### PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



July 11, 2017

Ms. Jennifer Kaminsky San Diego Gas and Electric Company 1010 Tavern Road Alpine, CA 91901

RE: Sycamore- Peñasquitos 230-kV Transmission Line Project—Review of Minor Project Refinement #5 Request

Dear Ms. Kaminsky,

On July 10, 2017, SDG&E submitted Minor Project Refinement (MPR) #5 for approval by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) for the Sycamore-Peñasquitos 230-kV Transmission Line Project (Project). MPR #5 establishes staging yards along the southern perimeter of Pomerado Road and temporary work spaces north of P05 and P06, which are shown in Exhibit 1 (attached).

The Project was evaluated in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and a Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) was prepared by the CPUC. The CPUC voted to approve the environmentally superior alternative, Alternative 5, on October 13, 2016 (Decisions 16-10-005), and a Notice of Determination was filed with the State Clearinghouse (SCH# 2014081031). The mitigation measures (MMs) and Applicant Proposed Measures (APMs) described in the FEIR were adopted by the CPUC as conditions of Project approval. The CPUC also adopted a Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program (MMCRP) to ensure compliance with all APMs and MMs during Project implementation.

This letter documents the CPUC's thorough evaluation of all activities covered in this MPR request, including the CPUC evaluation table provided with the MPR analysis (See Attachment A). The evaluation process ensures that all MMs applicable to the location and activities covered in the MPR are implemented as required in the CPUC's decision. The evaluation process further ensures that the following criteria are met:

- Modifications would not be outside the geographic boundary of the study area utilized in the FEIR.
- A new significant impact or substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified significant impact would not be created, based on the thresholds used in the FEIR.
- Additional permit requirements would not be triggered that are not defined in the FEIR or MMCRP.
- There would not be a conflict with any APM or MM, and the modifications would not result in a new conflict with any applicable guideline, ordinance, code, rule, regulation, order, decision, statute, or policy not already identified within the FEIR.
- Modifications would not require new conditions for approval, without which the modifications would result in a new significant impact or substantially increase the severity of a previously identified significant impact.

MPR #5 is granted by the CPUC for the proposed activities based on the factors described below.

Ms. Jennifer Kaminsky July 11, 2017 Page 2

**SDG&E MPR #5 Request.** Excerpts from the SDG&E MPR #5 Request, received July 10, 2017, are presented below (indented):

Under this proposed Minor Project Refinement (proposed refinement), SDG&E seeks to add additional temporary work space (as identified above and in Attachment 1), totaling approximately 0.31 acres, along the southern perimeter of Pomerado Road. In addition, SDG&E seeks to expand the existing approved temporary work spaces surrounding P05 and P06 by a total of approximately 0.1 acre. The use of these areas would not result in any new impacts or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact as identified in the Project's FEIR and as authorized by Notice to Proceed (NTP) #2 issued by the CPUC on February 7, 2017. No ground disturbing activities are proposed; however, minor vegetation clearing and trimming is proposed (brush, weed, and non-native grasses) as needed to minimize potential fire risk.

### **CPUC Evaluation of MPR #5 Request**

In accordance with the MMCRP, the MPR #5 request was reviewed by CPUC to confirm that no new impacts or increase in impact severity would result from the requested MPR activities. The following discussion summarizes this analysis for air quality, biological resources, cultural and paleontological resources, hydrology and water quality, and other issue areas. A list of bulleted conditions is presented to define additional information and clarifications regarding mitigation measure requirements.

### **Air Quality**

The proposed refinement areas are increased in size from the approved project by 0.31 acre. Construction and utilization of the refinement areas (such as the type of equipment used and run time of equipment) are consistent with those discussed in the FEIR. The implementation of APM AIR-2 and MMs Air-3 and Air-4 would reduce impacts; however, impacts would remain significant and unavoidable. The refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on air quality.

### **Biological Resources**

The proposed refinement areas consist of bare ground, non-native grasses, ruderal habitat with sporadic native vegetation, and disturbed habitat. Impacts are consistent with the impacts to biological resources identified in the FEIR, and will remain less than significant with mitigation.

Areas with native vegetation will be flagged prior to use of the staging areas. All staging areas will be clearly delineated with lathe staking and flagging to ensure construction personnel stay within approved project limits. The additional work space located north of P05 contains individuals of scrub oak (*Quercus dumosa*) located along the perimeter of the workspace that will be flagged for avoidance.

Consistent with MM Biology-7, a nesting bird survey of the proposed refinement area will be conducted within 5 days prior to establishment of the staging area if vegetation removal or ground-disturbing activities are necessary.

There will be no new impacts to sensitive habitat, avian species, or sensitive or special-status species utilizing the habitat in the proposed refinement areas, and no increase in the severity of a previously identified impact on biological resources as identified in the FEIR.

### **Cultural and Paleontological Resources**

A pedestrian survey of the proposed refinement areas conducted on July 6, 2017 did not identify any cultural resources. However, since the FEIR identified the MPR #5 work areas as having a high or

Ms. Jennifer Kaminsky July 11, 2017 Page 3

moderate potential for buried cultural deposits, monitoring during ground disturbing activities is recommended. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on cultural or paleontological resources.

### Hydrology and Water Quality

The proposed refinement areas are located within the Peñasquitos Watershed, and are located in an area previously surveyed for hydrological resources in the FEIR. The proposed refinement areas do not contain jurisdictional waters and would remain consistent with the impacts to hydrological resources and water quality analyzed in the FEIR. Therefore, impacts associated with the proposed refinement areas would remain less than significant with the implementation of MMs Hydrology-1 and Hydrology-2, and would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on hydrology and water quality as identified in the FEIR.

### **Other Issue Areas**

The proposed refinement areas would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on aesthetics, agriculture and forestry, fire and fuels management, geology and soils, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards and hazardous materials, land use, noise, public services, recreation, transportation and traffic, or utilities and service systems.

### MPR #5 Conditions of Approval

MPR #5 is approved by the CPUC with conditions. The conditions presented below shall be met by SDG&E and its contractors:

- 1. All applicable Project MMs, APMs, compliance plans, and permit conditions shall be implemented. Some measures have on-going/time-sensitive requirements and shall be implemented prior to and during construction, where applicable. Prior to construction, SDG&E must submit all applicable permits to the CPUC.
- 2. Copies of all relevant permits, compliance plans, and this MPR, shall be available on site for the duration of construction activities.
- 3. Proof of City of San Diego approval of staging locations 1-4 shall be provided to the CPUC prior to use of these areas.
- 4. Wildlife found to be trapped shall be removed by a qualified biological monitor.
- 5. SDG&E shall implement appropriate dust controls at the MPR #5 work area in accordance with the approved Dust Control Management Plan, and SWPPP. SDG&E shall use non-potable water for dust control, as required by MM Utilities-1.
- 6. SDG&E shall implement all appropriate erosion and sediment control BMPs for the MPR #5 work area as defined in the SWPPP, and as specified by the Qualified SWPPP Practitioner. Sediment and erosion control BMPs shall be properly maintained throughout the duration of construction activities.
- 7. All ground-disturbing activities (e.g., grading, trenching, etc.) shall be monitored by a CPUC-approved archaeological monitor and a Native American monitor in accordance with MM Cultural Resources-1, where appropriate. In the event of an archaeological discovery, all construction activity associated with MPR #5 shall be halted.
- 8. All ground-disturbing activities at the MPR #5 work areas shall be monitored by a CPUCapproved paleontological monitor. In the event of a paleontological discovery, all earthwork must cease within 50 feet of the discovery, and procedures defined in MM Paleontology-3 shall be implemented.

Ms. Jennifer Kaminsky July 11, 2017 Page 4

- 9. SDG&E shall properly store all hazardous materials and contain and dispose of contaminated soils and materials as described in the CPUC-approved Hazardous Substance Control and Emergency Response Plan.
- 10. All complaints received by SDG&E shall be logged and reported immediately to the CPUC. This includes complaints relevant to traffic, as well as lighting, noise and dust, etc. Where feasible, complaints shall be resolved, depending on the nature of the complaint, through construction site or activity modifications.
- 11. All workers shall receive Safety and Environmental Awareness Program (SEAP) training prior to work at the staging yard. A log shall be maintained on site with the names of all crew personnel who have received training. All training participants shall wear their SEAP hard-hat sticker for ease of compliance verification.
- 12. A nesting bird survey will be conducted within 5 days prior to the establishment of individual staging areas if vegetation removal or ground-disturbing activities are necessary.
- 13. The canopy driplines of individuals of scrub oak (*Quercus dumosa*) located along the perimeter of the P05 workspace will be flagged for avoidance.
- 14. All staging areas will be clearly delineated with lathe and flagging to ensure construction personnel stay within approved project limits. Portions of staging areas and workspace that support native vegetation will be flagged for avoidance prior to use of the staging areas.

Please contact me if you have any questions or concerns regarding this MPR approval.

Sincerely,

Billie Blanchark

Billie Blanchard Project Manager Energy Division, CEQA Unit

cc: Molly Sterkel, CPUC Program Manager Lonn Maier, CPUC Supervisor Marcelo Poirier, CPUC Attorney Jeff Thomas, Panorama Environmental Susanne Heim, Panorama Environmental Sheila Hoyer, Panorama Environmental Edith Moreno, SDG&E Ron Walker, AECOM

Exhibit 1: MPR #5 Maps Attachment A: CPUC Evaluation of Minor Project Refinement #5

# Exhibit 1: MPR #5 Maps







7/10/2017



# Attachment A: CPUC Evaluation of Minor Project Refinement #5

Would the Proposed Project refinements result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact to:	No	Yes	
Aesthetics (e.g., damage scenic resources or vistas, degrade the existing visual character of the site and its surroundings, or create sources of light or glare)?			
FEIR Significance: Significant and Unavoidable			
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Aesthetics:			
The proposed refinement would not increase the impact to the visual quality of the area. The proposed refinement is temporary, and in the same location as the FEIR. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on aesthetics as identified in the FEIR.			
Agriculture and Forestry Resources (e.g., convert Farmland to nonagricultural use, or create a conflict with existing agricultural zoning or a Williamson Act)?			
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant			
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Agriculture and Forestry Resources:			
The proposed refinement would not convert agricultural land to non-agricultural use, or resi of agricultural land. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase of a previously analyzed impact on agriculture or forestry resources.			
Air Quality (e.g., produce criteria air pollutant emissions, or expose sensitive receptors to additional pollutants)? FEIR Significance: Significant and Unavoidable			
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Air Quality:			
Activities associated with construction and utilization of the proposed refinement areas (suc of equipment used and run time of equipment) are consistent with those discussed in the FI on air quality would remain significant and unavoidable with the implementation of APM A Mitigation Measures Air-3, and Air-4. The proposed refinement would not result in a new imp increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on air quality.	EIR. Imp ir-2, and	acts	
Biological Resources (e.g., have an adverse effect on sensitive or special-status species; impact riparian, wetland, or any other sensitive habitat; or conflict with local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources)?			

FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation

### Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Biological Resources:

The biological resources in the proposed refinement areas are consistent with the biological resources in the areas of disturbance considered in the FEIR. They would not involve temporary or permanent impacts to vegetation, sensitive habitat, or protected species. Impacts to biological resources would remain less than significant. Consistent with Mitigation Measure Biology-7, a nesting bird survey would be conducted within 5 days prior to the establishment of a staging area if vegetation removal or ground-disturbing activities are necessary. All staging areas will be clearly delineated with lathe and flagging to ensure construction personnel stay within approved project limits. Areas with native vegetation will be flagged prior to use of the staging areas and the additional work space located north of P05 that contains individuals of scrub oak (*Quercus dumosa*) located along the perimeter of the workspace will also be flagged for avoidance. The refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on biological resources.

# Attachment A: CPUC Evaluation of Minor Project Refinement #5 (Cont.)

Would the Proposed Project refinements result in a new impact, or increase the severity		
of a previously analyzed impact to:	No	Yes
Cultural and Paleontological Resources (e.g., cause an adverse change to a significant historical, archeological, or paleontological resource)?		
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Cultural and Paleontological Resource	ces:	
The proposed refinement areas are located in primarily bare or disturbed areas. A pedest and records search of the proposed refinement areas did not result in the discovery of hist archaeological, or paleontological resources within the refinement areas. The proposed re- would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact or paleontological resources.	orical, efinemei	nt
Fire and Fuels Management (e.g., cause of expose people or structures to fire hazards, or create a conflict with a Fire Management Plan?)		
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Fire and Fuels Management:		
Activities associated with construction and utilization of the proposed refinement areas are with those discussed in the FEIR. The refinement would not result in a new impact or increas of a previously analyzed impact on fire or fuels management.		
Geology and Soils (e.g., cause or expose people or structures to geologic or soil hazards, including erosion or loss of topsoil)?		
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Geology and Soils:		
The proposed refinement is located in primarily bare or disturbed areas, and the proposed areas (staging for materials and equipment, turnarounds, and/or vehicle parking) would b FEIR analysis. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the service previously analyzed impact on geologic resources as identified in the FEIR.	e similar	to the
Greenhouse Gas Emissions (e.g., produce criteria greenhouse gas pollutants, or expose sensitive receptors to additional pollutants)?		
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Greenhouse Gas Emissions: The level of equipment use and run time of equipment required for the proposed refinement consistent with the equipment use and run time estimates included in the FEIR. The implem APM AIR-5 and Mitigation Measure GHG-1 would reduce the impacts on greenhouse gas of less than significant. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase of a previously analyzed impact on greenhouse gas emissions.	entatior emissior	n of Is to
Hazards and Hazardous Materials (e.g., create or increase the exposure of people or structures to hazardous materials, involve the use of additional hazardous materials or equipment, or interfere with an adopted emergency plan)?		
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation		

### Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Hazards and Hazardous Materials:

The proposed refinement would require use of the same types of equipment and hazardous materials that were analyzed in the FEIR. The proposed refinement area does not contain known hazardous materials sites. The implementation of APMs HAZ-1, HAZ-2, and HAZ-3, and Mitigation Measures Hazards-2, and Hazards-3 would reduce the impacts on hazards and hazardous materials to less than significant. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on hazards and hazardous materials.

# Attachment A: CPUC Evaluation of Minor Project Refinement #5 (Cont.)

Would the Proposed Project refinements result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact to:	No	Yes
Hydrology and Water Quality (e.g., degrade water quality, discharge waste or sediment, deplete groundwater, alter the existing drainage pattern, create additional runoff water or polluted runoff, place structures in a 100-year flood hazard area, or expose people or structures to a significant risk involving flooding)? FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Hydrology and Water Quality:		
The proposed refinement is within the area previously surveyed for hydrological resources a remain consistent with the impacts to hydrological resources and water quality analyzed in proposed refinement is within previously disturbed areas that are located within the Peñasa Watershed. The proposed refinement area does not contain jurisdictional waters. The imple Mitigation Measures Hydrology-1 and Hydrology-2 would reduce impacts on hydrology and quality to less than significant. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact of severity of a previously analyzed impact on hydrology and water quality.	the FEIF quitos ementati d water	R. The
Land Use and Planning (e.g., conflict with a land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project, or conflict with a habitat conservation plan)?		
FEIR Significance: No Impact		
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Land Use and Planning: The proposed refinement is located within the same area as the Project analyzed in the FEI proposed refinement would have no impact on land use and planning.	R. The	
Noise (e.g., expose sensitive receptors to additional noise or vibration)?	$\boxtimes$	
FEIR Significance: Significant and Unavoidable		
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Noise:		
Activities associated with construction and utilization of the proposed refinement areas (such heavy equipment) are consistent with those discussed in the FEIR. The implementation of M Measures Noise-1, Noise-2, and Noise 3 would still result in impacts on noise that are significated unavoidable. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the set previously analyzed impact on noise.	itigation ant and	l
Public Services (e.g., result in adverse impacts on government facilities that provide a public service)?		
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant		
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Public Services:		
The proposed refinement does not have the potential to result in lane closures or delays. The refinement is not located near a school or within a park. The proposed refinement would not mew impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on public services.		
Recreation (e.g., increase the use of, or cause adverse effects on, parks or other recreational facilities)? FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impact on Recreation		

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impact on Recreation:

The proposed refinement is not located within a park, preserve, or trail. The refinement areas would not impact parks or recreational facilities. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on recreation.

# Attachment A: CPUC Evaluation of Minor Project Refinement #5 (Cont.)

Would the Proposed Project refinements result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact to:	No	Yes
Transportation and Traffic (e.g., increase traffic congestion or degrade performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation, or increase hazards due to a design feature)?		
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation		

### Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Transportation and Traffic:

The proposed refinement would not result in an increase in vehicle traffic, lane closure, or helicopter use, nor would it result in the loss of parking, consistent with the analysis in the FEIR. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on transportation and traffic.

Utilities and Service Systems (e.g., result in the construction of new or expansion of	$\boxtimes$	
existing water or stormwater drainage facilities, require additional water entitlements,		
create new solid waste disposal needs)?		
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation		

### Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Utilities and Service Systems:

The proposed refinement would not involve the construction of new, or expansion of existing, water facilities, stormwater drainage facilities, and/or require water entitlements, or creation of new solid waste disposal needs. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on utilities.