

**Comment Set C.190: Jim, Mary, Christopher, Matthew, and Michelle Nores**

**From:** Jim N. [mailto:jim@dpstickets.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 03, 2006 6:46 PM  
**To:** Antelope-Pardee Project  
**Subject:** Antelope Pardee 500 kV Transmission Project Proposed by Southern California Edison  
Application No. A.04-12-007

From:  
Jim Nores  
31650 Spring Canyon Rd  
Agua Dulce, CA 91390  
661-251-6603

To:  
John Boccio, CPUC, EIR Project Manager  
Marian Kadota, USFS, EIS Project Manger  
Aspen Environmental Group  
30423 Canwood Street, Suite 215  
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

RE: Antelope Pardee 500 kV Transmission Project Proposed by Southern California Edison  
Application No. A.04-12-007

Dear Mr. Boccio and Ms. Kadota:

Alternative 5 bisects my home in Agua Dulce. Naturally, my family and I strongly oppose this alternative. It is not hat just that it will wreak havoc on our quality of life but that it will apparently do so for no good reason. This alternative will impact communities and hundreds of families in Agua Dulce and Leona Valley. To destroy property values and impact this many people, you would have to have no other alternative. But you do have an alternative by traversing the forest land. That route affects the least number of families by going through unpopulated areas. To make the alternative 5 decision even more absurd is that there is an existing line already through the forest and all that has to be done is to upgrade this line to 500kv. Even if this line were going through virgin forest it would still be a better route than alternative 5.

C.190-1

To create new line where there is currently none as opposed to upgrading an existing line, in my opinion, is a "no brainer".

Let's hope that the decision makers agree.

Sincerely,

Jim Nores  
Mary Nores  
Christopher Nores  
Matthew Nores  
Michelle Nores

**Response to Comment Set C.190: Jim, Mary, Christopher, Matthew, and Michelle Nores**

C.190-1 Thank you for your opinion regarding the proposed Project and Alternative 5. Your concerns will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the proposed Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.