## SANGER SUBSTATION EXPANSION PROJECT MINOR PROJECT REFINEMENT REQUEST FORM

	MINOR PRO	JECT REFINEME	NT REQUEST FO	RM	
Proposed Minor Projec	t Change Type:	Request #	uest # Determination		
Comments and Condi	tions of Approval	(CRIIC to complet	٥)		
Comments and Condi	lions of Approval	(Croc lo complet	<del>=</del> )		
Part A: Proposed Mino	r Project Change	Summary			
Date Submitted:	Requested	Approval Date:	Start Date:	Expected End Date:	
Submitted by:	Organizatio	on and Title:	Duration and We	ork Hours:	
Location(s): (Describe	applicable locali	on(s), address, and	a/or aimensions)		
Proposed Action(s): (Li	ist and describe e	ach proposed act	ion)		
			•		
Purpose(s): (Explain wh	ny the proposed o	action(s) are neces	sary)		

Part B: Exis	sting Condit	ions					
Current ar	nd Adjacen	t Land Use	(s):				
	wner appro (Describe b		Landowner:	Date	e of Approval:	Approv	al Verified by:
□ Yes	□No	□ N/A					
			orts under Part D, attach a ategory listed in the Part E		, and describe rele	evant sur	vey details
			es associated with the		☐ Previously Surv	/eyed	□ Positive
proposed action(s) surveyed for biological resources with the potential to occur in the area? If so, were survey results positive			☐ Survey Attached ☐ Neg		□ Negative		
timing and	re? Were su d season to able resour	detect reso	pleted during the appropri ources? (If not, describe ur ry in Part E)	ate nder	□ N/A		
Cultural Resources. Were all sites associated with the proposed		☐ Previously Surveyed ☐ Positive		□ Positive			
	action(s) surveyed for cultural resources (records search and pedestrian survey)? If so, were survey results positive or		☐ Survey Attached ☐ Nega		□ Negative		
negative?		30, 11010 30			□ N/A		
Hydrology	. Were all si	tes associa	ted with the proposed		☐ Previously Surveyed		□ Positive
action(s) s	surveyed for	r hydrologic	resources? If so, were sur	vey	☐ Survey Attached ☐ Neg		□ Negative
results pos	itive or neg	ative?			□ N/A		
-							

Part C: Permits, Agency Approvals, and impacts avoidance med (List any new permits or agency approvals under Part D, attach of under the applicable resource category listed in Part E)			etails		
Have all required permits, permit amendments/authorizations,					
or agency approvals been issued by resource agencies with applicable jurisdiction?	☐ Authorization Attached				
	□ N/A				
Would the proposed action(s) conflict with permit conditions or o	agency approvals?	□ Yes	□No		
Would the proposed action(s) conflict with project applicant pro avoidance and minimization measures, or mitigation measures lis		□ Yes	□ No		
Part D: List of Previous Survey Reports and List of Attached Materio agency authorizations, etc.) Provide a list of materials here that will be included as attachments to thi Attachment 2, etc.					

Complete the Final IS/MND Consistency Checklist below (Part E) and answer the consistency questions for each resource category. Include a description and justification below each resource category, as necessary. The consistency questions were developed using the CEQA Checklist provided in the Final IS/MND. Refer to the Final IS/MND for the details on the project impact evaluation.

Part E: Final EIR Consistency Checklist			
Would the proposed action(s) result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact to:	No Change	Potentially Significant Change	N/A
<b>Aesthetics</b> (e.g., damage scenic resources or vistas, degrade the existing visual character of the site and its surroundings, or create sources of light or glare)? <i>Final IS/MND</i> :			
	I	I	I
Agriculture and Forestry Resources (e.g., convert Farmland to nonagricultural use, or create a conflict with existing agricultural zoning or a Williamson Act)?  Final IS/MND:			
<b>Air Quality</b> (e.g. produce additional emissions, or expose sensitive receptors to additional pollutants)? <i>Final IS/MND</i> :			
<b>Biological Resources</b> (e.g., cause an adverse effect to sensitive or special-status species, or impact riparian, wetland, or any other sensitive habitat, or conflict with local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources)?  Final IS/MND:			
Cultural and Paleontological Resources (e.g., cause adverse change to a historical, archeological, or paleontological resource)?  Final IS/MND:			
Geology and Soils (e.g., cause or expose people or structures to geologic or soil hazards, including erosion or loss of topsoil)?  Final IS/MND:			
Greenhouse Gases (e.g., generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?  Final IS/MND:			

Part E: Final EIR Consistency Checklist			
Would the proposed action(s) result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact to:	No Change	Potentially Significant Change	N/A
Hazards and Hazardous Materials (e.g., create or increase the exposure of people or structures to hazardous materials or wildland fires, involve the use of additional hazardous materials or equipment, or interfere with an adopted emergency plan)?  Final IS/MND:			
Hydrology and Water Quality (e.g., degrade water quality, discharge waste or sediment, deplete groundwater, alter the existing drainage pattern, create additional runoff water or polluted runoff, place structures in a 100-year flood hazard area, or expose people or structures to a significant risk involving flooding)? Final IS/MND:			
Land Use (e.g., conflict with a land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project, or conflict with a habitat conservation plan)?  Final IS/MND:			
		•	
<b>Noise</b> (e.g., expose sensitive receptors to additional noise or vibration)?  Final IS/MND:			
<b>Public Services</b> (e.g., result in adverse impacts to government facilities that provide public service, such as fire protection, police protection, schools, and parks)? <i>Final IS/MND</i> :			
<b>Recreation</b> (e.g., increases the use of, or cause adverse effects to, parks or other recreational facilities)? Final IS/MND:			
<b>Transportation and Traffic</b> (e.g., increase traffic congestion or degrade performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation, or increase hazards due to a design feature)?  Final IS/MND:			

No Change	Potentially Significant Change	N/A
	No Change	No Change Significant Change

Proposed Action(s): (List and describe each proposed action)

The existing cement bridge over the canal would be used by crew to walk across to activate the well water pump (Attachment 2). Alternatively, a crew member would access the water pump switch on foot using the existing dirt access road on the north side of the canal. A nylon hose would be attached to the spigot located on the south side of the existing canal and extended 80-100 feet south into the northern end of the approved temporary laydown/staging area. A temporary water tank would be installed in the northern section of the staging/laydown area, and would be filled with the hose. A water truck would then be parked adjacent to the water tank, and would be filled from the water tank using a separate water delivery system. Alternatively, a water truck would be parked at the northern portion of the staging area and would be filled using the nylon hose. In accordance with the IS/MND, the average daily water use from this source would be approximately 1,500 gallons/day or less. The above operations would be timed in coordination with the farmer so as to not disrupt farming operations. Water drawing operations, including hose placement, would be temporary and would not result in any new impacts to resources. In anticipation of a lapse in construction activities, a pre-construction sensitive species survey was performed on 11/13/18 by Chennie Castanon which included a 100 foot buffer on the canal (and therefore included the well-pump and well-pump switch). The recent burrowing owl surveys conducted by Colibri on October 21, 2018 within 30 days of construction start included a 500 foot buffer which captured the well pump and wellpump switch areas within the survey buffer. As such, the MPR-3 areas were surveyed and the burrowing owl report results were submitted to CPUC on 10/24/18, and a new burrowing owl survey will not need to be repeated at this time.



This page is intentionally left blank.









