Comment Set C.73: Christopher Jon Brown

From: jjcats [mailto:jjcats@cwaveisp.net]
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2006 12:54 PM
To: Boccio, John
Subject: EXTENSION ON ANTELOPE-PARDEE 500 K-V TRANSMISSION PROJECT

JONH BOCCIO

PLEASE GIVE THE CITIZENS MORE TIME TO REVIEW THE ANTELOPE-PARDEE 500K-V TRANSMISSION PROJECT. THIS PROJECT SHOULD NOT BE DONE FOR ALTERNATIVE 5, THERE WOULD BE A BIG IMPACT TO THE RESIDENTS OF AGUA DULCE AND SHOULD BE REEVALUATED. WHY CANT THE WIRES GO THROUGH THE EXISTING EASEMENTS THEY HAVE CURRENTLY INSTEAD OF HAVING TO BUY LAND AND CHANGE THE PLACEMENT OF THESE HORRIBLE LOOKING STRUCTURES NEAR HOUSES THAT NEVER EVEN THOUGHT THESE LINES WOULD BE NEAR THEIR HOUSES. IF NOT PUT THIS THROUGH THE FOREST SO IT IS OUT OF OUR VIEW DURING OUR DAILY LIVES NOT FOR THE OCCASIONAL OUTING TO THE FOREST

C.73-1

C.73-2

THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION
CHRISTOPHER JON BROWN
35139 PENMAN RD
AGUA DULCE, CA. 91390

Response to Comment Set C.73: Christopher Jon Brown

C.73-1 On September 13, the CPUC, as the CEQA Lead Agency, and the USDA Forest Service, as the NEPA Lead Agency, extended the public review period for the Project from 45 days to 60 days, now ending on October 3, 2006.

C.73-2 Thank you for expressing your concerns regarding Alternative 5. This alternative is one of five Project alternatives identified. You are correct that Alternative 5 would require the establishment of new utility right-of-way (ROW) areas. However, it should be noted that the proposed Project and each of the other four Project alternatives would also require land acquisition for ROW purposes, either for new transmission corridors or for widening of existing transmission corridors. Please see General Response GR-4 regarding the alternatives identification process. Your comments will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.