

Comment Set C.75: Roger Williams

From: Roger Williams [mailto:rwilliams4@earthlink.net]
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2006 12:45 PM
To: antelope-pardee@aspeneg.com
Subject: Alternative 5 Antelope-Pardee Sierra Pelona Re-Route

Dear Mr. Boccio and Ms. Kadota:

I am extremely opposed to the proposed Alternative 5 Antelope-Pardee Sierra Pelona Re-Route based on the following:

1. The proposed 500kV transmission line project would compromise air quality in the surrounding area, affecting both humans and wildlife; | C.75-1
 2. It would also result in production of 4,506 tons of waste that would have to be disposed of and is likely to result in contamination of the surrounding area by potential contaminants; | C.75-2
 3. It has the potential to damage or interfere with water sources in Leona Valley as construction and destruction associated with the project will likely alter the topography, affecting runoff; | C.75-3
 4. It increases the potential for fire hazard in the surrounding area and will interfere with disaster and emergency prevention/preparedness as fires in this area are most effectively fought with water dropping helicopters, the flight patterns of which would be interrupted; | C.75-4
 5. It would result in the devaluation of my property in conjunction with the devaluation of surrounding properties due to the loss of the viewscape; | C.75-5
 6. It would result in increased noise pollution; | C.75-6
 7. It would result in the displacement of a significant number of families in this area and has the potential to cause the closure of the local elementary school which many children attend. This would result in increased wear on many vehicles and greater fuel costs. | C.75-7
 8. The increased fire risk would result in an increase in my fire insurance premiums; | C.75-8
 9. The deterioration of my neighborhood would result in a loss of quality of life and perhaps cause me to move which would in turn... | C.75-9
 10. Result in an increase in my property taxes should housing values reflect the current market conditions; |
 11. The location of the towers (along the San Andreas Fault, incidentally) creates an increased risk of damage to the towers which would create a greater fire risk; | C.75-10
 12. Concern for the health and safety of my family due to: | C.75-11
 - a. increased exposure to EMFs associated with childhood leukemia and increased incidence of spontaneous abortions. |
 - b. increased traffic/large construction and demolition vehicles traversing roads between my home and the local school. | C.75-12
- In addition to the above, I take issue with the methods that were employed in scheduling and conduction the "scoping meetings" in my area. The meetings were held in Lancaster and Santa Clarita; areas that are | C.75-13

not affected to the same degree as Leona Valley and /or are most impacted by this proposal may participate in the process as is madated by the CEQA (California Environmental Quality Act).

C.75-13
(cont.)

Sincerely,

Roger L. Williams

Response to Comment Set C.75: Roger Williams

Comments C.75-1 through C.75-13 are the same as comments previously submitted by an earlier commenter (see responses to Comments C.9-1 thru C.9-13).