

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



April 1, 2013

Mr. Koral Ahmet
Devers-Palo Verde No. 2 Transmission Project
6 Point Drive, 1st Floor
Brea, CA 92821-6320

RE: SCE Devers-Palo Verde No. 2 Transmission Line Project – Variance Request #75

Dear Mr. Ahmet,

On March 22, 2013, Southern California Edison (SCE) submitted a variance request to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) for modifications to 19 disturbance areas for transmission line construction needs from Towers 1065 to 1082 along the Devers-Valley segment of the Devers-Palo Verde No. 2 (DPV2) Transmission Project.

The CPUC voted on January 25, 2007 to approve the SCE DPV2 Transmission Line Project ([Decision D.07-01-040](#)). On May 14, 2008, SCE filed a Petition for Modification (PFM) of the existing Certificate for Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) approved per Decision D.07-01-040. SCE requested that the CPUC authorize SCE to construct DPV2 facilities in only the California portion of DPV2 and the Midpoint Substation (now called the Colorado River Substation) near Blythe, California. The CPUC approved SCE's PFM on November 20, 2009 in [Decision D.09-11-007](#).

After the CPUC's 2009 Decision regarding the PFM, several large solar power projects were proposed in the Blythe and Desert Center areas. SCE filed Permit to Construct applications addressing expansion of the Colorado River Substation and construction of a new Red Bluff Substation. These components were not covered in the original DPV2 Final EIR/EIS, because the solar power projects had not yet been proposed, and supplemental environmental review has been conducted. The Colorado River Substation Expansion and the Red Bluff Substation were both approved by the CPUC on July 14, 2011 in Decisions D.11-07-011 and D.11-07-020, respectively.

The BLM issued a Record of Decision approving the Project on July 19, 2011 and approved exclusionary fencing activities on August 23, 2011. The Project also crosses lands under jurisdiction of the U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service on the San Bernardino National Forest within an existing Forest Service-issued easement. The Forest Service will issue a revised easement signed by the Forest Supervisor. The area requested under this variance does not fall under Forest Service jurisdiction.

The CPUC also adopted a Mitigation, Monitoring, Compliance and Reporting Program (MMCRP) to ensure compliance with all mitigation measures imposed on the DPV2 Project during implementation. The MMCRP also acknowledges that minor project refinements as a result of final engineering are anticipated and common practice for construction efforts of this scale and that a Variance Request would be required for these activities. This letter documents the CPUC's thorough evaluation of all activities covered in this variance. The CPUC has concluded that the activities under this variance are located within the geographic boundary of the study area of the Final EIR/EIS and Supplemental EIR, and do not, without mitigation, result in a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified significant impact based on the criteria used in the environmental documents;

conflict with any mitigation measure or applicable law or policy; or trigger an additional permit requirement.

Variance #75, which approves the subject modifications, is granted by CPUC for the proposed activities based on the factors described below.

SCE Variance Request. SCE has requested a variance under NTP #10 along the Devers-Valley segment for disturbance modifications required based on a constructability review. Excerpts from the SCE Variance Request, received on March 22, 2013, are presented below (indented).

Subsequent to approval of the Devers to Valley Transmission Line (excluding the San Bernardino National Forest portion) NTPR (NTP #10 dated December 2, 2011) by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), constructability review was completed including review of conductor reel lengths, tower heights, major road crossings, existing transmission line crossings, access points and NTP approved guard pole/reel sites and several changes to temporary disturbance areas for conductor stringing were identified as being needed as well as numerous locations were identified as not being required for construction, as described below and shown in the attached figures [in SCE's Variance Request]:

Pull #	Site	Change in Project Component Boundary	Ownership
107 1	DV-GS45	Area not needed ("Giveback")	Private
107 2	DV Wire Site No 28	Rotate disturbance area in line with DPV2	Private
108 3	DV Pull Site No 29	Shift disturbance area southeast 50 ft	Private
108 4	Access Rd to DV Wire Site No 28	Area not needed ("Giveback")	Private
108 5	DV-GS46A	Area not needed ("Giveback")	Private
108 6	DV-GS46B	Area not needed ("Giveback")	Private
108 7	DV-GS47A	Area not needed ("Giveback")	Private
108 8	DV-GS47B	Area not needed ("Giveback")	Private
108 9	DV-GS48A	Expand to a width of 35 ft to allow for guard pole installation	Private
108 10	DV-GS48B	Expand to a width of 35 ft and shift west to protect distribution line	Private
108 11	DV-GS49A	Expand to a width of 35 ft to allow for guard pole installation	Private
108 12	DV-GS49B	Expand to a width of 35 ft to allow for guard pole installation	Private
108 13	DV Splice Site No 7	Shift site north; Adjust site disturbance area to 90 ft x 110 ft	Private
108 14	DV-GS50	Expand to a width of 35 ft to allow for guard pole installation	Private
108 15	DV-GS51	Expand to a width of 35 ft to allow for guard pole installation	Private
108 16	DV-GS52A	Expand to a width of 35 ft and shift to protect distribution line	Private
108 17	DV-GS52B	Expand to a width of 35 ft and shift to protect distribution line	Private
108 18	DV-GS53A	Expand to a width of 35 ft and shift to protect distribution line	Private
108 19	DV-GS53B	Expand to a width of 35 ft and shift to protect distribution line	Private

CPUC Evaluation of Variance Request

In accordance with the MMCRP, the subject variance request was reviewed by CPUC to confirm that the proposed request was within the geographical context of the Final EIR/S and that no new impacts or increase in impact severity would result from the requested variance activities. The following discussion summarizes this analysis for biological resources, cultural resources, paleontological resources, noise/sensitive receptors, and other issue areas. A list of mitigation compliance conditions is presented below to define additional information and clarifications regarding mitigation requirements.

Biological Resources. As described in SCE's biological review memo (dated March 22, 2013), implementation of the 19 proposed shifts, reductions, additions, and expansions of wire sites and

associated features along Devers-Valley would result in a net increase of impacts to special-status vegetation community desert willow woodland (0.01 acres). The proposed pull site modifications would also result in a net decrease of impacts to modeled desert tortoise habitat (-0.07 acre) and jurisdictional waterways (-0.01 acre).

Not all sites have been staked in the field yet. Therefore, prior to the CPUC's preconstruction site validation, SCE shall confirm that all the staking is complete for the sites included under this Variance #75.

Any disturbance impacts have been incorporated into the compensatory mitigation acreages addressed in SCE's Habitat Acquisition Proposal developed by Wildlands, Inc. and approved by the regulatory agencies in April 2012. Habitat restoration activities for temporary disturbance areas are described in the DPV2 Habitat Restoration and Compensation Plan, which is in the process of being revised and finalized (CH2M HILL, 2012b).

As conditioned below, SCE shall provide updated construction and biological resources constraints maps showing the revised Pull 107-108 sites to the CPUC EMs and all monitors in the field prior to construction activities at the subject sites. All mitigation measures, APMs, and conditions of the Biological Opinion (BO), shall be implemented.

Cultural Resources. The Final Historic Properties Management Plan (HPMP) for the Devers-Palo Verde No. 2 Project was accepted on October 20, 2011. One cultural resource, the Old Banning-Idyllwild Road (P-33-11280), is located immediately adjacent to two guard structures. However, no management measures are recommended in the Final HPMP; therefore no further management of this resource is required. No cultural resources were identified within or immediately adjacent to the remaining 18 requested pull site modifications to Pull 107 and 108.

In the event of an unanticipated discovery of cultural materials, the find shall be managed in compliance with the following procedures provided in *Section 4.4 - Plan of Discovery of Cultural Resources* of the approved HPMP as itemized below:

- All work within 200 feet of the discovery will be halted and the onsite Archaeological Field Monitor will evaluate the discovery.
- The Environmental Monitor will notify the Lead Archaeological Monitor, Consultant Project Manager (CPM), Work Package Archaeologist(s) (WPA), or SCE Archaeologist (in that order) immediately.
- Activities within 200 feet of the discovery will not resume until the discovery has been assessed by a member of the Cultural Resources Team.

Paleontological Resources. Based on the Paleontological Monitoring and Treatment Plan (PMTP), submitted to the California Public Utilities Commission on April 20, 2011, there is no potential to encounter paleontological resources at the pull site modifications near Towers 1066 to 1075 and Towers 1080 to 1082. The potential to encounter paleontological resources within the remaining requested pull site modifications is low (Tower 1065 and 1076 to 1079). However, due to minimal disturbance, paleontological resources will not need to be intermittently monitored.

In the event that a paleontological resource discovery is made during site development, all construction activities in the area of the discovery must cease, and the Discovery of Fossils protocol, as specified in the Plan will be followed (1-Notification, 2-Avoidance and Continued Construction Activities, and 3-Determining Significance of a Discovered Paleontological Resource).

Noise/Sensitive Receptors. There are several sensitive receptors in the immediate vicinity of the revised disturbance areas located on privately-owned land. Use of the revised sites would have similar noise-

generating activities to those that will occur along the existing access roads and at the tower sites. Appropriate noise and land use mitigation measures would apply. The overall scope and duration of construction activities has not changed as a result of the variance.

Other Issue Areas. No concerns noted under this variance.

Mitigation Compliance Conditions of Variance Approval.

The mitigation compliance conditions presented below shall be met by SCE and its contractors:

1. All applicable project mitigation measures, APMs, conditions of the Biological Opinion, compliance plans, permit conditions and NTP conditions shall be implemented. Some measures have on-going/time-sensitive requirements and shall be implemented prior to and during construction where applicable.
2. Copies of all relevant permits, compliance plans, and this Variance approval shall be available on site for the duration of construction activities.
3. Pre-construction surveys shall be conducted, as applicable, and all disturbance areas shall be clearly delineated and marked prior to any ground disturbance associated with the use of the proposed revised sites and results would be submitted to the CPUC's EM for validation.
4. SCE shall provide updated construction and biological resources constraints maps showing the new and revised disturbance areas to the CPUC EMs and all monitors in the field prior to any construction activities at the subject sites. Updated maps can be provided prior to construction by tower location(s).
5. SCE shall confirm that all staking is complete for the sites included under Variance #75 prior to the CPUC's preconstruction site validation.
6. In the event that a paleontological resource discovery is made during site development, all construction activities in the area of the discovery must cease, and the Discovery of Fossils protocol, as specified in the Paleontological Monitoring and Treatment Plan shall be followed (1-Notification, 2-Avoidance and Continued Construction Activities, and 3-Determining Significance of a Discovered Paleontological Resource).
7. In the event of an unanticipated discovery of cultural materials, the find shall be managed in compliance with the following procedures provided in Section 4.4 - Plan of Discovery of Cultural Resources of the approved Historic Properties Management Plan as itemized below:
 - All work within 200 feet of the discovery shall be halted and the onsite Archaeological Field Monitor shall evaluate the discovery.
 - The Environmental Monitor shall notify the Lead Archaeological Monitor, Consultant Project Manager (CPM), Work Package Archaeologist(s) (WPA), or SCE Archaeologist (in that order) immediately.
 - Activities within 200 feet of the discovery shall not resume until the discovery has been assessed by a member of the Cultural Resources Team.
8. The CPUC EM shall be notified immediately of any unanticipated cultural, paleontological, or biological resource discoveries.
9. All crew members shall be Safe Worker and Environmental Awareness Program (SWEAP) trained prior to working on the project. A log shall be maintained on-site with the names of all crew personnel trained. For any crew members with limited English, a translator shall be on-site to ensure

understanding of the training program. In place of a translator, the SWEAP training brochure can be provided in Spanish or other languages as appropriate. All participants will receive a hard-hat sticker for ease of compliance verification.

Please contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Billie Blanchard

Billie Blanchard
CPUC Environmental Project Manager
DPV2 Transmission Project

cc: Kelly Pell, Southern California Edison
Sylvia Granados, Southern California Edison
Vida Strong, Aspen Environmental Group
Hedy Koczwar, Aspen Environmental Group
Jamison Miner, Aspen Environmental Group
Rosina Goodman, Aspen Environmental Group
Ryann Loomis, Aspen Environmental Group