Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Public Agenda 3387 Thursday, October 27, 2016 9:30 a.m. San Francisco, California

Commissioners

Michael Picker, President Michel Peter Florio Carla J. Peterman

Liane M. Randolph

Catherine J.K. Sandoval

For each agenda item, a summary of the proposed action is included; the Commission's decision may, however, differ from that proposed. To listen by phone, dial 1-800-857-1917 and enter passcode 92105 or access our website at http://www.cpuc.ca.gov

| Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting* | Commission Meeting |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Room 5305 | Auditorium |
| (10:00 a.m.) | (9:30 a.m.) |
| Closed to the Public | Open to the Public |
| Monday, October 24, 2016 (San | Thursday, October 27, 2016 (San |
| Francisco at 10:00 a.m.) | Francisco) |
| Monday, November 07, 2016 (San | Thursday, November 10, 2016 (San |
| Francisco) | Francisco) |
| Monday, November 28, 2016 (San | Thursday, December 01, 2016 (San |
| Francisco) | Francisco) |
| Monday, December 12, 2016 (San | Thursday, December 15, 2016 (San |
| Francisco) | Francisco) |
| Friday, January 13, 2017 (San | Thursday, January 19, 2017 (San |
| Francisco) | Francisco) |

Scheduled Commission Meetings 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco

*Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting dates are reserved as noted but will be held only if there are ratesetting matters to be considered and a Commissioner has requested that a Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting be held.

Matters of Public Interest

For the convenience of the public and media representatives, items of widespread public interest will be taken up at the beginning of the meeting.

For further information contact the Public Advisor (415) 703-2074 E-mail: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov



This location is accessible to people with disabilities. If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g. sign language interpreters, please call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074 or TTY# (415) 703-5282 or toll free # 1-866-836-7825 three business days in advance of the meeting.

PUBLIC COMMENT

The following items are not subject to public comment:

All items on the closed session agenda.

Public Comment:

Consent Agenda

Items shown on the Consent Agenda will be taken up and voted on as a group in one of the first items of business of each Commission meeting. Items may be removed from the Consent Agenda for discussion on the Regular Agenda at the request of any Commissioner prior to the meeting.

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions

1Preliminary Categorizations and Hearing Determinations for[15194]Recently Filed Formal Applications

Res ALJ 176-3387

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Ratification of preliminary determination of category for proceedings initiated by application. The preliminary determinations are pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

ESTIMATED COST:

® None.

2 Application to Recover Pipeline Safety Costs

[15153]

A14-12-016

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company and Southern California Gas Company to Recover Costs Recorded in their Pipeline Safety and Reliability Memorandum Accounts.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Grants application from Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) and San Diego Gas &
 Electric Company (SDG&e) to recover costs in pipeline safety and reliability memorandum accounts.
 ® Closes the proceeding.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® SoCalGas and SDG&e's action are consistent with the Commission's safety enhancement orders.

ESTIMATED COST:

® The cost associated with this application is \$33,586,780.

(Comr Peterman - Judge Mason) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=168823319

Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment. Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting. Agenda 3386, Item 9 10/13/2016 (Florio)

Granting Approval of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan [15166] Transportation Authority Safety and Security Certification Plan for the HR4000 Heavy Rail Vehicle Procurement

Res ST-185

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® This Resolution considers the safety and security certification plan of the HRV procurement project. This safety and security certification plan meets the requirements set forth by General Order 164-D Section 11 and the Rail Transit Safety Branch Program Management Standard Procedures Manual, State Safety and Security Oversight of Rail Fixed Guideway Systems, Section 9.

ESTIMATED COST:

® Not within the scope of this resolution.

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=168981083

Charter-Party Carrier's Appeal of Citation FC-718, Which [15172] Fined Joseph Elias dba Showtime Transportation \$4,000 for 8 Violations 22 Counts of General Orders 115-F, 157 D, and Public Utilities Code 5351

Res ALJ-326

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Affirms Citation Safety Enforcement n Division (SED) FC-718 but reduces the fine to \$3,500.
® Closes K15-06-010.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

[®] In affirming the Citation SED FC-718, the Commission ensures that Charter-Party Carriers comply with the existing requirements that promote rider safety.

ESTIMATED COST:

® Showtime Transportation is ordered to pay a fine of \$3,500.

(Judge Mason) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=166656314

5California Advanced Services Fund Rural and Regional[15174]Urban Consortia Account

Res T-17537

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Grants funding in the amount of \$493,216 from the California Advanced Services Fund - Rural and Regional Urban Consortia Account for the grant applications of the Inyo Mono Broadband Consortium (\$105,216), the Redwood Coast Connect Broadband Consortium (\$208,000), and the San Joaquin Valley Regional Broadband Consortium (\$180,000).

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® By furthering broadband deployment, access and adoption, these projects are expected to enhance public safety in the Eastern Sierra, the Redwood Coast, and the San Joaquin Valley in the event of fire, flooding, and earthquakes.

ESTIMATED COST:

® \$493,216.

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=168672797

6 Del Oro Water Company, Paradise Pines District's Rate Base [15179] Offset Revenue Increase to Produce an Increase in Gross Annual Revenues Over Current Approved Gross Revenues

Res W-5116, Advice Letter 453 filed May 26, 2016 - Related matters.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Grants authority under Public Utilities Code Section 454 to Del Oro Water Company to file a supplemental Advice Letter, as Advice Letter No. 453-A, incorporating the revised rate schedules attached to this Resolution as Appendix B and concurrently cancel its presently effectively Schedule No. PP-1A, Annual General Metered Service.

® The effective date of the revised schedule shall be five days after the date of filing.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

[®] The resolution provides adequate revenues to the utility so that it can provide safe and reliable water service to its customers. The water served by the utility meets all applicable water quality standards set forth by the State Water Resources Control Board.

ESTIMATED COST:

® \$176,947 or 7.09% over current approved gross revenues.

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=168809468

Denies the Application for Modification of Alameda County [15180] Residents Concerned About Smart Meters of Decision 06-07-027, Which Authorized Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Deploy Advanced Metering Infrastructure

A11-07-009

Application of Alameda County Residents Concerned About Smart Meters for Modification of D.06-07-027.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Denies application for modification of Alameda County Residents concerned about Smart Meters of Decision 06-07-027.

® Closes the proceeding.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® No direct safety impact.

ESTIMATED COST:

® There are no costs associated with this decision.

(Comr Randolph - Judge Allen) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=169007165

8Kiddy Cab Shuttle, Inc. Application for Authority to Provide[15183]Scheduled Passenger Stage Service to Transport Children

A15-01-012

Application of Kiddy Cab Shuttle, Inc., a corporation, for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to operate as a Scheduled Passenger Stage corporation in the Cities of Woodland Hills, Canoga Park and West Hills, California; and to establish a Zone of Rate Freedom.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Dismisses the application of Kiddy Cab Shuttle for failure to complete the application. The President of Applicant did not enroll in the TrustLine Program.
® Closes the proceeding.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® Denial of the application for failure to enroll in the TrustLine program helps to ensure the safe transportation of children under the age of 18.

ESTIMATED COST:

® None as a result of this decision.

(Exam Zanjani) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=168980797

9 Settlement Agreements Between Sonoma-Marin Area Rail [15186] Transit District, Team Gold, LLC, Henris Investments, LP, and Corto Meno Sand & Gravel, LLC, Regarding the Cost Allocation of Private Crossings

A13-09-020

Application of the Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit District seeking cost allocation for required improvements, including installation of quadrant gates at a private crossing over Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit District tracks (MP: 36.75) in the County of Sonoma, State of California.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Closes the proceeding.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® Ensures public safety in the use of a private rail crossing.

ESTIMATED COST:

® None, all costs borne by the parties.

(Comr Peterman - Judge Burcham) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=167501626

10San Diego Gas & Electric Company Requests Approval of[15187]the Contract for Resource Adequacy Capacity with NRG
Power Marketing, LLC

Res E-4806

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Approves the NRG Power Marketing, LLC Encina Resource Adequacy (RA) contract without modification.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® The RA Capacity in question is associated with Encina Power Station, an existing and operational generating facility; as such there are no incremental safety implications associated with this contract beyond the status quo.

ESTIMATED COST:

® Contract costs are confidential at this time.

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=167509957

11Implementation of Proclamation of State of Emergency on[15203]Tree Mortality and of Senate Bill 840 for Bioenergy Feed-in
Tariff

R15-02-020

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue Implementation and Administration, and Consider Further Development, of California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Adds specific new features to the bioenergy feed-in tariff (BioMAT) for the California renewables portfolio standard. The new provisions for the BioMAT program respond to the tree mortality emergency identified in the Governor's Proclamation of a State of Emergency (October 30, 2015) and to amendments made to the BioMAT program by Senate Bill (SB) 840. The new provisions include:

® Clarifies that the BioMAT category of "bioenergy using byproducts of sustainable forest management" (Category 3) includes fuel obtained from high hazard zones designated in accordance with the Emergency Proclamation by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection;
® Accelerates the program periods for BioMAT Category 3 from bimonthly to monthly;
® Implements SB 840 by allowing developers of Category 3 generation facilities to maintain their eligibility to bid in the BioMAT process once they have met the initial interconnection study requirements, even if they do not hold an active position in the interconnection queue; and
® Establishes a deposit of three times the fee for an initial interconnection study (currently \$30,000), with the investor-owned utility (IOU) for each project that the developer wishes to remain in the BioMAT bidding queue, refundable upon the developer's execution of a BioMAT standard contract with the IOU.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

 Implementation of changes to bioenergy feed-in tariff may help to reduce safety hazards from dead and dying trees that can be used as fuel in bioenergy generation facilities.

ESTIMATED COST:

® No estimate of costs is available at this time.

(Comr Peterman - Judge Simon) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=167501751

12Authorization for Pacific Gas and Electric Company to[15220]Deviate from Electric Rule 20A in Accordance with General
Order 96-B, Section 8.2.3

Res E-4752, Advicer Letter 4729-E filed October 28, 2015 - Related matters.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® The City sent a letter to the California Public Utilities Commission on February 18, 2016 expressing the City's support for including undergrounding of all of the streets contained within their Underground Utility District boundary for this project and states that following the 1991 East Bay Hills fire, residents of the Grizzly Peak neighborhood petitioned the City to approve a utility undergrounding project to address concerns about falling electric and telephone wires in an emergency requiring evacuation.

ESTIMATED COST:

® PG&E's estimate for the Grizzly Peak UG Project cost is \$3,616,000 with approximately
 \$658,000 of this total attributable to undergrounding the portion of the project that does not meet
 Rule 20A public interest criteria.

 ß Approval of PG&E's request will have no net impact on ratepayer costs. It will however reduce the City's Rule 20A allocations available for other Rule 20A projects within the City accordingly.

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=168047512

13 Sale of Merced Hydro Plant to Merced Irrigation District

[15222]

A15-04-003

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Commission Approval Under Public Utilities Code Section 851 to Sell the Merced Falls Hydroelectric Project to Merced Irrigation District.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Approves settlement of dispute regarding sale price.

® Closes the proceeding.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® Hydroplant will remain in operation and will not be replaced by natural gas generation.

ESTIMATED COST:

R Asset will be sold at a loss to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's ratepayers of \$5,492,656.
R Adopted settlement reduces loss on sale by \$1,000,000 compared to terms of original application.

(Comr Randolph - Judge Bemesderfer) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=166609140

14Application for Authority to Construct a Grade-Separated[15232]Pedestrian Crossing in City of Carpinteria, Santa Barbara

A16-02-009

Application of the City of Carpinteria for authorization to Construct a Bridge over Union Pacific Railroad tracks at railroad mile post 380.49, new crossing DOT #441148Y to link the Carpinteria Bluffs and Rincon Beach County Park in the City of Carpinteria, County of Santa Barbara.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Grants City of Carpinteria authorization to construct a grade-separated pedestrian crossing across the Union Pacific Railroad Santa Barbara Subdivision main line track.
 ® Closes the proceeding.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

ESTIMATED COST:

® Discretionary project, not within the scope of applicant's request.

(Exam Malashenko) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=168298722

15Order Instituting Investigation for Risk Assessment and[15239]Mitigation Phase Submission of San Diego Gas & Electric
Company

L

Order Instituting Investigation Into the November 2016 Submission of San Diego Gas & Electric Company's Risk Assessment and Mitigation Phase.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® In accordance with Decision (D.) 14-12-025 and D.16-08-018, and at the request of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E), this Order Instituting Investigation is being opened to address the Risk Assessment and Mitigation Phase (RAMP) submission of SDG&E.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® Safety-related issues to be examined in SDG&E's RAMP submission.

ESTIMATED COST:

® Costs to be determined in general rate case proceeding of SDG&E.

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=168760913

16Order Instituting Investigation for Risk Assessment and[15242]Mitigation Phase Submission of Southern California Gas
Company

L

Order Instituting Investigation Into the November 2016 Submission of Southern California Gas Company's Risk Assessment and Mitigation Phase.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® In accordance with Decision (D.) 14-12-025 and D.16-08-018, and at the request of Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas), this Order Instituting Investigation is being opened to address the Risk Assessment and Mitigation Phase (RAMP) submission of SoCalGas.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® Safety-related issues to be examined in SoCalGas' RAMP submission.

ESTIMATED COST:

® Costs to be determined in general rate case proceeding of SoCalGas.

17Southern California Edison Company's Energy Resource[15247]Recovery Account Compliance Application for Record
Period 2014

A15-04-002

Application of Southern California Edison Company for a Commission Finding that its Procurement-Related and Other Operations for the Record Period January 1 Through December 31, 2014 Complied with its Adopted Procurement Plan; for Verification of its Entries in the Energy Resource Recovery Account and Other Regulatory Accounts; for Recovery of \$3.982 million Recorded in Four Memorandum Accounts; and Review of Proposal to Return \$103.500 million in Unspent Demand Response Funds to Customers.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Grants Motion for adoption of an all parties settlement between Southern California Edison
 Company (SCE) and the Office of Ratepayer Advocates.
 ® Closes the proceeding.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

B Ensuring utility compliance with the adopted bundled procurement plan ensures reasonable rates
 and public safety.

ESTIMATED COST:

® Grants recovery of \$3.982 million in memorandum accounts and refunds \$103.5 million to SCE customers.

(Comr Florio - Judge Tsen) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=168960446

18Closing Proceeding Following Satisfaction of the Conditions[15248]of Transfer

A14-12-015

In the Matter of Application of Del Oro Water Company, Inc. for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Acquire Black Butte Water Company, a California General Partnership, Glenn County and to Establish Rates for Service.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Closes proceeding following Del Oro Water Company, Inc's satisfaction of the conditions of the transfer.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® None as a result of this decision.

ESTIMATED COST:

® There are no costs associated with the outcome of this proceeding.

(Comr Randolph - Judge Weatherford) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=167936070 Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.

19 (Rev.)Application of Granite Telecommunications for a Certificate[15253]to Provide Limited Facilities-Based and Resold LocalExchange Service

A16-01-008

In the Matter of the Application of Granite Telecommunications, LLC to Expand its Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Include Additional Service Territories.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Closes the proceeding.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

 ß Granite Telecommunications, LLC must comply with all Commission rules, statutes, General Orders, including Pub. Util. Code §451 regarding safety.

ESTIMATED COST:

® There are no costs associated with the outcome of this proceeding.

(Comr Randolph - Judge Ayoade) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=168467822

Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.

10/21/2016 - This revision was not shown on the Agenda mailed to the public.

Consent Agenda - Intervenor Compensation Orders

20 Compensation to Vote Solar

[15175]

R12-06-013

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Conduct a Comprehensive Examination of Investor Owned Electric Utilities' Residential Rate Structures, the Transition to Time Varying and Dynamic Rates, and Other Statutory Obligations.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

Reverse Solar \$243,443.91 for substantial contribution to Decision (D.)15-07-001.
 D.15-07-001 outlines residential rate reform for electricity, including changes in the tiered rate structure and movement toward default time-of-use rates. Vote Solar requested \$409,197.55.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

ESTIMATED COST:

(Comr Picker - Judge McKinney) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=168981223

21 Denies Compensation to The Greenlining Institute

[15176]

A15-03-005

In the Matter of the Joint Application of Frontier Communications Corporation, Frontier Communications of America, Inc. Verizon California, Inc. Verizon Long Distance LLC, and Newco West Holdings LLC for Approval of Transfer of Control Over Verizon California, Inc. and Related Approval of Transfer of Assets and Certifications.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Denies compensation to The Greenlining Institute due to late-filed compensation claim related to Decision 15-12-005. The Greenlining Institute requested \$24,414.00.
 ® Closes the proceeding.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® There are no safety considerations associated with the outcome of this decision.

ESTIMATED COST:

® There are no costs associated with the outcome of this decision.

(Comr Sandoval - Judge Bemesderfer) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=168951265

22 Compensation to The Utility Reform Network

[15202]

A14-11-003, A14-11-004 - Related matters.

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company for Authority, Among Other Things, to Increase Rates and Charges for Electric and Gas Service Effective on January 1, 2016. Consolidated application includes Southern California Gas Company.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

@ Awards The Utility Reform Network (TURN) \$441,782.62 for substantial contribution to Decision
 (D.) 16-06-054. D.16-06-054 resolved the test year 2016 general rate cases for Southern California
 Gas Company (SoCalGas) and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E). TURN requested
 \$468,348.86.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

ESTIMATED COST:

® \$441,782.62, plus interest, to be paid by ratepayers of SoCalGas and SDG&E.

(Comr Picker - Judge Lirag, Judge Wong) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=167462085

23 **Compensation to Utility Consumers' Action Network**

[15216]

A14-11-003, A14-11-004 - Related matters.

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company for Authority, Among Other Things, to Increase Rates and Charges for Electric and Gas Service Effective on January 1, 2016. Consolidated application includes Southern California Gas Company.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Awards Utility Consumers' Action Network \$267,534.08 for substantial contribution to Decision (D.) 16-06-054. D.16-06-054 resolved the test year 2016 general rate cases for Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E). Utility Consumers' Action Network requested \$264,011.57.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

ESTIMATED COST:

® \$267,534.08, plus interest, to be paid by ratepayers of SoCalGas and SDG&E.

(Comr Picker - Judge Lirag, Judge Wong) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=167447789

24 Compensation to Mussey Grade Road Alliance

[15246]

A14-11-003, A14-11-004 - Related matters.

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company for Authority, Among Other Things, to Increase Rates and Charges for Electric and Gas Service Effective on January 1, 2016. Consolidated application includes Southern California Gas Company

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Awards Mussey Grade Road Alliance \$44,668.67 for substantial contribution to Decision (D.) 16-06-054. D.16-06-054 resolved the test year 2016 general rate cases for Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&e). Mussey Grade Road Alliance requested \$46,019.00.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

ESTIMATED COST:

® \$44,668.67, plus interest, to be paid by ratepayers of SoCalGas and SDG&e.

(Comr Picker - Judge Lirag, Judge Wong) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=167618298

25 **Compensation to National Asian American Coalition**

[15249]

A14-11-003, A14-11-004 - Related matters.

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company for Authority, Among Other Things, to Increase Rates and Charges for Electric and Gas Service Effective on January 1, 2016. Consolidated application includes Southern California Gas Company.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Awards National Asian American Coalition \$179,007.50 for substantial contribution to Decision (D.) 16 06 054. D.16 06 054 resolved the test year 2016 general rate cases for Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&e). National Asian American Coalition requested \$179,027.50.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

ESTIMATED COST:

® \$179,007.50, plus interest, to be paid by ratepayers of SoCalGas and SDG&e.

(Comr Picker - Judge Lirag, Judge Wong) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=167509035

26 Compensation to The Utility Reform Network

[15250]

A13-09-010

In the Matter of the Application of Southern California Gas Company for Approval of The Branch Office Optimization Process.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

@ Awards The Utility Reform Network (TURN) \$63,362.54 for substantial contribution to Decision
 (D.) 16-06-044. D.16-06-046 granted in part, and denied in part, Southern California Gas
 Company's (SoCalGas) request for permission to close six branch offices. TURN requested
 \$63,362.54.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

ESTIMATED COST:

® \$63,362.54, plus interest, to be paid by ratepayers of SoCalGas.

(Comr Peterman - Judge Colbert) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=167476099

27 Compensation to Center for Accessible Technology

[15251]

A13-09-010

In the Matter of the Application of Southern California Gas Company for Approval of The Branch Office Optimization Process.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

ESTIMATED COST:

® \$54,196.75, plus interest, to be paid by ratepayers of SoCalGas.

(Comr Peterman - Judge Colbert) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=167637637

28 **Compensation to The Greenlining Institute**

[15252]

A13-09-010

In the Matter of the Application of Southern California Gas Company for Approval of The Branch Office Optimization Process.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Awards Greenlining Institute \$22,964.50 for substantial contribution to Decision (D.) 16-06-046.
 D.16-06-046 granted in part, and denied in part, Southern California Gas Company's (SoCalGas) request for permission to close six branch offices. The Greenlining Institute requested \$23,013.00.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's regulation and oversight of public utilities, which under Pub. Util. Code § 451 must "promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

ESTIMATED COST:

® \$22,964.50, plus interest, to be paid by ratepayers of SoCalGas.

(Comr Peterman - Judge Colbert) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=167772702

Regular Agenda

Regular Agenda - Energy Orders

29California Alternate Rates for Energy/Energy Savings[15104]Assistance Programs of Large Investor-Owned Utilities

A14-11-007, A14-11-009, A14-11-010 and A14-11-011 - Related matters.

Application of Southern California Edison Company for Approval of its Energy Savings Assistance and California Alternate Rates for Energy Programs and Budgets for Program Years 2015-2017. Consolidated applications include San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Southern California Gas Company.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Sets budgets for California Alternate Rates for Energy (CARE)/Energy Savings Assistance (ESA) Programs for next three years.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}$ Closes the proceeding.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® CARE/ESA Programs provide safe, reasonably-priced energy to low-income customers.

ESTIMATED COST:

® ESA budget \$749,193,909 for 2017-2018.

(Comr Sandoval - Judge Colbert) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=167502394

Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment. This matter may be considered during the Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting. Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting. Agenda 3384, Item 50 9/15/2016 (Staff); Agenda 3386, Item 25 10/13/2016 (Pub. Util. Code §311(e)) Regular Agenda - Energy Orders (continued)

29a COMMISSIONER SANDOVAL'S ALTERNATE TO ITEM 15104

[15105]

A14-11-007, A14-11-009, A14-11-010, and A14-11-011 - Related matters.

Application of Southern California Edison Company for Approval of its Energy Savings Assistance and California Alternate Rates for Energy Programs and Budgets for Program Years 2015-2017.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Authorizes the Energy Savings Assistance Program and California Alternative Rates for Energy for program years 2017-2020.

® Establishes an energy savings target for the Energy Savings Assistance Program, eliminates the "go back" rule and the three measure minimum rule.

® Creates a new framework for eligible low-income multi-family buildings participating in the Energy Savings Assistance Program.

® Closes the proceeding.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® The Energy Savings Assistance Program provides measures to increase eligible low-income populations health, comfort and safety.

ESTIMATED COST:

® The Energy Savings Assistance Program has an annual budget of \$372.7 million in 2017, \$379.3 million in 2018, \$386.9 million in 2019 and \$394.6 million in 2020.

® The California Alternative Rates for Energy has an annual budget of \$1.27 billion in 2017, \$1.31 billion in 2018, \$1.32 billion in 2019 and \$1.34 billion in 2020.

(Comr Sandoval)

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=168601254

Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment. This matter may be considered during the Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting. Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.

Agenda 3384, Item 50a 9/15/2016 (Staff); Agenda 3386, Item 25a 10/13/2016 (Pub. Util. Code §311(e)) Regular Agenda - Energy Orders (continued)

30Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Application[15184]Related to South Orange County Reliability EnhancementProject

A12-05-020

In the Matter of the Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the South Orange County Reliability Enhancement Project

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

 ® Denies application for requested project, grants Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for California Environmental Quality Act-approved alternative project.
 ® Closes the proceeding.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® Approved project will ensure safe and reliable service to South Orange County.

ESTIMATED COST:

® Approximately \$91 million for construction of approved project.

(Comr Picker - Judge Farrar) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=167501627

Regular Agenda - Energy Orders (continued)

30a PRESIDENT PICKER'S ALTERNATE TO ITEM 15184

[15185]

A12-05-020

In the Matter of the Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the South Orange County Reliability Enhancement Project

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Grants application for requested project.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® Approved project will ensure safe and reliable service to South Orange County.

ESTIMATED COST:

® Maximum cost cap set at \$318 million for construction of approved project.

(Comr Picker) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=167502397

Regular Agenda - Communication Orders

31Expanding the California LifeLine Program to Allow for[15204]Fixed-Voice Over Internet Providers to Become CaliforniaLifeLine Providers and Offer California Lifeline Service to
their Customers

R11-03-013

Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding Revisions to the California Universal Telephone Service (LifeLine) Program.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Expands the California LifeLine Program to allow for Fixed-Voice over Internet Providers to become Lifeline Providers and offer California Lifeline service to their customers.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® Safety of California ratepayers and consumers is improved when more Californians can participate in the California LifeLine via diverse technologies.

ESTIMATED COST:

[®] The California LifeLine is funded exclusively by ratepayer dollars. The expansion of the Program to include Fixed-Voice over Internet Providers will not change the total level of program funding.

(Comr Picker - Judge MacDonald) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=168981093

Regular Agenda - Orders Extending Statutory Deadline

32 Order Extending Statutory Deadline

[15243]

R11-11-008

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion into Addressing the Commission's Water Action Plan Objective of Setting Rates that Balance Investment, Conservation, and Affordability for Class A and Class B Water Utilities.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Extends the statutory deadline for completion of this proceeding until December 29, 2016.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® There are no safety considerations implicated with this Order Extending Statutory Deadline.

ESTIMATED COST:

® There are no costs associated with this Order Extending Statutory Deadline.

(Comr Sandoval - Judge Weatherford) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=167720674 Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Quasi-Legislative. Regular Agenda - Orders Extending Statutory Deadline (continued)

33 Order Extending Statutory Deadline

[15244]

114-05-012

Order Instituting Investigation to Address Intrastate Rural Call Completion Issues.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

® Extends the statutory deadline for completion of this proceeding until January 5, 2017.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

® There are no safety considerations implicated with this Order Extending Statutory Deadline.

ESTIMATED COST:

® There are no costs associated with this Order Extending Statutory Deadline.

(Comr Sandoval - Judge Mason) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=168160188

Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Quasi-Legislative.

Regular Agenda - Legal Division Matters

Regular Agenda - Legislative and Other Matters

34Office of Governmental Affairs Report on 2016 Legislative[15245]Outcomes

Regular Agenda - Commissioner Reports

Regular Agenda - Management Reports and Resolutions

35Report and Discussion on Recent Consumer Protection and[15195]Safety Activities

36 Management Report on Administrative Activities

[15196]

Closed Session

This notice is furnished under Government Code Sections 11125 and 11126.3. The Commission will meet in Closed Session following the Public Session of its regularly scheduled meeting. In the Closed Session, the Commission may consider personnel matters as provided under Government Code Section 11126(a), institution of proceedings or disciplinary actions against any person or entity under the jurisdiction of the Commission as provided under Government Code Sections 11126(d)(2) and 11126(e)(2)(C)(i), and pending litigation as provided under Government Code Section 11126(e). Additional items may be added to the closed session agenda pursuant to Gov. Code Section 11126.3(d). If in Closed Session the Commission votes to appoint, employ, or dismiss a public employee, the Commission will thereafter reconvene in Open Session to make the disclosures required by Government Code Sections 11125.2 and 11126.3(f).

Closed Session - Applications for Rehearing

37 **Conference with Legal Counsel - Application for Rehearing** [15197]

Compilation of applications for rehearing recently filed with the Commission.

Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(B)(i), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.

38 **Conference wth Legal Counsel - Application for Rehearing** [15206]

I.11-05-028

Application for Rehearing of Decision (D.) 16-03-012 filed by the Safety and Enforcement Division (SED). In D.16-03-012 the Commission denied SED's motion for an Order to Show Cause (OSC) to The Billing Resource d/b/a Integretel (Integretel), The Billing Resource LLC, (TBR), Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Communications of California (AT&T) and Verizon California, Inc. (Verizon) as to why the Commission should not ordered them to issue \$5.7 million in customer refunds ordered in D.13-09-001 and why penalties or other sanctions should not be imposed. SED subsequently settled with TRB and D.16-03-012 also approved the TBR and SED settlement agreement.

Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(B)(i), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.

Closed Session - Applications for Rehearing (continued)

39 Conference with Legal Counsel - Application for Rehearing

[15225]

A15-07-012

Disposition of application for rehearing of Decision (D.) 16-01-035, filed by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E). D.16-01-035 approved PG&E's application (A.15-07-012) for authorization under Public Utilities Code section 851 to enter into an irrevocable license agreement with ExteNet Systems (California) LLC (ExteNet). Under the agreement ExteNet is to construct a fiber optic communications system on PG&E's property and transfer naked title of the communications system to PG&E. Under the agreement ExteNet is to receive a right to use part of the system and will pay PG&E at least \$207,000 annually for the right to use the system. In turn, the agreement provides PG&E with dedicated capacity on the communications system with no upfront capital costs to PG&E. Maintenance expenses for the system are to be shared by PG&E and ExteNet, and Extenet will be solely responsible for payment of franchise fees and property taxes. Among other things, D.16-01-035 determined that the agreement is subject to the terms adopted by Re Competition for Local Exchange Service (1998) 82 Cal.P.U.C.2d 510 [D.98-10-058], also known as the "Right-of-Way" (or ROW) decision.

Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(B)(i), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.

Closed Session - Initiation of Litigation - Non-Federal

Consideration of possible Commission initiation of, or intervention in, litigation. Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(C)(i) and/or § 11126(d)(2), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.

Closed Session - Threatened Litigation - Non-Federal

Significant exposure to litigation

Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(B), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session

Closed Session - Existing Litigation - Non-Federal

40 **Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation**

[15224]

Case No. 16-cv-001983

Monterey County Case No. 16-cv-001983, Water Ratepayers Ass'n of the Monterey Peninsula v. County of Monterey et al. Related to A.12-014-019

Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(A), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.

Closed Session - Appeals from Presiding Officer's Decisions

Discussion of Appeals of Presiding Officer's Decision.

Pub. Util. Code § 1701.2(c), allows a Presiding Officer's Decision to be considered in Closed Session

Closed Session - Federal

41 **Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation**

[15177]

FERC EL02-60-010, EL02-62-009

Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(A), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.

Agenda 3386, Item 32 10/13/2016

Closed Session - Initiation of Litigation - Federal

Consideration of possible Commission initiation of, or intervention in, federal agency or court proceedings.

Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(C)(i), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.

Closed Session - Threatened Litigation - Federal

Significant exposure to litigation in federal agency or court proceedings. Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(B), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session

Closed Session - FERC Docket No. EL00-95-000, et al.

Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation; San Diego Gas & Electric Co., FERC Docket No. EL00-95, Investigation of Practices of the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange, FERC Docket EL00-98, and related dockets, CPUC v. FERC, Ninth Circuit Nos. 01-71051, et al., and 01-71934, et al., and related dockets.

Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(A), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.

Closed Session - Personnel Matters

Consideration of appointment, employment, evaluation of performance, or dismissal of a public employee or to hear complaints or charges brought against that employee by another person or employee. *Gov. Code* § *11126(a), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session*