

From: Jennifer Bromma [mailto:jbromma@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 9, 2007 06:17 AM
To: sunrise@aspeneg.com
Subject: Sunrise Powerlink Comments

Billie Blanchard, CPUC/Lynda Kastell, BLM
c/o Aspen Environmental Group
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 935
San Francisco, CA 94104-3002

Dear Ms. Blanchard and Ms. Kastell,

I am writing to share my opinions on the Sunrise Powerlink project as a resident of San Diego, and as an SDG&E ratepayer.

Having followed the reports of the project in the media, and having reviewed the information on the various websites, I am still not convinced that the project is necessary. However, in the event that it is deemed to be necessary, I would like to express my support for SDG&E's preferred route over the Modified Route D Alternative.

My family and I spend recreational time in both the Cleveland National Forest and the Anza-Borego desert. Given the options of using an existing easement through the desert, or carving a brand new route through the forest, the choice would seem obvious. Why suffer the environmental and visual impacts of the power poles and access roads twice? Also, if SDG&E's figures are accurate, the Modified Route D Alternative would actually impact more sensitive areas than their preferred route.

In addition, using the preferred route would maximize the geographic separation between the new lines and existing lines along the border with Mexico. This would lower the chance that both lines would be affected in the event of a natural disaster, such as a wildfire.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Bromma