

# MEMORANDUM

TO: Robert Fletcher, San Diego Gas & Electric

FROM: Melissa Busby, Busby Biological Services, Inc.

DATE: January 29, 2015

RE: Response to Data Request #5, Question 5

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) has identified data needs for the proposed San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) Sycamore to Peñasquitos 230 Kilovolt Transmission Line Project (Proposed Project), Application No. 14-04-011. This memorandum provides a response to Data Request #5, Question 5 by providing information pertaining to the two new proposed staging yards that are located within and adjacent to the existing Proposed Project alignment.

Busby Biological Services, Inc. (BBS) conducted general biological surveys (i.e., vegetation mapping and habitat assessments) within the portions of the two new proposed staging yards that were not included in the previous Biological Survey Area (BSA). These surveys included approximately 3.97 acres of the proposed Black Mountain staging yard plus a 50-foot buffer (approximately 1.87 acres) and approximately 25.62 acres of the proposed Evergreen Nursery staging yard plus a 50-foot buffer (approximately 3.87 acres).

This memorandum provides a description of the methods and results used for the general biological surveys at these two new proposed staging yards. This information is intended to supplement the information provided in the Biological Technical Report (BTR) prepared for the Proposed Project (BBS 2014a). For additional information pertaining to the biological resources associated with the Proposed Project, please refer to the BTR.

# METHODS

The methods used for the vegetation mapping and habitat assessments at the two new proposed staging yards are described, below.

# Vegetation Mapping Methods

Vegetation communities and land cover types within the two new proposed staging yards were delineated by hand in the field using color aerial imagery. Surveys included a 50-foot buffer around the potential impact area.



Biologists mapped the vegetation communities and land cover types by walking through the two new proposed staging yards and documented the dominant plant species within each of the vegetation communities and land cover types. After the field mapping was completed, biologists reviewed each map for consistency or errors, and the hand drawn vegetation community and land cover type boundaries were digitized in the office using Geographic Information Systems (GIS) software.

Vegetation community classifications are consistent with, or similar to, Holland (1986) and plant names largely follow Rebman and Simpson (2006), but updated names are included where applicable (Baldwin et. al 2012). Vegetation communities are identified according to the estimated percent cover of the combination of dominant plant species observed. Vegetation community classifications are based on a dominant species comprising approximately 50 percent or more of the total cover within the mapped unit relative to the list of dominant species for a given Holland vegetation community (e.g., grasslands must have approximately 50 percent cover of dominant grassland species to be mapped as that particular community. Mixed communities are identified where species comprising a second vegetation community are present at approximately 35 percent or higher percent cover and intermixed with the dominant vegetation community. When necessary, modifiers are added to certain vegetation classifications to describe a single species that dominates the vegetation class. For example, when a chaparral community is dominated by chamise (*Adenostoma fasciculatum*) rather than the mix of different shrubs, the community is identified as chamise chaparral rather than southern mixed chaparral.

Additionally, certain natural vegetation communities are given a disturbed modifier when they have evidence of disturbance such as clearing, agricultural use, off-road vehicle damage, or illegal trash disposal. These areas are generally characterized by a highly reduced and fragmented vegetative cover and may support a high percentage of nonnative grasses or ruderal species, particularly in the understory. This is notated on the vegetation maps as a "D" placed after the name or acronym of the habitat.

#### Habitat Assessments Methods

In addition to the vegetation mapping, BBS also conducted habitat assessments within the two new proposed staging yards and associated buffers. These habitat assessments were intended to determine if the potential for occurrence of any of the previously discussed special-status species needed to be updated, if additional special-status species needed to be added to the lists of special-status species with a potential to occur within the BSA, and/or if additional focused special-status species surveys may be required to assess potential impacts that are anticipated to occur from implementation of the Proposed Project.

# RESULTS

The results of the vegetation mapping and habitat assessments are described, below.



#### **Vegetation Mapping Results**

Biologists mapped vegetation communities and land cover types in the two new proposed staging yards on Monday, January 26, 2015. A summary of the vegetation communities and land cover types identified within each proposed staging yard and the survey buffer that are outside of the original BSA are presented in Table 1, below.

Veg/Land Cover		N NURSERY G YARD Approx. Acreage Within Buffer		IOUNTAIN G YARD Approx. Acreage Within Buffer	TOTAL
Bare Ground	0.06	0.18			0.24
Coastal Sage Scrub – Revegetated				0.84	0.84
Southern Mixed Chaparral	0.49	0.80			1.29
Eucalyptus Woodland*		0.37			0.37
Developed Lands*	25.05	3.97			29.02
Ornamental*		1.31			1.31
Disturbed Habitat*	0.02	0.19	3.97	1.03	5.21
TOTAL	25.62**	6.82**	3.97**	1.87**	38.51**
	32.44		5.84		30.31

# Table 1. Vegetation Communities and Land Cover Typesat the Two New Proposed Staging Yards

\*This classification does not have a Holland Code. \*\*Total reflects actual total without rounding error.

No additional vegetation communities or land cover types were identified within the two new proposed staging yards that were not already covered in the BTR, and the descriptions of the vegetation communities and land cover types remain consistent with those presented in the BTR (BBS 2014a).

With the addition of the two new proposed staging yards, the BSA is now approximately 1,253.29 acres. This includes the original BSA that is presented in the BTR (BBS 2014a); the staging yards, access roads, Encina Hub, Mira Mesa Hub, and other work areas and their associated survey buffers (i.e., 20 feet for roads and 50 feet for other types of work areas) that were surveyed previously; and the two new proposed staging yards and associated buffer.



Table 2, below, provides a breakdown of this acreage into the 25 different vegetation community and land cover type categories. For a detailed description of each vegetation community, please refer to the BTR that was prepared for the original BSA (BBS 2014).

NCCP Vegetation Community	Holland Vegetation Community/Land Cover Type	Approx. Acreage
	Diegan Coastal Sage Scrub	188.39
Coastal Sage Scrub	Diegan Coastal Sage Scrub – Disturbed	42.39
	Coastal Sage Scrub – Revegetated	64.47
Coastal Sage/Chaparral Mix	Coastal Sage – Chaparral Scrub	11.19
	Chamise Chaparral	79.42
	Chamise Chaparral - Disturbed	5.74
Chaparral	Southern Mixed Chaparral	101.90
Chaparral	Southern Mixed Chaparral – Disturbed	13.63
	Scrub Oak Chaparral	81.54
Grassland	Native Grassland	10.97
Glassialiu	Nonnative Grassland	91.71
Alkali Marsh	Alkali Marsh – Revegetated	0.29
Freshwater Marsh	Freshwater Marsh	0.49
Inland Water	San Diego Mesa Vernal Pool	0.10
	Open Water*	0.92
	Southern Riparian Scrub	1.72
Riparian Scrub	Mulefat Scrub	1.77
Ripanan Gerub	Southern Willow Scrub	3.41
	Tamarisk Scrub	0.40
Coast Live Oak Riparian Forest	Southern Coast Live Oak Riparian Forest	2.86
Eucalyptus Forest	Eucalyptus Woodland*	5.50
Disturbed Habitat	Disturbed Habitat*	61.83
N/A	Developed Lands*	319.88
	Ornamental*	95.35
	Bare Ground*	67.44
	1,253.29**	

Table 2. Vegetation Communities and Land Cover Types within the BSA

\*This classification does not have a Holland Code. \*\*Total reflects actual total without rounding error.



#### Habitat Assessments Results

The habitat assessments conducted in the two new proposed staging yards and the associated buffer areas indicate that additional special-status species surveys may be required to assess potential impacts that are anticipated to occur from implementation of the Proposed Project. Suitable habitat for both special-status plant species and special-status wildlife species was identified during these surveys. However, no additional special-status species that were not covered in the BTR have the potential to occur within the BSA, and the potential for occurrence for all of the special-status species did not change based on the habitat assessments in these areas (BBS 2014a and BBS 2014b).

#### DISCUSSION

No focused special-status species surveys were conducted in the two new proposed staging yards and buffer areas that were surveyed and assessed as part of the general biological surveys described above. Based on the results of the vegetation mapping and habitat assessments conducted in these areas, we anticipate that focused special-status plant species surveys, coastal California gnatcatcher surveys, and burrowing owl surveys will be required. In addition, a focused wetland assessment/delineation should be performed at these locations. These surveys should be conducted in 2015, concurrent with the other surveys planned for the Proposed Project, and the results should be presented in survey summary memorandums/reports for each individual task. No other focused special-status species surveys are anticipated for the Proposed Project.

#### REFERENECES

Baldwin, B. G., D. H. Goldman, D. J. Keil, R. Patterson, T. J. Rosatti, and D. H. Wilken, editors.

2012 *The Jepson manual: vascular plants of California, second edition.* University of California Press, Berkeley.

Busby Biological Services, Inc. (BBS)

- 2014a Biological Technical Report for Sycamore to Peñasquitos 230 Kilovolt Transmission Line Project, City of San Diego, San Diego County, California. March 2014.
- 2014b Special-Status Plant Survey Summary Report for the Proposed San Diego Gas & Electric Company Sycamore to Peñasquitos 230 Kilovolt Transmission Line Project, San Diego County, California. June 2014.

Rebman, J.P. and M.G. Simpson

2006 *Checklist of the Vascular Plants of San Diego County*, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. San Diego. Natural History Museum, San Diego, California.