

Contents

1. Mitigated Negative Declaration	1-1
1.1 Project Information	1-1
1.2 Introduction	1-1
1.3 Project Description	1-2
1.4 SCE PEA Alternatives Considered	1-2
1.5 Environmental Determination	1-4
2. Environmental Determination	2-1
2.1 Environmental Factors Potentially Affected	2-1
2.2 Environmental Determination	2-1
3. Introduction to the Initial Study	3-1
3.1 Proposed Project Overview	3-1
3.2 Environmental Analysis	3-1
4. Project Description	4-1
4.1 Project Title	4-1
4.2 Project Sponsor’s Name and Address	4-1
4.3 Lead Agency Name and Address	4-1
4.4 Lead Agency Contact Person and Phone Number	4-1
4.5 Project Location	4-1
4.6 Surrounding Land Uses and Setting	4-1
4.7 General Plan Designation	4-2
4.8 Zoning	4-2
4.9 Project Overview	4-5
4.10 Project Components	4-7
4.11 Project Construction	4-24
4.12 Operation and Maintenance	4-36
4.13 Other Project-Related Activities	4-37
4.14 Applicant Proposed Measures	4-39
4.15 Other Permits and Approvals	4-40
4.16 Electric and Magnetic Fields Summary	4-41
5. Environmental Setting and Environmental Impacts	5-1
5.1 Aesthetics	5-1
5.2 Agriculture and Forestry	5-53
5.3 Air Quality	5-65
5.4 Biological Resources	5-75
5.5 Cultural Resources	5-131
5.6 Geology and Soils	5-147
5.7 Greenhouse Gas Emissions	5-161
5.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	5-165
5.9 Hydrology and Water Quality	5-181
5.10 Land Use and Planning	5-199
5.11 Mineral Resources	5-207
5.12 Noise	5-211
5.13 Population and Housing	5-219
5.14 Public Services	5-223
5.15 Recreation	5-231

5.16	Transportation/Traffic	5-237
5.17	Utilities and Service Systems.....	5-249
5.18	Corona and Induced Current Effects.....	5-257
5.19	Mandatory Findings of Significance.....	5-259
6.	Mitigation Monitoring Plan	6-1
6.1	Minor Project Changes or Variances.....	6-1
6.2	Dispute Resolution.....	6-2
7.	References.....	7-1
8.	Response to Comments	8-1
	Introduction	8-1
	Comment Set A – California Department of Transportation, District 9	8-3
	Responses to Comment Set A.....	8-7
	Comment Set B – State Water Resources Control Board.....	8-9
	Responses to Comment Set B.....	8-11
	Comment Set C – Carol & Dave Downs.....	8-17
	Responses to Comment Set C.....	8-19
	Comment Set D – Southern California Edison.....	8-23
	Responses to Comment Set D.....	8-45

Tables

Table 4-1	Typical Subtransmission Structure Dimensions	4-12
Table 4-2	Potential Staging Yard Locations.....	4-23
Table 4-3	Substation Ground Surface Improvement Materials and Volumes	4-25
Table 4-4	Construction – Typical Equipment Use	4-31
Table 4-5	Applicant Proposed Measures (APMs).....	4-39
Table 4-6	Permits that May Be Required for the SCE Banducci Substation Project	4-40
Table 5.1-1	Visual Impact Significance Criteria.....	5-12
Table 5.2-1	Acres of Farmland in Kern County, Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program.....	5-54
Table 5.2-2	Recent Agricultural Use at Banducci Substation Site and Adjacent Agricultural Areas (based on County agricultural commodity permits).....	5-54
Table 5.3-1	National and California Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	5-65
Table 5.3-2	Attainment Status for Eastern Kern APCD.....	5-66
Table 5.3-3	Estimated Construction Emissions (tons).....	5-70
Table 5.3-4	Emissions During Operations (lb/day)	5-72
Table 5.4-1	Approximate Acreage of Vegetation Communities and Other Land Cover Types Within the Project Study Area.....	5-76
Table 5.4-2	Summary of Estimated Vegetation Community Impacts in Acres	5-77
Table 5.4-3	Special-status Species that Occur or Potentially Occur in the Project Vicinity.....	5-81
Table 5.4-4	Applicant Proposed Measures – Biological Resources	5-89
Table 5.5-1	Cultural Resources within the Proposed Project Area.....	5-139
Table 5.5-2	Applicant Proposed Measures – Paleontological Resources.....	5-142
Table 5.6-1	Key Characteristics of Soils Underlying the Proposed Project	5-149
Table 5.6-2	Significant Active and Potentially Active Faults within 50 miles of the Proposed Project.....	5-151
Table 5.8-1	Historic Pesticide and Herbicide Use at and Adjacent to the Proposed Banducci Substation Site	5-167
Table 5.8-2	GeoTracker Sites within 1000 feet of Proposed Project Components with Ground Disturbance.....	5-168

Table 5.8-3	Applicant Proposed Measures – Hazardous Materials	5-174
Table 5.9-1	Surface Water Characteristics	5-182
Table 5.12-1	Typical Sound Levels Measured in the Environment and Industry	5-212
Table 5.13-1	Year 2014 Existing Conditions – Population, Housing, and Employment: Bear Valley Springs, Stallion Springs, the City of Tehachapi, and Kern County.....	5-219
Table 5.16-1	Level of Service Criteria for Roadways	5-238
Table 5.16-2	Roadway Characteristics for Local Access Roads in the Project Area	5-238
Table 5.17-1	Utility Providers.....	5-249
Table 5.17-2	Landfill Capacities.....	5-250
Table 5.19-1	Planned and Current Projects in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project	5-260
Table 6-1	Mitigation Monitoring Plan	6-3
Table 8-1	Comments Received on the Proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration	8-1

Figures

Figure 4-1	Project Location	4-3
Figure 4-2	Proposed Banducci Substation Layout and Plan	4-9
Figure 4-3	Subtransmission Line Routes and Poles	4-13
Figure 4-4	Subtransmission Structures.....	4-15
Figure 4-5	Proposed Telecommunication Routes.....	4-19
Figure 4-6	Potential Staging Areas	4-21
Figure 4-7	Typical Distribution Line Vault	4-29
Figure 4-8	Typical Distribution Line Duct Bank.....	4-30
Figure 5.1-1A	Viewpoint Map: Regional.....	5-19
Figure 5.1-1B	Viewpoint Map: Cummings Valley.....	5-21
Figure 5.1-2	Regional Viewpoint 1: West Valley Blvd, Viewing Southwest.....	5-23
Figure 5.1-3	Regional Viewpoint 2: Ronnie Avenue, Viewing North.....	5-25
Figure 5.1-4	Regional Viewpoint 3: Highline Road, Viewing East.....	5-27
Figure 5.1-5A	KOP 1: Pelliser Road, Viewing South.....	5-29
Figure 5.1-5B	KOP 1: View from Pelliser Road – North, Existing and Proposed Condition	5-31
Figure 5.1-6A	KOP 2: Dale Road, Viewing East.....	5-33
Figure 5.1-6B	KOP 2: View from Dale Road, Existing and Proposed Condition	5-35
Figure 5.1-7A	KOP 3: Pelliser Road, Viewing North.....	5-37
Figure 5.1-7B	KOP 3: View from Pelliser Road – South, Existing and Proposed Condition	5-39
Figure 5.1-8A	KOP 4: Highline Road, Viewing Southwest.....	5-41
Figure 5.1-8B	KOP 4: View from Highline Road, Existing and Proposed Condition	5-43
Figure 5.1-9A	KOP 5: Pelliser Road, Viewing South.....	5-45
Figure 5.1-9B	KOP 5: View from Pelliser Road – North, Existing and Proposed Condition	5-47
Figure 5.1-10A	KOP 6: Near Bailey Road, Viewing Northwest.....	5-49
Figure 5.1-10B	KOP 6: View from Southeast of Site, Existing and Proposed Condition	5-51
Figure 5.2-1	Prime Farmland and Williamson Act Land	5-63
Figure 5.4-1	Vegetation: Index Map.....	5-107
Figure 5.4-1a	Vegetation, Sheet 1 of 10.....	5-109
Figure 5.4-1b	Vegetation, Sheet 2 of 10.....	5-111
Figure 5.4-1c	Vegetation, Sheet 3 of 10.....	5-113
Figure 5.4-1d	Vegetation, Sheet 4 of 10.....	5-115
Figure 5.4-1e	Vegetation, Sheet 5 of 10.....	5-117
Figure 5.4-1f	Vegetation, Sheet 6 of 10.....	5-119
Figure 5.4-1g	Vegetation, Sheet 7 of 10.....	5-121
Figure 5.4-1h	Vegetation, Sheet 8 of 10.....	5-123

Figure 5.4-1i	Vegetation, Sheet 9 of 10.....	5-125
Figure 5.4-1j	Vegetation, Sheet 10 of 10.....	5-127
Figure 5.4-2	Critical Habitat and Other Special Management Areas.....	5-129
Figure 5.6-1	Regional Active Faults and Historic Earthquakes.....	5-159
Figure 5.9-1	Hydrology and Floodplain Boundaries.....	5-195
Figure 5.9-2	Groundwater Resources.....	5-197
Figure 5.10.1	General Plan Land Uses.....	5-203
Figure 5.10-2	Kern County Zoning.....	5-205
Figure 5.14-1	Public Services in the Proposed Project Vicinity.....	5-229
Figure 5.15-1	Recreation Resources in the Proposed Project Vicinity.....	5-235
Figure 5.16-1	Truck Routes and Arterial Roads in the Proposed Project Vicinity.....	5-247

Appendices

Appendix A	List of Preparers
Appendix B	Estimated Temporary and Permanent Land Disturbance
Appendix C	Public Notice Materials