work in these areas is not addressed in this Table. Only work in Los Angeles County is accounted for here.

IR. SCE cannot relocate its structures, nor can SCE relocate a noise sensitive receptor or land use.

Table 3.5-5. Types, Uses and Volumes of Hazardous Materials

Hazardous Material Type	Use	Approximate Volume (gallons)
Diesel	Engine fuel	Please see Table 5.6-1
Gasoline	Engine fuel	Please see Table 5.6-1
Lubricants/Hydraulic Fluids	Engine and equipment lubrication/ Powering hydraulic equipment	21, <u>700</u> 753
Miscellaneous Construction Fluids (solvents, etc.)	Cleaning/lubricating hardware, etc.	1, <u>100</u> 088

Notes:

Diesel and gasoline volumes developed through California Emissions Estimator Model® (CalEEMod) Lubricants/hydraulic fluids consumption assumed at approximately 5 percent of non-aviation fuel consumption.

Miscellaneous construction fluid volumes assumed at <u>approximately</u> 5 percent of Lubricants/Hydraulic Fluids volume.

Table 3.6-1. Construction Equipment and Workforce

V	Vork Activity			Activity Production						
Primary Equipment Description	Estimated Equipment Horse-Power	Probable Fuel Type	Primary Equipment Quantity	Estimated Workforc e	Estimated Schedule (Days)	Duration of Use (Hrs/Day)	Estimated Production Per Day			
Survey				4	Duration Of Project		•			
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	300	Diesel	2		Duration of Project	10	N/A			
Staging Areas				5	Duration Of Project					
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	300	Diesel	4			4				
R/T Forklift	350	Diesel	4			5				
Boom/Crane Truck	350	Diesel	4		Duration of Project	5	N/A			
Generator	45	Diesel	4		Duration of Froject	10	IV/A			
Water Truck	300	Diesel	8			10				
Truck, Semi-Tractor	500	Diesel	4			6				
Road Work				6	84		84 Miles			
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	300	Diesel	2		84	5				
Backhoe/Front Loader	350	Diesel	1		84	7				
Track Type Dozer	350	Diesel	1		84	7				
Motor Grader	350	Diesel	1		84	5	1 mile/day			
Water Truck	300	Diesel	2		84	10	1 IIIIe/day			
Drum Type Compactor	250	Diesel	1		84	5				
Excavator	300	Diesel	1		42	7				
Lowboy Truck/Trailer	500	Diesel	1		42	4				
Install TSP Foundations				5	238		119 TSPs			
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	275	Gas	2		238	5				
Boom/Crane Truck	350	Diesel	1		238	7				
Backhoe/Front Loader	200	Diesel	1		238	10	0.5 TSP			
Auger Truck	500	Diesel	1		179	10				
Water Truck	350	Diesel	1		238	10				

Dump Truck	350	Diesel	1		238	10	
Concrete Mixer Truck	425	Diesel	2		179	6	
TSP Haul				5	30		119 TSPs
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	275	Gas	2		30	8	
Boom/Crane Truck	350	Diesel	1		30	8	4 TSPs
Flat Bed Pole Truck	400	Diesel	2		30	10	4 15Ps
Water Truck	350	Diesel	1		30	10	
TSP Assembly				5	119		119 TSPs
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	275	Gas	2		119	6	
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	300	Diesel	2		119	6	
Water Truck	350	Diesel	1		119	10	1 TSP
Compressor Trailer	60	Diesel	1		119	6	
Boom/Crane Truck	350	Diesel	1		119	7	
TSP Erection				5	119		119 TSPs
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	275	Gas Diesel	1		119	6	
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	300	Diesel	1		119	6	
Water Truck	350	Diesel	1		119	10	
Compressor Trailer	60	Diesel	1		119	6	1 TSP
R/T Crane	350	Diesel	1		119	7	1 151
Jet A Fuel Truck	300	Diesel	1		12	4	
Helicopter Support Truck	300	Diesel	1		12	6	
Heavy-duty Helicopter		Jet A	1		12	1	
Install TSP H-frame Foundation	ons			5	8		4 TSP H-frames
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	275	Gas	2		8	5	
Boom/Crane Truck	350	Diesel	1		8	7	
Backhoe/Front Loader	200	Diesel	1		8	10	
Auger Truck	500	Diesel	1		6	10	0.5 TSP
Water Truck	350	Diesel	1		8	10	
Dump Truck	350	Diesel	1		8	10	
Concrete Mixer Truck	425	Diesel	2		6	6	
TSP H-frame Haul				5	4		4 TSP H-frames
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	275	Gas	2		4	8	
				<u> </u>			
Boom/Crane Truck	350	Diesel	1		4	8	1 TSP H-frame
Flat Bed Pole Truck	400	Diesel	2	_	4	10	
Water Truck	350	Diesel	1	_	4	10	
TSP H-frame Assembly	T.	1		5	8		4 TSP H-frames
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	275	Gas	2		8	6	
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	300	Diesel	2		8	6	
Water Truck	350	Diesel	1	ļ — -	8	10	0.5 TSP H-frame
Compressor Trailer	60	Diesel	1	_	8	6	
Boom/Crane Truck	350	Diesel	1	_	8	7	. =
TSP H-frame Erection	T	1 - 1		5	8	-	4 TSP H-frames
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	275	Gas	1	_	8	6	
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	300	Diesel	1	-	8	6	
Water Truck	350	Diesel	1	↓	8	10	
Compressor Trailer	60	Diesel	1	↓	8	6	0.5 TSP H-frame
R/T Crane	350	Diesel	1	1 — F	8	7	
Jet A Fuel Truck	300	Diesel	1	-	1	4	
Helicopter Support Truck	300	Diesel	1	↓	1	6	
Heavy-duty Helicopter		Jet A	1		1 27	1	1455
Existing Pole Removal	200	D: 1		5	37	10	145 Poles
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	300	Diesel	2	↓	37	10	
Compressor Trailer	60	Diesel	1	↓	37	5	4 Poles
Manlift/Bucket Truck	250	Diesel	1	┤	37	8	
Boom/Crane Truck	350	Diesel	1		37	8	

Flat Bed Pole Truck	400	Diesel	1		37	10	
Water Truck	300	Diesel	1		37	10	
Existing Lattice Structure/TSP	Removal			5	802		401 TSPs/Lattice Structures
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	300	Diesel	2		802	10	
Compressor Trailer	60	Diesel	1		802	5	
Manlift/Bucket Truck	250	Diesel	1		802	8	
Backhoe/Front Loader	125	Diesel	2		802	10	
Boom/Crane Truck	350	Diesel	1		802	8	
Flat Bed Pole Truck	400	Diesel	1		802	10	
Water Truck	300	Diesel	1		802	10	0.5 TSPs or Lattice Steel
Jet A Fuel Truck	300	Diesel	1		80	4	Structures
Helicopter Support Truck	300	Diesel	1		80	6	
Medium-duty Helicopter		Jet A	1		80	<u>4</u> 6	
Dump Truck	350	Diesel	1		802	10	
Excavator	250	Diesel	1		802	10	
R/T Crane (M)	215	Diesel	1		802	5	
R/T Crane (L)	300	Diesel	1		802	7	
LWS Pole Haul		T		5	85		338 LWS Poles
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	275	Gas	1		85	10	
Water Truck	300	Diesel	1		85	10	4 Poles
Boom/Crane Truck	350	Diesel	1		85	8	l Toles
Flat Bed Pole Truck	400	Diesel	1	_	85	10	
LWS Pole Assembly		T		5	85		338 LWS Poles
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	275	Gas	2	<u> </u>	85	6	
Compressor Trailer	60	Diesel	1	1	85	6	
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	300	Diesel	2	ļ —	85	10	4 Poles
Water Truck	350	Diesel	1	1	85	10	
Boom/Crane Truck	350	Diesel	1	_	85	8	220 7 7770 77 7
Install LWS Pole	200	T 5: 1		5	85	_	338 LWS Poles
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	300	Diesel	1	-	85	6	
Manlift/Bucket Truck	350	Diesel	1	-	85	10	
Boom/Crane Truck	350	Diesel	1	-	85	7	
Auger Truck	210	Diesel	1	-	85	8	
Water Truck	300	Diesel	1		85	10	4 Poles
Backhoe/Frontloader	125	Diesel	1	-	85	10	
Extendable Flat Bed Pole Truck	400	Diesel	1	-	85 9	6	
Jet A Fuel Truck	300	Diesel	1	-	`	4	
Helicopter Support Truck	300	Diesel	<u> </u>	1	9	6	
Medium-duty Helicopter		Jet A	1	5	9	46	11 II from 22
LWS H-frame Haul	275	Gas	1	5	6	10	11 H-frames
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4 Water Truck	300	Diesel	0.5	1	6 6	10	-
Boom/Crane Truck	350	Diesel	1		6	8	2 H-frames
Flat Bed Pole Truck	400	Diesel	1	1	6	10	
LWS H-frame Assembly	400	Diesei	1	5	6	10	11 H-frames
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	27.5		2	3	6	6	11 H-Hames
13/4-1011 11uck, 4x4					()	1 0	
	275	Gas					
Compressor Trailer	60	Diesel	1		6	6	2 H frames
Compressor Trailer 1-Ton Truck, 4x4	60 300	Diesel Diesel		_	6 6	6 10	2 H-frames
Compressor Trailer 1-Ton Truck, 4x4 Water Truck	60 300 350	Diesel Diesel Diesel	1	_	6 6 6	6 10 10	2 H-frames
Compressor Trailer 1-Ton Truck, 4x4 Water Truck Boom/Crane Truck	60 300	Diesel Diesel	1		6 6 6	6 10	
Compressor Trailer 1-Ton Truck, 4x4 Water Truck Boom/Crane Truck Install LWS H-frame	60 300 350 350	Diesel Diesel Diesel Diesel	1	5	6 6 6 6	6 10 10 8	2 H-frames
Compressor Trailer 1-Ton Truck, 4x4 Water Truck Boom/Crane Truck Install LWS H-frame 1-Ton Truck, 4x4	60 300 350 350 350	Diesel Diesel Diesel Diesel Diesel	1	5	6 6 6 6 6	6 10 10 8 8	
Compressor Trailer 1-Ton Truck, 4x4 Water Truck Boom/Crane Truck Install LWS H-frame 1-Ton Truck, 4x4 Manlift/Bucket Truck	60 300 350 350 350 300 350	Diesel Diesel Diesel Diesel Diesel Diesel	1	5	6 6 6 6 6 6	6 10 10 8 8	11 H-frames
Compressor Trailer 1-Ton Truck, 4x4 Water Truck Boom/Crane Truck Install LWS H-frame 1-Ton Truck, 4x4 Manlift/Bucket Truck Boom/Crane Truck	300 350 350 350 350 350 350	Diesel Diesel Diesel Diesel Diesel Diesel Diesel	1	5	6 6 6 6 6 6	6 10 10 8 8 6 10 7	
Compressor Trailer 1-Ton Truck, 4x4 Water Truck Boom/Crane Truck Install LWS H-frame 1-Ton Truck, 4x4 Manlift/Bucket Truck	60 300 350 350 350 300 350	Diesel Diesel Diesel Diesel Diesel Diesel	1	5	6 6 6 6 6 6	6 10 10 8 8	11 H-frames

D - 11 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 1 - 1 - 7	105	D' 1	1	1		10	Г		
Backhoe/Frontloader	125	Diesel	1	-	6	10			
Extendable Flat Bed Pole Truck	400	Diesel	<u> </u>	20	6	6	CET Process Miles		
Install/Remove Conductor/OPGW/OHGW 3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4 275 Gas 1					217	10	65 Linear Miles		
	300		2	┤	217	10 10			
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	250	Diesel	1	-	217 217	10			
Manlift/Bucket Truck Boom/Crane Truck	350	Diesel	1	-	217	10			
	350	Diesel Diesel	1	1	143	10			
Dump Truck Wire Truck/Trailer	350	Diesel	2	1	109	10			
Sock Line Puller	300	Diesel	1	1	55	10			
Bull Wheel Puller	350	Diesel	1	-	109	10			
Hydraulic Rewind Puller	350	Diesel	1	-	217	10			
Static Truck/ Tensioner	350	Diesel	1	-	217	10	0.3 Miles/day		
Backhoe/Front Loader	125	Diesel	1		55	8	U.3 Miles/day		
Truck, Semi-Tractor	400	Diesel	2		217	10			
Lowboy Truck/Trailer	450	Diesel	2		217	10			
Water Truck	300	Diesel	1		217	10			
Jet A Fuel Truck	300	Diesel	1		109	4			
Helicopter Support Truck	300	Diesel	1		109	7			
Light Helicopter	300	Jet A	1		109	5 7			
Conductor Splicing Rig	350	Diesel	1		55	10			
Fiber Splicing Lab	300	Diesel	1		55	10			
Install/Remove Guard Structur		Diesei	1	5	39	10	194 Structures		
3/4-Ton Truck, 4x4	275	Gas	2	3	39	8	174 bu uctures		
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	300	Diesel	2	†	39	8	1		
Compressor Trailer	60	Diesel	2	1	39	7			
Backhoe/Front Loader	125	Diesel	1	1	39	10			
Water Truck	300	Diesel	1	1	39	5	5 Structures		
Manlift/Bucket Truck	250	Diesel	1		39	8			
Boom/Crane Truck	350	Diesel	1		39	10			
Auger Truck	500	Diesel	1		39	8			
Extendable Flat Bed Pole Truck	400	Diesel	1	1	39	8			
Telecommunications Underground Infrastructure Installation				6	5		600 Feet		
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	300	Diesel	2	_	5	4	125 Feet/Day		
Backhoe/Front Loader	125	Diesel	1		5	6			
Dump Truck	350	Diesel	2		5	6			
Pipe Truck/Trailer	275	Diesel	1		5	8			
Concrete Mixer Truck	350	Diesel	3		5	2			
Water Truck	300	Diesel	1		5 6	6			
Compressor Trailer	60	Diesel	1		5	4			
Lowboy Truck/Trailer	450	Diesel	1		5	4			
Restoration				7	65		65 Miles		
1-Ton Truck, 4x4	300	Diesel	2]	65	4			
Backhoe/Front Loader	125	Diesel	1]	65	4			
Motor Grader	250	Diesel	1] [65	6	1 Mile		
Water Truck	300	Diesel	1] — [65	8	1 Mile		
Drum Type Compactor	100	Diesel	1]	65	4			
Lowboy Truck/Trailer	450	Diesel	1	1	65	4			