ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST

Project Title:	Broadwing Communications Services, Inc. Fiber Optic Expansion Project
Lead Agency Name and Address:	California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102
Contact Person and Phone Number:	Nicolas Procos (415) 703-5289
Project Sponsor's Name and Address:	Broadwing Communications Services, Inc. 1835B Kramer Lane Austin, TX 78758
Contact Person and Phone Number:	Jimmy Smith – Environmental Services Manager (512) 742-1399
Project Route (Circle one):	Northern California Interconnection Projects
	LA to Ontario Longhaul Route
	Ontario to San Diego Longhaul Route
Site Name:	

Site Location:

	Yes	No
AESTHETICS - Would the regenerator/OP-AMP station:		
Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?		
Substantially damage scenic resources along a scenic highway, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings?		
Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?		
Create a new source of substantial light or glare that would adversely affect daytime or nighttime views in the area?		
Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources		
Agency, to non-agricultural use?		
Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use or with a Williamson Act contract?		
Involve other changes in the existing environment that, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use?		

	Yes	No
AIR QUALITY - When available, the significance criteria established by		
the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district		
may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the		
project:		
Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality		
plan?		
Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing		
or projected air quality violation?		
Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase in any criteria pollutant		
for which the project region is a non-attainment area for an applicable		
federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions		
that exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?		
Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?		
Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?		
BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES - Would the project:		
Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat		
modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or		
special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or		
by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife		
Service?		
Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive		
natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, or		
regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S.		
Fish and Wildlife Service?		
Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as		
defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited		
to, marshes, vernal pools, coastal wetlands, etc.) through direct removal,		
filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?		
Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or		
migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or		
migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery		
sites?		
Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological		
resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?		
Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan,		
Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional,		
or state habitat conservation plan?		
CULTURAL RESOURCES - Would the project:		
Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical		
resource as defined in Section 15064.5?		
Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an		
archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?		
Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or		
unique geologic feature?		
Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal		
cemeteries?		

		Yes	No
GEOL	OGY AND SOILS - Would the project:		
Expos	e people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects,		
includ	ing the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:		
1. R	upture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most		
re	ecent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the		
	tate Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of		
	known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special		
Р	ublication 42.		
2. S	trong seismic groundshaking?		
3. S	eismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?		
4. L	andslides?		
Result	in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?		
	ated on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable or that would become		
	le as a result of the project and potentially result in an onsite or		
	landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse?		
Be loc	ated on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform		
Buildi	ng Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?		
Have s	soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or		
alterna	tive wastewater disposal systems in areas where sewers are not		
availal	ble for the disposal of waste water?		
	RDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS - Would the project:		
	a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the		
	e transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?		
	a significant hazard to the public or the environment through		
	ably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the likely		
	e of hazardous materials into the environment?		
	azardous emissions or involve handling hazardous or acutely		
	ous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an		
	g or proposed school?		
	ated on a site that is included on a list of hazardous materials sites		
	led pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result,		
would	it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?		
Be loc	ated within an airport land use plan area or, where such a plan has		
not be	en adopted, be within two miles of a public airport or public use		
airport	, and result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the		
projec	t area?		
Be loc	ated within the vicinity of a private airstrip and result in a safety		
hazard	for people residing or working in the project area?		
Impair	implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted		
	ency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?		
	e people or structures to the risk of loss, injury, or death involving		
	nd fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas		
	re residences are intermixed with wildlands?		
HYDK	COLOGY AND WATER QUALITY - Would the project:		
Violat	e any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?		

	Yes	No
Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with		
groundwater recharge, resulting in a net deficit in aquifer volume or a		
lowering of the local groundwater table level (i.e., the production rate of		
pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level that would not support		
existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?		
Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area,		
including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a		
manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation onsite or		
offsite?		
Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area,		
including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or		
substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that		
would result in flooding onsite or offsite?		
Create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of		
existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial		
additional sources of polluted runoff?		
Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?		
Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area, as mapped on a federal		
Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood		
hazard delineation map?		
Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures that would impede or		
redirect flood flows?		
Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death		
involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?		
Contribute to inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?		
LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:		
Physically divide an established community?		
Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an		
agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to, a		
general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance)		
adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental		
effect?		
Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural		
community conservation plan?		
MINERAL RESOURCES - Would the project:		
Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would		
be of value to the region and the residents of the state?		
Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource		
recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other		
land use plan?		
POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:		
Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (e.g., by		
proposing new homes and business) or indirectly (e.g., through extension		
of roads or other infrastructure)?		

	Yes	No
Displace a substantial number of existing housing units, necessitating the		
construction of replacement housing elsewhere?		
Displace a substantial number of people, necessitating the construction of		
replacement housing elsewhere? PUBLIC SERVICES - Would the project:		
FUBLIC SERVICES - would the project.		
Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the		
provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities or a need		
for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of		
which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain		
acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives		
for any of the following public services: Fire protection?		
-		
Police protection?		
Schools?		
Parks?		
Other public facilities?		
RECREATION - Would the project:		
Increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other		
recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the		
facility would occur or be accelerated?		
Include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of		
recreational facilities that might have an adverse physical effect on the		
environment?		
TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC - Would the project:		
Cause an increase in traffic that is substantial in relation to the existing		
traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial		
increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume-to-capacity ratio		
on roads, or congestion at intersections)?		
Cause, either individually or cumulatively, a level-of-service standard		
established by the county congestion management agency for designated		
roads or highways to be exceeded?		
Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?		
Substantially increase hazards because of a design feature (e.g., sharp		
curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm		
equipment)?		
Result in inadequate emergency access?		
Result in inadequate parking capacity?		
Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative		
transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?		
UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS - Would the project:		
Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional		
Water Quality Control Board?		

	Yes	No
Require, or result in the construction of, new water or wastewater		
treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of		
which could cause significant environmental effects?		
Require, or result in the construction of, new stormwater drainage		
facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which		
could cause significant environmental effects?		
Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing		
entitlements and resources, or would new or expanded entitlements be		
needed?		
Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider that serves		
or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's		
projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?		
Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate		
the project's solid waste disposal needs?		
Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to		
solid waste?		