PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



October 29, 2025

Ms. Lori Iles-Rangel Senior Advisor, Major Projects Division – OU Projects Southern California Edison 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Rosemead, CA 91770

RE: Minor Project Modification #3 for the Riverside Transmission Reliability Project

Dear Ms. Iles-Rangel:

Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) prepared a Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) for Southern California Edison's (SCE's) Riverside Transmission Reliability Project (RTRP; A. 15-04-013). The City of Riverside previously prepared and certified an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) on February 5, 2013, approving components of the RTRP that would be owned and operated by the Riverside Public Utilities. On March 18, 2020, the CPUC issued a decision to certify the Final SEIR and grant SCE a Decision Granting a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) for the CPUC-preferred project alternative, Alternative 1 (Decision D.20-03-001). The CPUC adopted the mitigation measures (MMs) and applicant proposed measures, referred to as "environmental protection elements" (EPEs) identified in the EIR and SEIR as conditions of project approval, as well as a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) to ensure compliance with the MMs and EPEs pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21081.6 and § 15097 of the CEQA Guidelines (Section 9 of the certified SEIR).

A detailed Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan (MMCRP) was developed for the project with direct participation with SCE staff. The MMCRP defines specific procedures that are part of the adopted program including the Minor Project Refinement (MPR) process, which requires SCE to obtain CPUC authorization for any deviations from the approved project.

On October 14, 2025, SCE submitted MPR #3 to the CPUC requesting authorization to install temporary power poles and overhead wire at SCE's existing Mira Loma Yard North, which was not identified in the EIR or SEIR. A copy of the MPR request materials are enclosed as Attachment 1. The CPUC conducted a CEQA consistency review for MPR #3 following the procedures set forth in the MMCRP. A completed review form and summary of findings is provided in Attachment 2. This letter serves to inform you that the CPUC has reviewed and approved SCE's request for MPR #3 on the basis that no new or substantially greater impacts would occur.

Please direct any questions related to this MPR response letter to me at (408) 915-7434 or boris.sanchez@cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Boris Sanchez

B Sanchez

California Public Utilities Commission

cc: Rita Wilke, Panorama Environmental

Attachment 1: SCE Request for MPR #3 Attachment 2: CPUC Review of MPR #3

Attachment 1: SCE Requests for MPR #3



Part A: Request Description

MPR Reques	t	
------------	---	--

Request Number: 03

Date Requested: 10/14/2025

Proposed Duration/ November 3, 2025 to December 31, 2028

Timing of Use: M-F, Saturday, Sunday; 24/7

Location: Mira Loma and Mira Loma North Yards

Approximately 120-180 square feet of disturbance for installation of 12 distribution poles.

Attached Map? X Yes 🗆 No

Proposed Action(s)

Installation of temporary power poles and overhead wire in the existing Southern California Edison (SCE) Mira Loma Yard and SCE Mira Loma North Yard.

Purpose(s)

To provide electrical service to the Wilson Utility Construction Co. (Wilson) and SCE job trailers during construction.

Part B: Existing Conditions

Existing Land Uses: Active SCE yard.

No mitigation considerations. Both yards are graded, compacted, and surfaced with rock.

Surrounding Land Uses: SCE Mira Loma Substation, surrounding industrial and commercial buildings

No mitigation considerations.

Sensitive Receptors

within 500 feet: No mitigation considerations.

Environmental Recourses N/A

Liviloilileilidi kecodises 19/7

within 500 feet: No mitigation considerations.

N/A

Has landowner approval

been granted?

X Yes \square No

□ N/A

Landowner: Southern California Edison (SCE)

Surveys

List any new survey reports under Part D and attach a copy.

Biological Resources. Were all sites associated with the proposed action(s) surveyed for biological resources with the potential to occur in the area? If so, were survey results positive or negative? Were surveys completed during the appropriate timing and season to detect resources?

N/A. Biological surveys were not conducted within the existing SCE yards because the area is a previously developed and disturbed site that does not provide suitable habitat for sensitive plant or wildlife species.

Cultural Resources. Were all sites associated with the proposed action(s) surveyed for cultural resources (records search and pedestrian survey)? If so, were survey results positive or negative?

N/A. Cultural resource surveys were not conducted with the existing SCE yards because the area has been previously graded, rocked, and disturbed through past construction and ongoing utility operations.

Jurisdictional Waters. Were all sites associated with the proposed action(s) surveyed for hydrologic resources? If so, were survey results positive or negative?

N/A. Hydrologic resource surveys were not performed within the existing SCE yards because the sites are previously disturbed, developed, and maintained industrial areas.

Part C: Permits, Agency Approvals, and Environmental Protection Measures

List any new permits or agency approvals under Part D and attach a copy.

Have all required permits, permit amendments/authorizations, or agency approvals been issued by resource agencies with applicable jurisdiction? Describe if necessary.

Temporary Power permit pending from City of Ontario.

Would the proposed action(s) conflict with permit conditions or agency approvals? Describe if necessary.

N/A.

Would the proposed action(s) conflict with environmental protection elements (EPEs) or mitigation measures (MMs) listed in the Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (SEIR)? Describe if necessary.

N/A

Part D: Attached Materials

List any attached materials (e.g. surveys, maps, photos, memos, agency authorizations, etc.) below. Materials should be attached to the end of this form.

See attached map for location of temporary power poles.

CHINO AVE & HAMNER AVE ONTARIO





WILSON CONSTRUCTION OFFICE TRAILERS

Attachment 2: CPUC Review of MPR #3



Part A: Summary of Minor Project Refinement Request

MPR I	Request
-------	---------

003 **Request Number:**

Date Requested: October 14, 2025

Proposed Duration/

November 3, 2025 to December 31, 2028

Timing of Use:

Work activities Monday through Sunday, 24 hours a day

Location: Mira Loma Yard North

Approximately 120 x 180 feet of ground disturbance

Attached Map?

Proposed Action(s)

Southern California Edison (SCE) proposes the installation and utilization of temporary power poles and overhead wire in the existing SCE Mira Loma Yard North (see figure in the Minor Project Refinement Request Form).

Purpose(s)

To provide electrical service to Wilson Utility Construction Company and SCE job trailers during construction.

Existing Conditions

Existing Land Uses: Developed, Industrial. The Mira Loma Yard North consists of existing gravel

and paved surfaces enclosed by fencing and is used by SCE for power transmission support activities, including staging and material storage.

Surrounding Land Uses: Developed, Industrial/Commercial/Residential. The properties surrounding

Mira Loma Yard North include industrial development associated with power transmission and other industrial and commercial uses. Areas east of Hamner Avenue are predominately commercial/industrial complexes that include a Walmart distribution center and Keystone Automotive Operations facility. South along Hamner Avenue is a shopping center, and other commercial parks are present on Hamner north of Samantha Drive and immediately north of the Mira Loma Yard North. Residential development is present north of Chino Avenue near the Mira Loma Substation Yard (see Sensitive

Receptors). Some open lands are present west of the substation; these may

be used for agricultural purposes or are fallow.

Sensitive Receptors within 500 feet:

Sensitive receptors are present approximately 360 feet northwest of the

proposed project change.

Environmental Resources within 500 feet:

Within 500 feet of the proposed project change, there are sensitive receptors (residential development), however no other environmental

resources are present within 500 feet of the proposed project change.

Has landowner approval

been granted?

□ No □ N/A

Landowner: The proposed project change would occur at an existing substation yard

operated by SCE.

Point of Contact Lori Illes-Rangel

Senior Advisor, Major Projects Division

Southern California Edison

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Rosemead, CA 91770

Surveys

Biological Resources.

No new surveys have been conducted for biological resources. However, proposed project change is located within existing developed areas currently associated with power utility activities. All ground surfaces consist of pavement or compacted gravel, and no vegetation is present.

Cultural Resources.

No additional surveys have been completed. This Minor Project Refinement includes the installation and utilization of temporary electricity to support the RTRP construction. No ground disturbance (vegetation clearing, grading, etc.) is required for this request.

Jurisdictional Waters.

No jurisdictional waters are present within the footprint of Mira Loma Yard North. The site is entirely paved or gravel surfaces within existing developed lots. No waters are present adjacent.

Permits, Agency Approvals, and Environmental Protection Measures

Have all required permits, permit amendments/authorizations, or agency approvals been issued by resource agencies with applicable jurisdiction? Describe if necessary.

No permits, amendments, authorizations, or approvals from state or federal resource agencies are necessary for the proposed change.

Would the proposed action(s) conflict with permit conditions or agency approvals? Describe if necessary.

No, the proposed change does not conflict with any permit conditions or agency approvals.

Would the proposed action(s) conflict with project applicant proposed measures or mitigation measures listed in Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND)? Describe if necessary.

No, the proposed change does not conflict with any permit conditions or agency approvals.

Part B: EIR/SEIR Consistency Summary

CPUC has prepared the EIR/SEIR Consistency Summary below to review the consistency questions for each resource category. The consistency questions were developed using the CEQA Checklist provided in the EIR and SEIR. Refer to the certified EIR and SEIR for the details on the project impact evaluation.

Would the proposed action(s) result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on:	No Change	Potentially Significant Change	N/A	
Aesthetics (e.g., damage scenic resources or vistas, degrade the existing visual character of the site and its surroundings, or create sources of light or glare)?	\boxtimes			

EIR and SEIR evaluation: Significant and Unavoidable

Construction materials and temporary power poles at Mira Loma Yard North would be temporary and limited to the duration of construction. Public views of the yard would be partially blocked by surrounding buildings and sound walls. Construction and utilization of temporary power and wires would be consistent with the existing industrial visual character of the staging yards. Environmental Protection Elements (EPEs) and mitigation measures identified in the EIR and SEIR would reduce temporary impacts from power poles and associated wiring by using low reflective structures and equipment (EPE AES-04), limiting work hours (MM NOI-1 and NOI-2) and night-time lighting (EPE AES-08), and requiring restoration of construction impacts to pre-construction conditions (MM AES-01). The proposed activities would not

Would the proposed action(s) result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on:	No Change	Potentially Significant Change	N/A
result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously and in the EIR and SEIR.	alyzed impact	t on aesthetics a	is identified
Agriculture and Forestry Resources (e.g., convert Farmland to nonagricultural use, or create a conflict with existing agricultural zoning or a Williamson Act)?			\boxtimes
EIR and SEIR evaluation: Significant and Unavoidable			
The proposed installation of temporary power poles and overhead wires at the existing staging yard would not convert agricultural land to non-agricultural use, or result in the loss of agricultural land. Mira Loma Yard North does not contain any agriculture or forestry resources. The proposed activities would have no effect on agriculture or forestry resources.			
Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions (e.g. produce additional emissions, or expose sensitive receptors to additional pollutants, generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment)?			
EIR and SEIR evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation			
Construction activities have been analyzed in the EIR and SEIR. The proposed activities are similar to those previously analyzed. The proposed activities would result in generation of the same air quality emissions and odors analyzed for construction activities in the EIR and SEIR. The proposed activities would implement MM AQ-01 (Fugitive Dust Control Plan) which requires implementation of the Fugitive Dust Control Plan. Additionally, MM AQ-02 (Exhaust Emissions Control) provides control measures for exhaust emissions generated by the Project and would be implemented during actions associated with the proposed activity. All construction activities would comply with the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) requirements applicable to the project (EPE AQ-01). The proposed activities would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on air quality.			
Biological Resources (e.g., cause an adverse effect to sensitive or special-status species, or impact riparian, wetland, or any other sensitive habitat, or conflict with local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources)?	\boxtimes		
EIR and SEIR evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation			
As described under Part A (Surveys), surveys have not been composited Loma Yard North. The footprint of the yard is fully developed status species to occur at the site. The developed land surrounding quality habitat for nesting birds, given the lack of trees and shrub	l, and there is ng the substa	no potential for	special-
The temporary power poles would be designed to be avian-safe Practices for Raptor Protection on Power Lines: the State of the A Committee 2006), which would be reviewed by SCE, RPU, and the addition, nighttime lighting, if necessary during construction, wou lighting, which would minimize the impact of stray lighting on the active bird nests are observed during construction, operation, or powerline, the active nests would be avoided in compliance with 08). Invasive species introduction to the project site or surrounding minimized with the implementation of MM BIO-09, which mandat requirements. With the introduction of these measures, the proportion of increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact of	rt in 2006" (Ave Project Biokald be minimize surrounding I decommission the Migratog area would es specific eased activities	vian Power Line I ogist (MM BIO-02 red by using dire nabitat (MM BIO ning of the temp ry Bird Treaty Ac be avoided and quipment handli would not result	Interaction 2). In ctional -04). If any corary t (MM BIO- d

Would the proposed action(s) result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on:	No Change	Potentially Significant Change	N/A	
Cultural, Tribal Cultural, and Paleontological Resources (e.g., cause adverse change to a historical, archeological, or tribal cultural resource, cause adverse change to a paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature)? EIR and SEIR evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation	\boxtimes			
The proposed activities would take place within the existing Mira Loma Yard North, which is fully developed and disturbed. Ground disturbance would be limited to previously disturbed and developed areas; thus, the likelihood of discovering or impacting a historical, archeological, tribal, cultural, or paleontological resource is low. However, if subsurface archaeological, historical, or paleontological resources are located during construction, MMs CUL-05, CUL-06, CUL-07, CUL-08, and CUL-08A would be required. All project personnel would receive a project-specific cultural resource training prior to the initiation of construction activities (MM CUL-02C). The proposed actions would also adhere to the provisions outlines in MM CUL-02D, which pertains to the discovery of human remains at the project site. With implementation of the mitigation measures identified above, proposed activities would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on cultural, tribal cultural, and paleontological resources.				
Geology and Soils (e.g., cause or expose people or structures to geologic or soil hazards, including erosion or loss of topsoil)? EIR and SEIR evaluation: Less than Significant	\boxtimes			
The proposed activities at Mira Loma Yard North are located in developed and disturbed areas, and the proposed use of the area (construction and use of temporary electricity infrastructure) would be similar to the EIR and SEIR analyses. The yard is entirely rocked or paved, which would not change as a result of the proposed actions. BMPs would be implemented in accordance with the soil erosion and water quality protection measures specified in the project-specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) (EPE GEO-02). Additionally, No substantial soil erosion or loss of topsoil would occur, as ground disturbance would be limited to constructing temporary poles. The proposed activities would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on geology and soils.				
Hazards and Hazardous Materials (e.g., create or increase the exposure of people or structures to hazardous materials or wildland fires, involve the use of additional hazardous materials or equipment, or interfere with an adopted emergency plan)? EIR and SEIR evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation	×			
Proposed activities would require use and storage of the same ty materials that were analyzed in the EIR and SEIR. The proposed at materials sites (Department of Toxic Substance Control, n.d.; State Vehicles and equipment involved with the proposed actions would be and oils) that would be consistent with the types of materials hazards associated with the proposed activities would be address HAZ-01 (Health and Safety and Emergency Response Proceduress Management Program), EPE HAZ-04 (Worker Environmental Awar materials stored or transported from the staging yards are proper impact. The proposed activities would not result in a new impact analyzed impact on hazards and hazardous materials.	reas do not ce Water Resould use hazards analyzed in ssed through is), EPE HAZ-03 reness Trainingly handled to	ontain known hurces Control Bodous materials (state EIR and SEIR implementation (Environmental avoid a signific	azardous pard, n.d.). such as r. Potential of EPE t hazardous ant	

Would the proposed action(s) result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on:	No Change	Potentially Significant Change	N/A
Hydrology and Water Quality (e.g., degrade water quality, discharge waste or sediment, deplete groundwater, alter the existing drainage pattern, create additional runoff water or polluted runoff, place structures in a 100-year flood hazard area, or expose people or structures to a significant risk involving flooding)? Final EIR and SEIR evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation	×		
The existing sheet flow hydrology at Mira Loma North Yard would remain largely unaltered because the proposed activities involve minimal ground disturbance. Ground disturbance for the installation of temporary power poles would not introduce significant topographical changes to the existing project site. In addition, ground disturbance would occur in areas previously disturbed or developed. Implementation of EPE HAZ-03 (Environmental Management Program) requires implementation of a SWPPP to protect water quality from runoff from staging areas. As discussed above, BMPs would be implemented in accordance with the soil erosion and water quality protection measures specified in the SWPPP (EPE GEO-02). The proposed activities would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on hydrology and water quality.			
Land Use (e.g., conflict with a land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project, or conflict with a habitat conservation plan)? Final EIR and SEIR evaluation: Less than Significant with			\boxtimes
Mitigation Incorporation The proposed activities would occur within an existing substation land use and ongoing activities of the site. The proposed activities zoning designations, and would not result in a new impact or incanalyzed impact on land use and planning.	es would have	e no effect on la	ınd use or
Noise (e.g., expose sensitive receptors to additional noise or vibration)? Final EIR and SEIR evaluation: Significant and Unavoidable	\boxtimes		
The proposed activities would require minimal ground disturbance noise impacts. Noise sensitive receptors are located approximate activity. Noise generated from constructing the temporary power given the surrounding industrial and commercial land uses that lie (beeping from backup actions, dropping material, loud engines,	ely 360 feet no er line would n kely have simi	orthwest of the potential for	oroposed or the area,
In addition, a concrete masonry wall exists along the western bo separates sensitive receptors from the temporary power poles. N not result in a new impact or increase the severity of noise impact	loise from the	proposed activi	ities would
Population and Housing (e.g., induce substantial population growth in an area, or displace substantial numbers of people or housing)?			\boxtimes
Final EIR and SEIR evaluation: Less than Significant			
The proposed activities would consist of installing and utilizing ter North and would not result in population growth or the displacen activities would not result in a new impact or increase the severit population and housing.	ment of peopl	e or housing. The	e proposed

Would the proposed action(s) result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on:	No Change	Potentially Significant Change	N/A
Recreation (e.g., increases the use of, or cause adverse effects to, parks or other recreational facilities)? Final EIR and SEIR evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation			\boxtimes
The proposed activities would not be located adjacent to or with proposed activity would have no impact on recreation.	nin a park or re	ecreational faci	ity. The
Transportation and Traffic (e.g., increase traffic congestion or degrade performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation, or increase hazards due to a design feature)? Final EIR and SEIR evaluation: Significant and Unavoidable			
The proposed activities would occur on private land and would reclosures would be required as part of the proposed activities. The a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed im	proposed ac	ctivities would no	ot result in
Utilities and Public Services (e.g., result in construction of new, or expansion of existing, water facilities, stormwater drainage facilities, require additional water entitlements, or creation of new solid waste disposal needs)? Final EIR and SEIR evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation	\boxtimes		
The proposed activities would not involve the construction of new, or expansion of existing, permanent water facilities, stormwater drainage facilities, and/or require water entitlements, or creation of new solid waste disposal needs. The proposed powerlines would temporarily supply power from an existing SCE power pole to administration office trailers that support the project. Following construction, the temporary power facilities would be removed. No power outages or other utility interruptions are anticipated as a result of the proposed activities. The proposed activities would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on utilities and public services.			