

Spen Environmental Group

PROJECT MEMORANDUMPG&E - TRI-VALLEY 2002 CAPACITY INCREASE PROJECTTo:Jensen Uchida, CPUCFrom:Vida Strong, Aspen Project ManagerDate:December 21, 2005Subject:Weekly Report #89: December 11, 2005 – December 17, 2005CPUC Environmental Monitor (EM): Anne Sweet

Construction of the PG&E Tri-Valley Project includes the Phase Three portion of the project, including construction of the overhead transmission line, underground alignment, North Dublin Substation, and Transition Station; all roadway and vault pad grading; and preparation of the 0.33-acre and 0.94-acre mitigation areas. Opus Environmental is providing the Environmental Inspectors for PG&E (PG&E EIs). Opus is providing environmental, as well as biological monitoring and oversight, including conducting environmental training of all new crew personnel. Road improvement and installation was conducted by Granite Construction. The tower work was conducted by PG&E. The underground construction was engineered by Wilson Construction and is being completed by Ranger Construction. The substation work is being engineered by Black and Veatch who has subcontracted earthwork to Granite Construction. Ranger has contracted with North Valley Construction to ensure that adequate erosion and sediment controls are installed and maintained.

Summary of Phase Three Activity:

Weather stayed clear during most of the subject week; however, heavy rains began on Saturday, December 17. The PG&E EIs conducted environmental trainings as new crew members joined the project.

Most recently, a large focus on the site visits by the CPUC EMs has been compliance with the project permit seasonal work requirements, the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), and the installation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) on the project.

The CPUC EM monitored construction on December 14, 15, and 16.

At the Transition Station crews completed installation of the ground grid within trenches which were then backfilled. Erosion control installation and maintenance continued. Crews erected the frame structure during the subject week (see Figure 1). Granite Construction has already completed paving Road 6 and Moller Road. Granite continued to work on a punch-list of outstanding repair and maintenance items. On December 15, Granite was on-site to conduct maintenance work on a culvert on Road 6 (see Figure 2).

The project Biological Opinion (BO) conditions and requirements, resulting from continued correspondence with USFWS, direct that biologists escort crews within and at some locations beyond 200 feet from known and potential California red legged frog (CRLF) and California tiger salamander (CTS) habitat now that work has continued past October 31st and due to the seasonal weather conditions. During the subject week, the escort system was working smoothly with all of the contractors on-site.

During a tour of the Mitigation Site on December 14, The CPUC EM discovered that monofilament erosion control matting had been installed along/adjacent to the Tassajara Creek tributary which runs through the Mitigation Site (see Figure 3 and 4). The USFWS BO disallows use of such matting. This type of matting has already been mistakenly installed and subsequently removed elsewhere in the project and noted as a compliance problem. The CPUC EM expressed concerns regarding the matting installation to the PG&E/Opus

EI and he decided to take it out himself in the presence of the CPUC EM (see Figure 5). PG&E was contacted and the CPUC EM was informed that PG&E was aware of the issue and that the matting had been installed the day prior on Tuesday, December 13, under oversight by Jones and Stokes. PG&E had informed the contractor that the matting had to be removed and plans were set to remove the matting on Thursday, December 15. A Non-Compliance Report (NCR) was issued by Aspen/CPUC on December 15. Information was requested from PG&E as to why the CPUC was not informed of the flawed installation. In addition, information was requested to explain why the matting removal was planned for two days after the discovery and not immediately. PG&E responded on December 16, that at the time of the discovery on December 13 it was one half hour before sunset and there was not enough time to conduct the removal and exit the site given the existing work hour regulations. The crew which installed the matting was previously scheduled to return on December 15, so the removal was planned for that time.

During the subject week, at the North Dublin Substation, concrete forms were constructed for the foundation piers. Work continued on the construction of the SPCC pond.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE:

An NCR was issued by Aspen/CPUC on December 15. On December 14, The CPUC EM discovered that monofilament erosion control matting had been installed along/adjacent to the Tassajara Creek tributary which runs through the Mitigation Site. The USFWS BO disallows use of such matting. This type of matting has already been mistakenly installed and subsequently removed elsewhere in the project and noted as a compliance problem. The PG&E/Opus EI took out the installation in the presence of the CPUC EM. PG&E was contacted and the CPUC EM was informed that PG&E was aware of the issue and that the matting had been installed the day prior on Tuesday December 13. PG&E had informed the contractor that the matting had to be removed and plans were set to remove the matting on Thursday December 15.

The CPUC EM observed that all other Phase Three construction activities were in compliance with mitigation measures adopted in the EIR and other permit requirements.

Seven NCRs and five Project Memoranda have been issued for the Phase Three portion of the project to date (see Table 1).

		(0)000000000000000000000000000000000000		
Project Memo or NCR	Date Issued	Description	Follow-Up Activities	
Phase Three				
Project Memorandum	7/20/05	Crews have installed exclusion fencing as well as sediment fencing in areas with potential for spoils to slide in to sensitive areas. Numerous gaps were left in the fencing to allow moving cows. However, no exclusion signs have been installed in the gaps after repeated requests. In addition, the CTS exclusion zone was toured and no sensitive resource or exclusion signs to notify crews of the resource have been installed. Notifications were made to the PG&E EI. On July 14, an operator was not aware of the 500-foot CTS exclusion zone and a 400-foot by 20-foot area was scraped within the zone coming with in 100 feet of the CTS burrow. The site Foreman when he realized what was occurring immediately stopped the operator. Opus notified Mary Hammer of the USFWS in an e-mail.		
NCR	7/26/05	A drainage off Manning Road was bridged by steel plates and the area extending upslope from the bridge had been graded up to and possibly within the drainage without an approved CDFG Streambed Alteration Agreement.	CDFG notification required	
NCR	7/26/05	Construction at Pole location 9, 10, 11, and 12 and use of associated access roads were started prior to the CPUC EM verifying that proper flagging and exclusion fencing had been installed as required by Project mitigation measures. Directly upslope of a CTS/CRLF breeding pond burrow clusters were not fenced off and the site was left unmonitored though construction was occurring within 200 feet of the pond. Crews were using new routes which were not previously surveyed or approved.	PG&E must properly flag and fence the work and access areas, and provide maps and survey results. Burrow clusters must be fenced for exclusion.	
NCR	7/29/05	Crews graded the other side of the drainage referred to in an NCR issued 7/26/05. Note that a CDFG Streambed Alteration Agreement has not been issued for the site.	CDFG notification required	
Project Memorandum	8/21/05	Crews placed a dumpster outside of the project area and did not move it for three days.	Dumpster was removed 8/19/05	
Project Memorandum	11/1/05	During the tour of Moller road on November 1, the CPUC EM noted that a spoil pile located adjacent to Tassajara Creek lacked adequate protection. PG&E had been notified of the problem twice previously.	November 2, wattles had been installed around the spoils pile and silt fencing extended to further protect the creek.	
NCR	11/2/05	During the field tour on November 2, 2005, the CPUC EM documented several related compliance problems regarding the lack of appropriate resource erosion protection as well as work within resource buffers outside of the appropriate time frames established in project agency permits. On November 2, at the Cayetano Creek crossing ground dis- turbing activity had occurred within the 30-foot buffer estab- lished around potential California red-legged frog and Cali- fornia tiger salamander habitat which is prohibited after October 31, as outlined in the project BO. At another area where the Ranger Construction crossed Caye- tano Creek, just off of Road 5 the CPUC EM noted a lack of erosion protection. PG&E had been notified of the lack previously. At the Tassajara Creek bank stabilization area, the upslope erosion cloth installation as outlined in the USFWS Biological Opinion (BO) had not occurred by October 31 which is the deadline for the bank stabilization work in both the USFWS BO and the CDFG Streambed Alteration Agreement. PG&E had been repeatedly informed of the necessary erosion protec- tion requirements as well as work deadlines.	PG&E EI was forthright that he unintentionally overlooked the BO buffer requirement. Opus took quick action and notified the USFWS of the work within the potential habitat buffer. Adequate erosion controls were installed by 11/4/05 Opus Environmental notified CDFG that the installation had not met the required deadline. The installation was completed 11/4/04.	

TABLE 1 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE STATUS (Updated 12-21-05)

Project Memo	Date			
or NCR	Issued	Description	Follow-Up Activities	
PHASE THREE				
Project Memorandum	11/4/05	5 During the site tour of Road 5 on November 4, the CPUC EM witnessed that at the end of the work day, although the road had been swept 20 minutes earlier by an approved biologist, the Granite crew proceeded to exit the site via Road 5 without being walked out. The CPUC EM was informed that PG&E informed Granite that they could proceed because the road had just been swept. Project Memo documented that vehicles shall be walked through areas as outlined by the BO and given the verbal recommendations set forth by USFWS.		
NCR	11/10/05	The Project Biological Opinion conditions and requirements resulting from continued correspondence with USFWS direct that biologist escorts are needed within and at some locations beyond 200 feet from known and potential CRLF and CTS habitat past October 31 and November 9, a Granite truck entered Road 5 unescorted and that several Granite trucks had left the Substation site on Moller Road which also lies within 200 feet of sensitive habitat, unescorted. November 10, when the Opus EM arrived on-site at 6:15 am a Granite oper- ator had already entered the site via Road 5 unescorted. Please note that Opus has repeatedly notified Granite of the necessity for escorts through sensitive areas and has docu- mented the unescorted vehicle movement as non-compliance issues.	Large signs have been posted along the road side in plain view directing all project vehicles and equipment to stop and wait for an escort. In addition radios were placed at the signs to enable con- tractors to call for an escort. PG&E representatives stayed at the Road 5 entrance and along Moller Road to ensure that all project personnel stopped and waited for biol- ogist escorts.	
NCR	11/15/05	Opus informed the CPUC EM that on the evening of Novem- ber 14 and on November 15 two Granite employees decided to drive along Moller without the required escort. These mark repeated documented incidents of Granite personnel and/or Granite subcontractors traveling without the required escort.	Granite construction was 11/17 and 11/18. A meeting was held between 11/17. An additional training will be held. The two Granite personnel have been dis- missed. PG&E has installed gates with locks and a monitor has been placed at the gate with sign-in sheets.	
Project Memorandum	11/29/05	Upon inspection of the Mitigation Site Access Road the CPUC EM noted that the installed erosion controls were in serious need of maintenance and repair. Rain was occurring and was forecasted to continue for the next four days. The CPUC EM notified the site EI about the problem. Upon returning to the location on the next day the CPUC EM documented that no repairs had been made. In a different area along Road 7, a build up of sediment has occurred around erosion controls near the Vault installation, and maintenance is needed. In addition to the above issues, culverts installed on Road 6 looked as though they were colleting materials and showed potential to be clogged. Under this Memo, information is requested from PG&E regarding the effectiveness of the installation, how they plan to clear the materials, and how further build up will be prevented .	Repairs to the MSA Road erosion controls had been made following issuing the memo.	

Project Memo Date or NCR Issued Description PHASE THREE		Follow-Up Activities	
NCR	12/15/05	December 14, The CPUC EM discovered that monofilament erosion control matting had been installed along/adjacent to the Tassajara Creek tributary which runs through the Mitigation Site. The USFWS BO disallows use of such matting. The PG&E EI decided to take the installation in the presence of the CPUC EM. PG&E was contacted and the CPUC EM was informed that PG&E was aware of the issue and that the mat- ting had been installed the day prior on Tuesday, December 13. PG&E had informed the contractor that the matting had to be removed and plans were set to remove the matting on Thurs- day, December 15. Within the NCR, information was requested from PG&E as to why the CPUC was not informed of the flawed installation. In addition, NCR information was requested to explain why was the matting removal was planned for two days after the discovery and not immediately.	PG&E responded on Decem- ber 15, that at the time of the initial discovery on Decem- ber 13, it was one half hour before sunset and there was not enough time to conduct the removal and exit the site given the existing work hour regulations. The crew which installed the matting was pre- viously scheduled to return on December 16, so the removal was planned for that time.

NOTICES TO PROCEED (NTP):

Table 2 presents the NTPs issued by the CPUC for the Tri-Valley Project to date. No additional NTPs are anticipated.

	Date	
NTP #	Issued	Description
#1	September 12, 2002	Phase One: Construction on of six different sections of the underground portion of the Vineyard Segment, within the City of Pleasanton, City of Livermore, and unincorporated Alameda County.
#2	October 10, 2002	Phase One: Construction of six additional sections of the underground portion of the Vineyard Segment, within the Cities of Pleasanton, Livermore, and unincorporated Alameda County
#3	December 12, 2002	Phase One: Construct the final sections of the Phase One portion Tri-Valley 2002 Capacity Increase Project, within the City of Pleasanton.
#4	May 5, 2003	Phase Two: Construction of the new 5-acre Cayetano Substation located at the intersection of North Livermore Avenue and May School Road.
#5	July 14, 2003	Phase Two: Construction of 2.3 miles of underground transmission line installation extending from the Cayetano Substation to the North Liver- more Transition Station to be constructed at the Contra Costa–Newark Transmission Line Corridor
UAD NTP		Phase Two: Allow construction within the exclusion boundary of the May School road cultural resource discovery area.
#6	June 29, 2005	Phase Three: Construction of the overhead transmission line, the transition station, all roadway and vault pad grading, and preparation of 0.33-acre mitigation area.
#7	August 4, 2005	Phase Three: Underground construction and preparation of the 0.94-acre mitigation area.
#8	August 18, 2005	Phase Three: North Dublin Substation.

TABLE 2 NOTICES TO PROCEED (Updated 12/21/05)

VARIANCE REQUESTS:

No Variance Requests were submitted for review during the subject week. Table 3 presents the Phase Three Variance Requests reviewed to date.

Variance	Date		<u>.</u>	CPUC Approval
Request #	Submitted	Description PHASE THREE	Status	Date
11	7/7/05	Variance to allow travel through home- stead archaeological site C-Livermore-1H.	Completed	7/8/05
12	7/15/05	Variance to allow the use of staging areas as outlined in road plan drawings along the Phase 3 alignment.	Completed	7/26/05
13	7/29/05	Variance to use three staging areas. Two are located along the Moller Ranch Road. The last is located adjacent to the Cayetano Substation.	Incorporated into NTP #7	
14	8/12/05	Variance to use three access roads, and a laydown area.	Completed	8/19/05
15	8/19/05	Variance to use two laydown areas and one access road near road 6.	Completed	8/26/05
16	9/15/05	Variance for use of a temporary overland access connector route to access two vault installation sites	Completed	9/19/05
17	10/25/05	Variance for installation of guard structures at Collier Canyon Road and grading a work space for a boom truck near the Dublin Substation.	Completed	11/4/05
18	11/3/05	On November 4, 2005, PG&E submitted Variance Request #18 requesting a vari- ance to change the surface treatment of Moller Road from chip seal to asphalt concrete.	Completed	11/8/05
19	11/3/05	Variance Request #19 requesting a vari- ance to resource buffer zones outlined in Applicant Proposed Measures 7.6 and 7.7, deferring to the Project's Agency permit conditions	Completed	11/8/05

TABLE 3 VARIANCE REQUEST STATUS (Updated 12/21/05)

AGENCY PERSONNEL CONTACTS:

On December 13, Mary Hammer, USFWS, authorized Jones and Stokes to install erosion control measures and conduct revegetation work within 30-feet of suitable CRLF and CTS habitat.

On December 16, the CPUC EM notified Mary Hammer, USFWS, Marcia Grefsrud, CDFG, and Brian Wines, RWQCB, of the monofilament matting installation at the Mitigation Site and the resulting NCR.

Photographs



Figure 1 – Crews have installed the frame structure at the Transition Station, December 15, 2005.



Figure 2 – Granite was on-site conducting erosion control maintenance along Road 6 at a culvert, December 15, 2005.



Figure 3 – Monofilament matting installation adjacent to the drainage within the mitigation site, December 14, 2005.



Figure 4 – Close up view of the monofilament matting the Mitigation Area, December 14, 2005. Note the green grid top layer. Hay is sandwiched in between two such layers.



Figure 5 – PG&E EI/Opus removing the monofilament matting installation, December 14, 2005.