

## Comment Set C.133: John and Barbara Stegman

----- Forwarded by Marian Kadota/R5/USDAFS on 10/10/2006 07:36 AM -----

----- Forwarded by Jody Noiron/R5/USDAFS on 10/09/2006 08:25 AM -----

Stegmanroofing@cs.com

10/02/2006 05:02PM

To

jmh@cpuc.ca.gov, jbx@cpuc.ca.gov, jnoiron@fs.fed.us

Cc

Subject

Leona Valley, California - Electrical Transmission Lines - Alternate 5 option

I would like to express my opposition running of electrical transmission lines in Leona Valley, California by the CPUC, The ANF and Edison. This shows a lack of respect for the people of Leona Valley, in order to fatten the pockets of land developers in the Santa Clarita Valley and Edison. Leona Valley is a beautiful, quaint community where the people enjoy the outdoors and live much like Mayberry where everyone knows everyone, and still wave to their neighbors. The people here want the area to remain beautiful, unmarred by the overdevelopment of housing, traffic and the horrible increase of crime that comes with development. That is why they moved to Leona Valley. The Alternate 5 option also jeopardized the health of the people and animals in Leona Valley Community. It is proven that there is an increase in cancer and leukemia in people living near electrical transmission lines. The people of Leona Valley are taxpaying professional citizens (firemen, deputies, contractors, attorneys), with the right to live in an area unmarred by the appearance of power transmission lines. The people of Leona Valley were in their homes before the tract homes going in in Santa Clarita were built. If Santa Clarita has trouble getting enough power maybe they should stop building. Developers should not be allowed to line their pockets with the sale of homes in Santa Clarita, and at the same time destroy the beauty of Leona Valley (or any other area), reduce property values in Leona Valley, jeopardize the health of people in Leona Valley and harm the wildlife that resides in Leona Valley. Sometimes the big money isn't always better. If we don't hold on to some things that are beautiful, there won't be anything beautiful left to see.

C.133-1

C.133-2

C.133-3

John and Barbara Stegman

## Response to Comment Set C.133: John and Barbara Stegman

- C.133-1 Thank you for submitting your opinion on Alternative 5.
- C.133-2 Please see General Response GR-3 regarding EMF.
- C.133-3 Thank you for submitting your comments and opinions on Alternative 5. These will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC. Please note that the project's intended purpose is to deliver power from current and future renewable power sources in the Antelope Valley and Tehachapi areas to SCE's high electrical demand areas. Based on the California Independent System Operator (CAISO), power will initially go to the Antelope Valley from Santa Clarita.