

APPENDIX D-3

Comment Letters from Private Citizens

RECEIVED
AUG 01 2007

BY:.....

Comments on:

Environmental Impact Report Preparation

**El Casco System Project
Application NO 07-02-022**

Discussion and comments/objections to that portion of above cited proposal traversing Sun Lakes Community within the City Limits of Banning, CA

The mitigation methodology and plan proposed by SCE is inadequate to guarantee the health and safety of Sun Lakes Residents whose homes are adjacent to the proposed power lines.

The mitigation is also inadequate to protect Public and Resident golfers that will play below the power lines.

Members of our community will be exposed to high levels of EMF radiation for the first time since the existing wooden structure has been classified as a "Phantom Structure" by SCE and unenergized.

High levels of radiation as proposed by the SCE Plan can be a factor in accentuating serious illnesses that our elderly population sustains especially since most seniors have compromised immune systems already.

SCE boasts that no EMF health standards exist in California, but the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences part of the Department of Energy has made recommendations and guidelines that are not met or addressed by the Project Application and not addressed by the Environmental Impact Report Preparation Proposal.

SCE constantly calls attention to our sustaining large values of EMF from our appliances. These are of a transitory character. It no way means can we ignore the sustaining levels of EMF that SCE suggests are harmless but they are in fact putting our elderly population at significant and serious risk based on the guidelines published by the National Institute of Environmental Health Science.

I have examined the magnetic field density chart provided by SCE and the distances involved to private residents adjacent to the power lines and determined that most resident adjacent to the lines will far exceed the 2-3 Milligauss levels on a SUSTAINED basis putting them at risk for the first time based on the National Institute of Environmental Health Science

1/2 8/1/07

published guidelines.

Those using the golf course right below the wires will be exposed to significantly higher levels and consequently significantly higher risk.

There is no question that the SCE proposal is New Construction and the City of Banning has demanded that new construction should be buried underground properly shielded to remove the EMF risk.

**Cited Document
DOE/GO-10095-218
DE95013123
September 1996**

**Submitted by Marvin Friedman
5136 Breckenridge Ave
Banning, CA 92220**

CPUC
RECEIVED
AUG 01 2007

Banning, August 1st. 2007

BY:

Personal comments by O.Henry Tappata reference the proposal by SCE to upgrade the existing Transmission Lines by SCE on an old (1939) and narrow Easement at SLCC.

Major Objection: If we had known then (1939) what we know today about POSSIBLE INFLUENCE OF EMF AS A HAZARD ON HUMAN HEALTH PROBLEMS UNDER CERTAIN SPECIFIC CONDITIONS , i.e.: STRENGTH OF POWER SOURCE, DISTANCE TO IT, AND DURATION OF EXPOSURE... THEN, THE ROW (EASEMENT) CROSSING SLCC WOULD HAVE BEEN AT LEAST 200 FT. WIDE, AS SCE CONSIDERS TODAY ON NEW LINES NEAR SCHOOLS AND RESIDENTIAL AREAS.

SCE claims there is no EMF ("O") on the existing transmission lines adjacent to my home: NOT TRUE!


The power on those transmission lines flows only seasonal, and according to demand. When power flows, THERE IS EMF PRODUCED (I know because of the interference it causes on my car radio when crossing under those lines at Pine Valley Rd., half a block from where I live).

The existing CPUC regulations about the conditions to be met for NEW Transmission Lines projects, were generated by agreement between CPUC and a panel of Utility Providers which included SCE participation, a definite CONFLICT OF INTEREST which makes those rules doubtful and inapplicable.

I suggest that the consulting panel for CPUC should have been a selection of unbiased experts, such as from representatives with PHD degrees in Electrical Engineering (UCLA, USC, RAND Corporation , etc), and/or from non-exposed experts to the influence of lobbyism tactics, available to SCE and to other utility companies...

As a result of what I have stated, and through the analysis of my personal case, with supporting material as enclosed, I respectfully request the review of the existing regulations by CPUC by an unbiased panel of experts, and to establish new ones if needed, reference the installation of new or upgraded Transmission Lines adjacent to residential areas, such as in the crossing of the SLCC development in which I am personally included.

...AND I SUGGEST THAT THE CPUC APPROVAL OF THE SCE PROJECT REFERENCE THE CROSSING OVER THE SLCC DEVELOPMENT, BE SUSPENDED UNTIL THE REVIEW IS COMPLETED, OR IF SCE DECIDES TO RE-ROUTE THE NEW LINES AWAY FROM OUR RESIDENTIAL AREA ,OR TO BURY THEM UNDERGROUND AT THE SLCC DEVELOPMENT, IF THE EMF MITIGATION REQUIREMENT IS MET.



(951) 845-2585

From Edison's Flyer to SLCC Residents.

be required at SCE's existing Banning Substation (within the City of Banning) and Zanja Substation (within the City of Yucaipa). All modifications would occur within each substation's property boundaries. However, in some cases due to spacing constraints, it may be necessary to lay down construction equipment outside the substation fence, but still on substation property. These substation modifications improve the reliability of the subtransmission system.

Telecommunications

The proposed El Casco System Project would require two communication

paths using physically separate routes. One communication path would utilize microwave technology and the second communication path would use fiber optic cable.

The microwave communication path would require construction of two microwave towers: one 85-foot tall tower would be erected inside the proposed El Casco Substation and one 110-foot tall tower would be erected at the existing Mill Creek Communications Site (see Figure 1). Approximately 52.5 miles of optical ground wire and fiber optic cables would be installed within existing ROW.

PROJECT APPROVAL PROCESS

The proposed El Casco System Project falls within the jurisdiction of the CPUC. During project planning activities, SCE will conduct information and outreach activities designed to help inform area residents, businesses, and other interested parties about the project. At the conclusion of project planning, SCE will submit an application to the CPUC requesting authority to construct the project. The CPUC will review the project in compliance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). SCE anticipates that it will




Figure 2. Proposed El Casco Substation looking northwest




Figure 3A. Looking East from Highland Springs Road
Existing 115 kV Subtransmission Line




Figure 3B. Looking East from Highland Springs Road
Proposed 115 kV Subtransmission Line

Edison seeks approval to cancel rate increase

BY LESLIE BERKMAN
THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE

In an effort to return a \$500 million revenue surplus to ratepayers, Southern California Edison said Wednesday it wants to skip a 6 percent rate increase scheduled Jan. 1 and slightly lower existing rates for some residential customers.

The utility said it has asked the California Public Utilities Commission for approval to cancel the rate increase intended to fund the utility's stepped-up infrastructure replacement and expansion program. The rate increase already had been deferred from August.

With new proposed rates, Edison's average residential customer in the Inland Empire, who uses 840 kilowatt hours of electricity in the summer and 555 kilowatt hours in the winter, next year will see his monthly summer bills drop from \$128.05 to \$125.79 and his monthly winter bills drop from \$78.92 to \$77.98.

Akbar Jazayeri, Edison's vice president of revenue and tariff, said state law mandates that the utility reimburse ratepayers for revenues that are greater than forecast. He said that without the rate increase, Edison will have sufficient funds for the

proposed system upgrade.

Jazayeri said the cost of natural gas used to fuel power plants today is about 20 percent less than was forecast last winter. Soaring natural gas prices in late 2005 prompted Edison to raise residential rates by 15 percent in early 2006.

In addition, he said, the utility's sales of electricity during the heat wave of July and August were about 30 percent higher than anticipated.

Residential customers were the group who provided the majority of Edison's surplus collections during the heat wave, he said.

And among all households the biggest power users were hit hardest by the higher rates. That is because residential rates are structured in escalating tiers, so that households a higher rate for power the more they use.

Jazayeri said the biggest cuts next year are proposed for the higher-rate tiers so larger electricity users will benefit the most, receiving rate reductions of up to 11 percent in Riverside and San Bernardino counties. But households who use the least power will see no benefit since by state law rates in the lowest two tiers are frozen.

BUSINESS

P.E. 12/21/06

Edison says that putting new lines underground is expensive. If they are going to double up the present power lines along Bardmoor Ave, my personal priorities are:

- 1) Put them underground
- 2) Re-route them away from residential areas
- 3) Prove to me by EPA written statement that no harm will (cause healthwise or to existing home appliances (TV, radio, etc. Receivers))

Berry >

P.S: Get ready to argue this case during the EDISON-S LCC meeting 1/23/07 at South Club House.

Colgate University First Year Seminar 39: Earth Resources

Term papers ✓

Midwest Power Line Expansion & the EMF Debate

Abbie Webb

December, 2000

NOTE: When reading this paper replace the NSP reference to Edison, and Minnesota (Sun Fish Lakes) Residents by Sun Lakes C.C. residents

Midwest Power Line Expansion & the EMF Debate

The Mid-Continent Area Power Pool (MAPP) predicts that by the year 2006, the Midwest will be experiencing an extreme power deficit. This will cause blackouts and brownouts throughout the area, especially during the summer months (North American Reliability Council, 1998). In an attempt to prevent this power crisis, the Northern States Power Company (NSP) has announced plans to construct 35 new transmission lines in Minnesota by the year 2008 (Dangers, 2000).

Because the new transmission lines will provide the Midwest with a more reliable source of power, most people support the NSP's proposal. However, the route that the NSP is currently proposing for the expansion goes directly through several communities, in some areas passing less than fifty feet from houses (Dangers, 2000). These communities are fighting the proposal.

The main concern of the angry Minnesota residents is that the new transmission lines could pose health hazards. Several studies have shown that the electromagnetic fields (EMF) associated with high-energy transmission lines might be linked to health problems such as cancer, heart diseases, Alzheimer's Disease, Lou Gehrig's Disease, depression, miscarriages, stunted growth, and birth defects (Dangers, 2000). Home owners also worry that the lines will have negative effects on their area aesthetically, leading to decreased property values. They have suggested that the NSP bury the lines, or take a different route through the area, but the NSP has ignored their request, arguing that it would be too expensive, and that there is no proof that EMF is dangerous.

The History of the EMF Debate

For decades, scientists have known that high-energy power lines are surrounded by electromagnetic fields. However, they believed that the energy emitted was of such a low frequency that it couldn't have any biological effects. It wasn't until the 1960's that people began to suspect that EMF could be harmful. The debate began when studies in the Soviet Union showed that utility workers at high voltage substations had an unusually high occurrence of sleeplessness and headaches (Sagan, 1996). Then in the 1970's, U.S. ambassador, Walter Stoessel, developed leukemia after working in the U.S. embassy in Moscow. The high-energy surveillance system maintained by the Soviets was blamed for causing his cancer (Sagan, 1996). The EMF issue was brought to the attention of the public by the Wertheimer-Leeper study of 1979, which was the first to suggest that EMF was linked to childhood cancer (Sagan, 1996). From that point on, many EMF studies were done. Although the vast majority of these studies found no significant link between EMF and health problems, the few studies that did find a link have been enough to keep scientists wondering if maybe EMF really could be dangerous.

STUDIES CONNECTING EMF AND HEALTH PROBLEMS

For years, scientists have believed that the electromagnetic fields associated with power lines had no biological effects, positive or negative. But some recent studies contradict this belief. For example, the migration paths of certain birds that use geomagnetic fields to navigate have been known to be altered by power lines (Avery, 1978). Also, according to a study by James D. Hays and Neil D. Opdyke of the Lamont and Doherty Geological Observatory, an incredibly large number of organisms died during periods in history when the earth's magnetic fields switched directions (Becker, 1978). Another study, by Rutger Wever of the Max Planck Institute, found that the electromagnetic environment of the atmosphere can affect the circadian rhythms of humans (Becker, 1978). Finally, a scientist by the name of Andrew Bassett found that human bone tissue healed faster when an electrical current was induced at the site of a fracture. (Becker, 1978). While none of these studies prove that EMF is dangerous for humans, they do suggest that these fields can have biological effects.

In recent years, some scientists have been able to find links between EMF and health problems in the laboratory. James H. McElhane of West Virginia University found that low frequency electric fields, like those emitted from power lines, can cause bone tumors in rats (Becker, 1978). Gordon Marsh of the University of Iowa found that even lower frequency fields can affect the growth of flatworms (Becker, 1978). R.O. Becker and A.A. Marino found that after a month of exposure to a 60 hertz electric field, rats exhibited hormonal and biochemical changes similar to those caused by stress. They note that chronic stress can cause a wide variety of health problems. Also, after continuously exposing three generations of rats to this same electric field, Becker and Marino observed high levels of infant mortality and severely stunted growth (Becker, 1978). All of these studies found that EMF can be detrimental to the health of animals in the lab. While this still doesn't prove that power lines can be harmful to nearby humans, it suggests that it is possible.

Damage that is being inflicted today is not even knowable. The EMF problem has been categorized as a new form of pollution as consequential as air and water pollution. It has also been likened to the newly-discovered hazards of asbestos and cigarette smoking. In terms of its cumulative health effects, it's been compared to the slow process of lead poisoning. The EMF problem will grow - and at a compounding rate. Fortunately, public awareness of EMF is also growing.

| DANGER ZONES | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------|
| Here are measurements of EMF from common sources. Remember, EMF exposure depends not just on strength of the fields, but on proximity and duration of contact. | | |
| EMF in Milligauss | | |
| Source | Up to 4 inches | At 3 feet |
| Blender | 50 to 220 | 0.3 to 3 |
| Clothes washer | 8 to 200 | 0.1 to 4 |
| Coffee maker | 6 to 29 | 0.1 |
| Computer | 4 to 20 | 2 to 5 |
| Fluorescent lamp | 400 to 4,000 | 0.1 to 5 |
| Hair dryer | 60 to 20,000 | 0.1 to 6 |
| Microwave oven | 100 to 500 | 1.0 to 25 |
| Television | 5 to 100 | 0.1 to 6 |
| Vacuum cleaner | 230 to 1300 | 3 to 40 |
| Airplane | 50 mG avg. in a 747 | |

Recommended safety levels range from 0.5 mG to 2.5 mG as the maximum exposure - with 1.0 mG as a preferred standard. (Adverse biological effects have been found at 2.5 mG)

Strengthen Yourself Against EMF

Your body possesses a remarkable ability to adapt to rapidly changing environments. But when your body is chronically bombarded by man-made EMF (electromagnetic fields), its innate intelligence and energy can become weakened. When your body's ability to cope is impaired, it's more difficult for your body to shield itself from negative influences. EMF, in effect, can knock your innate intelligence and energy "out of phase."

You know why the airline captain tells you to turn off your electronic devices during take off and landing? The EMF generated by your cell phone and laptop wreak havoc on the plane's navigational system. And they wreak havoc on yours as well.

Some scientists estimate that you are now daily exposed to 100 million times the EMF radiation of your grandparents. Research shows that these fields have a significant disruptive effect on the natural energy levels of your body. Your body's energy, as well as your cellular communication system, are altered by the high frequencies of EMF. Man-made radiation magnifies your body's "fight or flight" responses, compounding your adrenal loads

Reference: A particular case is SLCC, affecting the TAPPATA residency

Banning, March 23, 2007

To: Mr. John Machisic, Banning City Council Member
From: Henry Tappata, SLCC Resident
Subject: New Edison Transmission Line Upgrade at SLCC

First of all, thank you John for allowing me to present through you the attached material to our City Council. I hope it will convince all of you that the new lines may become a health hazard to some of us here at SLCC.

When evaluating our claim, please consider that the Edison easement was granted originally in 1939, and for the existing power lines without EMF emissions.

If they had known then, what we know today about EMF, they would have probably demanded a wider easement for its constant flow of electricity. even if the area was then without residents nearby.

On my personal case, please consider that my wife had breast cancer 14 years ago, and that we are constantly checking on her for any sign of recurrence. Fortunately, she is cancer free today, and we have an army of good doctors following her health.

It has also been proven by biomedical research in laboratories, that cancer cells when exposed to constant EMF multiply at a faster pace.

If the CPUC and our City Council approve the project, we will definitely move before the new lines are installed (even if underground, unless proven absolutely safe). I would not submit my wife to such threat that may encourage recurrence...


Our home equity is our nest egg for our future. Should we move, the same reason could cause for some of our possible buyers, not to buy it, as Real Estate Brokers have to disclose that threat. It is then quite possible that we might have to sell at a lower price. Experience indicates we might have to cut around \$45,000 from its current market price.

I am willing to fight this argument through the Courts if necessary, as I do not want to move, nor to lose any money if I have to...

I hope that our City Council will take a firm stance in this controversy of health versus big money, and will protect its residents from such possible health hazard. We have more than 800 signatures in Sun Lakes alone supporting our protest, even if most of them are not personally affected by the future Edison project.


Thank you again for your personal consideration.



| | |
|---|---|
|  | Henry E. Tappata 6328 W Bardmoor Ave. Banning, CA 92220 |
|---|---|

ACTUAL MEASUREMENTS FROM FUTURE EDISON'S LINES TO OUR HOME (Top View)

(S. Edge of Edison's Easement)



BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of SOUTHERN)
CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E))
for a Permit to Construct Electrical Facilities)
With Voltages Between 50 kV and 200 kV:)
El Casco System Project)

Application No. 07-02-022

AMENDED APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
COMPANY (U 338-E) FOR A PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT ELECTRICAL
FACILITIES WITH VOLTAGES BETWEEN 50 KV AND 200 KV:
EL CASCO SYSTEM PROJECT

LINDA ANABTAWI

Attorney for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-6832
Facsimile: (626) 302-1926

Dated: July 16, 2007

FROM SCE

| | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.2 |
| 30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 |
| 40 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 |
| 50 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 |
| 60 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
| 70 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 |
| 80 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 90 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 |
| 100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |

<unit: mG>

Magnetic Fields Graph




Figure 12. Magnetic Fields for Line Segment 4

From SCE amended version 7/16/07
 (Corrections by V. Henry Tappata, affected resident)

WHERE IS THE MITIGATION? (EXISTING VS. FUTURE)

D. Magnetic field model for the proposed single-circuit overhead 115 kV subtransmission line design for Line Segment 4

Input Table

| Seg. 4: Existing Design | | Phase Coordinates | | Phase Current (Amp) | Phase Angle (Deg) |
|-------------------------|--|-------------------|--------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Phase Name | | X (ft) | Y (ft) | | |
| Idle | | -12.0 | 50 | 0 | 30 |
| Idle | | 0.0 | 50 | 0 | 150 |
| Idle | | 12.0 | 50 | 0 | 270 |

| Seg. 4: 2009 - Existing Design | | Phase Coordinates | | Phase Current (Amp) | Phase Angle (Deg) |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|--------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Phase Name | | X (ft) | Y (ft) | | |
| Idle | | -12.0 | 50 | 0 | 30 |
| Idle | | 0.0 | 50 | 0 | 150 |
| Idle | | 12.0 | 50 | 0 | 270 |

| Seg. 4: 2010 Design ("Proposed Design") | | Phase Coordinates | | Phase Current (Amp) | Phase Angle (Deg) |
|---|--|-------------------|--------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Phase Name | | X (ft) | Y (ft) | | |
| El Casco - Banning | | -4.5 | 59.0 | 345 | 270 |
| El Casco - Banning | | 4.5 | 55.0 | 345 | 150 |
| El Casco - Banning | | -4.5 | 51.0 | 345 | 30 |

Output Table

| Distance (ft) | Seg. 4: Existing Design | Seg. 4: 2009 - Existing Design | Seg. 4: 2010 Design ("Proposed Design") |
|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| -100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
| -90 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| -80 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 |
| -70 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| -60 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 |
| -50 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 |
| -40 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 |
| -30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 |
| -20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 |
| -10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.3 |
| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.5 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 |

Handwritten notes on the right side of the table:

- 100 Not here! FF.
- 100 - Here!
- 90
- 80
- 70
- 60
- 50
- 40
- 30
- 20
- 10
- 0/9.5 Mg.
- TAPPAHA's Bedroom (4.5 Mg?)

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

320 West 4th Street, Suite 500
Los Angeles, CA 90013

July 27, 2007

Mr. Osvaldo Tappata
6328 W. Bardmoor Ave.
Banning, CA 92220

State of California

Public Utilities Commission
Public Advisor's Office
320 West 4th Street, Suite 500
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Norm Carter
Manager
Southern California

(213) 576-7056
CALNET 8-649-7056
FAX (213) 576-7059
public.advisor.la@cpuc.ca.gov

Dear Mr. Tappata:

Your correspondence to Governor Schwarzenegger was referred to the California Public Utilities Commission's Public Advisor's Office for a reply.

The Public Utilities Commission has opened proceedings to look into the subject of Electromagnetic Fields twice in recent years. Commission decisions were issued in November 1993 and again in January 2006. In both instances, the Commission heard comments and evidence presented by all interested parties and made recommendations for mitigation of EMFs near the power lines of regulated utilities. The recommendations also directed the Commission's Energy Division to monitor and report to the Commission on new EMF related scientific data or research.

I have enclosed a copy of the most recent Commission Decision, D.06-01-042 for your reference.

Should the Commission open a new Investigation/Rulemaking proceeding on this subject, you are welcomed and encouraged to become a participant in that proceeding. The Public Advisor's Office can assist you with references to the appropriate rules and can provide procedural guidance and sample filings. However, at this time there is no indication that another proceeding will be opened in the predictable future.

I hope the enclosed Commission decision will help to clarify the Commission's position on EMFs and its commitment to continued monitoring of new data related to EMFs.

Sincerely,



Norm Carter
Assistant Public Advisor
1-866-849-8391

Enclosure: Decision 06-01-042
CPUC summary of actions re: EMFs

Decision 06-01-042 January 26, 2006

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to update the Commission's policies and procedures related to electromagnetic fields emanating from regulated utility facilities.

Rulemaking 04-08-020
(Filed August 19, 2004)

**OPINION ON COMMISSION POLICIES ADDRESSING ELECTROMAGNETIC
FIELDS EMANATING FROM REGULATED UTILITY FACILITIES**

I. Summary

Today's decision affirms our "low-cost/no-cost," policy¹ to mitigate EMF exposure for new utility transmission and substation projects. As a measure of low-cost mitigation, we continue to use the benchmark of 4% of transmission and substation project costs for EMF mitigation, and combine linked transmission and substation projects in the calculation of this 4% benchmark. In addition, this decision adopts rules and policies to improve utility design guidelines for reducing EMF, and provides for a utility workshop to implement these policies and standardize design guidelines.

In order that utilities may proceed with a workshop, we define and adopt EMF mitigation polices and rules which address underground transmission lines, application of the 4% mitigation benchmark to EMF priority classes, EMF mitigation modeling techniques, and the locations for measuring EMF

¹ This terminology is used rather than "prudent avoidance" as it more clearly defines our purpose in addressing electromagnetic fields (EMF).

Baning, August 1, 2007

My observations on the Notice of Preparation (EIR) received by mail from CPUC a few days ago:

I believe is bias towards the approval of the SCE proposal as presented.

Discrepancies detected during one short reading:

1. Does not analyse the implications of the alternatives suggested by SLCC:

- a) Underground construction*
- b) Re-routing away from residential areas*

(Both rejected by the "no cost, low cost" consideration?)

2. Too much emphasis on "no cost, low cost" "regulation" over the possibility of creating a health hazard to some residents. Which is the Priority?...

3. Analyzed possible shortcomings during construction only, not on permanent basis against some residents

4. Discusses EMF Mitigation action for new projects, but not comparing with existing projects

5. They discuss EMF influence on human and animals on future ROW's, which are wider than the narrow existing ones (avoiding the subject ?)

6. Tables presenting power and distances to sources not truthfully detailed

(I am sure I missed other discrepancies in my brief analysis...)



*O. Henry Tappata
SLCC Resident*

RECEIVED
AUG 01 2007

To: Juralynne Mosley
California Public Utilities Commission
30423 Canwood Street, Suite 215
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

From: Virgil Barham
35764 Ramada Ln.
Yucaipa, CA 92399

Date: August 1, 2007

Subject: El Casco System Project (Zanja Substation Adjacent to 34741 Juniper
Yucaipa, California)

I am the owner of the 8.5 acre parcel adjacent to and north of the Zanja Substation in Yucaipa. I have owned this farmland since the seventies and the small Substation wasn't a problem. However, the City has since zoned this entire area One Acre Single Family Residence, which in most cases might be okay however not adjacent to a substation. It appears that this station is only going to grow in size. Unless the City of Yucaipa looks at some kind of Zoning change for my property, I am highly opposed to any expansion of the Zanja Substation do to the following reasons:

1. Esthetics
2. Noise
3. Unresolved Emissions

Respectfully,

Virgil Barham

Attachments:

cc's to: Honorable Mayor Dick Riddell
City of Yucaipa
34272 Yucaipa Blvd.
Yucaipa, CA 92399

City Manager, John Tooker
City of Yucaipa
34272 Yucaipa, Blvd.
Yucaipa, CA 92399

Beverly Powell
S.C.E. Redlands Service Center
287 Tennessee St.
Redland, CA 92373

Response Requested

S.C.E. MEETING AUGUST 1, 2007
For substation Juniper St.

1. How much future expansion is Edison going to do?
2. What is your long term plans for this site?
3. Why did S.C.E. acquire additional property toward Juniper?
4. What is the size of the total land S.C.E. has?
5. Could a school be built next to this site? Now or in the future? If not why?
6. Is it a normal practice to build this kind of facility in a low density residential area?
7. The noise level is high now, what kind of increase can we expect?
8. Does Edison recommend Residential housing be built next to its facility?
9. Will S.C.E. guarantee the safety for anyone living next to this facility against Any emissions?
10. Shouldn't this be in a Commercial Zone?



CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Scoping Comments

Proposed El Casco System Project

CALIF PUC attn: MOSLEY
c/o ASPEN ENVIRONMENTAL
30423 CANWOOD ST, #215
AGOURA HILLS, CA 91301

Date: 1 Aug 2007

Name*: TIM K. BEACH

Affiliation (if any)*: HOMEOWNER at SUN LAKES

Address*: 6015 TURNBERRY DRIVE

City, State, Zip Code*: BANNING, CA 92220

Telephone Number*: (951) 769-0895

Email*: PLAYA232@VERIZON.NET

I live along the 14th fairway of the Championship golf course at SLCC. The existing power lines on old wood poles are enough of an eyesore without adding huge cement & steel towers and additional high KV lines in this place. You have not even stated where the new towers will be erected, e.g. at the site of the old towers on a 1:1 basis or at new locations. Like opposite my backyard, ruining my view of the mountains and greatly lowering my property value. Not to mention the hazard to my family if the lines should break and land on my home, or a eucalyptus tree shear them off during a heavy storm. Please install these new lines underground.
Yours very truly, TK Beach, Sun Lakes Resident

*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by August 14, 2007. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (877) 576-8342 or emailed to elcasco@aspeng.com.
or (415) 703-2210 - Joralyne Mosley



CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Scoping Comments

Proposed El Casco System Project

RECEIVED
AUG 08 2007
BY: _____

Date: 8-2-07

Name*: Ron Domme

Affiliation (if any)*: Resident at San Lakes

Address*: 5554 Trevino Way

City, State, Zip Code*: Banning, CA 92220

Telephone Number*: (951) 755-7360

Email*: RDOMME@VERIZON.NET

Please SEE Attached

**Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.*

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by August 14, 2007. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (877) 576-8342 or emailed to elcasco@aspenerg.com.

To: California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)
SCOPING COMMENTS..... Proposed El Casco System Project
Re: Application No. 07-02-022 by Southern California Edison.

The following are my concerns and fears to the El Casco System Project.

1. The power lines to be installed will be 60 feet or less from my back patio and 50 feet or less from my upstairs, second story, window where my Home Office resides. We have been told that the power lines will be installed much higher than the existing overload lines that now exist. The drawings provided, however show the lower third level of lines are the same height as the existing seldom used lines.

Before I continue I want you to know I have over 40 years of experience in the computer industry of which eight years was spent as a Computer Room Environmental Specialist.

This experience brought me to be involved with many instances to where magnetic flux (EMF) that is emitted from high power lines caused intermittent computer malfunctions. This was proven by the moving of the Computer System further away from the power lines or the installation of a special wall designed to help abate EMF would resolve the problem.

The above experience tells me I am very likely to have problems with my computer in my office and problems with any wireless devices I use such as a wireless router or wireless home/cell telephones. I also fear that if we or any of our neighbors ever need a heart pace maker or similar electronic life saving device it would be affected by the EMF produced by the proposed power lines.

Armed with the above mentioned experiences I also feel constant exposure to magnetic flux has to have an impact on the human body. The human body runs on energy and EMF is a foreign energy source that could affect its normal functions.

I am sure that I am not alone in this belief. I base this on the fact that the people who purchased homes similar to my own in a newer community located in Beaumont would not have been required to sign a waiver to not hold the sellers of those properties liable if there was any health problems caused by living so close to the power lines running through their complex and these power lines are a good 75-100 yards, not feet from their houses.

Another fear that has been brought to my attention is the higher power poles may cause a hazard to the helicopters and airplanes used to fight fires. These taller poles if ever weakened by fire or earth quake could fall on the homes located just north of their proposed installation. People could either be electrocuted or crushed. I have lived in Sun Lakes for almost 8 years and we have been threatened with fires on the hills just South, East and North of our community.

Before I go any further I wish to state the fact that at the time of purchase I was never made aware of the existing power lines in mention that run next to my property. We do, however, become quite aware of them almost every summer when we are sitting on our patio. The buzz is very evident when power is going through the lines.

- A. Taking into consider the above mentioned EMF hazards, additional height of the proposed upgraded power poles and the noise/buzz that will be constantly evident, we feel there will be a negative affect on the property values in Sun Lakes.
- B. Taking into consideration the above we will fear for our safety/health and the safety/health of anyone who visits us such as our friends, children and grandchildren.

We ask you to take into consideration the above fears/concerns and require Southern California Edison take an alternate route for their proposed power lines or put the power lines under ground for the 1.5 miles it will be going through the Sun Lakes Community.

Sincerely,


Ron Domme

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

SCOPING COMMENTS

Proposed El Casco System Project - A07-02-022

Date: August 4, 2007

Name: Edward H. Leonhardt

Address: 4837 Mission Hills Drive

City, State, Zip Code: Banning, CA 92220

Telephone Number: 951-845-6403

Email: ehlsml2@msn.com

I, Edward H. Leonhardt, have prepared this scoping document because I am one of the 379 home owners in the Sun Lakes retirement community who are within 300 feet of the proposed El Casco System Project. I have prepared this document on my behalf and also on behalf of the 862 Sun Lakes residents who have signed the enclosed El Casco Project Protest.

IMPACTS

I am a Party to Proceeding A07-02-022 as a result of a formal and timely protest submitted to the California Public Utilities Commission dated March 14, 2007. Section 4.0, IMPACTS TO PROTESTANTS, defines the potential impacts to our Sun Lakes residents if this project would be approved as planned. That section of the protest is presents herein as part of my scoping comments.

4.0 IMPACTS TO PROTESTANTS

As indicated in the Commentary/Signature Pages of this document, Appendix B contains signatures of Sun Lakes residents protesting against the El Casco System Project, CPUC Application No. A07-02-022. The Protestants signed the El Casco Project Protest sheets for a wide variety of reasons which were related to hearsay, rumors and limited knowledge of the impacts this project would have on their lives and environment. They were advised that the environmental impacts associated with the installation of the new electric transmission lines would become more severe or intense than the conditions that currently exist.

It should be pointed out again at this time that the SCE ROW easement is only 50 feet wide. Sun Lakes resident's whose properties butt-up to the ROW know that their environmental conditions will be impacted. Some of the impacts to those affected would be as follows:

4.1 Noise

Noise associated with electric transmission lines. These noises can be described as a hum, buzz and/or crackle. These noises can increase with changes in weather or dirty equipment associated with the transmission lines. Many utility companies set maximum noise level standards at the edge of ROW for fair weather and wet weather conditions.

Under the current conditions the Sun Lake residents have no noise associated with the existing line because SCE is not transmitting electricity. We will be experiencing noise pollution with the new proposed lines. We are concerned what noise levels can be expected if SCE is allowed to proceed with this project.

4.2 Aesthetics

The residents of Sun Lakes have been advised that the proposed project will include the replacement of the existing H-Frames (60 to 75 feet in height) with steel transmission poles (70 to 85 feet in height). There will be six wires on the new poles compared to three wires on the existing structures. The six wires will be concentrated in a smaller cross-sectional area when attached to the new poles.

The community of Sun Lakes is surrounded by picturesque mountain ranges highlighted by Mount San Gorgonio to the north and Mount San Jacinto to the south-east. If SCE is allowed to proceed with this project, raising the height of the power poles and doubling the transmission lines and concentrating them into a tighter viewing pattern, the picturesque views we have experienced for the past 20 years will be impacted.

4.3 Financial

As indicated in Section 1, most of the community population has the majority of their retirement nest egg tied to their home values. As such, they are concerned that a change in the electric transmission practices that currently exist will have an adverse effect on their home values. As a benchmark, it is our understanding that property value studies suggest that there is a property value reduction of around 5-6 percent for properties near transmission lines, primarily due to the visual impacts. Additionally, these studies indicate that property value loss can also be attributed to concerns related to electric and magnetic fields (EMFs). By making assumptions about housing density near transmission lines and about average property values, it has been calculated that property value impacts to be between \$250,000 and \$2,000,000 per mile.

As we all know, EMF is the undesirable by-product of all devices which use, carry or produce electricity; including household appliances, office equipment, wire in buildings and power transmission lines. There are actually two separate fields. The electric field is caused by the voltage in the conductor, while the magnetic field is caused by the current flowing in a conductor. EMF will be discussed in more detail later in the document.

Presently there is no current flowing through the existing lines in the SCE ROW. We are certain that if Application A07-02-022 were approved for construction as designed, property values along the ROW would be detrimentally impacted.

4.4 Electric Field

Electrostatic induction can occur with insulated objects near a transmission line. This can result in nuisance shocks to individuals touching a grounded object near the line. The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers has set standards for maximum electric field values inside and outside of transmission lines ROW. What kind of electric field impacts can the residents who live adjacent to the 50-foot wide ROW expect?

4.5 Communications

Sun Lakes residents have concerns that if the transmission lines, in very close proximity to their homes, were to begin transmitting current as the proposed project plans, there will be interference with radio and TV transmission and computer use.

4.6 Cardiac Pacemakers

Currents and voltages that are introduced internally to the body represent a possible source of interference to cardiac pacemakers. Because of the age of the population at Sun Lakes and the extreme closeness of the proposed transmission lines, the Protestants are concerned with possible impact to the large number of residents who are implanted with these life saving devices.

4.7 EMF

Considerable research has been conducted over the last 30 years on the possible biological effects on human health from EMF. This research has produced many studies that offer no uniform conclusions about whether long-term exposure to EMF is harmful or not. In the absence of conclusive evidence, California and the CPUC has chosen not to specify maximum levels of EMF. Instead, CPUC mandates a program of prudent avoidance and encourages electric utilities to use low-cost techniques to reduce the levels of EMF, thereby minimizing EMF exposure to the public (see Section 5.0 for more on EMF).

The Protestants have major concerns that EMF produced during transmission of electricity proposed by this project will have numerous health impacts because of the extreme closeness of the lines to their homes. Figures 4 and 5, only show the relative differences in magnetic field levels between the existing design (conditions at 0.0 mG) and the proposed subtransmission design at the poles under a specific set of modeling assumptions. We believe that additional studies are necessary to simulate EMF under anticipated future maximum electrical demands at locations between the poles where the sag of the lines causes the EMF to be the closest distance to the public.

4.8 Transmission Line Hazard

The greatest hazard from a transmission line is direct electrical contact with the conductor at any voltage. Physical contact between a ground object and the high-voltage conductors is not necessary for electrical contact to be made. Arcing can occur across an air gap.

We are concerned with the impact of possible electrocution of Sun Lakes residents who live close to and pass under the proposed aboveground transmission lines on a daily basis. As pointed out in Section 1, the 50-foot wide ROW that bisects the community for approximately one mile runs through golf holes 5, 12, 13 and 14 on the championship golf course. In addition to the ROW being located directly adjacent to home sites, the power lines cross the following major streets within Sun Lakes: Riviera Avenue, Fairway Oaks Avenue, Birdie Drive and Pine Valley Road.

4.9 Wild Life

Sun Lakes is a sanctuary for many migratory birds. Our lakes provide a convenient stopping off point during their yearly migrations and in fact many of the bird species have made Sun Lakes their permanent home. When Sun Lakes was originally developed, there were several locations within the property designated by the Army Corp of Engineers as wild life preserves and natural habitats. These areas are administered by the California Fish and Game Commission and as such are designated as off-limits to Sun Lake residents.

One of these preserve/habitat areas is located just north of golf hole 5 on the championship golf course and south of both the gas ROW and electric ROW (see Figure 2). The existing electric ROW is within a distance where impacts to wild life in the area must be considered.

MITGATION MEASURE

The following is my suggested mitigation measure that will reduce the potential impacts associated with the proposed project.

Redesign the proposed project to run the power transmission line underground where the public is impacted by overhead lines. Numerous electric power transmission line projects have been planned with portions of the project underground. One such example is A02-09-043.

ALTERNATIVES

I believe that is unconscionable for a company to engineer an above ground electric power transmission line along a 50-foot wide right of way (ROW) originally purchased in 1939, knowing that people's properties and homes will be less than 25 feet away from the potential impacts generated by such an installation.

1. I suggest that the northern route along the Devers-San Bernardino #2 ROW, which is greater than 350 feet wide, be re-reviewed as an alternative to the proposed project.
2. I also suggest that a southern route along the Devers-Valley #1 ROW also be reviewed as an alternative to the proposed project.

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Edward H. Leonhardt | 4837 MISSION HILLS DR. |
| Sonji M. Leonhardt | 4837 MISSION HILLS DR. |
| [Signature] | 1408 Sea Pines Dr. |
| [Signature] | 1082 PINE VALLEY |
| [Signature] | 1249 PINE VALLEY |
| Kathleen M. Hester | 5935 Riviera Ave |
| [Signature] | 6238 TUTTLE STREET |
| Wanda Ranieri | 6272 Firestone Circle |
| Juni Padule | 1574 Allin Ln |
| Hercules J. Ranieri | 6272 Firestone Cir. |
| Doris Collins | 2197 Birdie Dr. |
| Roslyn Miller | 5503 Palmer Dr. |
| Jean Sugley | 5218 Long Cove Rd Banning |
| Jodie Enright DPH | 4809 Rolling Hills Ave, Banning |
| Audrey Holm | 4751 SALEM Ct. |
| Bruce O'Bannon | 2459 WAILEA BEACH |
| Sandra Swenson | 1606 Fairway Oaks |
| Adrian Runk | 440 La Costa |
| Ann Pease | 5315 Breckenridge Banning |
| Lola Demmers | 1288 Logan, Banning |
| Judith Kenfeld | 5935 Royal Troop Ct Banning |
| Luella Just | 1534 Crystal Downs St Banning |
| Marilyn A. Dubre | 48361 Forest Oaks Ave |
| Thomas H. White | 1335 Sea Pines Drive |
| Jennifer L. White | 1335 Sea Pines Drive |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>Dennis Sawyer</i> | 6225 TURNBERRY DR |
| <i>Carol Sawyer</i> | 6225 TURNBERRY DR. |
| <i>Raymond Daniel</i> | 6295 TURNBERRY DR |
| <i>Darlene Daniel</i> | " " " |
| <i>Larry Lada</i> | 6323 TURNBERRY DR |
| <i>Ann Adams</i> | " " |
| <i>David</i> | 1700 LITCHFIELD CT |
| <i>Don Parker</i> | 4339 FAIRGROSS |
| <i>Robert</i> | 6183 TURNBERRY DR. |
| <i>Wanda</i> | 6183 TURNBERRY DR. |
| <i>Katherine Brady</i> | 6239 TURNBERRY DR. |
| <i>Richard Brady</i> | 6239 TURNBERRY DR. |
| <i>James J. James</i> | 6337 TURNBERRY DR. |
| <i>James</i> | 1602 STANFIELD CT. |
| <i>Betty Hunter</i> | 1588 LITCHFIELD CT |
| <i>Earl Hunter</i> | 1588 LITCHFIELD CT |
| <i>Paul Wolcott</i> | 6250 TURNBERRY DR |
| <i>Jacqueline A. Wolcott</i> | 6280 TURNBERRY DR. |
| <i>Valma J. Larson</i> | 6253 Turnberry Dr |
| <i>Thomas J. Larson</i> | 6253 TURNBERRY DR. |
| <i>James J. Moody</i> | 6257 MYRTLE BEACH BL BANNING |
| <i>Theresa</i> | 6252 Turnberry Dr Banning |
| <i>Brian Sperry</i> | 6252 Turnberry Dr Banning CA |
| <i>Thomas F. Post</i> | 1714 LITCHFIELD CT BANNING |
| <i>Jan</i> | 6238 Santa Verda Circle Banning |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Nancy Bockell | 5933 Gullone Ct |
| Sandra Murphy | 2010 Sheehan Cir. |
| Barbara Barak | 1600 SURRISE DR. |
| Charles E. Fucine | 2033 Riviera Ave. |
| Barbara Ely | 1006 Southery Hills |
| Harold G. Ely | 1006 Southery Hills |
| Ruby Kaylor | 2451 Wanda Beach Dr. |
| Evelyn J. Wapper | 1520 Arch. Ave |
| Sonia Zola | 5084 Rio Bravo Dr. |
| Diana C. Cooner | 1729 LITCHFIELD |
| Barclay M. Sawyer | 880 Pine Valley Rd |
| Rita Cushing | 5057 Mission Hills DR. |
| Michael Cushing | 2057 Mission Hills DR. |
| Green Reddy | 549 Bay Hill Rd - Banning |
| Suzanne Festa | 4886 W. Glenn Abbey Way, Banning |
| Martina Pecorelli | 5892 Lake Buena Vista |
| Deanne Howell | 4853 Naura Banning |
| Coral Killinger | 1521 Fairway |
| Barbara Freeman | 1065 So. Hills Dr |
| Marilyn Francis | 6167 Red Hill Bch |
| Mary Connor | 5086 Mission Hills Dr |
| Christine Evans | 4908 Singing Hills Dr. |
| Richard Thomas Rauson | 1455 Las Calinas Ave Banning |
| Jacqueline J. Blatnick | 5085 Mission Hills Dr |

5085 MISSION HILLS

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 4809 METLTON CIRCLE |
| Kenneth W. Pratt | 4977 Rio Bravo Dr |
| Nelmer Lock | 1521 VENTANA CIR |
| Paul H. [Signature] | 1359 PAUMA VALLEY |
| Jack Early | 625 SHINNCOCK DR. |
| Jack Burnham | 1563 Crystal Downs St. |
| Duncan & Laurie | 2101 BIRDIE DR. |
| David [Signature] | 1818 Masters Dr |
| [Signature] | 5096 WATSON ST |
| [Signature] | 5947 Lake Bona Vista |
| [Signature] | 130 PLEASANT HILLY |
| [Signature] | 1137 Laguna |
| [Signature] | 4980 Cypress Rd |
| [Signature] | 1202 BAYVIEW RD. |
| Vince Boschetta | 5053 Bullard Ct |
| Charles [Signature] | 6329 MYRTLE BEACH DR |
| Cynthia Mary | 1770 MASTERS Dr |
| Lee Nesmith | 6273 SPYGLASS Dr. |
| G B Buddy | 4965 Rio Bravo Dr. |
| Willie [Signature] | 2027 Melbourne Ct. |
| Donald Reed | 1618 CRYSTAL DOWNS |
| Don Albel | 384 BROOKWAY DR. |
| Ann [Signature] | 5951 Sleepy Hollow Rd |
| James [Signature] | 1575 Fairway Oaks |
| Robert [Signature] | " " " |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Dale M. Dappata | 6328 Bardmoor Ave. |
| D. Dappata | 6328 Bardmoor Ave. |
| Pat Hillman | 6312 Bardmoor Ave |
| John Trumbly | 6298 Bardmoor |
| Carol Welch | 6292 Bardmoor |
| Leon Hunt | 6252 W. Bardmoor |
| Anna Schleiger | 1540 Pine Valley Rd. |
| Barbara | 6344 W Bardmoor |
| Roy Suck | 6344 W Bardmoor |
| George | 6386 W. BARDMOOR AVE |
| Bonnie J. Ind | 6386 W. BARDMOOR AVE |
| Neil R. Simpson | 5050 Singing Hill Drive |
| William G. Feltus | 1512 PARADISE ISLAND LANE |
| Ray R. Frost | 1015 Hogan Ave |
| Gertrude M. Metzner | 940 Olympic Ave |
| Richard G. Frost | 1015 HOGAN AVE |
| Ellie Allred | 384 Brooklawn |
| Theresa Winkhart | 4938 Caper Creek Dr |
| Kevin Crotteau | 627 Essex Way |
| Philip Winkhart | 4938 Caper Creek |
| Kay A. Kaul | 614 Northwood Ave. |
| Richard Meadows | 5951 Sleepy Hollow Rd |
| John Kaul | 614 NORTHWOOD AV. |
| Boni Saxson | 6238 Turnberry Dr |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Sherril B Catalano | 4856 SILVERADO AVE |
| Glenn E Catalano | 4896 Silverado Ave |
| John F. Cannon | 4681 Silverado Ave |
| John Cannon | 4887 Silverado Ave |
| Signa Maers | 4912 Silverado AVE |
| Virginia Maers | 4912 Silverado Ave. |
| John Maers | 4920 SILVERADO AVE |
| Delbert Mas | 4938 Silverado Ave |
| John Mas | 4938 Silverado Ave |
| John Mas | 4938 Silverado Ave |
| John Mas | 4976 Silverado Ave |
| John Mas | 4992 SILVERADO AVE |
| John Mas | 4945 SILVERADO AVE |
| John Mas | 4920 Silverado Ave. |
| John Mas | 4929 SILVERADO AVE |
| John Mas | 4880 SILVERADO AVE. |
| Ellie J. Jancett | 1046 Laguna Seca |
| John Catalano | 5312 W. Penhurst |
| Suzanne Parker | 3019 Sherman Circle |
| Sam Davis | 6320 Oak Tree Ave |
| Vikki Bullock | 17806 Masters |
| Georgianne D Shuey | 6065 Lake Buena Vista Way |
| John Kowalski | 4840 SILVERADO AVE. |
| Rosette C. Kowalski | 4848 Silverado, 92220 |
| John Kowalski | 2368 W. Silverado Ave. |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Stephen W. Felber | 1990 FAIRWAY OAKS AVE. |
| Charles H. Black | 2363 WAILEA BEACH DR |
| Nancy K. Felber | 1990 Fairway Oaks Ave. |
| Jurisa F. Howe | 1974 Fairway Oaks Ave. |
| Howard Chapman | 1926 Fairway Oaks Ave. |
| Cheryl Chapman | 1926 Fairway Oaks Ave |
| Marie McNamee | 1910 Fairway Oaks Ave |
| A. M. M. | 5217 HAMPTON RD. |
| Bob Rowen | 1909 FAIRWAY OAKS AVE |
| Bob Talley | 1443 FAIRWAY OAKS |
| George Donath | 1991 FAIRWAY OAKS |
| Ken Donaldson | 1991 Fairway Oaks |
| Marian S. Johnson | 2343 Birdie Dr |
| Walter Johnson | 2343 BIRDIE DR. |
| Maude Salazar | 2348 BIRDIE DR. |
| John S. Watson | 2357 Birdie Drive |
| Janet Thomas | 2373 Birdie Dr |
| Kenna Thomas | 2373 Birdie Dr. |
| Martha Koerber | 2332 Birdie Dr |
| Elizabeth Messner | 2316 Birdie Dr |
| Ray Messner | 2316 Birdie Dr |
| Richard Paul | 2237 Birdie Dr |
| Joyce White | 2237 Birdie Dr. |
| Karen W. Gabel | 1958 FAIRWAY OAKS |
| Carol Noel | 1958 FAIRWAY OAKS |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2036 Sheehan Cir |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 4886 GREEN ABBEY WAY |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 6200 Sawgrass Dr |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 4400 B. K. Drive Dr |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2300 Banning Dr |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 5425 TREVINO WAY |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 1345 PARADISE ISLE LN |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 4925 BERNARD POINES |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 607 Pebble Beach Dr |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2157 WYLLIE DR |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 1015 Riviera Ave |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 5130 SAVANNAH DR |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 4941 AUSTIN AVE |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 5933 Dullane |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 1346 BAY HILL RD |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 5018 RIVIERA AVE |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 5633 W. Riviera Ave |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 4833 KUBING HILLS AVE |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 1302 LAYUNA SCAR CT |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 431 NORWOOD ST BANNING |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 732 Big Springs Dr B |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 6163 Pebble Beach Dr |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 685 Big Springs Dr |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 1055 Palma Valley Rd |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 299 BROOKLAWN DRIVE, BANNING |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| William J. Eaton | 4890 Copper Creek Dr |
| Joyce A. Eaton | 4890 Copper Creek Dr. |
| Dan Wagner | 1626 Masters Dr. |
| Roy L. Brown | 1344 Pleasant Valley Ave |
| Leslie Flomsted | 1182 Hilton Hd Dr |
| Lyle E. Custer | 677 Essex Way |
| Marlin G. Peterson | 425 La Costa Dr. |
| June King | 5913 Brookside Dr. |
| Paul M. Murrain | 485 LA COSTA DR. |
| Don Votaw | 1266 Fairway Oaks |
| R. Murrain | 1010 LAGUNA DECA CT |
| Tommy Kelly | 1215 FAIRWAY OAKS |
| Rebecca E. Wiley | 1350 PINE VALLEY RD |
| John Gade | 6306 Tockaway |
| Bill Cooney | 6353 Colonial |
| Thomas J. Reed | 5902 ROYAL TROON CT. |
| RON H. HANUS | 1109 BELAIR CT |
| James M. Clark | 1801 MASTERS DR. |
| Tom CUNIBON | 5456 RIVIERA |
| Charles J. Clark | 5983 Sleepy Hollow Rd. |
| John Jay | 6093 EAGLE TRACE LN |
| D. Claypool | 1078 OLYMPIC |
| William J. Radin | 5130 Singing Hills DR |
| James Joseph | 5515 Penhurst Dr |
| Paul E. Hodge | 5869 Indian Cyn |

24
10/1/1

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 6197 TURNBERRY DR |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 6197 Turnberry Dr. |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 6025 OTAI |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 5052 OAKHURST AVE |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 2033 Riviera Ave. |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 1786 MASTERY DR. |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 4899A COIX WAY BANNING |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 6157 Turnberry Riviera |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 6099 Turnberry |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 6099 Turnberry |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 6029 TURNBERRY |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 6029 Turnberry Dr. |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 6043 Turnberry " |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 6015 TURNBERRY DR. |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 5987 " " " |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 5959 Turnberry Dr. |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 6046 Turnberry Dr. |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 6058 Turnberry Dr. |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 6070 Turnberry Dr. |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 6112 TURNBERRY DR |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 6129 Turnberry Dr. |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 449 INDIAN WELLS |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 1313 GREEN ISLAND ST. |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 1005 LOCAL CT. |
| <i>[Signature]</i> | 5354 PINELAND ST |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Gregory Underhill | 4801 MISSION HILLS DR. |
| Patricia B. Underhill | 4801 Mission Hills Dr. |
| Charles Z. Vold | 4871 COPPER CREEK DR. |
| Charles R. Pughard | 4891 MISSION HILLS DR |
| Gwen Richter | 4963 Olympic Ave |
| Raymond Jack | 1271 Riviera Ave |
| Robert Freeman | 1282 Riviera Ave |
| Bob Alterman | 1319 Riviera Ave |
| Nen Elsing | 1327 SEA PINES |
| MARY BACKER | 1359 Sea Pines Dr |
| Robert Backer | " " |
| Jim M. Laughlin | 1367 Sea Pines |
| BEVERLY TAYLOR | 1375 SEA PINES DR. |
| PAUL WEARMIRE | 1383 SEA PINES DR |
| Carole Wearnire | 1383 Sea Pines Dr |
| Lorraine Lay | 1391 Sea Pines Dr. |
| Walter H. Wohlz | 1391 Sea Pines Dr |
| Jane Janicki | 4992 Silverado Ave |
| Nathaniel Freund | 1601 Riviera Ave |
| Robert J. Friend | 1667 RIVIERA AVE |
| Betty Summers | 1624 Fairway Oaks |
| Margaret LeBette | 5605 Trevino Way |
| Jan M. Soto | 6054 Lake Buena Vista |
| John B. Johnson | 1466 Bixby West |
| Randy Johnson | 5515 Playa Vista |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Rosemary Dignitt | 6312 Harbour Town way |
| Patricia L. King | 4999 Sibley Hills Dr |
| Maurine Huntin | 4944 W. Forest Oaks Av |
| Merle Winzell | 6130 Beechwood Dr. |
| Shirley Murg | 5078 Long Code Rd |
| Charlotte Roney | 1455 Allied Ln. |
| Lillian Lavett | 624 Torrey Pines Rd. |
| Eather Tegett | 6166 Teakberry Dr. |
| Virginia Robitzer | 1762 Fairway Oaks Ave |
| Mary Jurell | 5286 PLAIN FIELD DR. |
| John C. Bass | 5100 Siskinnah Dr. |
| John Braquary | 5990 Lake Buena Vista way |
| Glenda Burch | 965 So. Hill Dr. |
| Arnold E. Eizenkwin | 5948 LA BUENA VISTA WAY |
| Thomas P. Thompson | 1338 Fairway Oaks |
| Richard H. Hume | 1809 MASTERS DR 92220 |
| Wayne L. Hunt | 1825 MASTERS DR 92220 |
| Don L. Horton | 1500 PINE VALLEY DR |
| James H. Joreng | 42312 LASUN A SECA CT |
| Frank Kleen | 761 Twin Hills Dr. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>Willy Deutsch</i> | <i>500 Singing Hills Dr.</i> |
| <i>Patricia Deutsch</i> | <i>5010 Singing Hills Dr.</i> |
| <i>John Roth</i> | <i>5011 Singing Hills Dr.</i> |
| <i>Bonnie Roth</i> | <i>5011 Singing Hills Dr.</i> |
| <i>Robert Ross</i> | <i>5019 SINGING HILLS DR</i> |
| <i>Pat Cobb</i> | <i>5019 Singing Hills Dr.</i> |
| <i>Betty Harrington</i> | <i>5034 Singing Hills Dr.</i> |
| <i>Paul Harrington</i> | <i>5034 Singing Hills Dr.</i> |
| <i>Jane Peterson</i> | <i>5042 Singing Hills</i> |
| <i>Doug Peterson</i> | <i>5042 Singing Hills Dr.</i> |
| <i>Michael H. Parker</i> | <i>2019 Sheehan Circle</i> |
| <i>John K. Hodig</i> | <i>2018 SHEEHAN CIRCLE</i> |
| <i>Dr. Frank Joranson</i> | <i>984 Singing Hills</i> |
| <i>Edgar Miller</i> | <i>5002 Singing Hills</i> |
| <i>Expice Fiedler</i> | <i>5002 Singing Hills Drive</i> |
| <i>Ary W. Powell</i> | <i>5001 Singing Hills DR.</i> |
| <i>Selma O'Connell</i> | <i>5001 Singing Hills DR.</i> |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>Bo No Log</i> | <i>5934 4th Buena Vista Way</i> |
| <i>Randal 2 Pinner</i> | <i>4547 Dove Hill Ct.</i> |
| <i>Richard J. Lawrence</i> | <i>5537 RODRIGUEZ AVE</i> |
| <i>Bill Smith</i> | <i>6312 Harbor Town Way</i> |
| <i>Bob Mehall</i> | <i>6317 Turfway Dr.</i> |
| <i>D.R. Thiel</i> | <i>5900 Sleepy Hollow Road</i> |
| <i>Chuck Bieri</i> | <i>1467 Jellie Ln</i> |
| <i>Fanny Arnold</i> | <i>869 Twin Hill Dr.</i> |
| <i>Tom Abraham</i> | <i>5787 Jackson F</i> |
| <i>Clayton Hall</i> | <i>963 Southern Hills Dr</i> |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>Paula [unclear]</i> | 1607 FAIRWAY OAKS |
| <i>Sharon [unclear]</i> | 5891 Brookside Dr. |
| <i>Conita [unclear]</i> | 5284 PINEHURST |
| <i>Birdie Jensen</i> | 1281 Laguna Sea Ct. |
| <i>Patricia A. Jeter</i> | 909 Lauma Valley Road |
| <i>Joemary Allen</i> | 1484 LAS COLINAS |
| <i>Mary Huffman</i> | 1613 Fairway Oaks |
| <i>Bob [unclear]</i> | 6356 W. TUCKAWAY |
| <i>Becky [unclear]</i> | 1607 FAIRWAY OAKS |
| <i>Maureen [unclear]</i> | 5190 Long Oak Rd. |
| <i>Margaret Rockwell</i> | 1745 Fairway Oaks Ave |
| <i>Arnette Ramirez</i> | 1642 Fairway Oak Ave |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>Marjorie Purcell</i> | <i>1468 Ritter Ave.</i> |
| <i>Alma Theresa</i> | <i>5469 Watson</i> |
| <i>Stacy E. Evers</i> | <i>1484 Allin</i> |
| <i>Nancy Bruscoe</i> | <i>1322 Allin</i> |
| <i>Mary Sawhill</i> | <i>5537 Rodriguez</i> |
| <i>Rohat Perez</i> | <i>5598 Rodriguez Ave.</i> |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Marilyn G. Bessie | 957 Olympic Ave. |
| Marilyn J. Bessie | 926 Olympic Ave. |
| Shirley G. Galt | 990 Olympic Ave. |
| Roger Galt | 990 Olympic Ave. |
| P. B. Magnusson | 926 Olympic Ave. |
| Thomas Bessie | 952 Olympic Ave. |
| J. Galt | 846 Olympic Ave. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Billie P. Bennett | 5945 Turnberry Dr. |
| Maime L. Mc Grain | 2274 WAILEA Beach Dr. |
| John S. ... | 2274 wailea Beach Dr. |
| John S. ... | 5957 Turnberry |
| Madyshe Harris | 5950 Turnberry |
| Vincent G. Bonanelli | 6095 Turnberry |
| Bonnie Ray Wooten | 5962 Turnberry |
| Rhonda Bennett | 5945 TURNBERRY |
| Willie M. Vance | 5738 Turnberry |
| Dorothy M. Hayes | 6370 Harbour Town Way |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Mary Lou Meyer | 2226 BIRDIE DR. |
| Billy Reid | 6229 Spuyglass |
| Quinn E. Fink | 5168 RIO BRAVO DR. |
| Ronald H. Brown | 5082 SUNGWAY HILLS DR. |
| Loraine H. Hinch | 975 LAUREL SEAS |
| John J. Pedersen | 1560 SEA PINNACLES |
| Ronald Conroy | 1647 CRYSTAL DOWNS ST. |
| Gene E. Orr | 1298 GREEN ISLAND ST. |
| Nancy A. John | 429 INDIAN WILLS RD. |
| Charles Head | 5890 WARWICK HILLS WAY |
| Steve Stone | 1800 MITCHELL FIELD CT. |
| Joni Head | 5890 WARWICK HILLS WAY |
| Phil P. Hansen | 5143 RIO BRAVO DR. |
| Connie Corum | 1647 CRYSTAL DOWNS ST. |
| Mary Patricia | 4895 COPPER CREEK DR. |
| Theresa | 5935 ROYAL TROON CT. |
| James R. Bridger | 5281 MISSION HILLS DR. |
| Manases St | 4968 OAKWREST AVE. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>Jane F. Stapel</i> | 696 Big Spring Dr |
| <i>Lurline R. Stapel</i> | 696 Big Spring Dr. |
| <i>Doree Swill</i> | 1326 Pine Valley Rd. |
| <i>Helen Brooks</i> | 4956 Sunnyside Hills |
| <i>Dona Maria Antillon</i> | 681 Essex Way |
| <i>Theresa Acciani</i> | 661 LA COSTA DRIVE |
| <i>Bess Hanson</i> | 4895 Copper Creek |
| <i>MaryAnn Parnes</i> | 1498 Collins Lane |
| <i>Brian Kent</i> | 938 Oakland Hills Dr. |
| <i>Kiana Valarce Torres</i> | 996 Laguna Seca Court |
| <i>Harriet West</i> | 537 Twin Hills Dr. |
| <i>Fran Kramarski</i> | 1516 Bon West |
| <i>Stella Heyde</i> | 698 Sherman |
| <i>Maria G. Colfer</i> | 5183 Mission Hills Dr. |
| <i>Beverly Teisberg</i> | 6034 Turnberry Drive |
| <i>Glenn Nichol</i> | 1127 Riviera Ave. |
| <i>Jeanne Mercier</i> | 1010 Laguna Seca |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>Kym Kymala</i> | 2333 KEYSTONE COURT |
| <i>Maria Kymala</i> | " " " |
| <i>Jim Maca</i> | 5467 Breckenridge Ave |
| <i>Fred Kichman</i> | 6253 PONTE VERDA CIRCLE |
| <i>Marvin Steggell</i> | 1672 LITCHFIELD CT. |
| <i>Marvin Steggell</i> | " " |
| <i>Tom Nola</i> | 5467 Breckenridge Ave |
| <i>Janet Meane</i> | 5459 Breckenridge |
| <i>Bobbi Kremer</i> | 5248 Breckenridge |
| <i>Guyton B Kremer</i> | " " |
| <i>Norm Drasach</i> | 5339 BRECKENRIDGE |
| <i>Nita Drasach</i> | 5451 " |
| <i>Roger Ryan</i> | 1942 Fairway Oaks |
| <i>Sherry Ryan</i> | 1942 Fairway Oaks |
| <i>Roger Heeraman</i> | 4453 Birdie Dr |
| <i>Roger Heeraman</i> | 2453 Bendis Dr. |
| <i>NANCY S. Hunt</i> | 1195 PAINA VALLEY RD. |
| <i>Thomas L. Hunt</i> | 1195 PAINA VALLEY RD. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>Stella M. Maudslee</i> | 1430 Allen Ln |
| <i>Joe Maudslee</i> | 1430 Allen Ln |
| <i>Stephan Kyul</i> | 1425 Allen Lane |
| <i>Jack [unclear]</i> | 1276 Allen Lane |
| <i>Jim [unclear]</i> | 1362 Allen Lane |
| <i>W. Peterson</i> | 1350 Allen Ln |
| <i>M. Peterson</i> | 1350 Allen Ln |
| <i>Marilyn Palacios</i> | 1444 Allen Lane |
| <i>Bill [unclear]</i> | 1308 ALLEN LANE |
| <i>ANN HANSEN</i> | 1276 Allen Lane |
| <i>Amelia Langusch</i> | 1307 Allen Ln |
| <i>L. Waugaman</i> | 1333 Allen Lane |
| <i>D. Waugaman</i> | 1333 Allen Lane |
| <i>Richard R. Ashworth</i> | 1347 Allen Lane |
| <i>Richard D. Bennett</i> | 1363 Allen Lane |
| <i>Jack R. Bilette</i> | 1393 Allen Ln |
| <i>Vaughn Bilette</i> | 1416 Allen Lane |
| <i>Donald W. Anderson</i> | 1416 Allen Lane |
| <i>Jelly G. Short</i> | 1439 Allen Lane |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Jenny Paylor | 4848 Rolling Hills Ave. |
| Patricia Pratt | 4977 Rio Bravo Dr. |
| Margaret McKeand | 900 Laguna Seca Ct |
| John J. Monteville | 5653 Trevino Way |
| Sothy Monteville | 5653 Trevino Way |
| Walter Hood | 6039 AMERION CT |
| Patricia A. Manning | 865 Pine Valley Rd |
| Cheryl Schurck | 5198 Windsor Dr |
| Don & Nancy Trayer | 393 Brooklawn Dr |
| James Tabor | 4946 St. Croix Way |
| JOHN PITTMAN | 4937 RIO BRAVO DR. |
| Julius Chavis | 6211 Turnberry Dr. |
| Andrea L. KUMM | 2173 Birdie Dr |
| Neeraj K. Kishore | 964 Olympic Ave |
| Barbara Herndon | 5911 BROOKSIDE DR. |
| Joan S. Williamson | 537 S. Shore Creek |
| Richard M. Jennings | 6010 Turnberry Dr. |
| Delores J. Bowman | 6083 Spanish Trail Cove |
| Jonas K. Schleiger | 1540 Pine Valley Rd. |
| Carolee A. Matthews | 924 Hagan Ave |
| Anita Soto | 4968 Oakhurst Ave |
| Debra G. Crocker | 670 Torrey Pines Rd |
| | |
| | |
| | |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>Eleonor Reber</i> | <i>4883 Forest Oaks</i> |
| <i>Sorothy Galt</i> | <i>1049 Pine Valley Rd</i> |
| <i>Mervin Haas</i> | <i>6348 Oak Tree</i> |
| <i>Ruth King</i> | <i>4986 Bermuda Dunes Ave</i> |
| <i>Robert King</i> | <i>4986 Bermuda Dunes Ave</i> |
| <i>Ethel Wunderlich</i> | <i>4966 Bermuda Dunes</i> |
| <i>Lester Wunderlich</i> | <i>4966 Bermuda Dunes Ave</i> |
| <i>Jan Sygner</i> | <i>5176 Long Cove Road</i> |
| <i>Richard Sinner</i> | <i>5176 Long Cove Road</i> |
| <i>LITA B. PETRONI</i> | <i>4970 Rio Bravo Dr</i> |
| <i>Karen E. Bowles</i> | <i>4997 Wilton Head Drive</i> |
| <i>Susan Goldberg</i> | <i>1352 Fairway Oaks</i> |
| <i>Eileen Bandy</i> | <i>4919 Copper Creek Dr.</i> |
| <i>Marlyz Walton</i> | <i>5919 Sleepy Hollow Rd</i> |
| <i>Lusson Smeek</i> | <i>5935 Sleepy Hollow Rd</i> |
| <i>Carol S. Skellern</i> | <i>5998 Spanish Trail Cove</i> |
| <i>Coral Nekester</i> | <i>2220 Birdie</i> |
| <i>Barbara J. Tinsman</i> | <i>340 Twin Hills Bng.</i> |
| <i>W. Howard</i> | <i>6015 Spanish Trail Cove</i> |
| <i>Nancy M. ...</i> | <i>5985 Warwick Hills Way</i> |
| <i>Carol M. Howard</i> | <i>6015 Spanish Trail Cove</i> |
| <i>Ann S. Harding</i> | <i>6088 Warwick Hills Way</i> |
| <i>Beryl Caspersen</i> | <i>6085 Ojai Circle</i> |
| <i>MamBell Clark</i> | <i>4902 Copper Creek, Banning</i> |
| <i>Shirley Berrier</i> | <i>4836 Glen Abbey</i> |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Jean K. Sergini | 5243 Pinhurst |
| Catherine Plausman | 5098 Singing Hills |
| Joseph Surfi | 5243 Pinhurst Dr |
| Koduru Prutt | 6113 Turnberry bl. |
| Cal Turner | 5656 RIVIERA |
| Gloria Hoffmann | 5656 Riviera |
| Marshall Prutt | 6113 Turnberry Dr. |
| Mary Moore | 1044 Hogan Ave |
| Maria Luwende | 1208 Hogan Ave. |
| Lannie Luper | 1469 LITTLE AVE, B.C. 92220 |
| Betty J. Bolt House | 960 Laguna Seca Ct |
| Janice Gillman | 1082 Pine Valley Rd. |
| Charley Padock | 1574 ALVIN LAKE |
| Fran Kravarski | 1516 Boca West Ave. |
| Rochelle Boyd | 698 SHINNECOCK DRIVE |
| Penny Taylor | 4848 Rolling Hills |
| Maud Ann Jurey | 5198 Savannah Dr |
| Sheri Holloway Rose | 1237 Hogan |
| Patrick Moran | 650 Brooklawn Dr. |
| Karen Drippin | 4880 Silverado Ave |
| Mario Meloff | 4976 Silverado Ave |
| Opna Lashmet | 1912 Riviera Ave. |
| Rita Landis | 4975 Bermuda Run |
| Vicki Holloway | 5203 Savannah |
| Doris Hughes | 5857 Orange Tree Ave |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Eleanor Z. Gresh | 5475 Trevino Way |
| John M. Thacker | 5487 Trevino Way |
| William D. Evans | 5488 Trevino Way |
| Lain Johnson | 5501 Trevino Way |
| M. Marie Piaggio | 5515 Trevino Way |
| Sueanne Terbins | 1493 Crystal Drive |
| Waverly Taylor | 4848 Rolling Hills Ave |
| M. J. Thacker | 737 Twin Hills Dr. |
| Don Landreth | 4975 Bermuda Drive |
| Judy Kock | 4863 Links Ave |
| Mary Orville | 1742 Fairway Oaks |
| Gene & Perry Obrien | 5580 Trevino Way |
| Gene A. O'Brien | 5580 TREVINO WAY |
| Maryloue Lusa | 5654 TREVINO |
| J. C. Tava | 5654 TREVINO WAY |
| Donald M. Green | 5664 TREVINO WAY |
| Guy Solomon J. McHugh | 5664 Trevino Way |
| Ronald W. Frank | 5674 TREVINO WAY |
| Grace C. Foss | 5674 Trevino Way |
| Baby M. Loungale | 5669 Trevino Way |
| Wynne Edner | 5663 Trevino Way |
| Bill Smotherman | 5663 Trevino Way |
| Jwila D. Dixon | 5633 Trevino Way |
| Beverly Seebey | 5623 Trevino Way |
| William J. Dasu | 5591 TREVINO WAY |

page 1527

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Carrie Chelberg | 5113 Rio Bravo |
| Richard Chelberg | 5113 Rio Bravo |
| Bron Dommie | 5554 Trevino Way |
| Lynn Dommie | 5554 Trevino Way |
| Egna Porter | 1303 Fairway Oaks Ave |
| Corinne J. Smith | 5058 Mission Hills Dr |
| Rahenta G. Weik | 5071 Mission Hills Dr. |
| Mary K. Oshiki | 4443 W. Olympic |
| Lee Bullen | 1737 Masters Dr. |
| HAROLD MARLOW | 1134 RIVIERA |
| Carl Weik | 5071 Mission Hills |
| Ed Butler | 1737 Masters Dr. |
| W.D. Little | 1746 MASTERS DR. |
| Mario Oshiki | 4443 W. Olympic Ave. |
| William J. Gorters | 1303 FAIRWAY OAKS AVE |
| James R. Anderson | 5542 Trevino Way |
| Patricia G. Kilsen | 5542 Trevino Way |
| John W. ... | 5434 Trevino way |
| John J. Orme | 5420 Trevino Way |
| Marcella G. Eubank | 5394 Trevino Way |
| Almuth Eubank | 5394 TRVINO WAY |
| Theresa Brown | 1586 Allie Ln |
| John ... | 5411 Trevino Way |
| Robert ... | 5411 TRVINO WAY |
| Jim ... | 5439 Trevino Way |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Lola Parchment | 5561 W. Riviera |
| Dennis H. P. Plevin | 5098 S. W. 61st Hills Dr |
| Winifred Thomson | 5649 Trevino |
| John Thomson | 5549 Trevino |
| J. Jackson | 5539 Trevino |
| L. Jackson | 5539 Trevino |
| Eugene Hunt | 5539 Trevino |
| LOIS PRESTON | 5211 MISSION HILLS |
| J. Buttonnell | 5633 Lopez Way |
| Mary Lou Moore | 1531 Archer Ave |
| Louise Kingma | 5614 Lopez Way |
| Louise Magee | 5628 Lopez Way |
| Frances McIver | 1483 Sneed |
| Mary Waddie Bump | 1459 Sneed St. |
| Betty Neese | 1445 Sneed St. |
| E. Carter | 1419 Sneed St. |
| J. W. Kahl | 1379 Sneed St |
| Heinz Oelberg | 1361. PINECREST |
| Marjorie Beck | 1378 Sneed. |
| John Bratton | 5558 Rodriguez |
| Amanda Bratton | 5558 Rodriguez |
| Mary Lightner | 5572 Rodriguez. |
| H. Lightner | 5586 Rodriguez 2 |
| Maya Libor | 1418 Sneed St |
| Henry G. An | 1432 Sneed |

Return this completed Protest Form to Edward H. Leonhardt, 4837 Mission Hills Drive, (951) 845-6403 by March 15, 2007

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Charlotte Steffler | 1446 Sneed |
| Jeanette Roguen | 1472 Sneed |
| Warren Morford | 1472 Sneed |
| Eva Alodados | 5589 Lopez Way |
| Marilyn Colangelo | 5575 Lopez Way |
| Lillian Gapp | 1520 Archer Ave |
| Elizabeth J. Kite | 1435 Barber Ct. |
| Mary Fleming | 1375 Barber Ct. |
| John Quinn | 1353 BARBER CRT |
| Guston Feldman | 1343 Barber Ct |
| Roselyn Feldman | 1343 Barber Ct |
| Margaret Spalenka | 1366 Barber |
| Jean Pasioth | 1449 Archer |
| John Almeida | 1425 Archer |
| Shirley Almeida | 1425 Archer |
| Thomas J. McMorris | 1448 Archer |
| Al Quins | 1508 Archer |
| Margie Quins | 1508 Archer |
| Wip Brown | 5424 Watson St. |
| Clara E. Sun | 5470 WATSON ST. |
| Catherine Sack | 5496 WATSON ST. |
| Bernice Brosser | 1501 Little Ave. |
| Ly Smith | 1491 Little AV |
| De Chu Smith | 1491 LITTLE AV |
| RJ Maff | 1459 " " |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>Delice Tillman</i> | <i>5948 Sleepy Hollow</i> |
| <i>Ann L. Fox</i> | <i>6383 Cherry Hill Ave.</i> |
| <i>Virginia Taylor</i> | <i>4527 W. Fairway Oaks</i> |
| <i>Andrea Hopper</i> | <i>648 Big Spring Drive</i> |
| <i>Nicholas Hopper</i> | <i>648 Big Spring Dr.</i> |
| <i>Robert</i> | <i>1557 FAIRWAY OAKS AVE</i> |
| <i>Ellen L. West</i> | <i>1557 FAIRWAY OAKS AVE.</i> |
| <i>Ken P. Brown</i> | <i>1690 Fairway Oaks Ave</i> |
| <i>Madge Mistushin</i> | <i>1684 Fairway Oaks Ave.</i> |
| <i>Paula Ritzau</i> | <i>1690 Fairway Oaks Ave</i> |
| <i>Jeanne Lundquist</i> | <i>993 Gasper Ave. SE</i> |
| <i>Wanda Lopez</i> | <i>2140 Birdie Dr</i> |
| <i>Mark Kuehn</i> | <i>" " "</i> |
| <i>Rosalie J. Seard</i> | <i>6018 Oriole Cir Banning</i> |
| <i>Amorah</i> | <i>5935 SLEEPY HOLLOW RD.</i> |
| <i>Jane Lindquist</i> | <i>5928 Myrtle Bant Dr.</i> |
| <i>Beverly Fellen</i> | <i>1158 Laguna Seca</i> |
| <i>George Fellen</i> | <i>1158 Laguna Seca</i> |
| <i>Judy Golding</i> | <i>1073 Cypress H Drive</i> |
| <i>Donna Schultz</i> | <i>5904 Wasioles Hills</i> |
| <i>Peggy Lund</i> | <i>1278 Pleasant Valley</i> |
| <i>Julia A Lopez</i> | <i>1422 Hair Point Circle</i> |
| <i>Terry Brown</i> | <i>1586 Alder Ln</i> |
| <i>Rose Hergerson</i> | <i>5057 Oakhurst Ave</i> |
| <i>Kelly C. Cook</i> | <i>2214 Birdie Dr</i> |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Mary E. Ruygrok | 5550 Pinehurst DR. |
| Jann English | 6121 St. ANDREWS |
| Maryann Lippin Pam Arapchak | 6045 W. OSAI CIRCLE |
| Jean C. Bass | 1287 Fairway Oaks |
| Arline A. Bass | 5100 Savannah Dr. |
| Judith | " " " |
| Charles W. Stolly | 5114 " " |
| Norma N. Wixley | 5114 SAVANNAH DR |
| Robert A. Menke | 5150 Savannah Dr |
| Rosemary H. Hall | 1725 Bel Air Court |
| Peter Hall | 1775 BEL AIR COURT |
| Paul G. Gault | 1209 BEL AIR CT |
| Thomas D. Muck | 1170 Bel Air Ct. |
| Alphie C. Krich | 1170 Bel Air Ct. |
| Joseph N. Valley | 1182 BEL AIR CT. |
| Lillian Foucault | 5850 UK Buena Vista |
| Charles Fox | 2109 BROADWAY DR |
| Shirley | 4916 hunk's Ave. |
| Shirley Harrington | 5776 George Fox |
| William J. Conroy | 1180 AROUND SEACT |
| Kevin Hees | 6155 Furniture Circle. |
| Robert Womack | 1404 Allen Dr. |
| Shirley Womack | 1404 Allen Dr. |
| 893 Riviera Ave | Shirley Lusley |

3

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| James R. Oldham | 1425 Fairway Oaks Ave |
| Thomas J. Kamin | 1329 Fairway Oaks |
| Mark S. | 1363 Fairway Oaks |
| Pray Paquette | 410 Hawthorn Ave. |
| Ray Paquette | 410 Hawthorn Ave |
| Marcus J. Jumper | 1363 Fairway Oaks |
| Jack R. VanHoy | 1352 " " |
| Wanda | " " |
| Madison Thompson | 1338 Fairway Oaks |
| Chylla George | 7349 " " |
| John E. Henson | 1372 Fairway Oaks |
| Bob Johnson | 1409 Fairway Oaks |
| Allen B. Decker | 1366 Fairway Oaks |
| M. Volney | 1266 Fairway Oaks |
| London Wood | 1230 Fairway Oaks Ave |
| Jane Spranger | 1216 Fairway Oaks |
| Michael K. Cree | 1178 Fairway Oaks Ave. |
| Sandra Heath | 1171 Fairway Oaks Ave |
| Nancy Mayhew | 1179 Fairway Oaks |
| Michael L. Lepski | 1185 Fairway Oaks |
| Don | 1185 Fair Oaks |
| Don | 1259 Fairway Oaks Ave |
| Carolyn G. Startley | 1259 Fairway Oaks Ave |
| at Carl | 1246 FAIRWAY OAKS 15N |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Bill W. [unclear] | 6256 W Laurel Valley Banning |
| Lee W. [unclear] | 6286 W Laurel Valley |
| William [unclear] | 1618 Fairway Oaks Ave |
| Gay A. [unclear] | 1110 Cypress Point Dr. |
| Lane B. McDonald | 4866 Copper Creek Dr, Banning |
| Joseph E. McDonald | 4866 Copper Creek Dr Banning |
| Joanne C. Oliver | 495 La Costa Rd. Banning CA |
| Christ [unclear] | 5211 Mission Hills |
| John [unclear] | 4802 Mission Hills |
| Frank [unclear] | 5113 Mission Hills Drive |
| Rose [unclear] | 4802 Mission Hills Dr. |
| Tom [unclear] | 1282 GREEK ISLAND |
| Roy [unclear] | 6374 W Banning Ave |
| Betty [unclear] | 1648 Fairway Oaks Ave Banning |
| Patricia [unclear] | 4992 Singing Hills Banning |
| William J. [unclear] | 1992 Singing Hills Banning |
| Dale J. [unclear] | 1648 Fairway Oaks Banning |
| Wendy [unclear] | 1176-BEL AIR CT. |
| Daniel R. [unclear] | 1049 OAKLAND Hills Banning Ct |
| Richard J. [unclear] | 5178 SAVANNAH Dr. Banning |
| Jane [unclear] | 1175 Bel Air Ct., Banning |
| Dr. Roger L. [unclear] | 5347 Beddewidge |
| Joel P. [unclear] | 1441 Fairway Oaks |
| William F. [unclear] | 1412 Fairway Oaks Ave |
| Joanne P. [unclear] | 1398 Fairway Oaks |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>Tommy McManis</i> | 1444 Fairway Oaks, Banning, CA |
| <i>Walter Davis</i> | 891 Cypress Point Dr. Banning, CA |
| <i>Stanley Mosberg</i> | 1168 So. Bay Hill Rd. Banning, CA |
| <i>Nancy Purio</i> | 891 Cypress Pt. Dr. Banning, CA |
| <i>Betsy Mosberg</i> | 1168 Bayhill Banning |
| <i>Nancy R. Brown</i> | 1444 Fairway Oaks Banning |
| <i>Georgia Sobiech</i> | 5066 Singing Hills Banning |
| <i>Blanche E. Dennis</i> | 1205 Cypress Pt. Dr. Banning |
| <i>George D. Dennis</i> | 1205 Cypress Pt. Dr. Banning |
| <i>Don Shild</i> | 5066 Singing Hills Banning |
| <i>Patricia Kelly</i> | 5922 Myrtle Beach Banning |
| <i>Oliver K. Willey</i> | 1094 Fairway Oaks Banning |
| <i>Karen Stuckert</i> | 4344 Brookside Dr. Banning |
| <i>Paul Miller</i> | 1094 Fairway Oaks |
| <i>Ray Koh</i> | 1318 Bay Hill Rd. Banning |
| <i>Ronald Brown</i> | 5917 Sullivan Ct. Banning |
| <i>Laurinda Jay</i> | 1318 S Bay Hill Rd. Banning |
| <i>Kathleen Sumner</i> | 1624 Fairway Oaks Dr. Banning |
| <i>Stephen J. Spigula</i> | 1425 Allin Ln Banning |
| <i>Earl W. Voss</i> | 5024 Breckenridge Banning |
| <i>Dea Voss</i> | 5024 Breckenridge Ave. Banning |
| <i>Donna Reed</i> | 5933 Brookside Dr. Banning |
| <i>Ed Reed</i> | 5933 Brookside Dr. Banning |
| <i>Catherine Hallabough</i> | 5712 Riviera Ave Banning |
| <i>Wanda Hallabough</i> | 5712 Riviera Ave Banning |

46

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

Gathered by J.R. Anderson 5542 TREVINO Way, Banning Ca 92220

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| George Moore | 5002 Mission Hills - Banning |
| Robert Walling | 5002 " " " |
| Robert McEwan | 5016 MISSION HILLS DR. BANNING |
| Hilda McEwan | " " " " |
| Gene Green | 5043 Mission Hills |
| Edmund Komer | 5086 Mission Hills |
| Mary Komer | 5087 Mission Hills |
| Alberta John | 5127 Mission Hills Dr. |
| P Miller | 5155 Mission Hill |
| Virginia Douglas | 980 Laguna Seca Ct |
| Patt Thompson | 5169 Mission Hills Dr. |
| Oliver Thompson | 5169 Mission Hills Dr. |
| Hanna Peters | 1508 VENTANA CIR |
| Beverly Crotesse | 1508 Ventana Cir - |
| Den Hammer | 5239 Mission Hills Dr. |
| Nola Hammer | 5239 " " " |
| Frank E. Hoffers | 5253 MISSION HILLS DR |
| Margaret E. Hancock | 1507 Crystal Downs St. |
| Margie H. Huggins | 1549 Crystal Downs Banning |
| John M. Brunlan | 1563 Crystal Downs Banning |
| Carol Fischer | 1562 Crystal Downs - Banning |
| Kate Kocher | 1576 Crystal Downs Banning |
| Alan Wellen | 1590 Crystal Downs St |
| Mabel R. Moore | 1605 Crystal Downs St. |
| Arnetta L. Bastore | 1577 Crystal Downs St. |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| Eva Rusk | 5956 Eldorado Ct |
| JA Kijewski | 5989 Indiana Canyon Dr. |
| Reggie Berman | 6028 OSAI CIRCLE |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Mary J Baines | 2308 Birdie Dr. |
| Beverly Russell | 905 twin hills dr |
| Mary Ann Havers | 962 Casper |
| Heleny Regis | 5446 Juwino way |
| Will Short | 501 Heritage Cir. |
| Joyce Staley | 2253 Birdie Dr |
| Joanne Staley | 2253 BIRDIE DR |
| Beverly Bly | 5177 Savannah Way |
| Richard B Bly | 5177 Savannah Way |
| Koreen Reinhold | 1834 Masters Dr |
| Falmeroy McDee | 845 Hogan Ave |
| Richard Truckner | 845 Hogan Ave |
| Joanne Brown | 5496 Breckenridge |
| Joyce + Shirley Denham | 5336 Breckenridge Ave |
| Joyce + Shirley | 5336 BRECKENRIDGE AVE |
| Bonnie Devore | 646 Torrey Pines Rd |
| Edna Bonbrun | 579 LA JOLLA DR. |
| Shirley Linder | 5033 Rio Bravo Drive |
| Judy Reese | 2238 Birdie Dr. |
| Joyce | " 19 |
| Sob. to | 1658 LITCHFIELD CT |
| Gayle Pilger | Stockeridge |
| Delores Boyer | 5551 Palm Springs Banning |
| Missie Lubbed | 5490 Maple Dr Banning |
| Annis Ormua | 637 LA QUINTA, Banning |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2004 MELBOURNE CIR. |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2012 MELBOURNE CIR |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2020 MELBOURNE CIR |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2028 MELBOURNE CIR. |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2026 MELBOURNE CIR. |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2036 Melbourne Circle |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | " " |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2044 Melbourne Circle |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2044 Melbourne Circle |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2052 MELBOURNE CIR |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2052 " " |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2053 Melbourne Circle |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2035 " " |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2019 Melbourne Circle |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2002 Sheehan Circle |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 2002 Sheehan Circle |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 5026 Singing Hill Drive |
| <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i> | 5026 Singing Hills Drive |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Katherine Mayon | 327 Northwood Ave |
| Clifford Allen | 435 Northwood |
| Floyd Ruth Phillips | 495 Northwood, Bng |
| Nella Noily | 530 Northwood Ave - |
| A. van Vleet | 458 NORTHWOOD AVE |
| Rita J. Hanger | 446 Northwood Ave |
| N. DeWesberg | 339 NORTHWOOD AVE |
| Stella Gonzalez | 315 Northwood ave |
| Patricia Moore | 411 Northwood Ave. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Dryna Horton | 4845 Rolling Hills |
| Homer Ruber | 6381 Playon CT |
| Joseph Schoen | 6355 Kapulu Bay |
| March Hoffman | 5954 Warwick Hills Way |
| Sandra Hoffman | 5954 Warwick Hills Way |
| Jerry Ferchesty | 4811 Bermuda Drive |
| Nancy Jepsen | 1317 Pine Valley Rd |
| Grace Wiley | 1317 Pine Valley Rd |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Julie Jeffrey | 5992 Eagle Truda. |
| Hyland Nelson | 1901 Mission Ave. |
| Paul A. Goldstein | 4767 Salem Cir |
| Frederick A. Alenby | 714 Lewis Hills Drive |
| Stan [unclear] | 2124 BIRDIE DR |
| Ther [unclear] | 667 Shirewood Dr |
| Alison Bernatich | 477 Windstone |
| Val [unclear] | 5757 Indian Cyn Dr |
| Walter Rubin | 5957 INDIAN CYN DR 2 |
| Marv Lynn Scott | 1901 Singing Hills Dr |
| Felix Scott | 1901 Singing Hills Dr. |
| Grace [unclear] | 477 Windstone |
| Sherrill Kuster | 1170 Hazy Pt Cr. |
| [unclear] | 5451 Trad Rock |
| Mered [unclear] | 6076 Spruce Trail Cove |
| Fanny M. Balaban | 6076 Spruce Trail Cove |
| Julie Crockett | 4811 Bermuda River Ave |
| Anna Rubin | 6381 PLYMOUTH CT |
| Christa Kristman | 928 Cypress Trl |
| Patricia A. Lindan | 5009 Vintage Circle |
| Harry R. Cordrey | 5009 Vintage Circle |
| Robert Kristman | 928 CYPRESS POINT DR |
| Carol Sheynba | 6397 PLYMOUTH DR |
| West Beards | 4919 COPPER CREEK DR |
| Ellen Brady | 4919 COPPER CREEK DR. |

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

| Signature | Street Address, Banning, CA 92220 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Rosalyn Friedman | 5136 Breckenridge |
| Mary Ann | 5136 BRECKENRIDGE |
| Kenneth Brant | 5387 Breckenridge |
| Deane Stope | 1800 Litchfield Ct |
| Ray Brant | 5387 BRECKENRIDGE AVE |
| Jayne Medae | 955 Indian Wells Rd, |
| Edward B. Mohr | 1421 Paradise Island Ln |
| Kathleen G. Mohr | 1421 Paradise Island Lane |
| Deborah McComish | 729 Brooklawn Dr. |
| Francis S. Gilbee | 4809 KINGSHILL AVE. |
| Paul E. Godahl | 5825 LAKE BUENA VISTA WAY |
| John Z. Godahl | 5825 LAKE BUENA VISTA WAY |
| Paul Hornum | 5052 OAKHURST AVE |
| Deborah K. Lewis | 1621 Woodlands Dr. |
| Allen A. Dunn | 4957 RIO BRAVO, |
| Ann Norton | 5773 INDIAN CANYON PI |
| John Bell | 6008 OSAI CIRCLE |
| Lynne Justice | 4850 Kenyon Mill Ave |
| Ann Marie Massoni | 6054 Myrtle Beach Dr. |
| Meriam Van Sickle | 883 S. Riviera Ave |
| J. Joan Seckle | 853 S. RIVIERA AVE |
| Wilma Corine Cappz | 4977 Robbing Hills Ave |
| J. M. O. | 4957 Rio Bravo Dr. |
| Barbara Joyce King | 4859 Oakhurst Ave |
| Larry Schultz | 4812 CASTLE PINN |



CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Scoping Comments

Proposed El Casco System Project

Date: 8/7/07

Name*: JAMES W & NANCY R. BROWN

Affiliation (if any)*: RETIRED - NONE

Address*: 1444 FAIRWAY OAKS AVE

City, State, Zip Code*: BRANING, CA, 92220-6414

Telephone Number*: (951) 769-1624

Email*: _____

**Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.*

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by August 14, 2007. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (877) 576-8342 or emailed to elcasco@aspenerg.com.

RECEIVED
AUG 08 2007

JAMES W. & NANCY R. BROWN

1444 FAIRWAY OAKS AVENUE

BANNING, CA 92220-6414

(951) 769-1624 jwbnr@verizon.net

BY:

August 7, 2007

Juralynne Mosley
California Public Utilities Commission
C/O Aspen Environmental Group
30423 Canwood Street, Suite 215
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Re: El Casco System Project, Application No. 07-02-022

I attended the El Casco System Project, Application #07-02-022, Pre-Hearing Conference and the Public Scoping Meeting in Banning on August 1st. I was impressed with the process and grateful for the opportunity provided by the California Public Utility Commission (CPUC).

Our home of eleven years is located just north of the 115kV transmission lines the Southern California Edison desires to upgrade. Our home was built in 1990 and we purchased the house in 1996 as the second owner. The builder informed buyers of the new homes in 1990 that the SCE 115kv transmission lines would eventually be buried. We were told the same by the real estate agent that handled the sale of our house in 1996. We were all surprised when we received word of SCE plans to replace the wooden poles with higher metal poles. The existing poles and the proposed poles both have or will have an adverse effect on our scenic vista. The poles have the same adverse effects on the aesthetics of our community which is extremely well maintained by our home owners and homeowners association.

The health impact of the new transmission lines are an unknown to me. SCE maintains there is no adverse health impact but other things I have read disagree. A neighbor, who lives a block away, stated her Cardiologist warned her to not buy a home close to transmission lines because of the problems they would cause to her pace maker. Everything else being equal, I would believe the physician. In my opinion, most buyers would pass by our house because of the close proximity of the transmission lines. I see this project as an opportunity to rectify the problem in our community.

I therefore strongly recommend that SCE be required to either bury or reroute the new 115kV transmission lines passing through the Sun Lakes retirement community. Thank you for your time and for giving homeowners a voice.


JAMES W. BROWN