

# memorandum

date April 20, 2020

to John Forsythe, AICP

cc Cory Barringhaus (ESA), Eric Zigas (ESA)

from Sharon Dulava (ESA)

subject MPWSP – Ryan Ranch – Bishop Interconnection Project Weekly Report (04/13/2020 – 04/17/2020)

## **Construction Activities**

Construction activities occurred on Lower Ragsdale Drive, Wilson Road, and Blue Larkspur Lane during the week of 4/13/2020 – 4/17/2020. Construction activities were conducted by Monterey Peninsula Engineering (MPE) and consisted of installation of Air Release Valves (ARV). Additional information about construction activities is included in the weekly CalAm report included in **Appendix A** and CPUC inspection logs included in **Appendix B**.

## **Compliance Activities**

Denise Duffy & Associates (CalAm monitors) were on site for compliance monitoring.

Work was conducted within the existing roadways and immediately adjacent to existing roadways for installation of ARV #4 at Lower Ragsdale Drive. The location for ARV #4 was surveyed for sensitive resources by CalAm monitors prior to any construction activities. MPE conducted regular street sweeping.

Special status species plants (i.e., *Horkelia cuneata ssp.*) have been flagged along the entire construction area. In accordance with Mitigation Measures 4.6-1i, 4.6-1j, and 4.6-1k, CalAm monitors surveyed sections of the alignment ahead of construction daily for nesting birds, Monterey dusky-footed woodrat, and American badger. No sign of American badger, or additional woodrat nests were reported for the period between 4/13/2020 and 4/17/2020. CalAm monitors continued to monitor one crow nest on Lower Ragsdale Drive (near Station 37+00), one crow nest at Wilson Pond (near Station 18+00), one bushtit nest along Wilson Road (near Station 13+00), and one bushtit nest along Lower Ragsdale Drive (near Station 30+00) for any behavioral changes resulting from project activities. CalAm monitors also continued to monitor a swallow nest located in a building along Wilson Road (near Station 14+00); no construction activity was reported to have occurred within the nest buffer area.

## ***Compliance Issues and Resolutions***

- ESA originally noted the following Level 1 Minor Incident on 3/27/2020:
  - Trash was bagged but not properly contained within the staging area located off York Road per Mitigation Measure 4.6-1c (18). ESA monitors reported this to CalAm monitors. CalAm monitors confirmed on 3/30/2020 that additional trash and recycling receptacles were added at the staging area and that food waste was cleaned up.
  - On 4/2/2020 and 4/16/2020, ESA confirmed that trash and recycling receptacles were being used but were not properly contained with lids on and securely fastened. The CPUC monitor reported this to the CalAm monitor.

**Photographs:**



Photo 1. MPE installation of air release valve on Wilson Road.



Photo 2. MPE installation of air release valve on Blue Larkspur Lane.





Photo 3. Trash receptacles not properly contained within staging area.



Photo 4. Tree protection and silt fence at staging area in good condition.

# **APPENDIX A**

---

## CalAm Weekly Report



# DENISE DUFFY & ASSOCIATES, INC.

PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING

DATE: April 17, 2020

TO: Cory Barringhaus, Environmental Science Associates (ESA)

FROM: Matthew Johnson, Denise Duffy & Associates, Inc. (DD&A)

CC: Even Holmboe, ESA  
Sharon Dulava, ESA  
Tyler Potter, DD&A

RE: **MPWSP Construction Weekly Biological Monitoring Report**

Denise Duffy & Associates, Inc. (DD&A) is contracted with AECOM to provide biological monitoring support for the California American Water Company (CalAm) Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project (MPWSP). Biological monitoring includes providing environmental guidance to construction personnel and ensuring the project remains in compliance with the Mitigation, Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program (MMCRP).

This report summarizes the results of monitoring for the week of **April 10 - April 16, 2020**.

Project/Component: <b>Ryan Ranch – Bishop Interconnection Project</b>	Work Location: <b>Ragsdale Drive. Lower Ragsdale Drive, Wilson Road, York Road, and Staging Area</b>
Monitoring Period: <b>4/10/2020 – 4/16/2020</b>	Project Completion Status: <b>5,887 Linear Feet of Pipeline Installation (Pipeline Alignment Complete), Air Release Valve (ARV) Installation Ongoing</b>
Construction Contractors/Personnel: <b>Monterey Peninsula Engineering</b>	Biological Lead: <b>M. Johnson</b>
Biological Monitors: <b>P. Krabacher, M. Hofmarcher</b>	Days on Site: <b>4/13, 4/14, 4/15, 4/16</b>

Biological Surveys: <b>Nesting Bird, American Badger, Monterey Dusky-Footed Woodrat (MDFW) Nests</b>	WEAT Training: <b>No</b>
New Sensitive Resources: <b>No</b>	SWPPP Corrective Actions/Maintenance: <b>No</b>
Encountered Special-Status Species: <b>No</b>	Hazardous Spills: <b>No</b>
Relocated Plants or Wildlife: <b>No</b>	Compliance Issues: <b>No</b>

### **Summary of Construction Activities**

This section is intended to provide a brief summary of daily construction progress. For a more detailed description of construction activities please refer to the daily logs prepared by CalAm's Inspector.

- 4/10/2020
  - No construction on site.
- 4/13/2020
  - Installation of Air Release Valve (ARV) on Lower Ragsdale Drive at Sta 42+60.
- 4/14/2020
  - Installation of ARV on Ragsdale Drive at Sta 17+90.
  - Installation of Tie-in on Blue Larkspur Lane and Wilson Road at Sta 17+42.
- 4/15/2020
  - Installation of ARV on Ragsdale Drive at Sta 10+50.
  - Continued work on Tie-in on Blue Larkspur Lane and Wilson Road.
- 4/16/2020
  - Installation of ARV on Blue Larkspur Lane and Wilson Road at Sta 10+50.

### **Summary of Monitoring Activities**

- 4/10/2020
  - No construction or personnel on site, no monitoring activities performed.
- 4/13/2020
  - Conducted wildlife clearance survey on all vehicles and equipment at staging area and on alignment.
  - Documented conditions of alternative ARV #4 site on Lower Ragsdale Drive. Confirmed lack of sensitive resources.
  - Conducted surveys for nesting birds, MDFW nests, and American badger dens along pipeline alignment from Ragsdale Drive Station 10+00 to Station 22+66 (end of alignment at Blue Larkspur Lane and Citation Court) and in/around staging area throughout day.
  - Confirmed integrity of BMP measures on stormwater drains immediately adjacent and ahead of construction.
  - Confirmed continued usage of hazardous spill protective measures under vehicles and equipment.
  - Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.
- 4/14/2020
  - Walked alignment and staging area during construction activities and conducted daily monitoring requirements per MM 4.6-1c.
  - Conducted surveys for nesting birds, MDFW nests, and American badger dens along pipeline alignment from Ragsdale Drive Station 10+00 to Station 22+66 (end of alignment at Blue Larkspur Lane and Citation Court) and in/around staging area throughout day.
  - Confirmed continued usage of hazardous spill protective measures under vehicles and equipment at end of day.
  - Confirmed integrity of BMP measures on stormwater drains immediately adjacent and ahead of construction.
  - Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.
- 4/15/2020
  - Conducted wildlife clearance survey on all vehicles and equipment at staging area and on alignment.

- Walked alignment and staging area during construction activities and conducted daily monitoring requirements per MM 4.6-1c.
  - Conducted surveys for nesting birds, MDFW nests, and American badger dens along pipeline alignment from Ragsdale Drive Station 10+00 to Station 22+66 (end of alignment at Blue Larkspur Lane and Citation Court) and in/around staging area throughout day.
  - Confirmed continued usage of hazardous spill protective measures under vehicles and equipment at end of day.
  - Confirmed integrity of BMP measures on stormwater drains immediately adjacent and ahead of construction.
  - Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.
- 4/16/2020
  - Conducted wildlife clearance survey on all vehicles and equipment at staging area and on alignment.
  - Conducted site walkthrough with ESA.
  - Walked alignment and staging area during construction activities and conducted daily monitoring requirements per MM 4.6-1c.
  - Conducted surveys for nesting birds, MDFW nests, and American badger dens along pipeline alignment from Ragsdale Drive Station 10+00 to Station 22+66 (end of alignment at Blue Larkspur Lane and Citation Court) and in/around staging area throughout day.
  - Confirmed continued usage of hazardous spill protective measures under vehicles and equipment at end of day.
  - Confirmed integrity of BMP measures on stormwater drains immediately adjacent and ahead of construction.
  - Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.

### **Environmental Compliance Issues**

DD&A did not observe any compliance issues during this monitoring period.

### **Recommendations**

No adaptive management or mitigation is required.

### **Attachments**

- Daily Monitoring Logs



# Daily Monitoring Log



# Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements Checklist

## Ryan Ranch Bio Compliance Checklist - Phase 1 v3

Project	Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements
ID	86624
Survey Date	04/13/2020
User	Max Hofmarcher

### General Information

Project Name	Cal Am Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project
Project Number:	60489016
Project Location Monitored	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lower Ragsdale Drive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ragsdale Drive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staging Area <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wilson Road
Company Name	<input type="checkbox"/> AECOM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DDA
Monitor Name	Max Hofmarcher
Time In	07:00 AM
Time Out	05:00 PM

### Weather

Start Temperature (F)	54
Start Cloud Cover (%)	100
Start Wind Speed (mph)	3
End Temperature (F)	68
End Cloud Cover (%)	50
End Wind Speed (mph)	6

### Detailed Monitoring Activity

Construction Activities Monitored	installation of blowoff valves along lower Ragsdale Dr.
Log of Monitoring Activities	cleared vehicles at staging area walked alignment and surveyed for bird nests, MDFW, and American badger





General Project Site Photo(s)



original ARV site



secondary view of original ARV site



second ARV site across road



secondary view of second arv site across road.



view of final ARV site on Lower Ragsdale dr



secondary view of final ARV site on Lower Ragsdale ct



## MM 4.6-1b - WEAT

### 4.6-1B. CONSTRUCTION WORKER ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS TRAINING AND EDUCATION

- 4.6-1b. 1. All workers attend WEAT training and have sticker on hardhat?
- N/A  
 No  
 Yes

WEAT Notes

## MM 4.6-1c - GENERAL

### 4.6-1C. GENERAL AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES

- 4.6-1c. 1. Construction footprint, staging areas, equipment access routes, and disposal or temporary placement of spoils, delineated with stakes and flagging prior to construction to avoid natural resources outside of the project area?
- N/A  
 No  
 Yes

- 4.6-1c. 2. Construction vehicles within the delineated construction work area boundary or local road network?
- N/A  
 No  
 Yes

- 4.6-1c. 3. Vehicles and equipment in project area maintaining 15 miles per hour or less speed limit?
- N/A  
 No  
 Yes

- 4.6-1c. 4. Excavated soils stockpiled in disturbed areas lacking native vegetation and marked to define the limits?
- N/A  
 No  
 Yes

- 4.6-1c. 5. Standard best management practices employed to prevent loss of habitat due to erosion caused by project related impacts?
- N/A  
 No  
 Yes

- 4.6-1c. 6. Fueling of construction equipment within existing paved areas and at least 50 feet from drainages and native habitats?
- N/A  
 No  
 Yes

- 4.6-1c. 7. Introduction of exotic plant species avoided through physical or chemical removal and prevention?
- N/A  
 No  
 Yes

- 4.6-1c. 8. Use of herbicides as vegetation control measures used only when mechanical means have been deemed ineffective?
- N/A  
 No  
 Yes

- 4.6-1c. 9. Prior to construction at any site where special-status amphibians, reptiles and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?
- N/A  
 No  
 Yes



4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the close of each work day, or escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks positioned within the excavations to allow special-status wildlife to escape on their own?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1c. 13. All construction pipes, culverts, or similar structures that are stored at a construction site for one or more overnight periods and with a diameter of 4 inches or more were inspected for special-status wildlife before the pipe was subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1c. 14. All vertical tubes used in project construction, such as chain link fencing poles or signage mounts, were temporarily or permanently capped at the time they are installed to avoid the entrapment and death of special status birds?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1c. 15. Water used for dust abatement was minimized in an effort to avoid the formation of puddles that could attract common ravens and other predators to the construction work areas?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1c. 16. Parked vehicles or equipment in the project area were inspected underneath for wildlife prior to moving?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1c. 17. All vehicles and equipment were in proper working condition to ensure that there was no potential for fugitive emissions of motor oil, antifreeze, hydraulic fluid, grease, or other hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1c. 18. Trash and food items were contained in closed containers and removed from the construction site daily to reduce the attractiveness to opportunistic predators such as common ravens, coyotes, and feral dogs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1c. 19. Workers did not feed wildlife and bring pets and firearms to the construction work areas?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1c. 20. Workers did not intentionally kill or collect wildlife species, including special-status species in the project area and surrounding areas?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
General Notes		

MM 4.6-1i - NESTING BIRDS

4.6-1i. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR NESTING BIRDS

4.6-1i. 2. Surveys covered all potential nesting sites within 500 feet of the project area for raptors and within 300 feet for other birds?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1i. 3. If a break of 10 days or more in construction activities during the breeding season, a new nesting bird survey was conducted before re-initiating construction?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1i. 4. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1i. 5. If special-status bird species were observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Nesting Bird Notes	

**MM 4.6-1j - BADGER**

4.6-1j. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR AMERICAN BADGER.

4.6-1j. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for American badger dens in suitable habitat prior to the start of construction at potentially affected sites within 100 feet of the project area boundary?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1j. 2. Along pipeline alignments, surveys were phased to occur within 14 days prior to disturbance along that portion of the alignment?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1j. 3. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities, badgers absent and impacts avoided?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1j. 4. If a badger was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1j. 5. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Badger Notes	

**MM 4.6-1k - WOODRAT**

4.6-1k. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR MONTEREY DUSKY-FOOTED WOODRAT

4.6-1k. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for Monterey dusky-footed woodrat within 14 days prior to the start of construction in suitable habitat	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
--	------------------------------

and identify any woodrat nests located within 50 feet of anticipated construction disturbance areas?	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1k. 2. If woodrat nests were found during the preconstruction surveys, the biologist conducted additional surveys throughout the duration of construction activities at the potentially affected facility site to identify any newly constructed woodrat nests?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the fencing?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1k. 6. If woodrat was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1k. 7. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes

Woodrat Notes

## MM 4.6-1p - INVASIVE PLANTS

4.6-1P.CONTROL MEASURES FOR SPREAD OF INVASIVE PLANTS	
4.6-1p. 1. Driving or operating equipment was avoided in weed-infested areas outside of fenced work areas and travel was restricted to established roads?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1p. 2. Leaving exposed soil or construction materials in areas with the potential for invasive plants (e.g., in staging areas) was avoided?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1p. 3. Tools, equipment, and vehicles were clean before transporting materials and before entering and leaving worksites (e.g., wheel washing stations at Project site access points)?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1p. 4. Vehicles and equipment were inspected for weed seeds and/or propagules stuck in tire treads or mud on the vehicle to minimize the risk of carrying them to unaffected areas?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

4.6-1p. 5. Vehicles and equipment inspected prior to project initiation at applicable work areas for weed seeds and plant fragments that could colonize within the site or be transported to other sites?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

4.6-1p. 6. At project initiation, all construction vehicles were cleaned to remove soil and plant fragments at designated locations, and vehicles or equipment that were not clean were rejected until clear of weed seed and plant fragments?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

4.6-1p. 7. All equipment and tools involved in soil disturbance at applicable work areas were disinfected using a 10% bleach or 70% isopropyl alcohol solution prior to initial use or prior to returning to applicable work areas if used on another project site?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

4.6-1p. 8. Only certified, weed-free, plastic-free imported erosion control materials (or rice straw in upland areas) were used for the project?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

Invasive Plant Notes

### Sensitive Species Observation

Sensitive species observed?

- No
- Yes

Additional Notes



# Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements Checklist

## Ryan Ranch Bio Compliance Checklist - Phase 1 v3

Project	Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements
ID	86622
Survey Date	04/14/2020
User	Max Hofmarcher

### General Information

Project Name	Cal Am Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project
Project Number:	60489016
Project Location Monitored	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lower Ragsdale Drive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ragsdale Drive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staging Area <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wilson Road
Company Name	<input type="checkbox"/> AECOM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DDA
Monitor Name	Max Hofmarcher
Time In	07:00 AM
Time Out	05:00 AM

### Weather

Start Temperature (F)	49
Start Cloud Cover (%)	100
Start Wind Speed (mph)	6
End Temperature (F)	61
End Cloud Cover (%)	50
End Wind Speed (mph)	8

### Detailed Monitoring Activity

Construction Activities Monitored	blowoff valve installation on Ragsdale dr
Log of Monitoring Activities	conducted nesting bird, MDFW nest, and American badger den surveys from Ragsdale sta 10+00 to end of alignment



General Project Site Photo(s)



View of intact silt fencing surrounding staging area



View of intact esa fencing around protected oak tree adjacent to staging area



secondary view of intact silt fencing surrounding staging area

MM 4.6-1b - WEAT

4.6-1B. CONSTRUCTION WORKER ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS TRAINING AND EDUCATION

4.6-1b. 1. All workers attend WEAT training and have sticker on hardhat?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

WEAT Notes

MM 4.6-1c - GENERAL

4.6-1C. GENERAL AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES

4.6-1c. 1. Construction footprint, staging areas, equipment access routes, and disposal or temporary placement of spoils, delineated with stakes and flagging prior to construction to avoid natural resources outside of the project area?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

4.6-1c. 2. Construction vehicles within the delineated construction work area boundary or local road network?

- N/A

No  
 Yes

4.6-1c. 3. Vehicles and equipment in project area maintaining 15 miles per hour or less speed limit?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1c. 4. Excavated soils stockpiled in disturbed areas lacking native vegetation and marked to define the limits?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1c. 5. Standard best management practices employed to prevent loss of habitat due to erosion caused by project related impacts?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1c. 6. Fueling of construction equipment within existing paved areas and at least 50 feet from drainages and native habitats?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1c. 7. Introduction of exotic plant species avoided through physical or chemical removal and prevention?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1c. 8. Use of herbicides as vegetation control measures used only when mechanical means have been deemed ineffective?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1c. 9. Prior to construction at any site where special-status amphibians, reptiles and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the close of each work day, or escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks positioned within the excavations to allow special-status wildlife to escape on their own?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1c. 13. All construction pipes, culverts, or similar structures that are stored at a construction site for one or more overnight periods and with a diameter of 4 inches or more were inspected for special-status wildlife before the pipe was subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1c. 14. All vertical tubes used in project construction, such as chain link fencing poles or signage mounts, were temporarily or permanently capped at the time they are installed to avoid the entrapment and death of special status birds?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1c. 15. Water used for dust abatement was minimized in an effort to avoid the formation of puddles that could attract common ravens and other predators to the construction work areas?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1c. 16. Parked vehicles or equipment in the project area were inspected underneath for wildlife prior to moving?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1c. 17. All vehicles and equipment were in proper working condition to ensure that there was no potential for fugitive emissions of motor oil, antifreeze, hydraulic fluid, grease, or other hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1c. 18. Trash and food items were contained in closed containers and removed from the construction site daily to reduce the attractiveness to opportunistic predators such as common ravens, coyotes, and feral dogs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1c. 19. Workers did not feed wildlife and bring pets and firearms to the construction work areas?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1c. 20. Workers did not intentionally kill or collect wildlife species, including special-status species in the project area and surrounding areas?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes

General Notes

#### MM 4.6-1i - NESTING BIRDS

##### 4.6-1i. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR NESTING BIRDS

4.6-1i. 2. Surveys covered all potential nesting sites within 500 feet of the project area for raptors and within 300 feet for other birds?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1i. 3. If a break of 10 days or more in construction activities during the breeding season, a new nesting bird survey was conducted before re-initiating construction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1i. 4. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1i. 5. If special-status bird species were observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No

Yes

Nesting Bird Notes

### MM 4.6-1j - BADGER

#### 4.6-1j. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR AMERICAN BADGER.

4.6-1j. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for American badger dens in suitable habitat prior to the start of construction at potentially affected sites within 100 feet of the project area boundary?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1j. 2. Along pipeline alignments, surveys were phased to occur within 14 days prior to disturbance along that portion of the alignment?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1j. 3. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities, badgers absent and impacts avoided?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1j. 4. If a badger was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1j. 5. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

Badger Notes

### MM 4.6-1k - WOODRAT

#### 4.6-1k. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR MONTEREY DUSKY-FOOTED WOODRAT

4.6-1k. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for Monterey dusky-footed woodrat within 14 days prior to the start of construction in suitable habitat and identify any woodrat nests located within 50 feet of anticipated construction disturbance areas?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1k. 2. If woodrat nests were found during the preconstruction surveys, the biologist conducted additional surveys throughout the duration of construction activities at the potentially affected facility site to identify any newly constructed woodrat nests?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the fencing?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1k. 6. If woodrat was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1k. 7. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
Woodrat Notes		

### MM 4.6-1p - INVASIVE PLANTS

4.6-1P.CONTROL MEASURES FOR SPREAD OF INVASIVE PLANTS		
4.6-1p. 1. Driving or operating equipment was avoided in weed-infested areas outside of fenced work areas and travel was restricted to established roads?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1p. 2. Leaving exposed soil or construction materials in areas with the potential for invasive plants (e.g., in staging areas) was avoided?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1p. 3. Tools, equipment, and vehicles were clean before transporting materials and before entering and leaving worksites (e.g., wheel washing stations at Project site access points)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1p. 4. Vehicles and equipment were inspected for weed seeds and/or propagules stuck in tire treads or mud on the vehicle to minimize the risk of carrying them to unaffected areas?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1p. 5. Vehicles and equipment inspected prior to project initiation at applicable work areas for weed seeds and plant fragments that could colonize within the site or be transported to other sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1p. 6. At project initiation, all construction vehicles were cleaned to remove soil and plant fragments at designated locations, and vehicles or equipment that were not clean were rejected until clear of weed seed and plant fragments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1p. 7. All equipment and tools involved in soil disturbance at applicable work areas were disinfected using a 10% bleach or 70% isopropyl alcohol solution prior to initial use or prior to returning to applicable work areas if used on another project site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1p. 8. Only certified, weed-free, plastic-free imported erosion control materials (or rice straw in upland areas) were used for the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No



Yes

---

Invasive Plant Notes

---

### Sensitive Species Observation

Sensitive species observed?

No

Yes

---

Additional Notes

---



# Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements Checklist

## Ryan Ranch Bio Compliance Checklist - Phase 1 v3

Project	Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements
ID	86184
Survey Date	04/15/2020
User	Patric Krabacher

### General Information

Project Name	Cal Am Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project
Project Number:	60489016
Project Location Monitored	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lower Ragsdale Drive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ragsdale Drive <input type="checkbox"/> Staging Area <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wilson Road
Company Name	<input type="checkbox"/> AECOM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DDA
Monitor Name	Patric Krabacher
Time In	06:59 AM
Time Out	04:15 PM

### Weather

Start Temperature (F)	60
Start Cloud Cover (%)	0
Start Wind Speed (mph)	5
End Temperature (F)	68
End Cloud Cover (%)	0
End Wind Speed (mph)	8

### Detailed Monitoring Activity

Construction Activities Monitored	Monitored the installation of blowoffs along Lower Ragsdale, Blue Larkspur, and Ragsdale Rd
Log of Monitoring Activities	Conducted clearance survey prior to construction activities

General Project Site Photo(s)



installation of blowoff at the intersection of Lower Ragsdale and Ragsdale



BMPs along Lower Ragsdale

**MM 4.6-1b - WEAT**

4.6-1B. CONSTRUCTION WORKER ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS TRAINING AND EDUCATION

4.6-1b. 1. All workers attend WEAT training and have sticker on hardhat?  N/A  
 No  
 Yes

WEAT Notes

**MM 4.6-1c - GENERAL**

4.6-1C. GENERAL AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES

4.6-1c. 1. Construction footprint, staging areas, equipment access routes, and disposal or temporary placement of spoils, delineated with stakes and flagging prior to construction to avoid natural resources outside of the project area?  N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1c. 2. Construction vehicles within the delineated construction work area boundary or local road network?  N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1c. 3. Vehicles and equipment in project area maintaining 15 miles per hour or less speed limit?  N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1c. 4. Excavated soils stockpiled in disturbed areas lacking native vegetation and marked to define the limits?  N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1c. 5. Standard best management practices employed to prevent loss of habitat due to erosion caused by project related impacts?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 6. Fueling of construction equipment within existing paved areas and at least 50 feet from drainages and native habitats?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 7. Introduction of exotic plant species avoided through physical or chemical removal and prevention?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 8. Use of herbicides as vegetation control measures used only when mechanical means have been deemed ineffective?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 9. Prior to construction at any site where special-status amphibians, reptiles and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the close of each work day, or escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks positioned within the excavations to allow special-status wildlife to escape on their own?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 13. All construction pipes, culverts, or similar structures that are stored at a construction site for one or more overnight periods and with a diameter of 4 inches or more were inspected for special-status wildlife before the pipe was subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 14. All vertical tubes used in project construction, such as chain link fencing poles or signage mounts, were temporarily or permanently capped at the time they are installed to avoid the entrapment and death of special status birds?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 15. Water used for dust abatement was minimized in an effort to avoid the formation of puddles that could attract common ravens and other predators to the construction work areas?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 16. Parked vehicles or equipment in the project area were inspected underneath for wildlife prior to moving?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

4.6-1c. 17. All vehicles and equipment were in proper working condition to ensure that there was no potential for fugitive emissions of motor oil, antifreeze, hydraulic fluid, grease, or other hazardous materials?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

4.6-1c. 18. Trash and food items were contained in closed containers and removed from the construction site daily to reduce the attractiveness to opportunistic predators such as common ravens, coyotes, and feral dogs?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

4.6-1c. 19. Workers did not feed wildlife and bring pets and firearms to the construction work areas?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

4.6-1c. 20. Workers did not intentionally kill or collect wildlife species, including special-status species in the project area and surrounding areas?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

General Notes

### MM 4.6-1i - NESTING BIRDS

#### 4.6-1i. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR NESTING BIRDS

4.6-1i. 2. Surveys covered all potential nesting sites within 500 feet of the project area for raptors and within 300 feet for other birds?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

4.6-1i. 3. If a break of 10 days or more in construction activities during the breeding season, a new nesting bird survey was conducted before re-initiating construction?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

4.6-1i. 4. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

4.6-1i. 5. If special-status bird species were observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

Nesting Bird Notes

### MM 4.6-1j - BADGER

#### 4.6-1j. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR AMERICAN BADGER.

4.6-1j. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for American badger dens in suitable habitat prior to the start of construction at potentially affected sites within 100 feet of the project area boundary?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

4.6-1j. 2. Along pipeline alignments, surveys were phased to occur within 14 days prior to disturbance along that portion of the alignment?

- N/A
- No



	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1j. 3. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities, badgers absent and impacts avoided?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1j. 4. If a badger was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1j. 5. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
Badger Notes		

### MM 4.6-1k - WOODRAT

#### 4.6-1K. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR MONTEREY DUSKY-FOOTED WOODRAT

4.6-1k. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for Monterey dusky-footed woodrat within 14 days prior to the start of construction in suitable habitat and identify any woodrat nests located within 50 feet of anticipated construction disturbance areas?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1k. 2. If woodrat nests were found during the preconstruction surveys, the biologist conducted additional surveys throughout the duration of construction activities at the potentially affected facility site to identify any newly constructed woodrat nests?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the fencing?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1k. 6. If woodrat was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
4.6-1k. 7. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes

Woodrat Notes

## MM 4.6-1p - INVASIVE PLANTS

### 4.6-1P.CONTROL MEASURES FOR SPREAD OF INVASIVE PLANTS

4.6-1p. 1. Driving or operating equipment was avoided in weed-infested areas outside of fenced work areas and travel was restricted to established roads?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1p. 2. Leaving exposed soil or construction materials in areas with the potential for invasive plants (e.g., in staging areas) was avoided?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1p. 3. Tools, equipment, and vehicles were clean before transporting materials and before entering and leaving worksites (e.g., wheel washing stations at Project site access points)?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1p. 4. Vehicles and equipment were inspected for weed seeds and/or propagules stuck in tire treads or mud on the vehicle to minimize the risk of carrying them to unaffected areas?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1p. 5. Vehicles and equipment inspected prior to project initiation at applicable work areas for weed seeds and plant fragments that could colonize within the site or be transported to other sites?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1p. 6. At project initiation, all construction vehicles were cleaned to remove soil and plant fragments at designated locations, and vehicles or equipment that were not clean were rejected until clear of weed seed and plant fragments?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1p. 7. All equipment and tools involved in soil disturbance at applicable work areas were disinfected using a 10% bleach or 70% isopropyl alcohol solution prior to initial use or prior to returning to applicable work areas if used on another project site?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

4.6-1p. 8. Only certified, weed-free, plastic-free imported erosion control materials (or rice straw in upland areas) were used for the project?

N/A  
 No  
 Yes

Invasive Plant Notes

## Sensitive Species Observation

Sensitive species observed?

No  
 Yes

Additional Notes



# Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements Checklist

## Ryan Ranch Bio Compliance Checklist - Phase 1 v3

Project	Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements
ID	86365
Survey Date	04/16/2020
User	Patric Krabacher

### General Information

Project Name	Cal Am Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project
Project Number:	60489016
Project Location Monitored	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lower Ragsdale Drive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ragsdale Drive <input type="checkbox"/> Staging Area <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wilson Road
Company Name	<input type="checkbox"/> AECOM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DDA
Monitor Name	Patric Krabacher
Time In	06:47 AM
Time Out	04:13 PM

### Weather

Start Temperature (F)	58
Start Cloud Cover (%)	100
Start Wind Speed (mph)	2
End Temperature (F)	
End Cloud Cover (%)	
End Wind Speed (mph)	

### Detailed Monitoring Activity

Construction Activities Monitored	Monitored the installation of an air valve at the corner of York Rd and Wilson Rd  Monitored the installation of a blow off along Blue Larkspur Ln
Log of Monitoring Activities	Conducted clearance surveys of

all equipment prior to construction activities

Conducted nesting wildlife surveys along the entire alignment

Met with ESA for weekly walk through

General Project Site Photo(s)



installation of air valve at the corner of York Rd and Wilson Rd



installation of blow off along Blue Larkspur Ln

MM 4.6-1b - WEAT

4.6-1B. CONSTRUCTION WORKER ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS TRAINING AND EDUCATION

4.6-1b. 1. All workers attend WEAT training and have sticker on hardhat?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

WEAT Notes

MM 4.6-1c - GENERAL

4.6-1C. GENERAL AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES

4.6-1c. 1. Construction footprint, staging areas, equipment access routes, and disposal or temporary placement of spoils, delineated with stakes and flagging prior to construction to avoid natural resources outside of the project area?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

4.6-1c. 2. Construction vehicles within the delineated construction work area boundary or local road network?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

4.6-1c. 3. Vehicles and equipment in project area maintaining 15 miles per hour or less speed limit?

- N/A
- No
- Yes

4.6-1c. 4. Excavated soils stockpiled in disturbed areas lacking native vegetation and marked to define the limits?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 5. Standard best management practices employed to prevent loss of habitat due to erosion caused by project related impacts?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 6. Fueling of construction equipment within existing paved areas and at least 50 feet from drainages and native habitats?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 7. Introduction of exotic plant species avoided through physical or chemical removal and prevention?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 8. Use of herbicides as vegetation control measures used only when mechanical means have been deemed ineffective?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 9. Prior to construction at any site where special-status amphibians, reptiles and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the close of each work day, or escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks positioned within the excavations to allow special-status wildlife to escape on their own?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 13. All construction pipes, culverts, or similar structures that are stored at a construction site for one or more overnight periods and with a diameter of 4 inches or more were inspected for special-status wildlife before the pipe was subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 14. All vertical tubes used in project construction, such as chain link fencing poles or signage mounts, were temporarily or permanently capped at the time they are installed to avoid the entrapment and death of special status birds?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 15. Water used for dust abatement was minimized in an effort to avoid the formation of puddles that could attract common ravens and other predators to the construction work areas?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

4.6-1c. 16. Parked vehicles or equipment in the project area were inspected underneath for wildlife prior to moving?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 17. All vehicles and equipment were in proper working condition to ensure that there was no potential for fugitive emissions of motor oil, antifreeze, hydraulic fluid, grease, or other hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 18. Trash and food items were contained in closed containers and removed from the construction site daily to reduce the attractiveness to opportunistic predators such as common ravens, coyotes, and feral dogs?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 19. Workers did not feed wildlife and bring pets and firearms to the construction work areas?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1c. 20. Workers did not intentionally kill or collect wildlife species, including special-status species in the project area and surrounding areas?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
General Notes	

### MM 4.6-1i - NESTING BIRDS

4.6-1i. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR NESTING BIRDS	
4.6-1i. 2. Surveys covered all potential nesting sites within 500 feet of the project area for raptors and within 300 feet for other birds?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1i. 3. If a break of 10 days or more in construction activities during the breeding season, a new nesting bird survey was conducted before re-initiating construction?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1i. 4. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1i. 5. If special-status bird species were observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Nesting Bird Notes	

### MM 4.6-1j - BADGER

4.6-1j. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR AMERICAN BADGER.	
4.6-1j. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for American badger dens in suitable habitat prior to the start of construction at potentially affected sites within 100 feet of the project area boundary?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/> No

Yes

4.6-1j. 2. Along pipeline alignments, surveys were phased to occur within 14 days prior to disturbance along that portion of the alignment?

N/A

No

Yes

4.6-1j. 3. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities, badgers absent and impacts avoided?

N/A

No

Yes

4.6-1j. 4. If a badger was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?

N/A

No

Yes

4.6-1j. 5. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?

N/A

No

Yes

Badger Notes

#### MM 4.6-1k - WOODRAT

##### 4.6-1K. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR MONTEREY DUSKY-FOOTED WOODRAT

4.6-1k. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for Monterey dusky-footed woodrat within 14 days prior to the start of construction in suitable habitat and identify any woodrat nests located within 50 feet of anticipated construction disturbance areas?

N/A

No

Yes

4.6-1k. 2. If woodrat nests were found during the preconstruction surveys, the biologist conducted additional surveys throughout the duration of construction activities at the potentially affected facility site to identify any newly constructed woodrat nests?

N/A

No

Yes

4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the fencing?

N/A

No

Yes

4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats?

N/A

No

Yes

4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?

N/A

No

Yes

4.6-1k. 6. If woodrat was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?

N/A

No

Yes

4.6-1k. 7. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?

N/A



No  
 Yes

Woodrat Notes

### MM 4.6-1p - INVASIVE PLANTS

#### 4.6-1P.CONTROL MEASURES FOR SPREAD OF INVASIVE PLANTS

4.6-1p. 1. Driving or operating equipment was avoided in weed-infested areas outside of fenced work areas and travel was restricted to established roads?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1p. 2. Leaving exposed soil or construction materials in areas with the potential for invasive plants (e.g., in staging areas) was avoided?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1p. 3. Tools, equipment, and vehicles were clean before transporting materials and before entering and leaving worksites (e.g., wheel washing stations at Project site access points)?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1p. 4. Vehicles and equipment were inspected for weed seeds and/or propagules stuck in tire treads or mud on the vehicle to minimize the risk of carrying them to unaffected areas?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1p. 5. Vehicles and equipment inspected prior to project initiation at applicable work areas for weed seeds and plant fragments that could colonize within the site or be transported to other sites?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1p. 6. At project initiation, all construction vehicles were cleaned to remove soil and plant fragments at designated locations, and vehicles or equipment that were not clean were rejected until clear of weed seed and plant fragments?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1p. 7. All equipment and tools involved in soil disturbance at applicable work areas were disinfected using a 10% bleach or 70% isopropyl alcohol solution prior to initial use or prior to returning to applicable work areas if used on another project site?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
4.6-1p. 8. Only certified, weed-free, plastic-free imported erosion control materials (or rice straw in upland areas) were used for the project?	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

Invasive Plant Notes

### Sensitive Species Observation

Sensitive species observed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
-----------------------------	--

Additional Notes

# **APPENDIX B**

---

## **CPUC Inspection Logs**

## Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project (MPWSP)

### Daily Monitoring Log

**Date:** 04/16/2020**Time:** 11:00 – 12:30**Report Code:** MPWSP\_20200416\_sd**Project Site:** Ryan Ranch – Bishop Interconnection Improvements**Compliance Level:**Acceptable     Level 0: Unanticipated Event     Level 1: Minor Incident   
Level 2: Moderate Incident     Level 3: Major Incident **Compliance Advisory or Non-Compliance form attached**    Yes   
No **Photo Documentation**    Yes   
No **Type of Monitoring:**Full-time     Spot-check     SWPPP inspection   
Biological     Re-inspection **Construction Activity(s) Being Monitored:**

- Installation of air release valves on Wilson Road and Blue Larkspur Lane. Work being conducted by Monterey Peninsula Engineers (MPE).

**General Summary of Mitigation Compliance and Site Conditions:**

- Denise Duffy & Associates (CalAm monitors) on site for compliance monitoring.
- All work is restricted to existing roadways. All storm drains were protected with sand bags and mesh. MPE conducting regular street sweeping.
- Special status species plants (i.e., *Horkelia cuneata ssp.*) have been flagged along the entire construction area.
- Any woodrat middens along Lower Ragsdale Drive have been flagged to demarcate the area and prevent any construction related impacts to middens.
- CalAm monitor on site monitoring behavior at known bird nest locations.
  - One active bushtit nest in an oak tree growing along Wilson Road. No reported evidence of disturbance from construction related activities.
  - One active bushtit nest in an oak tree on Lower Ragsdale Drive. No reported evidence of disturbance from construction related activities.
  - Two crow nests, one along lower Ragsdale Drive and one near Wilson pond, being monitored for signs of incubation.

- The work staging and equipment staging area is located at an existing graveled area adjacent to the east side of York Road at Highway 68. Tree protection and silt fencing around the perimeter of the staging area are in good condition.
- ESA noted the following Level 1 Minor Incident:
  - Trash in receptacles but not properly contained within the staging area off York Road. ESA monitors reported this to CalAm monitors.

Sharon Dulava  
**ESA Monitor**

04/16/2020  
**Date**



Photo 1. MPE installation of air release valve on Wilson Road.



Photo 2. MPE installation of air release valve on Blue Larkspur Lane.



Photo 3. Trash receptacles not properly contained within staging area.



Photo 4. Tree protection and silt fence at staging area in good condition.