Appendix 7. Farmland Conversion Impact Rating (Form AD 1006)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Natural Resources Conservation Service

NRCS-CPA-106 (Rev. 1-91)

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)		3. Date of Land Evaluation Request 3/7/06 Sheet 1 of								
1. Name of Project Antelope-Pardee 500 kV Transmission Line		5. Federal Agency Involved USDA Forest Service, Angeles National Forest								
2. Type of Project transmission line corridor right-of-way			6. County and State Los Angeles County, California							
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)		Date Request Received by NRCS			2. Person Completing Form					
Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the FPPA does not apply "Do not complete additional parts of this form			YES NO			4. Acres Irrigated Average Farm Size				
2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Govern	ment Jurisdiction		7. Amour	t of Farmland As De	fined in FPPA			
	cres:		%		Acres: %					
8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used (9. No	ame of Local Site	e Asses	ssment System		10. Date	Land Evaluation Re	urned by NRCS			
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)			Alternative Proposed	e Corrid		AH. AA	Alt.2B			
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly		$\neg \neg$	70.2		٠ - 2	72.3	71.0			
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services			188.4	25/		384.0	377.5			
C. Total Acres In Corridor			558.6		8.6	582.6	556.4			
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation in	formation									
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland										
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland										
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To B	e Converted									
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or H		/alue								
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information	on Criterion Rel	afive								
value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 1			1.							
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR (imum ints								
1. Area in Nonurban Use	1:	5								
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use	10	0								
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed		0								
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government		0								
Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average		0								
Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland		5								
7. Availablility Of Farm Support Services		5								
8. On-Farm Investments		<u> </u>								
Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services		5								
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use		<u> </u>								
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS		50	0	0		0	0			
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)										
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		ю								
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)		50	0	0		0	0			
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		50	0	0		0	0			
Corridor Selected: Corridor Selected: Corridor Selected: Corridor Selected: Corridor Selected: Corridor Selected:	to be 3. Da	te Of S	election:	4. Was	Local Sit	e Assessment Used	?			
Converted by Project:						YES NO				
5. Reason For Selection:										
Signature of Person Completing this Part:			DATE							
NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more	than one Alte	amata	Corridor							

(Rev. 1-91)

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)		3. Date of Land Evaluation Request 3/7/06 Sheet 1 of								
1. Name of Project Antelope-Pardee 500 kV Transmission Line		S Federal Association I and a second								
2. Type of Project transmission line corridor right-of-way		6. County and State Los Angeles County, California								
PART II (To be completed by MRCS)			Request Received by			n Completing Form	l			
Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmlan (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this for		VESTI NOTE			4. Acres Irrigated Average Farm Size					
5. Major Crop(s)	6. Farmable La	ind in Govern	nment Jurisdiction		7. Amoun	t of Farmland As D	efined in FPPA			
8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used	Acres:	nal Cita Anga	% Il Site Assessment System			Acres: %				
o. Name of Land Evaluation System Used	ai Sile Asse	ssment System		10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS						
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)			Alternation AH. 3	ve Corrid	ridor For Segment					
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly			70.2	1 72	5.5° T	83.1	†			
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive S	Services		188.4	19:		483.3				
C. Total Acres In Corridor			558.6	56		803.1				
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluati	ion Informatio	n								
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland										
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland										
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit										
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same				<u> </u>			<u></u>			
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Info value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of										
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c))		Maximum Points								
1. Area in Nonurban Use		15					 			
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use		10								
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed		20								
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government	i i	20								
5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average		10								
Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland		25								
7. Availability Of Farm Support Services		5								
8. On-Farm Investments		20								
Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services		25		ļ						
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS		160					 			
		100	0	0		0	0			
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)							 			
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		100								
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)		160	0	0		0	0			
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260	0	0		0	0			
Corridor Selected: Converted by Proje		3. Date Of S	election:	4. Was	A Local Site	e Assessment Use	d?			
		YES				□ NO □				
5. Reason For Selection:										
Signature of Person Completing this Part:		DATE								
NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with n	nore than one	Alternate	Corridor							