

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CalAm Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project Final Environmental Impact Report / Environmental Impact Statement

	<u>Page</u>
List of Acronyms	xxvii
ES. Executive Summary	ES-1
ES.1 Introduction	ES-1
ES.2 Project Background	ES-2
ES.3 CEQA Project Objectives / NEPA Purpose and Need	ES-3
ES.3.1 Project Objectives	ES-3
ES.3.2 MBNMS Purpose and Need	ES-4
ES.4 Public & Agency Involvement	ES-4
ES.4.1 Public and Agency Involvement	ES-4
ES.4.2 Final EIR/EIS and Revisions Made to the Draft EIR/EIS	ES-5
ES.4.3 Use of this EIR/EIS in Decision Making	ES-6
ES.5 The Proposed Project	ES-7
ES.5.1 Description of the Proposed Project	ES-7
ES.5.2 Summary of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Proposed Project	ES-8
ES.6 Alternatives to the Proposed Project	ES-11
ES.6.1 No Project/No Action Alternative	ES-11
ES.6.2 Alternative 1 – Slant Wells at Potrero Road	ES-12
ES.6.3 Alternative 2 – Open-Water Intake at Moss Landing	ES-12
ES.6.4 Alternative 3 – Monterey Bay Regional Water Project (MBRWP or DeepWater Desal Project)	ES-12
ES.6.5 Alternative 4 – People’s Moss Landing Water Desalination Project (People’s Project)	ES-12
ES.6.6 Alternative 5a – Reduced Project 6.4 mgd Desalination Plant (Intake Slant Wells at CEMEX)	ES-13
ES.6.7 Alternative 5b – Reduced Project 6.4-mgd Desalination Plant (Intake Slant Wells at Potrero Road)	ES-13
ES.7 Comparison of Alternatives, Environmentally Superior/Environmentally Preferred Alternative, and NOAA-Preferred Alternative	ES-13
ES.7.1 Key Impact Differences Between Alternatives	ES-13
ES.7.2 Environmentally Superior/Environmentally Preferred Alternative and NOAA-Preferred Alternative	ES-16
ES.8 Areas of Controversy and Issues to be Resolved	ES-16

	<u>Page</u>
1. Introduction and Background	1-1
1.1 Introduction	1-1
1.2 Lead Agency Roles	1-3
1.2.1 California Public Utilities Commission	1-3
1.2.2 Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary	1-4
1.3 Project Objectives and Purpose and Need	1-5
1.3.1 Project Objectives	1-5
1.4 Project Setting and Background	1-8
1.4.1 The Coastal Water Project	1-9
1.4.2 The Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project	1-10
1.4.3 Environmental Review: Context for this Final EIR/EIS	1-10
1.4.4 Revisions Made in the 2017 Draft EIR/EIS Compared to 2015 Draft EIR	1-12
1.5 Environmental Review Process and Use of This Document	1-14
1.5.1 Notice of Preparation, Notice of Intent, and Scoping	1-14
1.5.2 Draft EIR/EIS and Public Review	1-15
1.5.3 Final EIR/EIS and Revisions Made to the Draft EIR/EIS	1-16
1.5.4 Use of this EIR/EIS in Decision Making	1-17
1.6 Organization of Final EIR/EIS	1-19
2. Water Demand, Supplies, and Water Rights	2-1
2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.2 Background	2-2
2.2.1 Existing Water System	2-2
2.2.2 Historical Sources of Supply	2-4
2.2.3 State Water Board Order 95-10 and Cease and Desist Orders 2009-0060 and 2016-0016	2-7
2.2.4 Seaside Groundwater Basin Adjudication	2-8
2.3 CalAm Service Area Demand	2-10
2.3.1 Existing System Demand	2-11
2.3.2 Other Service Area Demand Assumptions	2-13
2.3.3 2010 Urban Water Management Plan Demand Estimates	2-16
2.4 Available Supplies	2-17
2.4.1 Carmel River System	2-18
2.4.2 Seaside Groundwater Basin Supplies	2-19
2.4.3 Aquifer Storage and Recovery	2-19
2.4.4 Sand City Coastal Desalination Plant	2-20
2.4.5 Groundwater Replenishment Project	2-20
2.4.6 Other supplies	2-21
2.5 Other Supply and Demand Considerations	2-23
2.5.1 Salinas Valley Groundwater Basin Return Water	2-23
2.5.2 Potential Future Changes in Supply	2-24
2.5.3 Potential Future Changes in Demand	2-25
2.5.4 Assumptions about the Allocation of MPWSP Water	2-30
2.6 Water Rights	2-31
2.6.1 State Water Resources Control Board Report	2-33
2.6.2 Project Water Rights	2-36
2.6.3 Effect of Monterey County Water Resources Agency Act	2-41
2.6.4 Effect of Annexation Agreement	2-42

	<u>Page</u>
3. Description of the Proposed Project	3-1
3.1 Introduction	3-1
3.1.1 Introduction to Project Description	3-1
3.1.2 Summary of Changes Made by CalAm to Project Description	3-7
3.2 Project Components	3-8
3.2.1 Source Water Intake System	3-17
3.2.2 MPWSP Desalination Plant	3-21
3.2.3 Desalinated Water Conveyance	3-31
3.2.4 Proposed ASR Facilities	3-45
3.2.5 Electrical Power Facilities	3-48
3.3 Construction	3-48
3.3.1 Site Preparation and Construction Staging	3-48
3.3.2 Well Drilling and Development and Related Site Improvements	3-49
3.3.3 Desalination Plant Construction	3-51
3.3.4 Pipeline Installation	3-52
3.3.5 Carmel Valley Pump Station	3-56
3.3.6 Installation of Powerlines	3-56
3.3.7 Spoils Management and Disposal	3-57
3.3.8 Construction Schedule	3-57
3.4 Operations and Maintenance	3-58
3.4.1 Operation of the Seawater Intake System, MPWSP Desalination Plant, and Brine Discharges	3-58
3.4.2 Operation of the ASR System	3-60
3.4.3 Desalinated Water Conveyance Facilities	3-61
3.4.4 Payback to Seaside Groundwater Basin	3-61
3.4.5 Power Demand	3-62
3.5 Permits, Approvals, and Regulatory Requirements	3-62
4. Environmental Setting (Affected Environment), Impacts, and Mitigation Measures	
4.1 Overview	4.1-1
4.1.1 Scope of Analysis	4.1-5
4.1.2 Resources/Issues Not Affected	4.1-7
4.1.3 Baseline Conditions	4.1-8
4.1.4 Impact Terminology	4.1-9
4.1.5 Project Consistency Analysis	4.1-10
4.1.6 Mitigation Measures	4.1-11
4.1.7 Cumulative Effects	4.1-12
4.1.7.1 Approach to the Analysis of Cumulative Effects	4.1-13
4.1.7.2 Cumulative Scenario	4.1-14
4.2 Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	4.2-1
4.2.1 Setting/Affected Environment	4.2-2
4.2.2 Regulatory Framework	4.2-30
4.2.3 Evaluation Criteria	4.2-45
4.2.4 Approach to Analysis	4.2-46
4.2.5 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.2-53
4.2.5.1 Construction Impacts	4.2-54
4.2.5.2 Operational and Facility Siting Impacts	4.2-56
4.2.6 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.2-74

	<u>Page</u>
4. Environmental Setting (Affected Environment), Impacts, and Mitigation Measures (continued)	
4.3 Surface Water Hydrology and Water Quality	4.3-1
4.3.1 Setting/Affected Environment	4.3-3
4.3.2 Regulatory Framework	4.3-19
4.3.3 Evaluation Criteria	4.3-57
4.3.4 Approach to Analysis	4.3-58
4.3.5 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.3-60
4.3.5.1 Construction Impacts	4.3-60
4.3.5.2 Operational and Facility Siting Impacts	4.3-68
4.3.5.3 Secondary Impacts of Mitigation Measure 4.3-5	4.3-108
4.3.6 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.3-122
4.4 Groundwater Resources	4.4-1
4.4.1 Setting/Affected Environment	4.4-3
4.4.2 Regulatory Framework	4.4-37
4.4.3 Evaluation Criteria	4.4-47
4.4.4 Approach to Analysis	4.4-48
4.4.5 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.4-61
4.4.5.1 Construction Impacts	4.4-61
4.4.5.2 Operations and Facility Siting Impacts	4.4-64
4.4.6 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.4-103
4.5 Marine Resources	4.5-1
4.5.1 Setting/Affected Environment	4.5-2
4.5.2 Regulatory Framework	4.5-29
4.5.3 Evaluation Criteria	4.5-44
4.5.4 Approach to Analysis	4.5-44
4.5.5 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.5-46
4.5.5.1 Construction Impacts	4.5-47
4.5.5.2 Operational and Facility Siting Impacts	4.5-52
4.5.6 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.5-68
4.6 Terrestrial Biological Resources	4.6-1
4.6.1 Setting/Affected Environment	4.6-4
4.6.2 Regulatory Framework	4.6-91
4.6.3 Evaluation Criteria	4.6-125
4.6.4 Approach to Analysis	4.6-126
4.6.5 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.6-128
4.6.5.1 Construction Impacts	4.6-128
4.6.5.2 Operational and Facility Siting Impacts	4.6-246
4.6.6 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.6-267
4.7 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	4.7-1
4.7.1 Setting/Affected Environment	4.7-1
4.7.2 Regulatory Framework	4.7-13
4.7.3 Evaluation Criteria	4.7-25
4.7.4 Approach to Analysis	4.7-26
4.7.5 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.7-26
4.7.5.1 Construction Impacts	4.7-27
4.7.5.2 Operational and Facility Siting Impacts	4.7-34
4.7.6 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.7-38

	<u>Page</u>
4. Environmental Setting (Affected Environment), Impacts, and Mitigation Measures (continued)	
4.8 Land Use, Land Use Planning, and Recreation	4.8-1
4.8.1 Setting/Affected Environment	4.8-1
4.8.2 Regulatory Framework	4.8-13
4.8.3 Evaluation Criteria	4.8-32
4.8.4 Approach to Analysis	4.8-32
4.8.5 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.8-33
4.8.6 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.8-38
4.9 Traffic and Transportation	4.9-1
4.9.1 Setting/Affected Environment	4.9-1
4.9.2 Regulatory Framework	4.9-6
4.9.3 Evaluation Criteria	4.9-11
4.9.4 Approach to Analysis	4.9-13
4.9.5 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.9-15
4.9.5.1 Construction Impacts	4.9-16
4.9.5.2 Operational and Facility Siting Impacts	4.9-34
4.9.6 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.9-35
4.10 Air Quality	4.10-1
4.10.1 Setting/Affected Environment	4.10-2
4.10.2 Regulatory Framework	4.10-8
4.10.3 Evaluation Criteria	4.10-17
4.10.4 Approach to Analysis	4.10-18
4.10.5 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.10-21
4.10.5.1 Construction Impacts	4.10-21
4.10.5.2 Operational and Facility Siting Impacts	4.10-29
4.10.6 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.10-32
4.11 Greenhouse Gas Emissions	4.11-1
4.11.1 Setting/Affected Environment	4.11-2
4.11.2 Regulatory Framework	4.11-5
4.11.3 Evaluation Criteria	4.11-10
4.11.4 Approach to Analysis	4.11-11
4.11.5 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.11-15
4.11.6 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.11-23
4.12 Noise and Vibration	4.12-1
4.12.1 Key Concepts and Terminology	4.12-2
4.12.2 Setting/Affected Environment	4.12-4
4.12.3 Regulatory Framework	4.12-7
4.12.4 Evaluation Criteria	4.12-17
4.12.5 Approach to Analysis	4.12-18
4.12.6 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.12-20
4.12.6.1 Construction Impacts	4.12-21
4.12.6.2 Operational and Facility Siting Impacts	4.12-56
4.12.7 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.12-63
4.13 Public Services and Utilities	4.13-1
4.13.1 Setting/Affected Environment	4.13-2
4.13.2 Regulatory Framework	4.13-7
4.13.3 Evaluation Criteria	4.13-13
4.13.4 Approach to Analysis	4.13-13

	<u>Page</u>
4. Environmental Setting (Affected Environment), Impacts, and Mitigation Measures (continued)	
4.13.5 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.13-14
4.13.5.1 Construction Impacts	4.13-16
4.13.5.2 Operational and Facility Siting Impacts	4.13-21
4.13.5.3 Secondary Impacts of Mitigation Measure 4.13-5a	4.13-30
4.13.5.4 Secondary Impacts of Mitigation Measure 4.13-5b	4.13-33
4.13.6 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.13-36
4.14 Aesthetic Resources	4.14-1
4.14.1 Introduction, Key Concepts, and Terminology	4.14-1
4.14.2 Setting/Affected Environment	4.14-3
4.14.3 Regulatory Framework	4.14-19
4.14.4 Evaluation Criteria	4.14-27
4.14.5 Approach to Analysis	4.14-27
4.14.6 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.14-28
4.14.6.1 Construction Impacts	4.14-29
4.14.6.2 Operational and Facility Siting Impacts	4.14-38
4.14.7 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.14-46
4.15 Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4.15-1
4.15.1 Introduction	4.15-1
4.15.2 Setting/Affected Environment	4.15-4
4.15.3 Regulatory Framework	4.15-29
4.15.4 Evaluation Criteria	4.15-41
4.15.5 Approach to Analysis	4.15-42
4.15.6 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.15-44
4.15.6.1 Construction Impacts	4.15-45
4.15.7 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.15-51
4.16 Agricultural Resources	4.16-1
4.16.1 Setting/Affected Environment	4.16-1
4.16.2 Regulatory Framework	4.16-7
4.16.3 Evaluation Criteria	4.16-13
4.16.4 Approach to Analysis	4.16-13
4.16.5 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.16-13
4.16.5.1 Construction Impacts	4.16-14
4.16.5.2 Operational and Facility Siting Impacts	4.16-17
4.16.6 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.16-21
4.17 Mineral Resources	4.17-1
4.17.1 Setting/Affected Environment	4.17-1
4.17.2 Regulatory Framework	4.17-4
4.17.3 Evaluation Criteria	4.17-9
4.17.4 Approach to Analysis	4.17-9
4.17.5 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.17-9
4.17.5.1 Construction and Facility Siting Impacts	4.17-10
4.17.6 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.17-12
4.18 Energy Conservation	4.18-1
4.18.1 Setting/Affected Environment	4.18-2
4.18.2 Regulatory Framework	4.18-4
4.18.3 Evaluation Criteria	4.18-11
4.18.4 Approach to Analysis	4.18-11

	<u>Page</u>
4. Environmental Setting (Affected Environment), Impacts, and Mitigation Measures (continued)	
4.18.5 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.18-13
4.18.5.1 Construction Impacts	4.18-14
4.18.5.2 Operational and Facility Siting Impacts	4.18-15
4.18.6 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.18-18
4.19 Population and Housing	4.19-1
4.19.1 Setting/Affected Environment	4.19-1
4.19.2 Regulatory Framework	4.19-4
4.19.3 Evaluation Criteria	4.19-4
4.19.4 Approach to Analysis	4.19-4
4.19.5 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.19-5
4.19.5.1 Construction Impacts	4.19-5
4.19.5.2 Operational and Facility Siting Impacts	4.19-6
4.19.6 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.19-7
4.20 Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice	4.20-1
4.20.1 Setting/Affected Environment	4.20-2
4.20.2 Regulatory Framework	4.20-9
4.20.3 Evaluation Criteria	4.20-12
4.20.4 Approach to Analysis	4.20-13
4.20.5 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Proposed Project	4.20-14
4.20.5.1 Socioeconomics	4.20-14
4.20.5.2 Environmental Justice	4.20-16
4.20.6 Cumulative Effects of the Proposed Project	4.20-19
5. Alternatives Screening and Analysis	
5.1 Introduction and Overview	5.1-1
5.1.1 Alternatives Analysis – CEQA/NEPA Requirements	5.1-2
5.1.2 Project Objectives and Significant Impacts	5.1-3
5.2 Alternatives Not Evaluated in Detail	5.2-1
5.2.1 Overview	5.2-1
5.2.2 New Los Padres Dam and Reservoir/Carmel River Dam and Reservoir Project	5.2-1
5.2.3 CPUC Water Supply Contingency Plan (“Plan B”)	5.2-2
5.2.4 Coastal Water Project	5.2-4
5.2.5 MCWRA Interlake Tunnel and Spillway Modification Project	5.2-5
5.2.6 Pure Water Monterey Groundwater Replenishment Project	5.2-6
5.2.7 Siting Alternatives for ASR-5 and ASR-6 Wells	5.2-7
5.3 Alternatives Development, Screening and Evaluation Process	5.3-1
5.3.1 Regulatory Considerations	5.3-1
5.3.2 Component Development and Screening Process	5.3-5
5.3.3 Intake Options Screening Results	5.3-6
5.3.4 Outfall Options Screening Results	5.3-19
5.3.5 Desalination Plant Site Options Screening Results	5.3-27
5.3.6 Evaluation of Intake, Outfall, and Desalination Plant Options	5.3-29
5.4 Description of Alternatives Evaluated in Detail	5.4-1
5.4.1 Overview	5.4-1
5.4.2 No Project Alternative	5.4-4
5.4.3 Alternative 1 – Slant Wells at Potrero Road	5.4-12
5.4.4 Alternative 2 – Open-Water Intake at Moss Landing	5.4-17

	<u>Page</u>
5. Alternatives Screening and Analysis (continued)	
5.4.5 Alternative 3 – Monterey Bay Regional Water Project (MBRWP or DeepWater Desal Project)	5.4-21
5.4.6 Alternative 4 – People’s Moss Landing Water Desalination Project (People’s Project)	5.4-40
5.4.7 Alternative 5a – Reduced Project 6.4-mgd Desalination Plant (<i>Intake Slant Wells at CEMEX</i>)	5.4-50
5.4.8 Alternative 5b – Reduced Project 6.4-mgd Desalination Plant (<i>Intake Slant Wells at Potrero Road</i>)	5.4-59
5.5 Alternatives Impact Analysis	5.5-1
5.5.1 Overview	5.5-2
5.5.2 Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	5.5-5
5.5.3 Surface Water Hydrology and Water Quality	5.5-29
5.5.4 Groundwater Resources	5.5-80
5.5.5 Marine Biological Resources	5.5-110
5.5.6 Terrestrial Biological Resources	5.5-138
5.5.7 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	5.5-172
5.5.8 Land Use, Land Use Planning, and Recreation	5.5-187
5.5.9 Traffic and Transportation	5.5-202
5.5.10 Air Quality	5.5-217
5.5.11 Greenhouse Gas Emissions	5.5-235
5.5.12 Noise and Vibration	5.5-246
5.5.13 Public Services and Utilities	5.5-265
5.5.14 Aesthetic Resources	5.5-281
5.5.15 Cultural and Paleontological Resources	5.5-302
5.5.16 Agricultural Resources	5.5-314
5.5.17 Mineral Resources	5.5-325
5.5.18 Energy Conservation	5.5-332
5.5.19 Population and Housing	5.5-343
5.5.20 Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice	5.5-352
5.5.21 Growth Inducement	5.5-372
5.6 Environmentally Superior/Environmentally Preferred Alternative, and NOAA-Preferred Alternative	5.6-1
5.6.1 Summary and Comparison of Impacts of Alternatives	5.6-2
5.6.2 Determination of Environmentally Superior/Environmentally Preferred and NOAA-Preferred Alternative	5.6-6
6. Other Considerations	6-1
6.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Effects	6-1
6.2 Significant Irreversible Changes and Short-Term versus Long-Term Uses	6-3
6.2.1 Irreversible Changes	6-3
6.2.2 Short-Term versus Long-Term Uses	6-4
6.3 Growth-Inducing Impacts	6-5
6.3.1 Introduction	6-5
6.3.2 Relationship between Land Use Planning and Water Supply	6-6
6.3.3 Regulatory Framework	6-10
6.3.4 Approach to Analysis	6-11
6.3.5 Growth-Inducement Potential	6-12
6.3.6 Secondary Effects of Growth	6-38
6.3.7 Growth Inducement Potential of Cumulative Water Supply Projects	6-45
6.4 Project Consistency with Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary Desalination Guidelines	6-46

	<u>Page</u>
7. Report Preparation	7-1
7.1 Coordination and Consultations	7-1
7.1.1 Endangered Species Act Section 7	7-1
7.1.2 Magnuson Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Essential Fish Habitat Consultation	7-2
7.1.3 National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 Compliance	7-3
7.1.4 Coastal Zone Management Act Federal Consistency Review	7-4
7.2 EIR/EIS Preparers	7-4
8. Draft EIR/EIS Comments and Responses	
8.1 Introduction	8.1-1
8.1.1 Responses to Comments Methodology and Organization	8.1-2
8.1.2 List of Commenters	8.1-3
8.2 Master Responses	
8.2.1 Master Response 1: EIR/EIS Authorship	8.2-1
8.2.2 Master Response 2: Source Water Components and Definitions	8.2-2
8.2.3 Master Response 3: Water Rights	8.2-4
8.2.3.1 Purpose of EIR/EIS Water Rights Section	8.2-5
8.2.3.2 Sequence of Approvals Vis-à-vis Water Rights	8.2-5
8.2.3.3 Authority and Experience of SWRCB to Opine on Water Rights	8.2-7
8.2.3.4 Description of Supply Water	8.2-8
8.2.3.5 Water Rights Analysis and Conclusions	8.2-8
8.2.3.6 Return Water	8.2-13
8.2.3.7 Effects on Marina Coast Water District	8.2-13
8.2.3.8 Implications of Annexation Agreement	8.2-14
8.2.3.9 Recirculation of Draft EIR/EIS	8.2-15
8.2.4 Master Response 4: The Agency Act and Return Water	8.2-17
8.2.4.1 Agency Act Compliance and Location of Return Water	8.2-17
8.2.4.2 Required Amount of Return Water	8.2-19
8.2.4.3 Anticipated versus Actual Amount of Return Water	8.2-19
8.2.5 Master Response 5: The Role of the Hydrogeologic Working Group and its Relationship to the EIR/EIS	8.2-24
8.2.5.1 2013 Settlement Agreement	8.2-24
8.2.5.2 Establishment and Role of HWG	8.2-24
8.2.5.3 HWG Members	8.2-25
8.2.5.4 HWG Activities	8.2-25
8.2.5.5 Relationship to CEQA/NEPA Analysis	8.2-26
8.2.5.6 Potential Conflicts of Interest and Independent Judgement	8.2-27
8.2.6 Master Response 6: The Sustainable Groundwater Management Act	8.2-31
8.2.6.1 Sustainable Groundwater Management Act	8.2-31
8.2.6.2 Basins and Subbasins	8.2-32
8.2.6.3 Project Consistency with SGMA	8.2-36
8.2.7 Master Response 7: The Deeper Aquifers of the Salinas Valley Groundwater Basin	8.2-37
8.2.7.1 The Deeper Aquifers – Terms, Characteristics, and Production	8.2-37
8.2.7.2 Computer Model Response in the Deeper Aquifer	8.2-38

	<u>Page</u>
8. Draft EIR/EIS Comments and Responses (continued)	
8.2.8 Master Response 8: Project Source Water and Seawater Intrusion	8.2-39
8.2.8.1 Cone of Depression and Capture Zone	8.2-39
8.2.8.2 Groundwater Quality within the Capture Zone	8.2-47
8.2.8.3 Ocean Water Percentage	8.2-49
8.2.8.4 Summary of Impact Conclusions	8.2-49
8.2.9 Master Response 9: Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) and Airborne Electromagnetics (AEM)	8.2-53
8.2.9.1 ERT Technology, Application, and Recent Studies	8.2-53
8.2.9.2 ERT/AEM Requires Ground-Truthing: Correlation with Actual Subsurface Data	8.2-55
8.2.9.3 Use of ERT/AEM Results in the Analysis of Groundwater Impacts in the EIR/EIS	8.2-57
8.2.10 Master Response 10: Environmental Baseline under CEQA and NEPA	8.2-63
8.2.11 Master Response 11: CalAm Test Slant Well	8.2-65
8.2.11.1 Background	8.2-65
8.2.11.2 Coastal Development Permit and CEQA Review for Test Slant Well	8.2-66
8.2.11.3 MBNMS Authorizations and NEPA Review for Test Slant Well	8.2-66
8.2.11.4 Monitoring Wells	8.2-67
8.2.11.5 The Long-Term Pump Test	8.2-67
8.2.11.6 Use of the Test Well Data in the EIR/EIS	8.2-73
8.2.11.7 Conversion of Test Slant Well to Permanent Well	8.2-73
8.2.11.8 New Technology	8.2-74
8.2.11.9 Slant Well Angle	8.2-77
8.2.12 Master Response 12: The North Marina Groundwater Model (v.2016)	8.2-79
8.2.12.1 NMGWM2016 Purpose and Approach	8.2-79
8.2.12.2 Calibration Assessment	8.2-86
8.2.12.3 Superposition	8.2-93
8.2.12.4 Sensitivity Analysis	8.2-97
8.2.13 Master Response 13: Demand (Project Need) and Growth	8.2-99
8.2.13.1 Purpose of the EIR/EIS Demand and Supply Information	8.2-100
8.2.13.2 Demand Assumptions	8.2-100
8.2.13.3 Supply Assumptions	8.2-106
8.2.13.4 Growth Inducement	8.2-114
8.2.13.5 Alternative Supply and Demand Assumptions	8.2-117
8.2.14 Master Response 14: CEMEX Settlement Agreement	8.2-121
8.2.14.1 CEMEX and CCC Settlement Agreement	8.2-122
8.2.14.2 Final EIR/EIS Considerations	8.2-123
8.2.15 Master Response 15: Alternative Desalination Projects – Status, Information Sources, and Cumulative Scenario	8.2-127
8.2.15.1 Monterey Bay Regional Water Project (MBRWP or DeepWater Desal) Status	8.2-127
8.2.15.2 People’s Project Status and Basis for Analysis	8.2-127
8.2.15.3 Cumulative Impact Scenario Related to DeepWater Desal Project and People’s Project	8.2-129

	<u>Page</u>
8. Draft EIR/EIS Comments and Responses (continued)	
8.3 Federal Agency Comments and Responses	8.3-1
<i>Federal Agency Comment Letters</i>	
8.3.1 Department of the Army, Fort Ord Base Realignment and Closure Field Office (FOBRAC)	8.3-3
8.3.2 Department of the Army, US Army Installation Management Command (USARMY)	8.3-5
8.3.3 Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary Advisory Council, Research Activity Panel (MBNMS RAP)	8.3-16
8.3.4 United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)	8.3-31
8.3.5 United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)	8.3-24
<i>Responses to Federal Agency Comments</i>	
8.3.1 Department of the Army, Fort Ord Base Realignment and Closure Field Office (FOBRAC)	8.3-28
8.3.2 Department of the Army, US Army Installation Management Command (USARMY)	8.3-31
8.3.3 Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary Advisory Council, Research Activity Panel (MBNMS RAP)	8.3-50
8.3.4 United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)	8.3-56
8.3.5 United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)	8.3-58
8.4 State Agency Comments and Responses	8.4-1
<i>State Agency Comment Letters</i>	
8.4.1 California Coastal Commission (CCC)	8.4-3
8.4.2 California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)	8.4-5
8.4.3 California Department of Parks and Recreation (CA Parks)	8.4-14
8.4.4 California State Lands Commission (CSLC)	8.4-17
8.4.5 State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)	8.4-21
<i>Responses to State Agency Comment Letters</i>	
8.4.1 California Coastal Commission (CCC)	8.4-25
8.4.2 California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)	8.4-26
8.4.3 California Department of Parks and Recreation (CA Parks)	8.4-30
8.4.4 California State Lands Commission (CSLC)	8.4-34
8.4.5 State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)	8.4-35
8.5 Local Agency Comments and Responses	8.5-1
<i>Local Agency Comment Letters</i>	
8.5.1 City of Marina	8.5-3
City of Marina – Main Letter	8.5-3
City of Marina – Jacobson James & Associates Letter	8.5-100
8.5.2 Marina Coast Water District (MCWD)	8.5-129
MCWD – Main Letter	8.5-129
MCWD – Hopkins Groundwater Consultants (HGC)	8.5-251
MCWD – GeoHydros (MCWD-GH)	8.5-345
MCWD – EKI	8.5-386
MCWD – IntakeWorks	8.5-418
MCWD – Hopkins Groundwater Consultants2 (HGC2)	8.5-439
MCWD – EK12	8.5-512
8.5.3 Monterey Bay Air Resources District (MBARD)	8.5-523
8.5.4 Monterey County Resource Management Agency (MCRMA)	8.5-525
8.5.5 Monterey County Water Resources Agency (MCWRA)	8.5-527

	<u>Page</u>
8. Draft EIR/EIS Comments and Responses (continued)	
<i>Local Agency Comment Letters (continued)</i>	
8.5.6 Monterey Peninsula Regional Water Authority (MPRWA)	8.5-529
8.5.7 Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (MPWMD)	8.5-532
8.5.8 Monterey Regional Waste Management District (MRWMD)	8.5-544
8.5.9 Monterey Regional Water Pollution Control Agency (MRWPCA)	8.5-545
<i>Responses to Local Agency Comment Letters</i>	
8.5.1 City of Marina	8.5-557
8.5.1.1 City of Marina – Main Letter	8.5-557
8.5.1.2 City of Marina – Jacobson James & Associates Letter	8.5-632
8.5.2 Marina Coast Water District (MCWD)	8.5-649
8.5.2.1 MCWD – Main Letter	8.5-649
8.5.2.2 MCWD – Hopkins Groundwater Consultants (HGC)	8.5-728
8.5.2.3 MCWD – GeoHydros	8.5-744
8.5.2.4 MCWD – EKI	8.5-755
8.5.2.5 MCWD – IntakeWorks	8.5-765
8.5.2.6 MCWD – Hopkins Groundwater Consultants2 (HGC2)	8.5-773
8.5.2.7 MCWD – EK12	8.5-780
8.5.3 Monterey Bay Air Resources District (MBARD)	8.5-787
8.5.4 Monterey County Resource Management Agency (MCRMA)	8.5-792
8.5.5 Monterey County Water Resources Agency (MCWRA)	8.5-795
8.5.6 Monterey Peninsula Regional Water Authority (MPRWA)	8.5-797
8.5.7 Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (MPWMD)	8.5-800
8.5.8 Monterey Regional Waste Management District (MRWMD)	8.5-826
8.5.9 Monterey Regional Water Pollution Control Agency (MRWPCA)	8.5-827
8.6 Organizations Comments and Responses	8.6-1
<i>Organizations Comment Letters</i>	
8.6.1 Ag Land Trust (ALT)	8.6-3
Ag Land Trust – Letter 1 (ALT1)	8.6-3
Ag Land Trust – Letter 2 (ALT2)	8.6-7
Ag Land Trust – Letter 3 (ALT3)	8.6-9
8.6.2 California Unions for Reliable Energy (CURE)	8.6-10
CURE – Main Letter	8.6-10
CURE – Fox Letter	8.6-99
CURE – Owens Letter	8.6-152
CURE - Sobczynski Letter	8.6-200
8.6.3 California-American Water Company (Cal-Am)	8.6-234
8.6.4 Carmel River Steelhead Association (CRSA)	8.6-259
8.6.5 Carmel River Watershed Conservancy (CRWC)	8.6-260
8.6.6 CEMEX	8.6-262
8.6.7 Citizens for Just Water (CJW)	8.6-273
8.6.8 Coalition of Peninsula Businesses (CPB)	8.6-284
8.6.9 Deep Water Desal, LLC (DWD)	8.6-288
8.6.10 Ecological Rights Foundation (ERF), the Center for Biological Diversity (CDB), and Our Children’s Earth Foundation (OCEF)	8.6-316
8.6.11 Fort Ord Rec Users (FORU)	8.6-339
8.6.12 Just Water (JW)	8.6-347
8.6.13 Land Watch Monterey County (LWMC)	8.6-353
8.6.14 Pebble Beach Company (PBC)	8.6-362
8.6.15 Point Blue Conservation Science (Point Blue)	8.6-366
8.6.16 Public Trust Alliance (PTA)	8.6-369

	<u>Page</u>
8. Draft EIR/EIS Comments and Responses (continued)	
<i>Organizations Comment Letters (continued)</i>	
8.6.17 Public Water Now (PWN)	8.6-385
Public Water Now – Letter 1 (PWN1)	8.6-385
Public Water Now – Letter 2 (PWN2)	8.6-388
Public Water Now – Letter 3 (PWN3)	8.6-411
8.6.18 Salinas Valley Water Company (SVWC) and Monterey County Farm Bureau (MCFB)	8.6-413
8.6.19 Surfrider Foundation (Surfrider)	8.6-419
8.6.20 Water Ratepayers Association of the Monterey Peninsula (WRAMP)	8.6-433
WRAMP – Letter 1 (WRAMP1)	8.6-433
WRAMP – Letter 2 (WRAMP2)	8.6-439
WRAMP – Letter 3 (WRAMP3)	8.6-440
WRAMP – Letter 4 (WRAMP4)	8.6-441
<i>Responses to Organizations Comments</i>	
8.6.1 Ag Land Trust (ALT)	8.6-449
8.6.1.1 Ag Land Trust – Letter 1	8.6-449
8.6.1.2 Ag Land Trust – Letter 2	8.6-452
8.6.1.3 Ag Land Trust – Letter 3	8.6-453
8.6.2 California Unions for Reliable Energy (CURE)	8.6-454
8.6.2.1 CURE – Main Letter	8.6-454
8.6.2.2 CURE – Fox Letter	8.6-465
8.6.2.3 CURE – Owens Letter	8.6-496
8.6.2.4 CURE - Sobczynski Letter	8.6-512
8.6.3 California-American Water Company (Cal-Am)	8.6-519
8.6.4 Carmel River Steelhead Association (CRSA)	8.6-527
8.6.5 Carmel River Watershed Conservancy (CRWC)	8.6-528
8.6.6 CEMEX	8.6-529
8.6.7 Citizens for Just Water (CJW)	8.6-537
8.6.8 Coalition of Peninsula Businesses (CPB)	8.6-541
8.6.9 Deep Water Desal, LLC (DWD)	8.6-543
8.6.10 Ecological Rights Foundation (ERF), the Center for Biological Diversity (CDB), and Our Children’s Earth Foundation (OCEF)	8.6-552
8.6.11 Fort Ord Rec Users (FORU)	8.6-569
8.6.12 Just Water (JW)	8.6-573
8.6.13 Land Watch Monterey County (LWMC)	8.6-574
8.6.14 Pebble Beach Company (PBC)	8.6-581
8.6.15 Point Blue Conservation Science	8.6-582
8.6.16 Public Trust Alliance (PTA)	8.6-584
8.6.17 Public Water Now (PWN)	8.6-592
8.6.17.1 Public Water Now – Letter 1	8.6-592
8.6.17.2 Public Water Now – Letter 2	8.6-592
8.6.17.3 Public Water Now – Letter 3	8.6-605
8.6.18 Salinas Valley Water Company (SVWC) and Monterey County Farm Bureau (MCFB)	8.6-607
8.6.19 Surfrider Foundation	8.6-608
8.6.20 Water Ratepayers Association of the Monterey Peninsula (WRAMP)	8.6-615
8.6.20.1 WRAMP – Letter 1	8.6-615
8.6.20.2 WRAMP – Letter 2	8.6-616
8.6.20.3 WRAMP – Letter 3	8.6-616
8.6.20.4 WRAMP – Letter 4	8.6-616

	<u>Page</u>
8. Draft EIR/EIS Comments and Responses (continued)	
8.7 Individual(s) Comments and Responses	8.7-1
<i>Individual Comment Letters</i>	
8.7.1 Michael Baer	8.7-3
8.7.2 David Beech	8.7-14
David Beech – Letter 1	8.7-14
David Beech – Letter 2	8.7-21
David Beech – Letter 3	8.7-27
David Beech – Letter 4	8.7-31
David Beech – Letter 5	8.7-45
David Beech – Letter 6	8.7-48
8.7.3 Kathy Biala	8.7-49
Kathy Biala – Letter 1	8.7-49
Kathy Biala – Letter 2	8.7-80
Kathy Biala – Letter 3	8.7-85
8.7.4 William Bourcier	8.7-86
8.7.5 David Brown	8.7-91
8.7.6 Charles Cech	8.7-94
8.7.7 Bob Coble	8.7-101
8.7.8 Margaret-Anne Coppernoll	8.7-102
8.7.9 Herbert Cortez	8.7-124
8.7.10 Bruce Delgado	8.7-129
Bruce Delgado – Letter 1	8.7-129
Bruce Delgado – Letter 2	8.7-131
8.7.11 Myrleen Fisher	8.7-132
8.7.12 David Gorman	8.7-138
8.7.13 Jane Haines	8.7-140
8.7.14 Clifton Herrmann	8.7-141
8.7.15 Juli Hofmann	8.7-143
8.7.16 Thomas Moore	8.7-154
8.7.17 Hebard Olsen	8.7-157
8.7.18 Larry Parrish	8.7-158
8.7.19 Paula Pelot	8.7-160
8.7.20 Carol Reeb	8.7-161
8.7.21 Dick Rotter	8.7-164
8.7.22 Nancy Selfridge	8.7-165
Nancy Selfridge – Letter 1	8.7-165
Nancy Selfridge – Letter 2	8.7-169
Nancy Selfridge – Letter 3	8.7-174
8.7.23 Jan Shriner	8.7-178
8.7.24 Roy Thomas	8.7-181
<i>Responses to Individual Comments</i>	
8.7.1 Michael Baer	8.7-183
8.7.2 David Beech	8.7-189
8.7.2.1 David Beech – Letter 1	8.7-189
8.7.2.2 David Beech – Letter 2	8.7-190
8.7.2.3 David Beech – Letter 3	8.7-192
8.7.2.4 David Beech – Letter 4	8.7-195
8.7.2.5 David Beech – Letter 5	8.7-197
8.7.2.6 David Beech – Letter 6	8.7-198

	<u>Page</u>
8. Draft EIR/EIS Comments and Responses (continued)	
<i>Responses to Individual Comments (continued)</i>	
8.7.3 Kathy Biala	8.7-199
8.7.3.1 Kathy Biala – Letter 1	8.7-199
8.7.3.2 Kathy Biala – Letter 2	8.7-213
8.7.3.3 Kathy Biala – Letter 3	8.7-216
8.7.4 William Bourcier	8.7-218
8.7.5 David Brown	8.7-223
8.7.6 Charles Cech	8.7-224
8.7.7 Bob Coble	8.7-228
8.7.8 Margaret-Anne Coppernoll	8.7-229
8.7.9 Herbert Cortez	8.7-243
8.7.10 Bruce Delgado	8.7-244
8.7.10.1 Bruce Delgado – Letter 1	8.7-244
8.7.10.2 Bruce Delgado – Letter 2	8.7-244
8.7.11 Myrleen Fisher	8.7-245
8.7.12 David Gorman	8.7-248
8.7.13 Jane Haines	8.7-249
8.7.14 Clifton Herrmann	8.7-250
8.7.15 Juli Hofmann	8.7-252
8.7.16 Thomas Moore	8.7-261
8.7.17 Hebard Olsen	8.7-262
8.7.18 Larry Parrish	8.7-263
8.7.19 Paula Pelot	8.7-266
8.7.20 Carol Reeb	8.7-267
8.7.21 Dick Rotter	8.7-272
8.7.22 Nancy Selfridge	8.7-274
8.7.22.1 Nancy Selfridge – Letter 1	8.7-274
8.7.22.2 Nancy Selfridge – Letter 2	8.7-275
8.7.22.3 Nancy Selfridge – Letter 3	8.7-280
8.7.23 Jan Shriner	8.7-282
8.7.24 Roy Thomas	8.7-287
8.8 Form Letters and Responses	8.8-1
<i>Form Letters</i>	
8.8.1 Form Letter 1	8.8-3
8.8.2 Form Letter 2	8.8-10
<i>Responses to Form Letters</i>	
8.8.1 Form Letter 1	8.8-27
8.8.2 Form Letter 2	8.8-29
8.9 Draft EIR/EIS Public Meeting Verbal Comments	8.9-1
8.9.1 Public Meeting Verbal Comments Transcript	8.9-3
8.9.1 Responses to Public Meeting Verbal Comments	8.9-23

Index**I-1**

	<u>Page</u>
Appendices	
A. NOP and NOI Scoping Report	A-1
A1. Draft and Final EIR/EIS Distribution List	A1-1
B1. MPWSP Plant Sizing Data: Various Five- and Ten-Year Normal, Dry, and Maximum Month Demand Scenarios	B1-1
B2. State Water Board Final Analysis of the Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project	B2-1
C1. Coastal Water Elevations and Sea Level Rise Scenarios	C1-1
C2. Analysis of Historic and Future Coastal Erosion with Sea Level Rise	C2-1
C3. Exploratory Borehole Results	C3-1
D1. Modeling Brine Disposal into Monterey Bay – Supplement, Final Report	D1-1
D2. Brine Discharge Diffuser Analysis	D2-1
D3. Ocean Plan Compliance Assessment	D3-1
E1. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratories Peer Review	E1-1
E2. North Marina Groundwater Model Review, Revision, and Implementation for Slant Well Pumping Scenarios	E2-1
E3. HWG Hydrogeologic Investigation Technical Report	
F. Terrestrial Special-status Plant and Wildlife Species Considered	F-1
G1. Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Estimates	G1-1
G2. Trussell Technologies Inc. Technical Memorandum, Response to CalAm MPWSP DEIR	G2-1
H. Pure Water Monterey GWR Project Consolidated Final EIR Chapter 2 Project Description	H-1
I1. Open-Water and Subsurface Intakes	I1-1
I2. Component Screening Results – Component Options Not Carried Forward	I2-1
J1. Coastal Water Project EIR Analysis: MPWMD 2006 Estimate of Long-Term Water Needs Compared with Growth Anticipated in Jurisdictions General Plans	J1-1
J2. Secondary Effects of Growth	J2-1
K. Existing Water Conservation and Water Recycling	K-1
L. Alternative Supply - Demand Scenarios	L-1
M. Appendices and Attachments to the DEIR/EIS Public Comment Letters	M-1
N. Resumes	N-1
O. Agency Coordination and Consultation	O-1

List of Figures		<u>Page</u>
ES-1	Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project Overview	ES-9
3-1	CalAm Monterey District Service Area	3-3
3-2	Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project Overview and Index Map	3-5
3-3a	MPWSP Subsurface Intake System	3-15
3-3b	Illustrative Cross-Section View of Subsurface Slant Wells	3-20
3-4	Proposed Pipelines - Lapis Road and Neponset Road Vicinity	3-23
3-5a	MPWSP Desalination Plant	3-24
3-5b	MPWSP Desalination Plant – Site Plan	3-25
3-6	New Desalinated Water Pipeline	3-33
3-7	New Transmission Main - City of Marina	3-34
3-8	New Transmission Main - Light Fighter Drive to General Jim Moore Boulevard	3-35
3-9	ASR Facilities	3-36
3-10	Highway 68 Interconnection Improvements and Carmel Valley Pump Station	3-39
3-11	Castroville Pipeline	3-40
3-12	Castroville Pipeline - Connection to CCSD Distribution System	3-41
3-13	Castroville Pipeline Optional Alignment	3-43
3-14	Site Plans: ASR-5 Well and ASR-6 Well	3-47
4-1	Cumulative Projects	4.1-29
4.2-1	Geologic Map of Project Area	4.2-5
4.2-2	Generalized Geologic Cross-Section	4.2-7
4.2-3	Local Geologic Cross-Section	4.2-9
4.2-4	Active and Potentially Active Regional Faults	4.2-17
4.2-5	Liquefaction Potential	4.2-27
4.2-6	Landslide Hazard Map	4.2-29
4.2-7	Representative Profile at Test Slant Well	4.2-51
4.2-8	Representative Profile at Proposed Slant Wells	4.2-52
4.3-1	Surface Water Resources in the Project Area	4.3-5
4.3-2	Flood Hazards in the Project Area	4.3-13
4.3-3	Areas Subject to Sea Level Rise in the Project Area	4.3-17
4.3-4	Illustrations of the Trajectory and Behavior of a Brine Discharge Plume	4.3-32
4.3-5	Illustrations of the Trajectory of a Dense Brine Discharge Plume	4.3-74
4.3-6	Typical Graphics Output of Jet Trajectory from VP Method: Brine Only Discharge (Scenario 2)	4.3-79
4.3-7	Brine Mixing Zone (BMZ) and Diffuser Overview	4.3-81
4.3-8	Non-merging Dense Discharge Plumes from Diffuser Ports (near field)	4.3-83
4.3-9	3DLIF Image of Laboratory-generated Generic Horizontal Dense Jet	4.3-86
4.3-10	UM3 Graphical Output for Scenario 2 (Pure Brine at 13.98 mgd)	4.3-86
4.3-11	Summary of Approach to Analysis for Determining Ocean Plan Compliance	4.3-98
4.4-1	Groundwater Basins and Areas in the Western Salinas Valley Groundwater Basin	4.4-4
4.4-2	Conceptual Model of Coastal Aquifers	4.4-9
4.4-3	Project Area Hydrogeologic Cross Section	4.4-11
4.4-4	Thickness of Salinas Valley Aquitard	4.4-12
4.4-5	Salinas Valley Groundwater Basin - Groundwater Elevations in 180-Foot-Aquifer	4.4-17
4.4-6	Salinas Valley Groundwater Basin - Groundwater Elevations in 400-Foot-Aquifer	4.4-18
4.4-7	Groundwater Flow – Seaside Basin Shallow Zone, July/August 2015	4.4-20
4.4-8	Groundwater Flow – Seaside Basin Deep Zone, July/August 2015	4.4-21

	<u>Page</u>
List of Figures (continued)	
4.4-9 Slant Well and Monitoring Well Locations	4.4-25
4.4-10 Historic Seawater Intrusion in the Salinas Valley Groundwater Basin – 180-Foot Aquifer	4.4-32
4.4-11 Historic Seawater Intrusion in the Salinas Valley Groundwater Basin – 400-Foot Aquifer	4.4-33
4.4-12 Groundwater Model Boundaries	4.4-53
4.4-13a Proposed Project: Response of 180-Foot Aquifer after 63 Years 0% Return Water	4.4-66
4.4-13b Extent of Capture Zone and -1 Foot Contour of Cone of Depression 180- Foot Aquifer After 63 Years 0% Return Water	4.4-67
4.4-13c Schematic Showing Relationship Between Cone of Depression and Capture Zone	4.4-68
4.4-14 Proposed Project: 1-Foot Response in Dune Sand Aquifer	4.4-71
4.4-15 Proposed Project: 1-Foot Response in 180-Foot Aquifer	4.4-72
4.4-16 Proposed Project: 1-Foot Response in 400-Foot Aquifer	4.4-73
4.4-17 Proposed Project Impact on Seawater Intrusion Front	4.4-93
4.5-1 Identified Seafloor Habitats in Study Area	4.5-3
4.5-2 Hard Substrate Subtidal Habitat in Monterey Bay	4.5-11
4.5-3 Rockfish Conservation Areas Designated in MBNMS under Federal Regulations	4.5-26
4.5-4 Essential Fish Habitat Designated in MBNMS under Federal Regulations	4.5-27
4.5-5 Sanctuary Ecologically Significant Areas Designated in MBNMS	4.5-38
4.5-6 Marine Protected Areas along the California Coast	4.5-40
4.6.1a Vegetation Communities and Potential Wetlands and Waters in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area	4.6-11
4.6.1b Vegetation Communities and Potential Wetlands and Waters in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area	4.6-12
4.6.1c Vegetation Communities and Potential Wetlands and Waters in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area	4.6-13
4.6.1d Vegetation Communities and Potential Wetlands and Waters in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area	4.6-14
4.6.1e Vegetation Communities and Potential Wetlands and Waters in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area	4.6-15
4.6.1f Vegetation Communities and Potential Wetlands and Waters in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area	4.6-16
4.6.1g Vegetation Communities and Potential Wetlands and Waters in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area	4.6-17
4.6.1h Vegetation Communities and Potential Wetlands and Waters in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area	4.6-18
4.6.1j Vegetation Communities and Potential Wetlands and Waters in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area	4.6-19
4.6.1k Vegetation Communities and Potential Wetlands and Waters in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area	4.6-20
4.6.1l Vegetation Communities and Potential Wetlands and Waters in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area	4.6-21
4.6.1m Vegetation Communities and Potential Wetlands and Waters in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area	4.6-22
4.6.1n Vegetation Communities and Potential Wetlands and Waters in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area	4.6-23
4.6.1o Vegetation Communities and Potential Wetlands and Waters in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area	4.6-24

	<u>Page</u>
List of Figures (continued)	
4.6-2a Potential Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Areas in the Biological Study Area	4.6-37
4.6-2b Potential Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Areas in the Biological Study Area	4.6-38
4.6-3a CNDDDB Occurrence Records	4.6-42
4.6-3b CNDDDB Occurrence Records	4.6-43
4.6-3c CNDDDB Occurrence Records	4.6-44
4.6-4 Designated Critical Habitat	4.6-69
4.7-1 Environmental Cases Near Project Components - Northern Portion	4.7-5
4.7-2 Environmental Cases Near Project Components - Southern Portion	4.7-6
4.8-1 Coastal Zone	4.8-5
4.8-2 Recreational Opportunities in the Northern Project Area	4.8-7
4.8-3 Recreational Opportunities in the Southern Project Area	4.8-8
4.12-1 Noise Monitoring Locations	4.12-9
4.12-2 Construction Noise Contours for Well ASR-5	4.12-31
4.12-3 Construction Noise Contours for Well ASR-5 with 10-foot barrier	4.12-32
4.12-4 Construction Noise Contours for Well ASR-6	4.12-33
4.12-5 Construction Noise Contours for Well ASR-6 with 15-foot barrier	4.12-34
4.13-1 Proposed Access Pit Locations	4.13-30
4.14-1 Landscape Units and Scenic Roadways	4.14-7
4.14-2 Landscape Units of Northern Monterey Coastal Area	4.14-9
4.14-3a Existing Setting	4.14-11
4.14-3b Existing Setting	4.14-12
4.14-4 Existing Views of MPWSP Desalination Plant Site from Highway 1	4.14-40
4.15-1 Culturally Sensitive Areas	4.15-7
4.15-2 Historic Resources in the Source Water Pipeline APE	4.15-25
4.16-1 Farmland Mapping Designations and Williamson Act Contracts	4.16-4
4.16-2 Farmland Mapping Designations and Williamson Act Contracts for the Castroville Pipeline	4.16-5
4.19-1 County to County Commuting Estimates: Monterey County	4.19-10
4.19-2 County to County Commuting Estimates: San Benito County	4.19-11
4.19-3 County to County Commuting Estimates: Santa Cruz County	4.19-12
5.3-1 Alternative Component Options - Marina and Seaside	5.3-7
5.3-2 Alternative Component Options - Moss Landing	5.3-8
5.4-1 Alternative 1 - Slant Wells at Potrero Road	5.4-15
5.4-2 Alternative 2 - Open Water Intake at Moss Landing	5.4-19
5.4-3 Alternative 3 - Monterey Bay Regional Water Project	5.4-23
5.4-4 Alternative 4 - Peoples' Moss Landing Water Desalination Project	5.4-41
5.4-5 Alternative 5a - Intake Slant Wells at CEMEX	5.4-53
5.4-6 Alternative 5b - Intake Slant Wells at Potrero Road	5.4-61
5.5-1 Summary of Approach to Analysis for Determining Ocean Plan Compliance for Alternative 5 and GWR Combined Discharge Scenarios	5.5-77
5.5-2 Potrero Rd Slant Wells: 1-Foot Response in Dune Sand Aquifer under 24.1 MGD Pumping	5.5-91
5.5-3 Potrero Rd Slant Wells: 1-Foot Response in 180-Foot Aquifer under 24.1 MGD Pumping	5.5-92
5.5-4 Potrero Rd Slant Wells: 1-Foot Response in 400-Foot Aquifer under 24.1 MGD Pumping	5.5-93
5.5-5 Alternative 5a Site: 1-Foot Response in Dune Sand Aquifer under 15.5 MGD Pumping	5.5-103

	<u>Page</u>
List of Figures (continued)	
5.5-6 Alternative 5a Site: 1-Foot Response in 180-Foot Aquifer under 15.5 MGD Pumping	5.5-104
5.5-7 Alternative 5a Site: 1-Foot Response in 400-Foot Aquifer under 15.5 MGD Pumping	5.5-105
5.5-8 Alternative 5b Slant Wells: 1-Foot Response in Dune Sand Aquifer under 15.5 MGD Pumping	5.5-106
5.5-9 Alternative 5b Slant Wells: 1-Foot Response in 180-Foot Aquifer under 15.5 MGD Pumping	5.5-107
5.5-10 Alternative 5b Slant Wells: 1-Foot Response in 400-Foot Aquifer under 15.5 MGD Pumping	5.5-108
8.2.6-1 DWR SGMA Basin Map	8.2-34
8.2.8-1 Extent of Capture Zone and -1-Foot Contour of Cone of Depression, Dune Sand Aquifer After 63 years, 0% Return Water	8.2-42
8.2.8-2 Extent of Capture Zone and -1-Foot Contour of Cone of Depression, 180-Foot Aquifer After 63 Years, 0% Return Water	8.2-43
8.2.8-3 Schematic Showing Relationship Between, Cone of Depression and Capture Zone	8.2-46
8.2.11-1 Groundwater Elevation in MPWSP MW-4	8.2-71
8.2.12-1 Extended NMGWM ²⁰¹⁶	8.2-83
8.2.12-2 Extended NMGWM ²⁰¹⁶ at 27.7 MGD (15% increase)	8.2-84
8.2.12-3 Cross-section Showing Calculated Equivalent Freshwater Heads	8.2-96
8.2.14-1 CalAm Easement and Access at CEMEX	8.2-125
8.3.2-1 ASR-1 Disinfection Byproducts Parameters	8.3-36
8.3.2-2 ASR-2 Disinfection Byproducts Parameters	8.3-37
8.3.2-3 ASR-3 Disinfection Byproducts Parameters	8.3-38
8.3.2-4 SM MW-1 Disinfection Byproducts Parameters	8.3-39
8.3.2-5 SMS Deep Disinfection Byproducts Parameters	8.3-40
List of Tables	
ES-1 Alternatives Impact Summary	ES-21
ES-2 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures – MPWSP Proposed Project	ES-33
2-1 Seaside Groundwater Basin Adjudicated Operating and Natural Safe Yields with CalAm’s Pre-adjudication Production	2-10
2-2 Existing Demand 2006–2015 (acre-feet)	2-11
2-3 Other Demand Assumptions	2-13
2-4 CalAm Monterey District Water Supplies with Proposed MPWSP	2-18
2-5 Future Water Demand – Service Area Jurisdictions	2-29
3-1 Facilities Summary for the Proposed Project	3-9
3-2 Length of Permanent Slant Wells Seaward of Mean High Water Line	3-19
3-3 Desalination Chemicals and Annual Usage	3-29
3-4 Construction Staging Areas	3-49
3-5 Construction Assumptions for the Proposed Project	3-53
3-6 Overview of Typical Facility Operations for the Proposed Project	3-58
3-7 MPWSP Desalination Plant Operations – Normal Operations vs. Recovery Post 2-Day Shutdown	3-59
3-8 Anticipated Permits and Approvals	3-63
4.1-1 Overview of Alternatives Evaluated in Detail	4.1-3
4.1-2 Cumulative Projects	4.1-18
4.2-1 Summary of Geologic Units and Project Component Locations	4.2-4

List of Tables (continued)		<u>Page</u>
4.2-2	Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale	4.2-15
4.2-3	Active and Potentially Active Faults	4.2-18
4.2-4	Summary of General Soil Properties	4.2-24
4.2-5	Summary of Estimated Peak Ground Accelerations at Proposed Facility Locations	4.2-26
4.2-6	Applicable Regional and Local Plans and Policies Relevant to Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	4.2-37
4.2-7	Summary of Impacts – Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	4.2-53
4.2-8	Comparison of Jet Plume and Ambient Ocean Currents at Monterey	4.2-71
4.3-1	Seasonal Average Temperature, Salinity, and Density Properties at MRWPCA Outfall Diffuser	4.3-11
4.3-2	303(d) List of Impaired Water Bodies in the Project Vicinity	4.3-20
4.3-3	Designated Beneficial Uses of Surface Water Bodies in the Project Vicinity	4.3-27
4.3-4	Water Quality Objectives in the 2016 Ocean Plan	4.3-30
4.3-5	Water Quality in Monterey Bay (Constituent Concentrations Reported Under CCLEAN 2008-2015)	4.3-33
4.3-6	Overview of Post-Construction Requirements for Stormwater Management	4.3-43
4.3-7	Applicable Regional and Local Land Use Plans, Policies, and Regulations Pertaining to Surface Water Hydrology and Water Quality	4.3-47
4.3-8	Summary of Impacts – Surface Water Hydrology and Water Quality	4.3-60
4.3-9	Monthly Average Flows of Secondary-Treated Wastewater from the MRWPCA Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant (1998–2012 and projected 2017) and the Estimated Brine Stream under the MPWSP	4.3-71
4.3-10	Proposed Project Discharge Scenarios Modeled	4.3-72
4.3-11	Effluent Water Quality Characteristics Assumed for All Modeled Scenarios	4.3-77
4.3-12	Operational Discharge Flow, Salinity, and Density	4.3-78
4.3-13	Dilution Model Results for Dense Discharge Scenarios	4.3-80
4.3-14	Dilution Results for Buoyant Discharge Scenarios	4.3-90
4.3-15	MPWSP Operational Discharge Scenarios: Estimated Concentrations at the Edge of the ZID for Ocean Plan Constituents of Concern	4.3-101
4.3-16	MPWSP Operational Discharge Scenarios: Estimated Concentrations at the Edge of the ZID Expressed as Percentage of Ocean Plan Objective for Ocean Plan Constituents of Concern	4.3-102
4.3-17	Effect of Nozzle Angle on dilution for Select Operational Discharge Scenarios	4.3-107
4.4-1	Summarized Characteristics of Water Bearing Geologic Units	4.4-7
4.4-2	Summary of ASR Injection Volumes	4.4-23
4.4-3	Groundwater Extraction Summary for the Salinas Valley and Seaside Groundwater Basins	4.4-24
4.4-4	Groundwater Quality of Test Slant Well	4.4-27
4.4-5	Water Chemistry Results of Mixing Study	4.4-29
4.4-6	Groundwater Quality Objectives	4.4-40
4.4-7	Applicable Regional and Local Plans and Policies Relevant to Groundwater Resources	4.4-45
4.4-8	Correlation of Geologic Units, Aquifers, and Model Layers	4.4-54
4.4-9	Summary of Impacts – Groundwater Resources	4.4-61
4.4-10	Known Active Supply Wells Within Vicinity of the Proposed MPWSP Slant Wells	4.4-75
4.4-11	Water Chemistry of Treated Carmel River Water and Sand City Desalinated Water	4.4-98
4.5-1	Zooplankton Collected Near the MRWPCA Outfall in May 2016	4.5-7

	<u>Page</u>
List of Tables (continued)	
4.5-2 Special-Status Marine Species and Their Potential to Occur within the Study Area	4.5-13
4.5-3 Fish Species Present in Monterey Bay Managed under Magnuson-Stevens Act	4.5-20
4.5-4 Species Managed under the Magnuson-Stevens Fisheries Management Plan and California Nearshore Fisheries Management Plan and their Potential to Occur within the Study Area	4.5-21
4.5-5 Summary of Impacts – Marine Biological Resources	4.5-47
4.5-6 Potential Effects of Varying Underwater Noise Levels on Fish	4.5-50
4.5-7 Summary of NOAA Established Permanent Threshold Shift (PTS) and Temporary Threshold Shift (TTS) Sound Levels from Underwater Noise Levels for Marine Mammals	4.5-50
4.5-8 Swimming Speeds of Plankton, Invertebrates, and Larval Fish	4.5-53
4.5-9 Results from Studies on the Effects of Elevated Salinity on Marine Organisms	4.5-56
4.5-10 Toxicity Test Results and Mean Effective Concentrations of Salinity Toxicity	4.5-58
4.5-11 Summary of Domestic and International Brine Limits	4.5-60
4.5-12 Dilution Model Results for Dense Discharge Scenarios	4.5-61
4.6-1 Vegetation Community and Habitat Type Crosswalk	4.6-9
4.6-2 Special-Status Species with the Potential to Occur at Project Facilities	4.6-75
4.6-3 Construction Staging Areas, Habitat Types, and Special-Status Species with Potential to Occur	4.6-92
4.6-4 Applicable Regional and Local Land Use Plans and Policies Relevant to Terrestrial Biological Resources	4.6-105
4.6-5 Summary of Impacts – Terrestrial Biological Resources	4.6-128
4.6-6 Special-Status Species and Sensitive Natural Communities that Could Be Significantly Impacted During Construction of the Proposed Facilities	4.6-131
4.6-7 Summary of Terrestrial Biological Resource Mitigation Measure Restoration and Compensation Requirements	4.6-170
4.6-8 Burrowing Owl Burrow Buffers	4.6-183
4.6-9 Construction Staging Areas Sensitive Natural Community Impacts	4.6-213
4.6-10 Applicable Local Plans, Policies, and Ordinances Related to Tree Removal	4.6-237
4.7-1 Environmental Cases Identified Within 0.25 Mile of the Project Area	4.7-4
4.7-2 Schools in the Vicinity of Project Components	4.7-12
4.7-3 Applicable Regional and Local Plans and Policies Relevant to Hazards and Hazardous Materials	4.7-21
4.7-4 Summary of Impacts – Hazards and Hazardous Materials	4.7-26
4.7-5 MPWSP Desalination Plant (9.6 mgd) – Water Treatment Chemicals	4.7-35
4.8-1 Overview of Designated Land Uses and Recreational Facilities within 0.25 Mile of the Proposed Facilities	4.8-3
4.8-2 Applicable Regional and Local Land Use Plans and Policies Relevant to Land Use and Recreation	4.8-23
4.8-3 Summary of Impacts – Land Use and Recreation	4.8-34
4.9-1 Characteristics of Roads that Could be Directly Affected by Project Construction Activities	4.9-4
4.9-2 Applicable Regional and Local Land Use Plans and Policies Relevant to Traffic and Transportation	4.9-9
4.9-3 Summary of Impacts – Traffic and Transportation	4.9-15

	<u>Page</u>
List of Tables (continued)	
4.9-4 Estimated Maximum Daily Vehicle Trips During Project Construction	4.9-17
4.10-1 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Summary (2011–2015)	4.10-6
4.10-2 State and Federal Ambient Air Quality Standards and Attainment Status for North Central Coast Air Basin	4.10-10
4.10-3 Applicable Regional and Local Land Use Plans and Policies Relevant to Air Quality	4.10-15
4.10-4 Summary of Impacts – Air Quality	4.10-21
4.10-5 Estimated Maximum Daily Construction Emissions	4.10-22
4.10-6 Maximum DPM Concentrations, Cancer Risks, and Chronic Health Indices	4.10-28
4.10-7 Proposed Project Operational Emissions	4.10-30
4.11-1 California GHG Emissions	4.11-5
4.11-2 Summary of Impacts – GHG Emissions	4.11-15
4.11-3 Total GHG Emissions from Project Construction	4.11-16
4.11-4 Total GHG Emissions from Project Operations	4.11-17
4.11-5 Total Amortized GHG Emissions	4.11-18
4.12-1 Short-term Noise Measurements	4.12-6
4.12-2 Long-term Noise Measurement – MPWSP Desalination Plant	4.12-7
4.12-3 Applicable Regional and Local Land Use Plans and Policies Relevant to Noise and Vibration	4.12-11
4.12-4 Vibration Thresholds	4.12-20
4.12-5 Summary of impacts – Noise and Vibration	4.12-20
4.12-6 Summary of Noise Levels at Sensitive Receptors During Construction	4.12-22
4.12-7 Construction Noise Levels – Pipelines North of Reservation Road	4.12-25
4.12-8 Maximum Construction Noise Levels – New Transmission Main	4.12-29
4.12-9 Maximum Construction Noise Levels – ASR Pipelines	4.12-35
4.12-10 Summary of Vibration Levels at Sensitive Receptors During Construction	4.12-47
4.12-11 Maximum Operational Noise Levels – ASR-5 and ASR-6 Wells	4.12-58
4.12-12 Maximum Operational Noise Levels –Carmel Valley Pump Station	4.12-59
4.12-13 Maximum Operational Noise Levels – Booster Stations (Main System– Hidden Hills Interconnection Improvements)	4.12-59
4.12-14 Typical Construction Noise Levels	4.12-64
4.13-1 Local Utility and Public Service Providers, by Jurisdiction	4.13-3
4.13-2 Applicable Regional and Local Plans and Policies Relevant to Public Services and Utilities	4.13-11
4.13-3 Summary of Impacts – Public Services and Utilities	4.13-15
4.13-4 Brine Stream and Treated Wastewater Effluent Flows through the MRWPCA Outfall and Diffuser	4.13-23
4.14-1 Matrix for Ranking Aesthetic Resource Value	4.14-3
4.14-2 Applicable Regional and Local Plans and Policies Relevant to Aesthetic Resources	4.14-23
4.14-3 Summary of Impacts – Aesthetic Resources	4.14-28
4.15-1 Criteria for Determining Paleontological Potential	4.15-16
4.15-2 Applicable Regional and Local Land Use Plans and Policies Relevant to Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4.15-37
4.15-3 Damage Threshold to Historic Buildings from Construction Equipment	4.15-43
4.15-4 Summary of Impacts – Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4.15-44
4.16-1 Monterey County Agricultural Land Summary and Conversion by FMMP Land Use Category (2012-2014)	4.16-3
4.16-2 Applicable Regional and Local Plans and Policies Relevant to Agricultural Resources	4.16-11
4.16-3 Summary of Impacts – Agricultural Resources	4.16-13

	<u>Page</u>
List of Tables (continued)	
4.16-4 Project Facilities Proposed on Land Zoned for Agriculture	4.16-19
4.17-1 Applicable Regional and Local Plans and Policies Relevant to Mineral Resources	4.17-7
4.17-2 Summary of Impacts – Mineral Resources	4.17-9
4.18-1 PG&E's 2015 Electric Power Mix Delivered to Customers	4.18-3
4.18-2 Applicable Regional and Local Plans and Policies Relevant to Energy Conservation	4.18-9
4.18-3 Summary of Impacts – Energy Conservation	4.18-13
4.19-1 Population, Housing, and Labor Force in Potentially Affected Jurisdictions	4.19-2
4.19-2 Summary of Impacts – Population and Housing	4.19-5
4.20-1 Labor Force and Unemployment for Potentially Affected Jurisdictions (2015 Annual Average)	4.20-3
4.20-2 Projected Employment Growth for Potentially Affected Jurisdictions (2010 – 2035)	4.20-4
4.20-3 Minority Populations of Potentially Affected Geographies (2010-2014)	4.20-7
4.20-4 Income Characteristics for Potentially Affected Geographies (2010-2014)	4.20-8
4.20-5 Summary of Impacts – Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice	4.20-14
4.20-6 Maximum Daily Construction Emissions Scenarios in Study Area Communities	4.20-17
5.2-1 Results of Plan B Component Screening	5.2-3
5.3-1 Intake Options Screening Results	5.3-9
5.3-2 Outfall Options Screening Results	5.3-20
5.3-3 Desalination Plant Site Options Screening Results	5.3-27
5.3-4 Intake Options Evaluation – Preliminary Comparison of Direct Environmental Impacts	5.3-31
5.3-5 Outfall Options Evaluation – Preliminary Comparison of Direct Environmental Impacts	5.3-37
5.3-6 Desalination Plant Site Options Evaluation – Preliminary Comparison of Direct Environmental Impacts	5.3-43
5.3-7 Summary of Intake Options Comparison Table	5.3-47
5.3-8 Summary of Outfall Options Comparison Table	5.3-50
5.3-9 Summary of Desalination Plant Site Options Comparison Table	5.3-52
5.4-1 Overview of Alternatives Evaluated in Detail	5.4-2
5.4-2 Comparison of the No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions and the Proposed Project	5.4-6
5.4-3 Anticipated Carmel River System Water Supply Under the No Project/ No Action Alternative Based On Order WR 2016-0016	5.4-9
5.4-4 Summary of Alternative 3 Components Considered for Analysis	5.4-28
5.4-5 Outfall Water Quality and Quantity	5.4-45
5.4-6 Other Residuals Disposal	5.4-46
5.4-7 Estimated Sludge Production	5.4-46
5.4-8 Assumptions for Construction Activities	5.4-47
5.4-9 Alternative 5a Facilities	5.4-55
5.5-1 Water Supply Projects Relevant to the Cumulative Scenario for Each Alternative	5.5-4
5.5-2 Alternative 5 Discharge Scenarios Modeled	5.5-62
5.5-3 Alternative 5 Operational Discharge Flow, Salinity and Density	5.5-63
5.5-4 Dilution Model Results for Alternative 5 Dense Discharge Scenarios	5.5-65
5.5-5 Dilution Results for Buoyant Alternative 5 Discharge Scenarios	5.5-66
5.5-6 MPWSP Cumulative Discharge Scenarios Modeled	5.5-70
5.5-7 Alternative 5 Combined Operational Discharge Flow, Salinity, and Density	5.5-72

	<u>Page</u>
List of Tables (continued)	
5.5-8 Dilution Model Results for Alternative 5 Combined Dense Discharge Scenarios	5.5-73
5.5-9 Dilution Model Results for Alternative 5 Combined Buoyant Discharge Scenarios	5.5-75
5.5-10 Alternative 5 Combined Operational Discharge Scenarios: Predicted Concentrations at the Edge of the ZID for Ocean Plan Constituents of Concern	5.5-79
5.5-11 Alternative 5 Combined Operational Discharge Scenarios: Predicted Concentrations at the Edge of the ZID Expressed as Percentage of Ocean Plan Objective for Ocean Plan Constituents of Concern	5.5-80
5.5-12 Characteristics of Additional Roads that Could be Directly Affected by Project Construction Activities	5.5-202
5.5-13 Total GHG Emissions Associated with Alternative 5a	5.5-244
5.5-14 Labor Force and Unemployment Rate for Moss Landing (2015 Annual Average)	5.5-353
5.5-15 Minority Population of Moss Landing (2010-2014)	5.5-354
5.5-16 Income Characteristics for Moss Landing (2010-2014)	5.5-354
5.5-17 MPWSP Demand Assumptions	5.5-373
5.5-18 Existing and Anticipated Demand (acre-feet per year)	5.5-374
5.5-19 Water Supplies and Demands During Seaside Groundwater Basin Replenishment Period, 9.6-mgd Desalination Plant with SVGB Return	5.5-374
5.5-20 Alternative 1 – Slant Wells at Potrero Road Water supplies and Demands during SGB Replenishment Period: Two Future Demand Scenarios	5.5-377
5.5-21 Alternative 2 – Open Ocean Intake at Moss Landing Water Supplies and Demands During SGB Replenishment Period: Two Future Demand Scenarios (acre-feet per year)	5.5-379
5.5-22 Alternative 3 – DeepWater Desal Water Supplies and Demands during SGB Replenishment Period: Two Future Demand Scenarios	5.5-381
5.5-23 Alternative 4 – People’s Project Water supplies and Demands during SGB Replenishment Period: Two Future Demand Scenarios	5.5-383
5.5-24 Alternative 5 – Reduced Desalination Plant Water Supplies and Demand during SGB Replenishment Period: Two Future Demand Scenarios	5.5-385
5.6-1 Alternatives Impact Summary	5.6-8
6.3-1 MPWSP Demand Assumptions	6-13
6.3-2 Monterey District Commercial Sector Water Consumption Water Yearsa 2003 Through 2015	6-15
6.3-3 Existing and Anticipated Demand	6-19
6.3-4 Water Supplies and Demands During Seaside Groundwater Basin Replenishment Period, 9.6-mgd Desalination Plant with SVGB Return	6-19
6.3-5 Range of Flow Volumes Accommodated by Pipeline Segment	6-21
6.3-6 Service Area and Monterey County Growth Trends 1990-2010 Population and Housing	6-23
6.3-7 AMBAG Population, Housing, and Employment Projections	6-25
6.3-8 Future Water Demand and Available Supplies: Two Return Water Scenarios	6-36
6.3-9 Significant Impacts Associated with Planned Growth in the Project Area	6-40
6.3-10 Agencies with the Authority to Implement or Require Implementation of Measures to Avoid or Mitigate Growth-Related Impacts	6-43
6.4-1 Assessment of Project Conformity With Guidelines for Desalination Plants in Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary	6-48

	<u>Page</u>
List of Tables (continued)	
8-1 Commenters on the Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project Draft EIR/EIS	8.1-4
8.2.8-1 Total Dissolved Solids Concentrations in MPWSP Monitoring Wells Located Within the Slant Well Capture Zone	8.2-48
8.2.8-2 Representative Screen Intervals and Water Depths for Production Wells Within Vicinity of the Proposed MPWSP Slant Wells	8.2-50
8.2.12-1 Summary Statistics for the Comparison Between Measured and NMGWM ²⁰¹⁶ Calculated Water Levels When Constant Head Values are Set Equal to Mean Sea Level or Equivalent Freshwater Heads	8.2-85
8.2.12-2 Summary of Error Statistics Between NMGWM ²⁰¹⁵ and NMGWM ²⁰¹⁶ Using Identical Water Level Data	8.2-90
8.2.13-1 Typical Monthly System Production Demand – Proposed MPWSP	8.2-110
8.2.13-2 Average Daily Supply and Demand Assuming 9.6 mgd Desalination Plant, by Month	8.2-112