

April 6, 2023

Louis Torres Project Manager California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Monthly Report Summary #63 for the South Orange County Reliability Enhancement (SOCRE) Project

Dear Mr. Torres:

This report summarizes the compliance monitoring activities that occurred during the period from **January 1 to 31, 2023**, for the South Orange County Reliability Enhancement (SOCRE) Project in Orange County, California. Compliance monitoring was performed twice between January 1 and January 31, 2023, to ensure all project-related activities conducted by San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E) and its contractors were in compliance with the Final Environmental Impact Report (Final EIR) for the SOCRE Project, as adopted by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) on December 15, 2016.

The CPUC has issued the following Notices to Proceed (NTPs) for the SOCRE Project to SDG&E:

- NTP-1 (October 13, 2017): Geotechnical investigation and hazardous materials abatement at the future San Juan Capistrano Substation.
- NTP-2 (December 18, 2017): Conduct site preparation activities and construction staging at the future San Juan Capistrano Substation.
- NTP-2 Addendum 1 (March 23, 2018): Modified alignment of the interior fence separating the upper and lower yards, removal of three de-energized 138-kilovolt (kV) rack structures and associated hazardous materials abatement activities.
- NTP-3 (April 27, 2018): Rebuild and upgrade of the San Juan Capistrano Substation.
- NTP-4 (October 29, 2018): Transmission and distribution line work.
- NTP-5 (July 26, 2019): Installation of the 138-kV and 230-kV eastern getaways and removal and installation of 12-kV distribution lines.
- NTP-6 (October 30, 2019): Removal and replacement of the existing 138-kV transmission line with a new double-circuit 230-kV transmission line from Rancho Viejo Road southeast to pole 41.
- NTP-6 Addendum 1 (September 29, 2020): Extension of the scope of NTP-6 to pole 42, located just north of the Talega Hub and outside of Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton.
- NTP-7 (February 4, 2021): Installation of two 230-kV transmission lines, reconfiguration of three 138-kV lines, and relocation of a 69-kV line within the Talega Hub and Corridor.

The WSP USA Inc. (WSP) compliance monitoring team completed onsite compliance checks during this reporting period to verify the compliance of ongoing site preparation and construction activities. The

WSP USA
425 MARKET STREET
17<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105



CPUC/WSP compliance monitoring team visited the San Juan Capistrano Substation site and other project construction areas on January 12 and 24, 2023. The WSP site inspection reports that summarize observed construction activities and compliance events, as applicable, and verifies mitigation measures (MMs) and applicant proposed measures (APMs) were completed for the site visits. This report is attached below (Attachment 1).

Project activities in January 2023 were covered under NTP-3, NTP-4 and NTP-7. At the Capistrano Substation, work on the 230-kV gas-insulated substation (GIS) enclosure continued. The 230-kV GIS equipment, control shelter and battery equipment, and control house door hardware were installed. Restoration activities on the former utility structure were conducted. Spoils from excavation activities were exported. Additional excavation was conducted to install rebar, set forms, and place concrete for the 230-kV transformer pads and 230-kV transformer containment areas. Equipment repairs and testing were conducted on the 238-kV equipment. Completion of conduit crossover work was conducted at Calle Lorenzo. Backfilling and grading of the greenbelt, and concrete placement for the sidewalk curb and associated gutter was completed. An asphalt cap was placed on the Camino Capistrano work area. At the Talega Hub and Corridor, grading pads were installed at Location 9A – 3A, 43A and 45A. Beams were set and placed and the concrete wall was constructed at Location 18A.

In addition, SDG&E conducted routine inspection, maintenance, and monitoring activities in January 2023. Inspection activities included weekly Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) inspections at all construction activity areas to ensure that there were no best management practice (BMP) deficiencies or potential non-compliance incidents. There were rain events on January 1, 5, 10, 16 and 30. BMP maintenance took place at the Capistrano Substation and La Pata Staging areas. New storm drain protections and water bars were installed at the substation. Additional rows of reinforced silt fences were placed at Location 4B, and fiber rolls were replaced at the construction site entrance at Location 41 for NTP-7 Phase 2 activities. No non-compliance incidents were observed during the reporting period. Historic Architect Monitoring occurred for the ongoing restoration work at the former utility structure. There were no non-compliance incidents noted by the monitor during this month's reporting period.

SDG&E conducted monitoring, as applicable, for cultural, paleontological, and biological resources. Cultural monitoring was conducted during vegetation removal, grading, and trenching that occurred at Locations 9, 12A,13A, 14A, 43, and 43A. No cultural resources or non-compliance incidents were noted by the monitor during this month's reporting period. Paleontological monitoring occurred at ground-disturbing activities at Locations 9A, 10A, 11A, 12A, 18A, 43, and 45. Although Monterey formation was discovered at Location 11A and 43, no non-compliance incidents were noted by the monitor during this month's reporting period. No active bird nests, burrowing owl nests, or biological non-compliance incidents were recorded during the month of January.

Project compliance during the January 2023 monitoring period was achieved through regular communication with and reporting by SDG&E. Communication between the CPUC/WSP compliance team and SDG&E has been regular and effective. SDG&E's monthly environmental compliance report for January 2023 provides a compliance summary and includes a description of construction activities, a look-ahead construction schedule, a monthly biological monitoring report, a summary of compliance with project commitments (MMs/APMs), a summary of non-compliance incidents and public complaints (as applicable), a record of SOCRE Project personnel that received safety and environmental awareness training during the reporting month, and a list of upcoming or pending Minor Project Refinements (MPRs) and outstanding agency deliverables.

Overall, the SOCRE Project has maintained compliance with the Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program (MMCRP) based on adherence to applicable MMs and APMs and satisfaction of



pre-construction requirements and conditions of approval for NTP-1, NTP-2, NTP-2 Addendum 1, NTP-3, NTP-4, NTP-5, NTP-6, NTP-6 Addendum 1, NTP-7, MPR-1, MPR-1 Addendum 1, MPR-1 Addendum 2, MPR-3, MPR-4, MPR-5, MPR-6, MPR-7, MPR-8, MPR-9, MPR-10, MPR-11, MPR-12, MPR-13, and MPR-14.

### **Compliance Incidents**

No compliance incidents were reported during January 2023.

#### **Public Concerns**

No public concerns were reported during January 2023.

### **Minor Approvals**

No minor approvals were reported during January 2023.

Sincerely,

Fernando Guzman

CPUC Compliance Manager, WSP

cc: Richard Quasarano, Environmental Project Manager, SDG&E



## ATTACHMENT 1

**CPUC Site Inspection Reports** 



# South Orange County Reliability Enhancement Project CPUC Site Inspection Form

Project:	South Orange County Reliability Enhancement (SOCRE) Project	Date:	January 12, 2023
Project Proponent:	San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E)	Report #:	VS155
Lead Agency:	California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	Monitor(s):	CPUC/WSP Compliance Monitor
CPUC PM:	Louis Torres, Energy Division	AM/PM Weather:	Mostly cloudy, cool and calm
CPUC CM (WSP):	Fernando Guzman	<b>Start/End time:</b> 1200 – 1400 hrs	
Project NTP(s):	Notice to Proceed (NTP)-1, NTP-2, NTP-2 Addendum 1, NTP-3, NTP-4, and NTP-5		

SITE INSPECTION CHECKLIST (Based on monitor's observations during site visit; responses do not imply that monitor observed all staff, crews, and parts of the project during this inspection)

Safety and Environmental Awareness Program (SEAP)	Yes	No	N/A
Is the WEAP training in place and does it appear to have been completed by all new hires (construction and monitors)?	Х		
Erosion and Dust Control (Air and Water Quality)	Yes	No	N/A
Have temporary erosion and sediment control measures (Best Management Practices [BMPs]) been installed?	Х		
Are erosion and sediment control measures (BMPs) properly installed (without apparent deficiencies) and functioning as intended during rain events?	Х		
Are measures in place to avoid/minimize mud tracking onto public roadways, in accordance with the project's Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)?	Χ		
Is dust control being implemented (i.e., access roads watered, haul trucks covered, soil piles are tarped, streets cleaned on a regular basis)?	Х		
Are work areas being effectively watered prior to excavation or grading?	Χ		
Are measures in place to stabilize soils and effectively suppress fugitive dust?	Χ		
Equipment	Yes	No	N/A
Are observed vehicles maintaining a speed limit of 15 miles per hour on unpaved roads?	Χ		
Are observed vehicles/equipment arriving onsite clean of sediment or plant debris?	Χ		
Are observed vehicles/equipment turned off when not in use?	Χ		
Work Areas	Yes	No	N/A
Is exclusionary fencing or flagging in place to protect sensitive biological or cultural resources?	Χ		
Are observed vehicles, equipment, and construction personnel staying within approved work areas and on approved roads?	Х		
Are excavations and trenches covered at the end of the day?	Х		



Are wildlife escape ramps installed at 100-foot intervals with ramps not exceeding 2:1 slopes?	Х		
Biology	Yes	No	N/A
Have preconstruction surveys been completed for biological (coastal California gnatcatcher, least Bell's vireo, southwestern will flycatcher, rare plants) resources, as appropriate?	Х		
Are biological monitors present onsite?	Х		
Are appropriate measures in place to protect sensitive habitat and/or drainages (i.e., flagging, signage, exclusion fencing, biological monitor, appropriate buffer distance enacted)?	Х		
Have wildlife been relocated from work areas? If yes, describe below.	Х		
Have impacts occurred to adjacent habitat (sensitive or non-sensitive)? If yes, describe below.	Х		
Were any threatened or endangered species observed? If yes, describe below.		Х	
If there are wetlands or water bodies near construction activities, are adequate measures in place to avoid impacts on these features?	Х		
Have there been any work stoppages for biological resources? If yes, describe below.		Х	
Cultural and Paleontological Resources	Yes	No	N/A
Are identified cultural/paleo resources that will not be relocated/salvaged clearly marked for exclusion?			Х
Are archaeological and paleontological monitors onsite if needed?	Х		
Are appropriate buffers maintained around sensitive resources (e.g., cultural sites)?			Х
Have there been any work stoppages for cultural/paleo resources? If yes, describe below.		Х	
Hazardous Materials	Yes	No	N/A
Are hazardous materials that are stored or used on site properly managed?	Χ		
Are procedures in place to prevent spills and accidental releases?	Х		
Are required fire prevention and control measures in place?	Х		
Are contaminated soils properly managed for onsite storage or offsite disposal?	Х		
Work Hours and Noise	Yes	No	N/A
Are required night lighting reduction measures in place?			Х
Is construction occurring within approved hours?	Х		
Are required noise control measures in place?			Х

AREAS MONITORED (i.e., structure numbers, yards, or substations)

San Juan Capistrano Substation and SOCRE transmission line work.



**DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVED ACTIVITIES** (i.e., mitigation measures [MMs] of particular focus or concern, construction activity, any discussions with first-party monitors or construction crews)

I was present on site at 1200 hours and met with the Environmental Inspector (EI) at the substation.

The location had received considerable rainfall in the weeks before my visit, which had limited work activities to areas inside the new substation and the control room until recently. A small window of dry weather allowed some crews to begin work again on the transformer foundations (Photo 1) and on the exterior of the substation (Photo 5).

The site was still quite wet and muddy with best management practices (BMPs) still in place (Photos 2, 3, 4). Another set of storms was predicted, and the EI informed me that will be doing some additional grading and BMP installation to keep mud and stormwater runoff on site. I observed some very muddy tires (Photo 6) and the EI informed me that in addition to rumble plates workers will try to knock the mud out of the treads with hammers. Street sweepers were also operating along the public roadways outside of the project site.

I departed the substation and drove to the Phase 2 transmission line installation near the Talega substation. Conditions had been too wet and muddy for crews to be working in this area, but a BMP crew was adding to the erosion control measures (Photos 7, 8, 9). Another small crew was installing sign posts with a power auger (Photo 10).

Monitoring crews were present at this site and observing the work activities.



MITIGATION MEASURES VERIFIED (Refer to the Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program [MMCRP], e.g., MM BIO-5. Report only on MMs pertinent to your observations today)

All project personnel have been through the environmental training with hardhat stickers (MM HAZ-3, MM CUL-1).

RECOMMENDED FOLLOW-UP (i.e., items to check on next visit, minor issues to resolve)

COMPLIANCE SUGGESTIONS OR ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS (i.e., suggestions to improve compliance on-site, environmental observations of note)

COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

Check all applicable boxes below to indicate new conditions or issues that have occurred since your last visit. Note this information on the monitoring datasheet and document with photographs.

New biological or cultural discovery requiring compliance with MMs, permit conditions, etc.

Potential compliance incident(s) observed. Document incident(s) and potential for environmental resources to be impacted.

New non-compliance issues reported by SDG&E monitors since your last visit. Describe issues and resolution under "compliance suggestions or additional observations" (above) and include SDG&E report identification number.

PREVIOUS NON-COMPLIANCE ITEMS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP OR RESOLVED TODAY:

REPRESE	REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS				
Date	Location	Photo	Description		
1/12/23	SOCRE Project	CO CO CONTROL OF THE	Photo 1 – Work on the transformer foundations. Photo facing north.		



REPRESI	ENTATIVE SIT	E PHOTOGRAPHS	
Date	Location	Photo	Description
1/12/23	SOCRE Project		Photo 2 – BMPs around soil stockpiles. Photo facing southeast.
1/12/23	SOCRE Project		Photo 3 – Muddy conditions onsite. Photo facing east.



REPRESE	REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS				
Date	Location	Photo	Description		
1/12/23	SOCRE Project		Photo 4 – Muddy staging area east of the new substation. Photo facing northwest.		
1/12/23	SOCRE Project		Photo 5 – Crews were working on installing gutters on the new substation. Photo facing south		



REPRES	ENTATIVE SIT	E PHOTOGRAPHS	
Date	Location	Photo	Description
1/12/23	SOCRE Project		Photo 6 – Muddy tires noted on work vehicles within the substation.
1/12/23	SOCRE Project		Photo 7 – BMP work within the cleared work areas for the Phase 2 tubular steel pole installation. Photo facing north.



REPRESE	ENTATIVE SIT	E PHOTOGRAPHS	
Date	Location	Photo	Description
1/12/23	SOCRE Project Phase 2		Photo 8 – BMP work within the cleared work areas for the Phase 2 TSP installation. Photo facing north.
1/12/23	SOCRE Project		Photo 9 – BMPs at the north end work area, at the head of the small drainage channel. Photo facing east.



REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS			
Date	Location	Photo	Description
1/12/23	SOCRE Project	C	Photo 10 – Post installation for project signage. Photo facing east.

Completed by:	CPUC/WSP Compliance Monitor
Date:	1/27/23

Reviewed by:	Manager
Date:	1/27/23





### South Orange County Reliability Enhancement Project CPUC Site Inspection Form

Project:	South Orange County Reliability Enhancement (SOCRE) Project	Date:	January 24, 2023	
Project Proponent:	San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E)	Report #:	VS156	
Lead Agency:	California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	Monitor(s):	CPUC/WSP Compliance Monitor	
CPUC PM:	Louis Torres, Energy Division	AM/PM Weather:	Clear & sunny, mild temps and a slight breeze	
CPUC CM (WSP):	Fernando Guzman	<b>Start/End time:</b> 1230 – 1530 hrs		
Project NTP(s):	Notice to Proceed (NTP)-1, NTP-2, NTP-2 Addendum 1, NTP-3, NTP-4, and NTP-5			

SITE INSPECTION CHECKLIST (Based on monitor's observations during site visit; responses do not imply that monitor observed all staff, crews, and parts of the project during this inspection)

Safety and Environmental Awareness Program (SEAP)	Yes	No	N/A
Is the WEAP training in place and does it appear to have been completed by all new hires (construction and monitors)?	Х		
Erosion and Dust Control (Air and Water Quality)	Yes	No	N/A
Have temporary erosion and sediment control measures (Best Management Practices [BMPs]) been installed?	Х		
Are erosion and sediment control measures (BMPs) properly installed (without apparent deficiencies) and functioning as intended during rain events?	Х		
Are measures in place to avoid/minimize mud tracking onto public roadways, in accordance with the project's Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)?	Х		
Is dust control being implemented (i.e., access roads watered, haul trucks covered, soil piles are tarped, streets cleaned on a regular basis)?	Х		
Are work areas being effectively watered prior to excavation or grading?	Х		
Are measures in place to stabilize soils and effectively suppress fugitive dust?	Х		
Equipment	Yes	No	N/A
Are observed vehicles maintaining a speed limit of 15 miles per hour on unpaved roads?	Х		
Are observed vehicles/equipment arriving onsite clean of sediment or plant debris?	Х		
Are observed vehicles/equipment turned off when not in use?	Х		
Work Areas	Yes	No	N/A
Is exclusionary fencing or flagging in place to protect sensitive biological or cultural resources?	Х		
Are observed vehicles, equipment, and construction personnel staying within approved work areas and on approved roads?	Х		



Are excavations and trenches covered at the end of the day?		X	
Are wildlife escape ramps installed at 100-foot intervals with ramps not exceeding 2:1 slopes?	Х		
Biology	Yes	No	N/A
Have preconstruction surveys been completed for biological (coastal California gnatcatcher, least Bell's vireo, southwestern will flycatcher, rare plants) resources, as appropriate?	Х		
Are biological monitors present onsite?	Χ		
Are appropriate measures in place to protect sensitive habitat and/or drainages (i.e., flagging, signage, exclusion fencing, biological monitor, appropriate buffer distance enacted)?	Х		
Have wildlife been relocated from work areas? If yes, describe below.	Х		
Have impacts occurred to adjacent habitat (sensitive or non-sensitive)? If yes, describe below.	Х		
Were any threatened or endangered species observed? If yes, describe below.		Х	
If there are wetlands or water bodies near construction activities, are adequate measures in place to avoid impacts on these features?	Х		
Have there been any work stoppages for biological resources? If yes, describe below.		Х	
Cultural and Paleontological Resources	Yes	No	N/A
Are identified cultural/paleo resources that will not be relocated/salvaged clearly marked for exclusion?			Х
Are archaeological and paleontological monitors onsite if needed?	Х		
Are appropriate buffers maintained around sensitive resources (e.g., cultural sites)?			Х
Have there been any work stoppages for cultural/paleo resources? If yes, describe below.		Х	
Hazardous Materials	Yes	No	N/A
Are hazardous materials that are stored or used on site properly managed?	Χ		
Are procedures in place to prevent spills and accidental releases?	Χ		
Are required fire prevention and control measures in place?	Χ		
Are contaminated soils properly managed for onsite storage or offsite disposal?	Χ		
Work Hours and Noise	Yes	No	N/A
Are required night lighting reduction measures in place?			Х
Is construction occurring within approved hours?	Х		
Are required noise control measures in place?			Х

AREAS MONITORED (i.e., structure numbers, yards, or substations)

San Juan Capistrano Substation and SOCRE transmission line work.



**DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVED ACTIVITIES** (i.e., mitigation measures [MMs] of particular focus or concern, construction activity, any discussions with first-party monitors or construction crews)

I was present on site at 1230 hours and met with the Environmental Inspector (EI) at the substation.

A crew was working at the intersection of Camino Capistrano and Calle Lorenzo replacing pavement and the sidewalk around the tubular steel pole (TSP) location (Photo 1). Traffic control was in place.

The area had received a considerable amount of rainfall from two storms between January 14 and 17. The rainfall totals for those four days was approximately 4 inches. The substation area appeared to be in good condition, as some best management practice (BMP) upgrades were installed prior to the storms. These BMP upgrades included water bars and gravel sediment traps near the access road drain inlets (Photo 2). The weather forecast predicted clear weather for the week with a chance of rain on the weekend.

After the site had dried bit crews continued work on the transformer foundations (Photo 3). A concrete pour had been scheduled for the next day following my visit.

Wiring work continued within the new substation and in the adjacent control room (Photos 4 & 5). The EI informed me that there were six or seven different contractors on site with upwards of 50 total crew members. All crew members had all been given the environmental training.

Work continued on the exterior of the new substation; however, this area on the north side of the substation was extremely muddy (Photo 6). BMPs remained around the soil stockpiles (Photo 7).

Some work was being conducted on the former utility structure (Photo 8).

From the substation I traveled to the Phase 2 transmission line installation near the Talega substation and met with the Lead Environmental Inspector (LEI) and the onsite biological monitor. A crew was upgrading the BMPs near the access road entry (Photo 9).

Erosion and sediment control was difficult to achieve in this area as all rainwater runoff from the access roads and cleared areas drains toward the sensitive riparian channel (Photo 10). BMPs did not prevent rilling and loss of sediment into the small riparian corridor (Photos 11 & 12). Additional repairs and upgrades were recommended for this area, as well as removal of the sediment below the last line of silt fence (Photo 12). Some equipment was parked in the drainage area, including one without a drip pan. I informed the LEI that these needed to be moved out of the drainage and drip pans installed. After I left the site I received photos from the LEI showing that the equipment had been moved. I was informed that upgrades to the BMPs and sediment removal were expected later in the week.

The LEI pointed out a small pool along one of the access roads that was supporting fairy shrimp (Photo 13). The biological team identified them as a common species of fairy shrimp, and the area was taped off to prevent damage to the pool and the shrimp.

The LEI and I walked to the TSP 18A location where a crew was installing steel beams for a retaining wall (Photo 14). The crew had gone for the day and several problems were noted in and around the work area: the concrete washout bin looked to be collecting rainwater and it appeared that it was being drained off with a nearby hose (Photo 15); and none of the drilled holes had been adequately covered and sealed (Photo 16). This was both a safety issue and a violation of the environmental conditions of the project. The LEI called for one of the crews to address the problems. After I left the site I received a photo from the LEI showing that the holes around the beams had been sealed (Photo 17).

I departed the job site at 1530 hours.



MITIGATION MEASURES VERIFIED (Refer to the Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program [MMCRP], e.g., MM BIO-5. Report only on MMs pertinent to your observations today)

All project personnel have been through the environmental training with hardhat stickers (MM HAZ-3, MM CUL-1).

RECOMMENDED FOLLOW-UP (i.e., items to check on next visit, minor issues to resolve)

Check on erosion control measures, concrete washout bins and covering holes.

COMPLIANCE SUGGESTIONS OR ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS (i.e., suggestions to improve compliance on-site, environmental observations of note)

COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

Check all applicable boxes below to indicate new conditions or issues that have occurred since your last visit. Note this information on the monitoring datasheet and document with photographs.

New biological or cultural discovery requiring compliance with MMs, permit conditions, etc.

Potential compliance incident(s) observed. Document incident(s) and potential for environmental resources to be impacted.

New non-compliance issues reported by SDG&E monitors since your last visit. Describe issues and resolution under "compliance suggestions or additional observations" (above) and include SDG&E report identification number.

Date	Location	Photo	Description
1/24/23	SOCRE Project	Galle Lore-zo	Photo 1 – Work replacing street paving and sidewalks. Photo facing north.

PREVIOUS NON-COMPLIANCE ITEMS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP OR RESOLVED TODAY:



Date	Location	E PHOTOGRAPHS Photo	Description
		Photo	
1/24/23	SOCRE Project		Photo 2 – New BMPs installed ahead of the large storms. Photo facing east.
1/24/23	SOCRE Project		Photo 3 – Work on the transformer foundations. Photo facing south.



Date Location
Date Location  1/24/23 SOCRE Project



REPRESE	ENTATIVE SIT	E PHOTOGRAPHS	
Date	Location	Photo	Description
1/24/23	SOCRE Project		Photo 5 – Wiring work inside of the control room.
1/24/23	SOCRE Project		Photo 6 – work along the north side of the substation – note the very muddy conditions. Photo facing south.



	REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS				
Date	Location	Photo	Description		
1/24/23	SOCRE Project		Photo 7 – BMPs in place around soil stockpiles. Photo facing northwest.		
1/24/23	SOCRE Project		Photo 8 – Work completed at the former utility structure. Photo facing north.		



Date	Location	Photo	Description
1/24/23	SOCRE Project – Phase 2		Photo 9 – BMP upgrades at the Phase 2 access road entrance. Photo facing southeast.
1/24/23	SOCRE Project – Phase 2		Photo 10 – Access Road down to the TSP 43 location. Photo facing southeast.



REPRESE		E PHOTOGRAPHS	
Date	Location	Photo	Description
1/24/23	SOCRE	Albert of the	Photo 11 –
	Project –		Erosion below
	Phase 2		the BMPs. Photo
			facing west.
		The second secon	3



REPRESENTATI	REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS				
Date Loca		Description			
Date Loca 1/24/23 SOCI Proje Phase	RE CONTROL OF THE CON	Photo 12 – Sediment in the drainage below the last BMP.			



REPRESI	ENTATIVE SIT	E PHOTOGRAPHS	
Date	Location	Photo	Description
1/24/23	SOCRE Project – Phase 2		Photo 13 – Fairy shrimp in a small pool along one of the access roads.
1/24/23	SOCRE Project – Phase 2	In Endpment the briston	Photo 14 – Construction equipment at the TSP 18A site. Photo facing east.



REPRESE	ENTATIVE SITE	PHOTOGRAPHS	
Date	Location	Photo	Description
1/24/23	SOCRE Project – Phase 2		Photo 15 – Concrete washout bin for the TSP 18A work.
1/24/23	SOCRE Project – Phase 2		Photo 16 – Installed H beam at the TSP 18A without adequate coverage of the drilled hole.



REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS				
Date	Location	Photo	Description	
1/24/23	SOCRE Project – Phase 2		Photo 17 – Crews covering the holes at TSP 18A.	

Completed by:	CPUC/WSP Compliance Monitor
Date:	1/27/23

Reviewed by:	Manager
Date:	02/24/23