

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



March 20, 2015

VIA MAIL AND EMAIL

Christine McLeod  
Principal Advisor - Regulatory Affairs Dept.  
Southern California Edison  
8631 Rush Street, General Office 4 - G10Q (Ground Floor)  
Rosemead, CA 91770

SUBJECT: Data Request #5 for the Southern California Edison Moorpark-Newbury 66 kV  
Subtransmission Line Project

Dear Ms. McLeod:

As the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) proceeds with our environmental review for Southern California Edison (SCE)'s Moorpark-Newbury 66 kV Subtransmission Line Project (Proposed Project), we have identified additional information required in order to adequately conduct the CEQA review. Please provide the information requested below (Data Request #5) by April 3, 2015. Please submit your response in hardcopy and electronic format to me and also directly to our environmental consultant, Environmental Science Associates (ESA), at the physical and e-mail addresses noted below. If you have any questions please direct them to me as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Michael Rosauer  
CPUC CEQA Project Manager  
Energy Division  
505 Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Michael.rosauer@cpuc.ca.gov

ESA  
Attn: Matthew Fagundes  
1425 North McDowell Blvd.  
Suite 200  
Petaluma, CA 94954  
mfagundes@esassoc.com

## **Data Request #5**

### **Moorpark-Newbury 66 kV Subtransmission Line Project**

#### **Noise Analysis**

The purpose of the following questions is to provide additional information to assist in the EIR noise analysis.

1. The construction noise estimates presented in the PEA for “conductor install” activities assumes the operation of many pieces of equipment in the immediate vicinity each other, including several trucks, pullers, a tensioner, and a backhoe. Please confirm that activities associated with these noise sources would be limited to the various stringing locations identified in the PEA.
2. Provide an estimate of the construction equipment types and amounts that would operate at a single Tubular Steel Pole (TSP) location during conductor installation.
3. Provide an estimate for the maximum amount of time (e.g., days or weeks) that would be required for construction activities at each of the stringing locations and at each TSP location to install conductor.
4. Provide an estimate of the equipment types and amounts that would be required to improve the access road off Ventavo Road near Hitch Road, as well as the access road near Ternez Drive and Ventavo Road. Also, provide an estimate of the maximum amount of days that would be required to complete each of these road improvements.
5. Provide an estimate of the number of days that would be required for TSP foundation installation for a single TSP site.