

**ED17-SDGE 08/03/2015 Partial Response 3**  
**A.14-04-011 SXPQ 230kV Transmission Line CPCN Project**  
**Energy Division Data Request 17 Dated July 21, 2015**  
**ED17-SDGE Question 5.4**

| Q#  | Summary of SDG&E Response Submittals                         | Confidential | PENDING Status               |
|-----|--|--------------|------------------------------|
| 1-7 | <b>07/29/15 – Submittal 1: Q1 – Q4, Q5.1, Q5.3, Q5.4, Q6</b> | None.        | Pending: <b>Q5.2 &amp; 7</b> |
|     | <b>07/31/15 – Submittal 2: Q5.2, Q5.4, Q7</b>                | None.        | Pending: Q5.2 & 5.4          |
|     | <b>08/03/15 – Submittal 3: Q5.4</b>                          | None.        | Pending: Q5.2                |

| Q#  | Source Ref           | Description/Data needed   | SDGE Response  |
|-----|----------------------|---|--|
| 5.4 | Data Request #16, Q4 | <p><b>4. Document and justify changes to baseline habitat mapping.</b> SDG&amp;E’s GIS response to DR#16 included changes to the baseline habitat mapping at a few project locations with no explanation (refer to Attachment B). Any changes to the existing habitats presented in the PEA biological technical report need to be provided in a supplemental technical report that provides an explanation for the revision and includes representative photographs of the habitat area(s) in question. Furthermore, make sure all habitat type names are consistent with the Holland nomenclature previously used. Lacking clear identification of habitat data revisions, the current baseline information that the CPUC has will form the basis for the CPUC’s evaluation of habitat impacts.</p> | <p>A revised Vegetation mapping and habitat technical memorandum is included as Attachment ED17 – Q5.4(a). GIS data for the vegetation was included within Attachment ED17 – Q7(b) submitted on July 31, 2015.</p> |