

**TABLE S.2
SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANT AFTER MITIGATION?
<u>Land Use and Planning</u>		
4.1-1: The proposed project is consistent with adopted general plan policies, land use designations and zoning, and thus would not conflict with adopted environmental plans and goals of the community where it is located. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.1-2: The four power plants being considered for divestiture are existing land uses that would remain in the same locations. Therefore, the project would not disrupt or divide the physical arrangement of any established community. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.1-3: The project would not convert prime agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, or impair the agricultural productivity of prime agricultural land. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
<u>Population and Housing</u>		
4.2-1: The proposed project would not induce substantial growth or concentration of population. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	

TABLE S.2 (Continued)
SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANT AFTER MITIGATION?
4.2-2: The proposed project would not displace a large number of people. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
<u>Geologic Problems</u>		
4.3-1: Minor construction activities resulting from the project (e.g., fences and site remediation) could cause soil disturbance. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.3-2: Potential operational changes due to the transfer in ownership of the Potrero, Pittsburg, and Contra Costa Power Plants would not create geologic problems. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.3-3: The change in ownership of the Geysers should not affect the potential for the facility to induce microseismicity in the project area and vicinity. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.3-4: The transfer in ownership of the Geysers should not increase the frequency and magnitude of major earthquakes. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	

TABLE S.2 (Continued)
SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANT AFTER MITIGATION?
<u>Water Resources</u>		
4.4-1: The divestiture of the power plants would involve only minor construction at the plants. Therefore, no significant impacts to water resources from construction activities are anticipated. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.4-2: The project could increase the amount of water used at, and discharged from, the plants. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
<u>Air Quality</u>		
4.5-1: The project may result in an increase in criteria air pollutant emissions in the affected air basins. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.5-2: The project may result in an increase in local concentrations of criteria air pollutants in the vicinities of the power plants. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	Less than Significant
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	

TABLE S.2 (Continued)
SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANT AFTER MITIGATION?
4.5-3: The project may lead to an increase in health risks from toxic air contaminants in the vicinities of the power plants. (Less than Significant)	<p><i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i></p> <p>None.</p> <p><i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i></p> <p>None required.</p>	No
4.5-4: The project may result in the elimination of PG&E's existing voluntary FTP cleanup programs. Loss of these programs could result in nuisance effects, caused by FTP stains. (Less than Significant)	<p><i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i></p> <p>None.</p> <p><i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i></p> <p>PG&E will provide the buyers of the Pittsburg and Contra Costa power plants with a summary of the history of FTP emissions and claims involving these plants, and information regarding PG&E's procedures for inspecting and cleaning the boilers and stacks at these two plants to minimize FTP. The buyers of the Pittsburg and Contra Costa power plants will develop procedures for minimizing FTP emissions in future operations, and institute a program for processing FTP claims that includes, at a minimum, a point of contact for claimants and procedures for expeditiously verifying and processing claims. PG&E shall not be required to disclose attorney-client work product information to enable the buyers to satisfy this condition.</p>	Less than Significant
4.5-5: Depending upon whether, and how, the BAAQMD modifies Regulation 9, Rule 11, the project may be inconsistent with regional air quality plans. (Significant) 4.5-5: (cont.)	<p><i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i></p> <p>None.</p> <p><i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i></p> <p>To assure that the existing NO_x emission rate limits would apply to a new owner, BAAQMD Regulation 9, Rule 11 shall be modified so that substantially equivalent emission rate limits would apply to any new owner, or PG&E will have existing permits revised (for any fossil-fueled plant that is divested) to incorporate NO_x emission rate limits, which would apply to any new owner, in substantially the form and stringency in the current BAAQMD Regulation 9, Rule 11.</p>	Significant

TABLE S.2 (Continued)
SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANT AFTER MITIGATION?
<u>Transportation and Circulation</u>		
4.6-1: The project could increase traffic generation. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.6-2: The potential minor increases in traffic would not increase traffic safety hazards. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.6-3: The potential minor increases in traffic from the project would not have an effect on emergency access and access to nearby land uses. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.6-4: The project could increase demand for on-site parking. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	

TABLE S.2 (Continued)
SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANT AFTER MITIGATION?
<u>Biological Resources</u>		
4.7-1: Divestiture could result in an overall loss of important species or habitat if future owners were unaware of the presence and sensitivity of such biological resources. (Significant)	<p><i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i></p> <p>Provide future plant owners with informational materials and training documents in PG&E's possession concerning jurisdictional wetlands and special status species and habitats in the vicinity of the power plants to be divested.</p> <p><i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i></p> <p>None required.</p>	Less than Significant
4.7-2: If the Section 10 Permits are not issued to PG&E prior to the close of the sale or to the new owner at closing, divestiture may delay the issuance of such permits. The delay caused by divestiture may result in impacts to protected species. (Significant)	<p><i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i></p> <p>As a condition of closing the sale, the new owner will be required to obtain the reissuance of the Section 10 Permits issued to PG&E, and accept the permittee's obligations under the CESA MOU, the HCP and the Implementing Agreements. If the permits have not been issued to PG&E, the new owner will be required to resubmit and accept any obligations under, PG&E's pending applications for the Section 10 Permits, including the resubmittal of the then-current draft Implementing Agreement and HCP, and will seek to obtain such permits on substantially the same terms and conditions as were contained in PG&E's permit applications.</p>	Less than Significant
4.7-2: (cont.)	<p><i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i></p> <p>If the Section 10 permits are not held by the new owner at closing (but have been issued to PG&E), the new owner of the Pittsburg and Contra Costa Power Plants will send a letter to the permitting agencies committing to the obligations listed in the preceding mitigation measure and state its intent to operate in the interim in accordance with their provisions. The letter will also state acceptance of the authority of the permitting agencies to enforce compliance with those obligations, and provide notification of these commitments to the plant managers.</p>	
4.7-3: Divestiture may result in impacts to locally designated species of concern and other aquatic organisms. (Less than Significant)	<p><i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i></p> <p>None.</p> <p><i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i></p> <p>None required.</p>	No

TABLE S.2 (Continued)
SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANT AFTER MITIGATION?
<u>Energy and Mineral Resources</u>		
4.8-1: The project would not conflict with adopted energy conservation plans. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.8-2: The project would not promote wasteful or inefficient use of non-renewable resources. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.8-3: The project would not result in loss of availability of known mineral resources. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	

TABLE S.2 (Continued)
SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANT AFTER MITIGATION?
<u>Hazards</u>		
4.9-1: Divestiture could advance the time at which existing hazards are remediated and therefore could advance a potential threat to worker safety or to public health should existing environmental contamination at the power plants be handled improperly. (Less than Significant)	<p><i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i></p> <p>For each plant to be divested, PG&E will prepare a Risk Assessment that conforms with guidelines of the California Department of Toxic Substances Control and the local County Health Department. Each Risk Assessment shall address all areas identified as being subject to remediation in the Phase I or Phase II Environmental Site Assessments, and will describe the contaminants, estimate their potential risks to public health or to the environment, determine any need for additional data collection, and present appropriate health risk-based and/or environmental risk-based cleanup goals. Each Risk Assessment will assess potential human health risks identified at each of the contaminated areas, based in part upon realistic future use.</p> <p><i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i></p> <p>None required.</p>	Less than Significant
4.9-2: Remediation of contaminated soils, groundwater, or building materials at the plant sites would likely occur sooner as a result of transfers of title than would be the case if the power plants were not sold. Remediation would eliminate potential future threats to public health or to the environment. (Beneficial)	<p><i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i></p> <p>None.</p> <p><i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i></p> <p>None required.</p>	No
4.9-3: Divestiture could promote increased use of hazardous materials at the power plants. (Less than Significant)	<p><i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i></p> <p>PG&E shall provide the new owners with copies of all safety-related documentation.</p> <p><i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i></p> <p>None required.</p>	Less than Significant

TABLE S.2 (Continued)
SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANT AFTER MITIGATION?
4.9-4: Divestiture could result in an increased frequency of accidents at the power plant sites. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	Less than Significant
	See Mitigation Measure 4.9-3 in the previous section, which will also act to mitigate this impact.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.9-5: Divestiture could result in increased generation of hazardous waste at the power plants. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.9-6: Divestiture could affect electromagnetic field strength at the power plants (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
<u>Noise</u>		
4.10-1: Minor construction activities that could be associated with transfer of ownership would temporarily increase noise levels above existing ambient levels in the project vicinities. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	

TABLE S.2 (Continued)
SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANT AFTER MITIGATION?
4.10-2: Potential changes in operational activities by a new owner would generate noise levels above existing ambient levels in the project vicinities. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
<u>Public Services</u>		
4.11-1: The project would not create the need for new or substantially altered fire, police, school or other government services. Therefore, the project would not have a significant environmental impact on public services. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.11-2: The combined sale of the Contra Costa and Pittsburg Power Plants in Contra Costa County would not create the need for new or substantially altered, fire, police, school, or other government services. Therefore, the project would not have a significant environmental impact on public services. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.11-3: The project may affect property tax revenues in the jurisdictions of the plants to be sold. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	

TABLE S.2 (Continued)
SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANT AFTER MITIGATION?
<u>Utilities and Service Systems</u>		
4.12-1: The project would not result in the need for new or substantially altered electric power systems or supplies. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.12-2: Potential operational changes at the plants could increase the need for public water demand at the plants. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.12-3: The project could result in an increase in wastewater disposal to the public sanitary sewer systems and increase the need for wastewater treatment. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.12-4: The project could result in an increase in demand for solid waste services. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.12-5: The project could increase the need for communications systems. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	

TABLE S.2 (Continued)
SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANT AFTER MITIGATION?
4.12-6: The project would not result in the need for new or substantially altered natural gas systems or supplies. (Less than Significant)	<p><i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i></p> <p>None.</p> <p><i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i></p> <p>None required.</p>	No
<u>Aesthetics</u>		
4.13-1: Potential changes in operational activities by a new owner and minor construction activities would not produce new sources of light or glare in the project vicinity. (Less than Significant)	<p><i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i></p> <p>None.</p> <p><i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i></p> <p>None required.</p>	No
4.13-2: The project would not result in the change or obstruction of scenic highway views or vistas open to the public or the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view. (Less than Significant)	<p><i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i></p> <p>None.</p> <p><i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i></p> <p>None required.</p>	No
<u>Cultural Resources</u>		
4.14-1: Minor construction activities associated with divestiture, such as fencing to separate the retained properties from the divested plant sites, could result in impacts to subsurface cultural resources. (Less than Significant)	<p><i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i></p> <p>None.</p> <p><i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i></p> <p>PG&E shall prepare and certify its intent to comply with a program to address potential impacts to archaeological resources from PG&E actions related to the divestiture at the Potrero, Contra Costa, Pittsburg, and Geysers Power Plants, such as construction to separate the properties or soil remediation activities. The program shall include provisions in PG&E construction documents and protocols for coordination with appropriate resource agencies.</p>	Less than Significant

TABLE S.2 (Continued)
SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANT AFTER MITIGATION?
4.14-2: The continued operation of the divested plants would not affect known cultural resources. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
<u>Recreation</u>		
4.15-1: The project could minimally increase demand for neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	
4.15-2: The project would not significantly affect existing or proposed recreational opportunities. (Less than Significant)	<i>Mitigation Measures Proposed as Part of Project</i>	No
	None.	
	<i>Mitigation Measures Identified in This Report</i>	
	None required.	