

APPENDIX J

Land Use Consistency Table

Land Use Plans, Policies, and Regulations Consistency Analysis

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation	Consistency Determination ^a
County of Riverside General Plan	
<p>Policy LU 31.6: Ensure that development and conservation land uses do not infringe upon existing essential public facilities and public utility corridors, which include Riverside County regional landfills, fee owned rights-of-way and permanent easements, whose true land use is that of Public Facilities. This policy will ensure that the public facilities designation governs over what otherwise may be inferred by the large-scale General Plan maps. (AI 3)</p>	<p>Consistent. Distribution Line Relocation #8 would not occur on County-designated conservation land and the land is undeveloped. The underground Distribution Line Relocation #8 would be constructed within the proposed 230-kV transmission line ROW. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy N 1.5: Prevent and mitigate the adverse impacts of excessive noise exposure on the residents, employees, visitors, and noise-sensitive uses of Riverside County. (AI 105, 106, 108)</p>	<p>Consistent. No sensitive receptors are located within 1,000 feet of Distribution Line Relocation #8. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy AQ-4.7: To the greatest extent possible, require every project to mitigate any of its anticipated emissions which exceed allowable emissions as established by the SCAQMD, MDAQMD, SCAB, the Environmental Protection Agency and the California Air Resources Board.</p>	<p>Consistent. Construction emissions would be mitigated to the greatest extent feasible as described in greater detail in Section 4.3: Air Quality. EPE AQ-01, EPE AQ-02, and MM AQ-01 through MM AQ-03 are applied to the Revised Project and would ensure that criteria air pollutant emissions generated by the RTRP as a whole would not exceed significance thresholds. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy AQ 4.9: Require compliance with SCAQMD Rules 403 and 403.1 and support appropriate future measures to reduce fugitive dust emanating from construction sites.</p>	<p>Consistent. Fugitive dust emissions generated during construction of the Revised Project would be mitigated to the greatest extent feasible, as described in greater detail in Section 4.3: Air Quality. MM AQ-01 is applied to the Revised Project and would reduce fugitive dust emissions. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy OS 3.3: Minimize pollutant discharge into storm drainage systems and natural drainage and aquifers.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would comply with the requirements of the CGP, 2010 MS4 Permit, and other applicable permits to meet water quality standards and waste discharge requirements. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.8: Hydrology. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation	Consistency Determination ^a
<p>Policy OS 20.2: Prevent unnecessary extension of public facilities, services, and utilities, for urban uses, into Open Space Conservation-designated areas.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project is necessary to ensure electric system reliability and meet anticipated future load growth. Any Open Space Conservation-designated areas disturbed during construction would be restored within 12 months in accordance with MM REC-04, as described in greater detail in Section 4.12: Recreation. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy OS 20.3: Discourage the absorption of dedicated park lands by non-recreational uses, public or private. Where absorption is unavoidable, replace park lands that are absorbed by other uses with similar or improved facilities and programs.</p>	<p>Consistent. Any park lands disturbed during construction would be restored within 12 months in accordance with MM REC-04, as described in greater detail in Section 4.12: Recreation. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy S 7.3: Require commercial businesses, utilities, and industrial facilities that handle hazardous materials to: install automatic fire and hazardous materials detection, reporting and shut-off devices; and install an alternative communication system in the event power is out or telephone service is saturated following an earthquake.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would include installation of line protection systems that would shut off power in a fraction of a second if a transmission structure was to be blown over, as described in greater detail in Section 4.7: Hazards and Hazardous Materials. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
City of Jurupa Valley Draft 2017 General Plan	
<p>Policy ES 3.11: Gateway Improvements. Enhance major gateways along I-15, SR 60, Van Buren Boulevard, Mission Boulevard, and other important corridors to create attractive entrances into the City, as resources allow, through the City's land use and capital improvement program.</p>	<p>Inconsistent. Construction of riser poles would impact the visual quality of the Limonite Avenue overpass, which is considered a gateway to Jurupa Valley. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.1: Aesthetics. The Revised Project would conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>LUE 4.6: Public Utilities, Easements, and Rights-of-Way. New development and conservation land uses shall not infringe upon existing public utility corridors, including fee owned rights-of-way and permanent easements whose true land use is that of public facilities. This policy will ensure that the "public facilities" designation governs what otherwise may be inferred from large-scale General Plan maps.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would require easements from property owners for two portions of the transmission alignment. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy LUE 4.8: Impact Mitigation of New Public Facilities. Planning and development of new public facilities, such as public buildings, utility transmission lines (water, sewer, communications and power), roads, bridges, storage and equipment yards, and flood control channels, shall avoid adverse impacts on prime residential or commercial properties, or areas with residential and commercial development potential, and shall not adversely affect the character and quality of life in the City's residential neighborhoods.</p>	<p>Inconsistent. Construction of overhead transmission structures would have a significant impact on views from residential streets, as described in greater detail in Section 4.1: Aesthetics. There is no feasible mitigation to reduce this impact to less than significant. The Revised Project would conflict with the policy.</p>

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation

Consistency Determination ^a

Policy LUE 5.2: **Land Use and Circulation Planning.** Within the Overlay, give priority to preserving, facilitating, and improving equestrian uses, access, and safety, trails and other equestrian-serving facilities when planning public transportation, utilities, public buildings, and other public facilities.

Consistent. The Revised Project could require temporary closures of a primary equestrian trail adjacent to 68th Street between Pats Ranch Road and Lucrefia Avenue. This impact would be mitigated with detours and signage in accordance with MM REC-05, as described in greater detail in Section 4.12: Recreation. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Policy LUE 5.53: Utilities. Discourage utility lines within the river corridor and floodplain. If approved, lines shall be placed underground where feasible and shall be located and designed in a manner to harmonize with the natural environment and to be visually unobtrusive.

Consistent. In Jurupa Valley, the Revised Project would involve construction of two riser poles and a segment of the underground alignment within the Santa Ana River 100-year floodplain. The underground alignment is consistent with the policy. The previously approved project included two steel lattice towers within the same area. The Revised Project would not increase the number of utility structures in the floodplain and would consolidate the structures to minimize the visual obtrusiveness. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Policy LUE 12.3: Construction. Require that public and private structures be constructed in accordance with the requirements of the City's zoning, building, and other pertinent codes and regulations.

Consistent. The overhead alignment and riser poles proposed as part of the Revised Project would comply with pertinent state and federal regulations. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Policy LUE 12.12: Natural Features. Require development projects, including public projects, utilities, and earthworks/grading, to protect and preserve natural features, such as unique natural terrain, rocky outcrops, ridgelines, drainage ways, mature trees, and native vegetation, wherever possible, particularly where they provide continuity with more extensive regional systems.

Partially Consistent. The Revised Project in the City of Jurupa Valley would not be constructed within a preserved natural area. Trees would likely be removed during construction of the underground alignment within the golf course. Golf course vegetation impacted during construction would be replaced. SCE allows shallow-rooted vegetation within the underground ROW. The setback for deep-rooted trees and vegetation is 10 feet.

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation

Consistency Determination ^a

Policy ME 2.1: **Roadway system.** Require that the City's mobility corridors:

- a. Accommodate public transit, motor vehicles, bicyclists, equestrians and pedestrians within the public right-of-way wherever feasible, using multi-modal, "complete streets" design strategies.
- b. Maintain at least a Level of Service (LOS) D or better at all intersections, except where flexibility is warranted based on a multi-modal LOS evaluation, or where LOS E is deemed appropriate to accommodate complete streets/multi-modal facilities.
- c. Be designed to meet the needs of the existing population and business activities, as designated by the Land Use Element and in accordance with the Mobility Corridor concept and to maintain consistency with the Master Plan of Streets and Trails (to be developed).
- d. Be designed so that new roadways, ramps, traffic control devices, bridges or similar facilities, and significant changes to such facilities, are designed to accommodate multi-modal facilities in a balanced manner.
- e. Be maintained in accordance with best practices and the City's Street Improvement Program.

Policy ME 2.11: **Target Levels of Service.** Until a multi-modal based metric is adopted, City will maintain the following target Levels of Service, or "LOS":

- a. LOS C along all City maintained roads and conventional state highways. As an exception, LOS D may be allowed in designated areas, only at intersections of any combination of Secondary Highways, Major Highways, Arterials, Urban Arterials, Express ways, conventional state highways or freeway ramp intersections.
- b. LOS E may be allowed in designated village centers to the extent that it would support transit-oriented development and walkable communities. LOS F is not considered an acceptable level of service.

Inconsistent. The Revised Project would reduce the service level of Limonite Avenue west of Veterans Memorial to LOS E, as described in greater detail in Section 4.13: Transportation and Traffic. There is no feasible mitigation to reduce this impact to less than significant. The Revised Project would conflict with the policy.

Inconsistent. The Revised Project would reduce the service level of Limonite Avenue west of Veterans Memorial to LOS E, as described in greater detail in Section 4.13: Transportation and Traffic. There is no feasible mitigation to reduce this impact to less than significant. The Revised Project would conflict with the policy.

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation	Consistency Determination ^a
<p>Policy ME 2.6: Efficient Use. Utilize existing infrastructure and utilities to the maximum extent practicable and provide for the logical, timely, and economically efficient extension of infrastructure and services.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project is a part of a larger electricity transmission project, the RTRP, which has been determined necessary to increase capacity to accommodate existing and anticipated demand, as well as increase reliability. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy ME 3.33: Roadway Repairs. When roadway repairs are done by the City or other agencies, such as utility companies, the roadway shall be restored in accordance with City standards, with restriping suitable for bicycle use, as appropriate.</p>	<p>Consistent. The roadways disturbed during construction of the underground alignment would be restored to the condition prior to disturbance. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy ME 4.2: Removal of Barriers. Maximize visibility and access and encourage the removal or modification of barriers (e.g. walls, fences, utilities, drainage ditches, refuse bins) for safe and convenient equestrian movement. Special emphasis should be placed on creating and maintaining safe and convenient trail linkages with the Equestrian Lifestyle Protection Overlay.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project could require temporary closures of a primary equestrian trail adjacent to 68th Street between Pats Ranch Road and Lucretia Avenue. This impact would be mitigated with detours and signage in accordance with MM REC-05, as described in greater detail in Section 4.12: Recreation. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy ME 7.4: Public Equipment and Facilities. The City and other agencies should locate and design utility and circulation-related equipment and facilities to avoid blocking or cluttering views of scenic resources from scenic roadways, consistent with the following standards:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Whenever possible, signs in the public right-of-way should be consolidated onto a single low-profile standard. 2. Public utilities along scenic highways should be installed underground. 3. The placement and design of fencing, walls, landscaping and street trees should not block views of scenic resources from Scenic Routes. Clustering of street trees along scenic roadways should be considered as an alternative to uniform spacing. 4. Traffic signals with long mast arms should be discouraged along scenic roadways. 	<p>Consistent. Scenic highways are not located in the vicinity of the Revised Project. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy ME 8.18: Additional Right-of-Way. Require additional right-of-way or easements where needed for utilities, noise mitigation, trails, bikeways, street trees, slope landscaping or stabilization, or drainage facilities.</p>	<p>Consistent. The underground and overhead alignments would require additional ROW and easements. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation	Consistency Determination ^a
<p>Policy ME 8.46: Runoff Control. Implement National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Best Management Practices relating to construction of roadways to control runoff contamination from affecting the groundwater supply.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would comply with National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit No. CAS000002 requirements to control runoff contamination from affecting the groundwater supply, as described in greater detail in Section 4.8: Hydrology. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy NE 1.5: Noise-Sensitive Uses. Consider the following uses noise sensitive and discourage these uses in areas in excess of 65 CNEL: schools, hospitals, assisted living facilities, mental care facilities, residential uses, libraries, passive recreational uses, and places of worship.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would not result in noise levels that exceed 65 dBA at noise sensitive receptors in the City of Jurupa Valley, as described in greater detail in Section 4.10: Noise. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy NE 3.4: Construction Equipment. Require that all construction equipment utilize noise reduction features (i.e., mufflers and engine shrouds) that are at least as effective as those originally installed by the equipment's manufacturer.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would implement noise reduction practices for construction equipment selection and function in accordance with EPE NOI-04, as described in greater detail in Section 4.10: Noise. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy NE 3.5: Construction Noise. Limit commercial construction activities adjacent to or within 200 feet of residential uses to weekdays, between 7:00 am and 6:00 pm, and limit high-noise-generating construction activities (e.g., grading, demolition, pile driving) near sensitive receptors to weekdays between 9:00 am and 3:00 pm.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would comply with local jurisdiction noise ordinances for construction times in accordance with MM NOI-01 and MM NOI-02, as described in greater detail in Section 4.10: Noise. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy NE 4.2: Avoid the placement of vibration-producing land uses adjacent to or within one-quarter mile of sensitive receptors.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would not significantly increase vibration levels for sensitive receptors with the implementation of EPE NOI-04, as described in greater detail in Section 4.10: Noise. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy NE 4.3: Truck Idling. Restrict truck idling near sensitive vibration receptors.</p>	<p>Consistent. No historic vibration-sensitive structures are located in the vicinity of the Revised Project components. CCR § 2485 requires trucks with a gross vehicle weight rating over 10,000 pounds to idle no longer than 5 consecutive minutes except under extenuating circumstances, which would minimize vibration impacts to other sensitive receptors. EPE NOI-04 in Section 4.10: Noise is applied to the Revised Project to minimize vibration impacts. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation	Consistency Determination ^a
<p>Goal COS 1: Protect, preserve, and create the conditions that will promote the preservation of significant trees and other vegetation, particularly native California species.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would not significantly impact native vegetation with the implementation of MM BIO-01, MM BIO-01A, MM BIO-09, MM BIO-09A, and MM REC-04. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.4: Biological Resources and Section 4.10: Recreation. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy COS 1.1: Habitat Conservation. Conserve key habitats, including existing wetlands and California native plant communities, with a focus on protecting and restoring the following endangered species habitats:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Conserve alluvial fan sage scrub associated with the Santa Ana River to support key populations of Santa Ana River woolly-star (<i>Eriastrum densifolium, sanctorum</i>). B. Conserve clay soils to support key populations of many-stemmed liveforever plants (<i>Dudleya multicaulis</i>) known to occur along the Jurupa Valley portion of the Santa Ana River. C. Conserve known populations of least Bell's vireo (<i>Vireo bellii pusillus</i>) and southwestern willow flycatcher (<i>Empidonax traillii extimus</i>) along the Santa Ana River. D. Conserve large intact habitat areas consisting of coastal sage scrub, chaparral, and grasslands to support known locations of coastal California gnatcatcher (<i>Polioptila californica</i>). E. Conserve grassland and coastal sage scrub supporting known populations of San Bernardino kangaroo rat (<i>Dipodomys merriami parvus</i>) in the Jurupa Mountains. F. Conserve grasslands adjacent to sage scrub for foraging habitat for raptors. 	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would not significantly impact any special-status species, riparian habitat, or wetlands, and would comply with the provisions of the Western Riverside County MSHCP with the implementation of MM BIO-01, MM BIO-01A, MM BIO-09, MM BIO-09A, MM BIO-14, MM BIO-15, and MM REC-04. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.4: Biological Resources. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy COS 1.2: Protection of Significant Trees. Protect and preserve significant trees, as determined by the City Council upon the recommendation of the Planning Commission. Significant trees are those trees that make substantial contributions to natural habitat or to the urban landscape due to their species, size, or rarity. In particular, California native trees should be protected.</p>	<p>Not Applicable. The Revised Project is exempt from this policy per Exemption C, as described in greater detail in Section 4.4: Biological Resources.</p>
<p>Policy COS 1.3: Other Significant Vegetation. Maintain and conserve superior examples of vegetation, including: agricultural wind screen plantings, street trees, stands of mature native and non-native trees, and other features of ecological, aesthetic, and conservation value.</p>	<p>Not Applicable. The Revised Project is exempt from this policy per Exemption C, as described in greater detail in Section 4.4: Biological Resources.</p>

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation

Consistency Determination ^a

Policy COS 2.1: **MSHCP Implementation.** Implement provisions of the MSHCP when conducting review of development applications, General Plan amendments/zoning changes, transportation, or other infrastructure projects that are covered activities in the MSHCP.

Consistent. The Revised Project would comply with the provisions of the Western Riverside County MSHCP in accordance with MM BIO-01 and MM BIO-01A, as described in greater detail in Section 4.4: Biological Resources. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Goal COS 3: Working with the Jurupa Community Services District, Rubidoux Community Services District and other community services districts and agencies to help meet Jurupa Valley's urban water needs without substantial harm to the natural environment or to agriculture, to help meet water needs including requiring conservation measures such as drought-tolerant landscaping and water-saving fixtures in new homes, and to:

Consistent. The Revised Project would comply with appropriate permits to ensure water quality standards, sediment and erosion control standards, and waste discharge requirements are met. The Revised Project would not have a significant impact on riparian habitat or wetlands with the implementation of MM BIO-01, MM BIO-02, and MM BIO-15. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.4: Biological resources and Section 4.8: Hydrology. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

- a. Protect and maintain water quality in aquifers, the Santa Ana River, streams, and wetlands that help support beneficial uses, including domestic and commercial/industrial uses, agricultural uses, and wildlife habitat.
- b. Protect and improve the quality of local water sources, including groundwater and the Santa Ana River.
- c. Encourage Jurupa Community Services District and Rubidoux Community Services District to retain and, where possible, expand the capacity of wells, aquifers, and other groundwater reserves.
- d. Preserve natural floodways, floodplains, and wetlands, and avoid actions that adversely affect waterways or riparian areas, or that increase flood hazards to urban uses.

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation

Consistency Determination ^a

Policy COS 3.1.4: Floodway Protection and Enhancement. Working with other responsible agencies, help implement the following actions:

- a. Encourage preparation of an inventory of natural areas that have been degraded and list sites in priority order, for restoration efforts.
- b. Encourage revegetation of disturbed areas using native plants.
- c. Eliminate sources of water pollutants and improper water diversions.
- d. Remove invasive, non-native species in natural habitat areas, and prevent the introduction or spread of invasive, non-native species.
- e. Discourage the placement and, where possible, remove man-made elements such as buildings, paving, structural elements, concrete lining of waterways, signs, streets, and utilities within floodways or floodplains, unless they are needed for public health or safety, or for implementation of City plans.
- f. Require that suitably sized access corridors be provided and/or maintained through or under new and previously established, man-made obstacles to wildlife movement (such as appropriately sized culverts under arterial streets, highways, and other major roads).
- g. Discourage or prevent camping, off-road vehicles, hunting and other activities that are not compatible with floodplain health and preservation.
- h. Remove trash, debris, and contaminants, using methods that minimally disrupt the open-space resources.
- i. Provide continuing community education and outreach for all citizens, youth, and youth groups, and property owners on open space and natural resource values, programs, and responsibilities.
- j. Enlist the help of volunteers, youth and service groups, and academic programs in restoring and monitoring habitat health.

Policy COS 3.21: **Ecotones.** Identify and, to the maximum extent possible, conserve remaining upland habitat areas, or "ecotones" adjacent to wetland and riparian areas that are critical to the feeding, hibernation, or nesting of wildlife species.

Consistent. The Revised Project would involve construction of two riser poles and a segment of the underground alignment within the Santa Ana River 100-year floodplain. The underground alignment is consistent with the policy. The previously approved project included two steel lattice towers within the same area. The Revised Project would not increase the number of utility structures in the floodplain and would consolidate the structures to minimize the visual obtrusiveness. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Consistent. The Western Riverside County MSHCP manages development within its plan area to promote the conservation of upland habitat and other critical wildlife habitat. The Revised Project would comply with the provisions of the Western Riverside County MSHCP in accordance with MM BIO-01 and MM BIO-01A. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation	Consistency Determination ^a
<p>Policy COS 3.3: Water Quality. Employ the best available practices for pollution avoidance and control and encourage others to do the same. "Best available practices" means actions and equipment that result in the highest water quality, considering available equipment, life-cycle costs, social and environmental side effects, and the regulations of other agencies.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would comply with appropriate permits to ensure water quality standards and waste discharge requirements are met, as described in greater detail in Section 4.8: Hydrology. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy COS 3.9: Pollution Discharge. Minimize pollutant discharge into storm drainage systems and natural drainage and aquifers.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would comply with appropriate permits to ensure waste discharge requirements are met, as described in greater detail in Section 4.8: Hydrology. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy COS 7.1: Preservation of Significant Cultural Resources. Identify, protect, and where necessary, archive significant paleontological, archaeological, and historical resources.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would include procedures to avoid cultural resources, monitor construction for discovery of resources, and protect and archive any discovered resources accordance with MM CUL-02, MM CUL-02A, MM CUL-02C, MM CUL-02D, MM CUL-03, MM CUL-04, MM CUL-04A, MM CUL-05, MM CUL-06, and MM CUL-07. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.5: Cultural, Tribal Cultural, and Paleontological Resources. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy COS 7.3: Development Review. Evaluate project sites for archaeological sensitivity and for a project's potential to uncover or disturb cultural resources as part of development review.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project's archaeological sensitivity and potential to uncover or disturb cultural resources is evaluated in Section 4.5: Cultural, Tribal Cultural, and Paleontological Resources. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy COS 7.4: Site Confidentiality. Protect the confidentiality and prevent inappropriate public exposure or release of information on locations or contents of paleontological and archaeological resource sites.</p>	<p>Consistent. The locations of paleontological and archaeological resource sites remained confidential throughout the Revised Project's environmental review process. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy COS 7.5: Native American Consultation. Refer development projects for Native American tribal review and consultation as part of the environmental review process, in compliance with state law.</p>	<p>Consistent. Appropriate Native American tribes were consulted as part of the Revised Project's environmental review process, as described in greater detail in Section 4.5: Cultural, Tribal Cultural, and Paleontological Resources. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation	Consistency Determination ^a
<p>Policy COS 7.7: Qualified archaeologist present. Cease construction or grading activities in and around sites where substantial archaeological resources are discovered until a qualified archaeologist knowledgeable in Native American cultures can determine the significance of the resource and recommend alternative mitigation measures.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would include procedures to cease construction activities in the event of a cultural resource discovery in accordance with MM CUL-02A. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.5: Cultural, Tribal Cultural, and Paleontological Resources. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy COS 7.8: Native American Monitoring. Include Native American participation in the City's guidelines for resource assessment and impact mitigation. Native American representatives should be present during archaeological excavation and during construction in an area likely to contain cultural resources. The Native American community shall be consulted as knowledge of cultural resources expands and as the City considers updates or significant changes to its General Plan.</p>	<p>Consistent. Appropriate Native American tribes were consulted as part of the Revised Project's environmental review process. Tribal cultural monitors from consulted tribes would monitor all ground-disturbing activities that the consulting tribes believe warrant monitoring in accordance with MM CUL-02A. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.5: Cultural, Tribal Cultural, and Paleontological Resources. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy COS 7.9: Archaeological Resources Mitigation. Require a mitigation plan to protect resources when a preliminary site survey finds substantial archaeological resources before permitting construction. Possible mitigation measures include presence of a qualified professional during initial grading or trenching; project redesign; covering with a layer of fill; and excavation, removal and curation in an appropriate facility under the direction of a qualified professional.</p>	<p>Consistent. A Construction Monitoring and Unanticipated Cultural Resources Discovery Plan would be prepared and submitted to the CPUC at least 30 days prior to construction in accordance with MM CUL-02A. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.5: Cultural, Tribal Cultural, and Paleontological Resources. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy COS 8.2: Extension of Public Facilities. Avoid the extension of public streets, facilities, services, and utilities for urban uses into areas designated as Open Space in the General Plan.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project components in Jurupa Valley would not be constructed in any area designated as Open Space. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy COS 8.3: Conversion. Discourage the conversion of dedicated parklands and designated open space to non-recreational or non-open space uses. Where conversion is unavoidable, require developers or responsible agencies to replace parklands that are converted to other uses with similar or improved facilities and programs, and open space with land of equivalent open space value.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would not result in the conversion of any parkland or designated open space with the implementation of MM REC-04. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.12: Recreation. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>

Policy COS 9.3: Urban development. Implement the following aesthetic principles and encourage other agencies with jurisdiction to do so:

1. Design Context. Urban development should be designed to reflect its architectural, environmental, and historical context. This does not necessarily prescribe a specific style, but requires deliberate design choices that acknowledge human scale, natural site features, and neighboring urban development, and that are compatible with historical and architectural resources. Plans for sub-areas of the city and within the three village centers may require certain distinctive architectural styles.
2. Utilities and Signs. In and near public streets, public spaces and parks, and important scenic resources, features that clutter, degrade, intrude on, or obstruct views should be avoided. Necessary features, such as utility and communication equipment, and traffic equipment and signs should be designed and placed to not impinge upon or degrade scenic views, consistent with the primary objective of safety. New billboard signs within scenic corridors should be avoided, and existing billboard signs should be removed when possible.
3. Streetscapes and Major Roadways. In the acquisition, design, construction, or significant modification of major roadways (highways/ regional routes and arterial streets), the City will promote the creation of "streetscapes" and linear scenic parkways or corridors that promote the City's visual quality and character, enhance adjacent uses, and integrate roadways with surrounding districts. To accomplish this, the City will:
 - Establish streetscape design standards for major roadways.
 - Encourage the creation and maintenance of planted medians and widened parkway landscaping.
 - Retain mature trees in the public right of way.
 - Emphasize the planting and maintenance of California native tree species of sufficient height, spread, form, and horticultural characteristics to create the desired streetscape canopy, shade, buffering from adjacent uses, and other desired streetscape characteristics.
 - Encourage the use of water-conserving land-scaping, street furniture, decorative lighting and paving, arcaded walkways,

Consistent. No scenic resources or views are located in the vicinity of the Revised Project components. The underground alignment would be located in Pats Ranch Road adjacent to Limonite Meadows Park. The underground alignment would not obstruct views in the area of the park. The overhead alignment would be located over 1,500 feet to the north of Vernola Park and would not obstruct views. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation	Consistency Determination ^a
<p>public art, and other pedestrian-oriented features to enhance streetscape appearance, comfort, and safety.</p> <p>Encourage and, where possible, require undergrounding of overhead utility lines and structures.</p>	
<p>Policy COS 9.4: View Protection in New Development. The City will include in all environmental review and carefully consider effects of new development, streets and road construction, grading and earthwork, and utilities on views and visual quality.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project's impact on views and visual quality is analyzed in Section 4.1: Aesthetics. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy COS 9.6: Scenic Corridors and Roadways. Development projects along and within scenic corridors, including state highway projects, noise walls, and new private or public construction, shall not wall off scenic roadways and block views of scenic resources. The following measures shall be implemented:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Utilities, traffic signals, and public and private signs and lights shall not intrude on or clutter views, consistent with safety needs. b. Where important vistas of distant landscape features occur along local streets, street trees shall be clustered to facilitate viewing. 	<p>Consistent. No scenic corridors or roadways are located in the vicinity of the Revised Project. The Revised Project components would not clutter scenic views. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy COS 9.1.3: Undergrounding Utilities. Place existing overhead utilities underground, with highest priority for scenic roadways and entries to the City, and require utilities, community services districts, and other responsible agencies to do likewise.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would result in undergrounding of slightly over 2 miles of the 230-kV transmission line that was previously approved to be constructed overhead. The overhead alignment connects to an existing overhead transmission line near the intersection of Wineville Avenue and Cantu-Galleano Ranch Road. The overhead alignment would transition underground north of Limonite Avenue, a city entry point. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy AQ 3.4: Emissions Mitigation. Require every project to mitigate any of its anticipated emissions that exceed allowable levels as established by the SCAQMD, the US EPA, and CARB, to the greatest extent possible.</p>	<p>Consistent. The air emissions generated during construction of the Revised Project would be mitigated, as described in greater detail in Section 4.3: Air Quality. EPE AQ-01, EPE AQ-02, and MM AQ-01 through MM AQ-03 are applied to the Revised Project and would reduce air emissions. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy AQ 3.5: Fugitive Dust Reduction Measures. Apply, as appropriate, measures contained in the County's Fugitive Dust Reduction to the entire City.</p>	<p>Consistent. A Fugitive Dust Control Plan would be prepared for the Revised Project in accordance with MM AQ-01, as described in greater detail in Section 4.3: Air Quality. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation	Consistency Determination ^a
<p>Policy AQ 3.6: Grading in High Winds. Suspend all grading when wind speeds exceed 25 miles per hour.</p>	<p>Consistent. Operations on unpaved surfaces during construction of the Revised Project would be suspended when winds exceed 25 miles per hour in accordance with MM AQ-01. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.3: Air Quality. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy AQ 4.2: Particulate Matter. Reduce particulate matter from agriculture, construction, demolition, debris hauling, street cleaning, utility maintenance, railroad rights of way, and off-road vehicles to the maximum extent possible.</p>	<p>Consistent. Particulate matter emissions generated during construction of the Revised Project would be mitigated, as described in greater detail in Section 4.3: Air Quality. EPE AQ-01, EPE AQ-02, and MM AQ-01 are applied to the Revised Project and would reduce particulate matter emissions. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy AQ 5.1: Reduce Solid Waste. Utilize source reduction, recycling, and other appropriate measures to reduce the amount of solid waste disposed of in landfills.</p>	<p>Consistent. All nonhazardous recyclable construction materials generated by the Revised Project would be transported to a nonhazardous recycling facility or retained by SCE for use on other projects, in accordance with EPE UTIL-01. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.11: Public Services and Utilities. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Goal CSSF 1: Minimize risks resulting from natural and manmade hazards to its residents and businesses.</p>	<p>Consistent. Risks from natural and manmade hazards would be minimized with the implementation of MM HAZ-04 and MM HAZ-05, as described in greater detail in Section 4.7: Hazards and Hazardous Materials. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation

Consistency Determination ^a

Policy CSSF 1.1: Fault Rupture Hazards. When reviewing new development, minimize fault rupture hazards through enforcement of Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act provisions and the following requirements:

- a. Require geologic studies or analyses for new, critical structures, such as schools, medical facilities, senior or disabled housing, or other high-risk occupancies located within 0.5 mile of all active or potentially active faults.
- b. Require geologic trenching studies for new developments within all designated Earthquake Fault Studies Zones, unless adequate evidence is presented and accepted by the City Engineer or a Building Official. The City may also require geologic trenching for new development located outside designated fault zones for especially critical or vulnerable structures or lifelines.
- c. Require that critical infrastructure, including roads, bridges, and utilities be designed to resist, without failure, their crossing of a fault, if fault rupture occurs.
- d. Encourage and support efforts by the geologic research community to better define the locations and risks of County faults. Such efforts could include data sharing and database development with regional entities, state and local governments, private organizations, utility agencies, or universities.

Consistent. The Revised Project components do not cross any faults. The nearest active fault is over 6 miles away. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Program CSSF 1.1.6: **Fire Response Agreements.** Review inter-jurisdictional fire response agreements, and improve firefighting resources as recommended in the County Fire Protection Master Plan, to keep pace with development and to ensure that:

- a. Fire reporting and response times do not exceed those listed in the County Fire Protection Master Plan identified for each of the development densities described;

Consistent. The Revised Project's impact on emergency response times due to lane and road closures would be less than significant with the implementation of MM TRANS-06. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.13: Transportation and Traffic. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Policy CSSF 1.31: **Federal/State Laws.** Comply with federal and State laws regarding the management of hazardous waste and materials.

Consistent. SCE would follow all applicable federal, state, and local requirements for handling and disposing of hazardous materials and waste, as described in greater detail in Section 4.7: Hazards and Hazardous Materials. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation

Consistency Determination ^a

Policy CSSF 1.32: **Hazardous Waste Storage/Disposal.** Identify, assess, and mitigate safety hazards from the storage, use, and disposal of hazardous materials through the development review process.

Consistent. The Revised Project would have a less than significant impact on safety hazards resulting from the storage, use, and disposal of hazardous materials with the implementation of EPE HAZ-01 and EPE HAZ-03, as described in greater detail in the 2013 RTRP EIR. No mitigation is required. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Policy CSSF 1.37: **Hazardous Waste Handling.** Require businesses, utilities, and industrial facilities that handle hazardous materials to:

- a. Install automatic fire and hazardous materials detection, reporting, and shut-off devices;

Consistent. The Revised Project would include installation of line protection systems that would shut off power in a fraction of a second if a transmission structure was to be blown over, as described in greater detail in Section 4.7: Hazards and Hazardous Materials. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Policy CSSF 1.38: **Self-Sufficiency.** Use incentives and disincentives to persuade private businesses, consortiums, and neighborhoods to be self-sufficient in an emergency by:

- a. Maintaining a fire control plan, including an on-site firefighting capability and volunteer fire response teams to respond to and extinguish small fires; and

Consistent. A Fire Prevention and Management Plan would be developed for the Revised Project in accordance with MM HAZ-03, as described in greater detail in the 2013 RTRP EIR. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation

Consistency Determination ^a

Policy CSSF 1.39: Critical Facilities. Ensure that critical facilities such as City Hall, Sheriff's Substations, City Fire Stations, electrical substations, community services district offices, and water and sewer facilities are subject to the following design considerations:

- a. Require that special development standards, designs, and construction practices be implemented to reduce risk of compromise in a disaster to acceptable levels for capital improvements, utility projects, and development projects involving critical facilities, large-scale residential development, and major commercial or industrial development. Special standards should be applied through conditional use permits and the subdivision review process and, where appropriate, impact fees should be assessed to finance required actions.
- b. Require mitigation measures to reduce potential damage caused by ground failure for sites determined to have potential for liquefaction. Such measures shall apply to critical facilities, utilities, and large commercial and industrial projects as a condition of project approval.
- c. Require that planned lifeline utilities, as a condition of project approval, be designed, located, structurally upgraded, and fit with safety shutoff valves; be designed for easy maintenance, and have redundant back-up lines where unstable slopes, earth cracks, active faults, or areas of liquefaction cannot be avoided.
- d. Review proposed uses of fault setback areas closely to ensure that City infrastructure (roads, utilities, sanitary and storm sewers) are not unduly placed at risk by the developer. Insurance, bonding, or compensation plans should be used to compensate the City for the potential costs of repair.

Policy CSSF 1.40: Strengthen Utilities/Lifelines. Encourage the strengthening of planned and existing utilities and lifelines, the retrofit and rehabilitation of structurally unsound utility structures and public facilities, and the relocation of certain critical facilities where appropriate.

Consistent. The southeastern portion of the underground alignment and riser poles are in an area with a very high liquefaction hazard. EPE GEO-01, which requires a geotechnical study to be performed and the recommendations of the report be incorporated into the final project design, is applied to the Revised Project and would reduce the risk of damage to utility structures due to liquefaction. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Consistent. The Revised Project components would be constructed in accordance with current standards and geotechnical study recommendations. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation

Consistency Determination ^a

Policy CSSF 1.41: **Alternative Facilities.** Encourage alternatives that improve site safety for the protection of critical facilities, including property acquisition for open space, change in building use or occupancy, or other appropriate measures that can reduce risks posed by hazards.

Consistent. Alternatives to the Revised Project were considered in this Subsequent EIR. The risks posed by hazards for each alternative were evaluated and compared to the Revised Project in Section 3.0: Alternatives and Section 7.0: Comparison of Alternatives. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Policy CSSF 1.42: **Critical Facilities in Inundation Areas.** Discourage development of critical facilities that are proposed in dam failure inundation areas, and apply hazardous materials safety guidelines within such zones.

Consistent. The Revised Project components would not be constructed in a dam failure inundation area. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Policy CSSF 1.1.8: Safeguard Infrastructure. Coordinate with the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) and/or utilize the Capital Improvement Program, to strengthen, relocate, or take other appropriate measures to safeguard high-voltage lines, water, sewer, natural gas and petroleum pipelines, and trunk electrical and telephone conduits that:

- a. Extend through areas of high liquefaction potential;
- b. Cross active faults; or
- c. Traverse earth cracks or landslides.

Consistent. The Revised Project components do not cross any faults. The nearest active fault is over 6 miles away. The southeastern portion of the underground alignment and riser poles are in an area with a very high liquefaction hazard. EPE GEO-01, which requires a geotechnical study to be performed and the recommendations of the report be followed, is applied to the Revised Project and would reduce the risk of damage to utility structures due to liquefaction. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

City of Riverside General Plan 2025

Policy CCM-10.1: Ensure the provision of bicycle facilities consistent with the Bicycle Master Plan.

Consistent. Construction closures would provide either continued bicycle access to existing facilities or safe bicycle detours in accordance with MM TRANS-05 and MM TRANS-08. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.13: Transportation and Traffic. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Policy CCM-10.7: Maintain an extensive trails network that supports bicycles, pedestrians and horses and is linked to the trails systems of adjacent jurisdictions.

Consistent. SCE would avoid recreation area closures during heavy use periods, restore trails damaged by construction, provide detour routes during construction closures, and post signs at least 2 weeks in advance of construction notifying the public of closure dates and detour routes in accordance with MM REC-01, MM REC-02, MM REC-04, MM REC-05, and MM TRANS-08. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.12: Recreation and Section 4.13: Transportation and Traffic. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation	Consistency Determination ^a
<p>Policy OS-1.1: Protect and preserve open space and natural habitat wherever possible.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project's impacts to open space and natural habitat by the Revised Project would be less than significant with the implementation of MM BIO-01, MM BIO-01A, MM BIO-09, MM BIO-09A, MM BIO-14, MM BIO-15, and MM REC-04. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.4: Biological Resources and Section 4.12: Recreation. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy OS-1.3: Work with Riverside County and adjacent cities, landowners and conservation organizations to preserve, protect and enhance open space and natural resources.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project's impacts to open space and natural resources by the Revised Project would be less than significant with the implementation of MM BIO-01, MM BIO-01A, MM BIO-02, MM BIO-09, MM BIO-09A, MM BIO-14, MM BIO-15, and MM REC-04. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.4: Biological Resources and Section 4.12: Recreation. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy OS-3.9: Coordinate programs to preserve agricultural lands with other public, private and non-profit organizations where feasible.</p>	<p>Consistent. SCE would compensate for any loss of farmland resulting from construction of the Revised Project, and would participate in a land conservation program to create permanent conservation easements for agricultural land within the City of Jurupa Valley in accordance with MM AGR-03. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.2: Agriculture and Forestry Resources. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Objective OS-5: Protect biotic communities and critical habitats for endangered species throughout the General Plan Area.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project's impacts to biotic communities and critical habitats for endangered species would be less than significant with the implementation of MM BIO-01, MM BIO-01A, MM BIO-02, MM BIO-09, MM BIO-09A, MM BIO-14, MM BIO-15, and MM REC-04. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.4: Biological Resources and Section 4.12: Recreation. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy OS-5.1: Preserve significant habitat and environmentally sensitive areas, including hillsides, rock outcroppings, creeks, streams, viewsheds and arroyos through application of the RC Zone standards and the Hillside/Arroyo standards of the City's Grading Code.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project's impacts to significant habitat and environmentally sensitive areas would be less than significant with the implementation of MM BIO-01, MM BIO-01A, MM BIO-09, MM BIO-09A, MM BIO-14, MM BIO-15, and MM REC-04. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.4: Biological Resources and Section 4.12: Recreation. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation	Consistency Determination ^a
<p>Policy OS-5.2: Continue to participate in the MSHCP Program and ensure all projects comply with applicable requirements.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would pay MSHCP fees, comply with all applicable MSHCP requirements, and implement the urban/wildlands interface requirements of the MSHCP in accordance with MM BIO-01. Verification of MSHCP compliance would be provided to the CPUC in accordance with MM BIO-01A. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.4: Biological Resources. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy OS-5.3: Continue to participate in the Stephens' Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Habitat Conservation Plan including collection of mitigation fees.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would comply with all applicable SKRHCP requirements in accordance with MM BIO-01, as described in greater detail in Section 4.4: Biological Resources. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy OS-5.4: Protect native plant communities in the General Plan Area, including sage scrub, riparian areas and vernal pools, consistent with the MSHCP.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would comply with all applicable MSHCP requirements, and impact to native plant communities would be less than significant with the implementation of MM BIO-01, MM BIO-01A, MM BIO-09, MM BIO-09A, MM BIO-14, and MM BIO-15. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.4: Biological Resources. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy OS-6.3: Preserve the integrity of Riverside's arroyos and riparian habitat areas through the preservation of native plants.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would comply with the MSHCP, restore temporary impacts to riparian habitat, and mitigate permanent impacts to riparian habitat by conservation of biologically equivalent or superior habitat in accordance with MM BIO-01, MM BIO-01A, and MM BIO-15. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.4: Biological Resources. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy OS-7.1: Focus river improvements on the following areas: Fairmount Park and Mt. Rubidoux, Tequesquite Avenue and the Old Landfill, Martha McLean Park, Van Buren Bridge and the Hidden Valley Wildlife Area.</p>	<p>Consistent. Implementation of the Revised Project would not directly impact the Santa Ana River. SCE would restore any temporary impacts to riparian habitat, and would mitigate permanent impacts to riparian habitat by conservation of biologically equivalent or superior habitat in accordance with MM BIO-01A, and MM BIO-15. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.4: Biological Resources. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation	Consistency Determination ^a
<p>Policy OS-7.3: Preserve and expand open space along the Santa Ana River to protect water quality, riparian habit and recreational uses.</p>	<p>Consistent. Any open space areas disturbed during construction would be restored within 12 months in accordance with MM REC-04. SCE would restore any temporary impacts to riparian habitat, and would mitigate permanent impacts to riparian habitat by conservation of biologically equivalent or superior habitat in accordance with MM BIO-01A, and MM BIO-15. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.4: Biological Resources and Section 4.12: Recreation. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy OS-7.4: Interconnect the Santa Ana River Trail with other parks, cultural and community centers throughout the City through trails and linkages to encourage more pedestrian and bicycle usage and reduce automobile traffic</p>	<p>Consistent. SCE would avoid recreation area closures during heavy use periods, restore trails damaged by construction, provide detour routes during construction closures, and post signs at least 2 weeks in advance of construction notifying the public of closure dates and detour routes in accordance with MM REC-01, MM REC-02, MM REC-04, MM REC-05, and MM TRANS-08. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.12: Recreation and Section 4.13: Transportation and Traffic. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy OS-2.2: Limit the extent and intensity of uses and development in areas of unstable terrain, steep terrain, scenic vistas, arroyos and other critical environmental areas.</p>	<p>Consistent. Distribution Line Relocations #7 and #8 would not be constructed in areas of steep terrain. No designated scenic vistas are located in the vicinity of these components. The Distribution Line Relocations are in an area with a high liquefaction hazard. EPE GEO-01, which requires a geotechnical study to be performed and the recommendations of the report be followed, is applied to the Revised Project and would reduce the risk of damage to utility structures due to liquefaction. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy OS-2.4: Recognize the value of ridgelines, hillsides and arroyos as significant natural and visual resources and strengthen their role as features which define the character of the City and its individual neighborhoods.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would not result in a significant impact on the visual character of the City by restored temporarily disturbed terrain following construction per MM AES-01. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.1: Aesthetics. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Objective OS-10: Preserve the quantity and quality of all water resources throughout Riverside.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would comply with the requirements of the CGP, 2010 MS4 Permit, and other applicable permits to meet water quality standards and waste discharge requirements. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.8: Hydrology. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation	Consistency Determination ^a
Policy OS-10.6: Continue to enforce RWQCB regulations regarding urban runoff.	Consistent. The Revised Project would comply with the requirements of the CGP, 2010 MS4 Permit, and other applicable permits to meet water quality standards and waste discharge requirements. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.8: Hydrology. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.
Policy OS-10.7: Work with the RWQCB in the establishment and enforcement of urban runoff water quality standards.	Consistent. The Revised Project would comply with the requirements of the CGP, 2010 MS4 Permit, and other applicable permits to meet water quality standards and waste discharge requirements. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.8: Hydrology. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.
Objective PF-4: Provide sufficient levels of storm drainage service to protect the community from flood hazards and minimize the discharge of materials into the storm drain system that are toxic or which would obstruct flows.	Consistent. The Revised Project would comply with the requirements of the CGP, 2010 MS4 Permit, and other applicable permits to meet water quality standards and waste discharge requirements. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.8: Hydrology. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.
Policy PF-4.2: Continue to cooperate in regional programs to implement the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System program.	Consistent. The Revised Project would comply with the requirements of the CGP (NPDES No. CAS000002), 2010 MS4 Permit (NPDES No. 618033), and any other applicable permits under the NPDES to meet water quality standards and waste discharge requirements. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.8: Hydrology. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.
Objective PF-5: Minimize the volume of waste materials entering regional landfills.	Consistent. All nonhazardous recyclable construction materials generated by the Revised Project would be transported to a nonhazardous recycling facility or retained by SCE for use on other projects, in accordance with EPE UTIL-01. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.11: Public Services and Utilities. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.
Policy PF-5.1: Develop innovative methods and strategies to reduce the amount of waste materials entering landfills. The City should aim to achieve 100% recycling citywide for both residential and non-residential development.	Consistent. All nonhazardous recyclable construction materials generated by the Revised Project would be transported to a nonhazardous recycling facility or retained by SCE for use on other projects, in accordance with EPE UTIL-01. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.11: Public Services and Utilities. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation	Consistency Determination ^a
<p>Policy PS-3.1: Ensure that hazardous materials used in business and industries are handled properly.</p>	<p>Consistent. SCE would follow all applicable federal, state, and local requirements for handling and disposing of hazardous materials and waste, as described in greater detail in Section 4.7: Hazards and Hazardous Materials. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy PS-3.4: Reduce the risks associated with ground transportation hazards, where feasible.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would not substantially increase ground transportation hazards with the implementation of MM TRANS-02, MM TRANS-02A, MM TRANS-06, and MM TRANS-07. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.13: Transportation and Traffic. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Objective PS-9: Minimize the effects from natural and urban disasters by providing adequate levels of emergency response services to all residents in Riverside.</p>	<p>Consistent. No emergency response or evacuation plans have been adopted for the roads in the Revised Project area. The Revised Project's impact on emergency access due to lane and road closures would be less than significant with the implementation of MM TRANS-06. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.7: Hazards and Hazardous Materials and Section 4.13: Transportation and Traffic. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy PS-9.7: Identify actions to reduce the severity and probability of hazardous occurrences.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project's impact on potential damage to underground utilities and accidental release of hazardous materials would be less than significant with the implementation of MM HAZ-04 and MM UTIL-01, as described in greater detail in Section 4.7: Hazards and Hazardous Materials and Section 4.11: Public Services and Utilities. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>
<p>Policy PS-9.8: Reduce the risk to the community from hazards related to geologic conditions, seismic activity, flooding and structural and wildland fires by requiring feasible mitigation of such impacts on discretionary development projects.</p>	<p>Consistent. The Revised Project would include installation of line protection systems that would shut off power in a fraction of a second if a transmission structure was to fall, as described in greater detail in Section 4.7: Hazards and Hazardous Materials. A Fire Prevention and Management Plan would also be developed for the Revised Project in accordance with MM HAZ-03, as described in greater detail in the 2013 RTRP EIR. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.</p>

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation

Consistency Determination ^a

Objective PS-10: Improve the community's ability to respond effectively to emergencies.

Consistent. No emergency response or evacuation plans have been adopted for the roads in the Revised Project area. The Revised Project's impact on emergency access due to lane and road closures would be less than significant with the implementation of MM TRANS-06. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.7: Hazards and Hazardous Materials and Section 4.13: Transportation and Traffic. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Policy PS-10.3: Ensure that public safety infrastructure and staff resources keep pace with new development planned or proposed in Riverside and the Sphere of Influence.

Consistent. The Revised Project would not have a significant impact on public services or resources with the implementation of MM UTIL-01, MM UTIL-02, and MM HAZ-04, as described in greater detail in Section 4.7: Utilities and Services Systems. The purpose of the Revised Project is to ensure electric system reliability and meet anticipated future load growth for the City of Riverside. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Policy PS-10.4: Continue to ensure that each development or neighborhood in the City has adequate emergency ingress and egress, and review neighborhood access needs to solve problems, if possible.

Consistent. No emergency response or evacuation plans have been adopted for the roads in the Revised Project area, and the Revised Project's impact on emergency access due to lane and road closures would be less than significant with the implementation of MM TRANS-06. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.7: Hazards and Hazardous Materials and Section 4.13: Transportation and Traffic. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Policy N-1.3: Enforce the City of Riverside Noise Control Code to ensure that stationary noise and noise emanating from construction activities, private developments/residences and special events are minimized.

Consistent. City of Riverside exempts construction activities that are preempted by a state agency. CAISO instructed SCE to construct the RTRP, and the CPUC jurisdiction over SCE activities preempts local City jurisdiction. As such, the Revised Project is exempt from the Riverside noise ordinance. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Policy AQ-1.3: Separate, buffer and protect sensitive receptors from significant sources of pollution to the greatest extent possible.

Consistent. Distribution Line Relocation #7 is approximately 175 feet away from the closest residential property line. Construction would occur for a maximum of 7 weekdays in the area. Weekend construction is not proposed. Particulates and other emissions would be reduced by EPEs and mitigation measures. The sensitive receptors would not be exposed to significant air pollution. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation	Consistency Determination ^a
Objective AQ-4: Reduce particulate matter, as defined by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), as either airborne photochemical precipitates or windborne dust.	Consistent. Particulate matter emissions generated during construction of the Revised Project would be mitigated, as described in greater detail in Section 4.2: Air Quality. MM AQ-01 is applied to the Revised Project and would reduce particulate matter emissions. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.
Policy AQ-4.2: Reduce particulate matter from agriculture (e.g., require use of clean non-diesel equipment and particulate traps), construction, demolition, debris hauling, street cleaning, utility maintenance, railroad rights-of-way and off-road vehicles to the extent possible, as provided in SCAQMD Rule 403.	Consistent. Particulate matter emissions generated during construction of the Revised Project would be mitigated, as described in greater detail in Section 4.2: Air Quality. EPE AQ-01 and MM AQ-01 is applied to the Revised Project and would reduce particulate matter emissions. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.
Policy AQ-4.5: Require the suspension of all grading operations when wind speeds (as instantaneous gusts) exceed 25 miles per hour.	Consistent. Operations on unpaved surfaces during construction of the Revised Project would be suspended when winds exceed 25 miles per hour in accordance with MM AQ-01. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.3: Air Quality. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.
Objective AQ-5: Increase energy efficiency and conservation in an effort to reduce air pollution.	Consistent. The Revised Project would improve energy distribution, allow the City of Riverside to access more renewable energy sources, support already-planned growth, and be constructed in an energy efficient and low-emission manner, as described in greater detail in Section 5.4: CEQA Guidelines Appendix F: Energy Conservation of the 2013 RTRP EIR. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.
Policy AQ-5.1: Utilize source reduction, recycling and other appropriate measures to reduce the amount of solid waste disposed of in landfills.	Consistent. All nonhazardous recyclable construction materials generated by the Revised Project would be transported to a nonhazardous recycling facility or retained by SCE for use on other projects, in accordance with EPE UTIL-01. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.11: Public Services and Utilities. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.
Objective HP-5: To ensure compatibility between new development and existing cultural resources.	Consistent. The Revised Project would include procedures to avoid cultural resources, monitor construction for discovery of resources, and protect and archive any discovered resources accordance with MM CUL-02, MM CUL-02A, MM CUL-02C, MM CUL-02D, MM CUL-03, MM CUL-04, MM CUL-04A, MM CUL-05, MM CUL-06, and MM CUL-07. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.5: Cultural, Tribal Cultural, and Paleontological Resources. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation

Consistency Determination ^a

Policy HP-7.4: The City shall promote the preservation of cultural resources controlled by other governmental agencies, including those related to federal, state, county, school district, and other agencies.

Consistent. The Revised Project would include procedures to avoid cultural resources, monitor construction for discovery of resources, and protect and archive any discovered resources accordance with MM CUL-02, MM CUL-02A, MM CUL-02C, MM CUL-02D, MM CUL-03, MM CUL-04, MM CUL-04A, MM CUL-05, MM CUL-06, and MM CUL-07. This is described in greater detail in Section 4.5: Cultural, Tribal Cultural, and Paleontological Resources. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Policy N-1.3: Enforce the City of Riverside Noise Control Code to ensure that stationary noise and noise emanating from construction activities, private developments/residences and special events are minimized.

Consistent. City of Riverside exempts construction activities that are preempted by a state agency. CAISO instructed SCE to construct the RTRP and CPUC jurisdiction over SCE activities preempts local City jurisdiction. As such, the Revised Project is exempt from the Riverside noise ordinance. The Revised Project would not conflict with the policy.

Note:

^a The consistency determination is made for the project elements subject to the respective plan. The Revised Project 230-kV transmission line occurs within the limits of the City of Jurupa Valley. Distribution Line Relocations #7 and #8 occur within the jurisdiction of the County of Riverside and the City of Riverside.