## Comment Set D.14: Richard and Nancy Bunner



## Scoping Comments

Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project

Date: 8-31-06	200
Name*: Richard & NANCY BUNDER SEP 05 2006	1111
Affiliation ( <i>if any</i> ):*BY:	
Address:* 1111 Lonesome Valley RD	154.
City, State, Zip Code:* LEONA VALLEY, CA 9.3551	
Telephone Number:* <u>661) 270 1055</u>	
Email: * CALIFORNIA P. U. C-	
We Moven to Leona Valley 6 yrs ago	
with our horses so we could have A hetter ) to	
Away from the City. We worked so have to be mble	,
to Move to The peace ful! town. We non't want it	
Changed to the Sounds of humming noices on our	_["""
property Fram the power lives. Horses will not	D.14-2
WALK UNDER THEM, NOT to Mention The Health XIS	D.14-3
We und be exposed to please leave Alternative	5
all of your Decisions. We have our town and on	D.14-4
about our Neighbors	
Sincerely	
Outras Bun 1 MA	
Richards Burner Many Burner	
This is the bounded as AOE	

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by September 18, 2006. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (661) 215-5152 or emailed to antelope-pardee@aspeneg.com.

<sup>\*</sup>Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.

## Response to Comment Set D.14: Richard and Nancy Bunner

- D.14-1 Corona noise, which sounds similar to a humming noise, results in typical sustained noise levels of approximately 34 to 44 dBA at 150 feet, as discussed in the Draft EIR/EIS under Impact N-3 (page C.10-17). While this noise level may be perceptible when located within the immediate vicinity, this noise level would not exceed the Los Angeles County Noise Ordinance and therefore, would not be significant under CEQA/NEPA. As noted in Section C.10.10.2, "Alternative 5 would have the potential to affect a greater number of residences along the ROW compared to the proposed Project or other alternatives due to the fact that Alternative 5 would not traverse the ANF [Angeles National Forest], except for a 0.5-mile segment, where there are few residences, and would instead cross through rural development in both Leona Valley and Agua Dulce, as well as urban development in Santa Clarita (common to the proposed Project and other alternatives)". As such, it has been acknowledged that Alternative 5, and the corona noise levels produced by a new transmission line, would affect a greater number of residences. This information will be provided to the decision-makers to help them make an informed decision with respect to the proposed Project and all the alternatives.
- D.14-2 Please see the response to Comment B.15-5 regarding impacts to domesticated livestock. Based on the research presented in "Transmission Line Studies Priest Rapids Project FERC No. 2114 Final Report", while specific individual horses may appear to be influenced by transmission lines, the consensus is that the behavior of the majority of livestock is not impacted by transmission lines.
- D.14-3 Please see General Response GR-3 regarding potential health hazards associated with EMF exposure.
- D.14-4 Your comment will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.