

## Comment Set C20

RECEIVED JAN 15 2008

EDWARD H. LEONHARDT, P.E.

4837 Mission Hills Dr.  
Banning, CA 92220  
951-845-6403  
ehlsml2@msn.com

1/10/2008

Juralynne B. Mosley  
California Public Utilities Commission  
c/o Aspen Environmental Group  
30423 Canwood Street, Suite 215  
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

RE: Comments to Draft Environmental Impact Report  
El Casco System Project

To: El Casco EIR Team

My name is Edward H. Leonhardt. I live at 4837 Mission Hills Drive, Banning, California. My home is located adjacent to the Southern California Edison (Edison) Proposed Project through Sun Lakes. I am a formal Party to Proceeding A07-02-022 and the author of the formal protest to Edison's Proposed Project. The primary objective of my protest was to assure that the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) would prepare an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Edison application in accordance with state law, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). It was my belief that the Environmental Impact Report would indicate that based on the impacts to the environment; Edison would be required to bury the proposed new lines below ground level. It was also the desire of 862 Sun Lakes' residents who signed the protest that the new lines could be buried beneath ground level (signature pages attached).

I wish to thank the Commission for the opportunity to participate in their process of regulating the investor-owned electric power industry. It is through the Commission's regulatory process that we the public and the environment are protected as required by CEQA.

Simply speaking, CEQA requires that an electric utility's application be analyzed for:

- (1) Establishment of alternatives to the proposed project;
- (2) Impacts to the environment by the proposed project and the alternatives;
- (3) Comparison of the proposed project to the alternatives; and
- (4) Designation of the Environmentally Superior Alternative.

## Comment Set C20, continued

I was very happy to read in the Draft Environmental Impact Report for the El Casco System Project, that the conclusion was;

**Therefore, the Environmentally Superior Alternative would be the Partial Underground Alternative.**

It is now up to the Commission to complete the CEQA Process and the Commission's General Proceedings Process. It is my fervent request that the Commission's Final Decision will be to direct Edison to implement the Partial Underground Alternative for the El Casco System Project.

Following attendance at the Informational Workshops and Public Participation Meetings held on January 9, 2008, I have several comments on the Draft EIR that I am submitting for inclusion in the Final EIR. My comments are as follows:

1. Following receipt and review of the Draft EIR, I request a clarification by E-mail relating to the statement in the Executive Summary, Page ES-3, Paragraph 2; that "The Environmentally Superior Alternative would be the Proposed Project." I was advised by the El Casco EIR Team, through E-mail and in the January 9 Workshop, that this was a typographical error and will be revised in the Final EIR to indicate that the Partial Underground Alternative is the Environmentally Superior Alternative.

As indicated in the Draft EIR Team presentation prior to Public Participation, the CEQA process requires that an Environmentally Superior Alternative be designated based on the analysis conducted in the preparation of the Draft EIR. It was concluded in Section E. Comparison of Alternatives; that "the Environmentally Superior Alternative would be the Partial Underground Alternative. The decision was based upon the facts that the Partial Underground Alternative is preferred over the Proposed Project in three issue areas; land use, noise and visual.

2. I understand that the CEQA issues covered in the Draft EIR do not consider EMF impact. However, the CPUC does consider EMF as an important regulatory aspect for potential impact to the public and has included the subject in the Draft EIR. Pages D.7-

C20-1

C20-2

C20-1

## Comment Set C20, continued

44 and D.7-45 provide information that is vital in comparing the EMF issue of the Proposed Project to the Partial Underground Alternative.

As stated in the Draft EIR, "Most recently the International Agency of Research on Cancer (IARC) and the California Department of Health Services (DHS) both classified EMF as a possible carcinogen. This does not mean that EMF is a potential public health risk. What it does mean, is that: (1) if the public experiences exposure to EMF; (2) if the public is close enough to the EMF source; and (3) if the duration of EMF exposure is for a long time; the result to the public could be a cancerous health risk.

The Draft EIR indicates that the existing conditions at the edges of the ROW are zero. The Proposed Project (aboveground) indicates that the EMF at the edges of the ROW is 5.7 and 5.5 milliGauss. For the Partial Underground Alternative, the EMF at the ROW edges are 0 and 0.2 milliGauss. When the El Casco System Project is implemented, the potential EMF exposure to the people along the ROW will be 100 percent of the time. Therefore, it is vital that the preferred option related to the EMF issue be implemented. Just as in the CEQA issues related to land use, noise and visual, the preferred alternative is again the Partial Underground Alternative.

3. During the January 9 Workshop, a discussion of the construction of the Partial Underground Alternative occurred between the Draft EIR Team and the Public. In particular, the Public questioned the Duck Bank cross-section as shown on Figure Ap-6, where the final level of the new finished grade of the underground transmission trench was indicated to be approximately four feet above the existing grade. The Draft EIR Team Leader indicated that this was not correct and that the finished grade of the Partial Underground Alternative would be completed to the existing grade and would be completed to the current golf course grades and conditions. Please incorporate these conditions into the Final EIR.

4. Also associated with the Partial Underground Alternative construction, I request that the riser pole locations as described on Page Ap.1-37 be placed outside the footprint of

**C20-1**

**C20-3**

**C20-4**

## Comment Set C20, continued

the Sun Lakes property boundaries so that all residents can experience the preferred issues related to land use, noise and visual benefits of the Partial Underground Alternative. I request that the two riser poles on the east side of the Sun Lakes property be placed within the 1000+ feet east of Sun Lakes' east fence line and S. Highland Home Road. I also request that the two riser poles on the west side of the Sun Lakes property be placed within the 60 feet west of Sun Lakes' west fence line and Highland Springs Avenue. Please incorporate these conditions into the Final EIR.

C20-4

I would like to close my Draft EIR comments with the same quote by Margaret Mead that I closed my protest document with which was obtained from the CPUC website.

**"Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful, committed citizens can change the world.  
In fact, it's the only thing that ever has."**

Thank you.  
Sincerely,



Edward H. Leonhardt, P.E., R.E.A. II

Attachment: El Casco Project Protest Signatures

C20-5

## Comment Set C20, continued

### EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

Signature	Street Address, Banning, CA 92220
Edward H. Leonhardt	4837 MISSION HILLS DR.
Sony M. Leonhardt	4837 MISSION HILLS DR.
[Signature]	1408 SEAFINOS DR.
[Signature]	1082 PINE VALLEY
[Signature]	1249 PINE VALLEY
[Signature]	5935 RIVERIA AVE
[Signature]	6238 TITUS ST
Wanda Ranieri	6272 Firestone Circle
Juni Paduli	1574 Allin Ln
Hercules J. Ranieri	6272 Firestone Cir.
Doris Callen	2197 Birnie Dr.
Roslyn Miller	5503 Palmer Dr.
Juan Zugay	5218 Long Cove Rd Banning
Adrian Enright D-TH	4809 Rolling Hills Ave, Banning
Andrew Holm	4751 SALEM CR.
Brian D. Brennan	2459 W AILEA BEACH
Sandra Swenson	1606 Fairway Oaks
Adriana Punt	448 La Costa
Ann Pease	5315 Barchenridge Banning
Lola Chambers	1288 Hogan, Banning
Judith Kenfeld	5935 Royal Troop Ct Banning
[Signature]	1534 Crystal Downs St Banning
[Signature]	48361 Forest Oaks Ave
[Signature]	1335 Sea Pines Drive
Jenny M. D'Amico	1335 Sea Pines Drive

Return this completed Protest Form to Edward H. Leonhardt, 4837 Mission Hills Drive, (951) 845-6403 by March 15, 2007

## Comment Set C20, continued

### EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

Signature	Street Address, Banning, CA 92220
<i>Dennis Sauer</i>	6225 TURNBERRY DR
<i>Carol Sauer</i>	6225 TURNBERRY DR.
<i>Paula P. Sauer</i>	6295 TURNBERRY DR
<i>Madine Daniel</i>	" " "
<i>Larry Saba</i>	6323 TURNBERRY DR
<i>Ann Saba</i>	" "
<i>Tim Saba</i>	1700 LITCHFIELD CT
<i>Don Parker</i>	6339 SAWGRASS
<i>Robert Aguirre</i>	6183 TURNBERRY DR.
<i>Marie Plance</i>	6183 TURNBERRY DR
<i>Katherine Brady</i>	6239 TURNBERRY DR.
<i>Richard Brady</i>	6239 TURNBERRY DR
<i>James A. Sauer</i>	6337 TURNBERRY DR.
<i>Josephine Sauer</i>	1602 LITCHFIELD CT.
<i>Betty Luster</i>	1588 LITCHFIELD CT
<i>Earl Luster</i>	1588 LITCHFIELD CT
<i>Paul Lambert</i>	6250 TURNBERRY DR
<i>Jacqueline A. Lambert</i>	6280 TURNBERRY DR.
<i>Wilma Glasgow</i>	6253 Turnberry Dr
<i>Donald F. Glasgow</i>	6253 TURNBERRY DR.
<i>James J. Moody</i>	16257 MYRTLE BEACH DR BANNING
<i>Theresa Moody</i>	6252 Turnberry Dr Banning
<i>Brian Sperry</i>	6252 Turnberry Dr Banning CA
<i>Robert F. Post</i>	1714 LITCHFIELD CT Banning
<i>Jan K. Sauer</i>	6238 Taste Verde Circle Banning

Return this completed Protest Form to Edward H. Leonhardt, 4837 Mission Hills Drive, (951) 845-6403 by March 15, 2007

## Comment Set C20, continued

### EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

Signature	Street Address, Banning, CA 92220
<i>[Signature]</i>	4809 MELBOURN CIRCLE
Kenneth W. Pratt	4977 Rio Bravo Dr
Delmer Lock	1521 VENTANA C/R
Paul H. Witt	1359 PAUMA VALLEY
Jack Early	625 SHAWNECK DR.
Jack Burnham	1563 Crystal Downs ST.
Duncan L. Laurie	2101 BIRDIE DR.
David L. Fyler	1818 Masters Dr
<i>[Signature]</i>	5296 WATSON ST
<i>[Signature]</i>	5947 Lake Bona Vista
<i>[Signature]</i>	130 PLEASANT VALLEY
<i>[Signature]</i>	1137 Laguna
David G. Galt	4980 Cypress Hill
<i>[Signature]</i>	1202 BIRDIE DR.
Vince Bouchette	5093 Sullivan Ct
Charles T. Smith	6329 MYRTLE BEACH DR
Angie Mary	1770 MASTERS DR
Lee Nesmith	6273 SPYGLASS DR.
W. B. Buddy	4965 Rio Bravo Dr.
Willie K. Kinnitt	2027 Melbourn Ct.
Donald Reed	1618 CRYSTAL DOWNS
Donal Allred	384 BROOKWAY DR.
Ann Magdon	5951 Sleepy Hollow Rd
James Benedict	1575 Fairway Oaks
Robert Benedict	" " "

Return this completed Protest Form to Edward H. Leonhardt, 4837 Mission Hills Drive, (951) 845-6403 by March 15, 2007

## Comment Set C20, continued

### EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

Signature	Street Address, Banning, CA 92220
Dolores M. Jappata D. Jappata	6328 Bardmoor Ave. 6328 Bardmoor Ave
Pat Millipine	6312 Bardmoor Ave
Alan Trumbly	6298 Bardmoor
Carol Myers	6292 Bardmoor
Gordon Hunt (B) (S) (4) (1) (5)	6252 W. Bardmoor
Anna Schlegel	1540 Pine Valley Rd.
Wanda Kackic	6344 W. Bardmoor
Roy Stueck	6344 W. Bardmoor
George J. Tol	6386 W. BARDMOOR AVE
Bonnie J. Tol	6386 W. BARDMOOR AVE
Neil R. Sussinger	5050 Seagrass Hill Drive
William S. Sussinger	" " " " " "
William G. Sussinger	1512 Paradise Island Ln
Roy R. Frost	1015 Hogan Ave
Gertrude M. Metzner	940 Olympic Ave
Richard S. Frost	1015 Hogan Ave
Ellie Colbred	384 Brooklawn
Theresa Inguhart	4938 Caper Creek Dr
Haron Crotteau	627 Essex Way
Philip Inguhart	4938 Caper Creek
Roy A. Paulk	614 Northwood Ave.
Richard Meadows	5951 Sleepy Hollow Rd
John Paulk	614 Northwood Av.
Boni Saxson	6238 Turnberry Dr

Return this completed Protest Form to Edward H. Leonhardt, 4837 Mission Hills Drive, (951) 845-6403 by March 15, 2007





## Comment Set C20, continued

### EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

Signature	Street Address, Banning, CA 92220
Stephen W. Felber	1990 FAIRWAY OAKS AVE.
Charles H. Black	2363 WAILEA BEACH DR.
Nancy K. Felber	1990 Fairway Oaks Ave.
Jordan F. Horne	1974 Fairway Oaks Ave.
Edward V. Chapman	1926 Fairway Oaks Ave.
Cheryl Chapman	1926 Fairway Oaks Ave.
Mary McNamee	1910 Fairway Oaks Ave.
John	5217 Hampden Rd.
Bob Bowen	1909 FAIRWAY OAKS AVE
John	1443 FAIRWAY OAKS
Frank Bonath	1991 FAIRWAY OAKS
Ken Donaldson	1991 Fairway Oaks
William L. Johnson	2343 Birdie Dr.
Walter Johnson	2343 BIRDIE DR.
Michael Salazar	2348 BIRDIE DR.
John D. Watson	2357 Birdie Drive
John A. Thomas	2373 Birdie Dr.
Thomas A. Thomas	2373 Birdie Dr.
Wanda Koerber	2332 Birdie Dr.
Elizabeth Messner	2316 Birdie Dr.
Bob	2316 Birdie Dr.
Richard D. Paul	2237 Birdie Dr.
Joyce White	2237 Birdie Dr.
Paul W. Gibson	1958 FAIRWAY OAKS
Paul W. Gibson	1958 FAIRWAY OAKS

Return this completed Protest Form to Edward H. Leonhardt, 4837 Mission Hills Drive, (951) 845-6403 by March 15, 2007

## Comment Set C20, continued

### EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

Signature	Street Address, Banning, CA 92220
<i>[Signature]</i>	3036 BROOKDALE CIR
<i>[Signature]</i>	4886 LORAIN ABBEY WAY
<i>[Signature]</i>	6200 SANDGRASS DR
<i>[Signature]</i>	4500 WINDIE DR
<i>[Signature]</i>	2300 BIRCH DR
<i>[Signature]</i>	5425 TREVINO WAY
<i>[Signature]</i>	1345 PARADISE ISLE LN
<i>[Signature]</i>	4925 BERNARD DUNES
<i>[Signature]</i>	647 ROCKY BEACH LN
<i>[Signature]</i>	2157 WILLOW BEACH
<i>[Signature]</i>	1015 RIVIERA AVE
<i>[Signature]</i>	5130 SAVANNAH DR
<i>[Signature]</i>	4941 THE 211th DR
<i>[Signature]</i>	5933 GULLANE
<i>[Signature]</i>	1346 BAY HILL RD
<i>[Signature]</i>	5018 RIVERA AVE
<i>[Signature]</i>	5633 W. RIVERA AVE
<i>[Signature]</i>	4833 HOBBS HILLS AVE
<i>[Signature]</i>	1302 LAYUNA SEAN CT
<i>[Signature]</i>	431 MORNINGSTAR ST BANNING
<i>[Signature]</i>	732 Big Springs Dr B
<i>[Signature]</i>	6113 ROCKY BEACH DR
<i>[Signature]</i>	685 Big Springs Dr
<i>[Signature]</i>	1055 Palma Valley Rd
<i>[Signature]</i>	299 BROOKDALE DRIVE, BANNING

Return this completed Protest Form to Edward H. Leonhardt, 4837 Mission Hills Drive, (951) 845-6403 by March 15, 2007

## Comment Set C20, continued

### EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

Signature	Street Address, Banning, CA 92220
William J. Eaton	4890 Copper Creek Dr
Joyce A. Eaton	4890 Copper Creek Dr.
Dan Wagner	1626 Masters Dr.
Ray L. Brown	1344 Pleasant Valley Ave
Carol J. Comstock	1182 Hilton Hill Dr
Lyle E. Cotten	677 Essex Way
Marlin G. Peterson	425 La Costa Dr.
Lane Blue	5913 Brookside Dr.
Paul Whisenand	485 LA COSTA DR.
Don Votaw	1266 Fairway Oaks
Donna	1010 LAGUNA DEER CT
George Kelly	1215 FAIRWAY OAKS
Rutha & Wesley	1350 PINE VALLEY RD
Donna	6306 Tuckaway
Bill Cooney	6353 Colonial
Thomas J. Todd	5702 ROYAL TROOP CT.
RON H. HANAS	1169 DELAIR CT
James A. Clark	1801 MISSIONS DR.
Jim Cunniff	5456 Riviera
Charles J. Gibson	5983 Sleepy Hollow Rd.
John Jay	6093 EAGLE TRACE LN
Ed Claypool	1078 OLYMPIC
William J. Rader	5130 Singing Hills DR
James A. Joshi	5515 Penhurst Dr
Paul Chirge	5869 Indian Cyn

Return this completed Protest Form to Edward H. Leonhardt, 4837 Mission Hills Drive, (951) 845-6403 by March 15, 2007

## Comment Set C20, continued

2

### EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

Signature	Street Address, Banning, CA 92220
Mark H. Weir	6256 W Laurel Valley Banning
Lee M. Howard	6286 W Laurel Valley
William J. Cobb	1618 Fairway Oaks Dr
Ray G. Smith	1110 Cypress Point Dr.
Lane B. Mc Donald	4866 Copper Creek Dr. Banning
Joseph E. Mc Donald	4866 Copper Creek Dr. Banning
Joanne C. Oliver	495 Via Costa Rd. Banning CA
Clint Ruston	5211 Mission Hills
John Johnson	4802 Mission Hills
Frank Magnifico	5113 Mission Hills Drive
Rose Antrovin	4802 Mission Hills Dr.
Tom J. Hill	1282 GREEN ISLAND
Ray Stuchman	6374 W Bann 1900 Ave
Patty Fisher	1648 Fairway Oaks Ave Banning
Patricia Smith	4992 Singing Hills Banning
William J. Hill	1992 Singing Hills Banning
Dale J. Johnson	1648 Fairway Oaks Banning
Donald W. Johnson	1176-BQ AIR CT
David R. Coward	1049 OAKLAND Hills Banning CA
Richard J. Kramer	5178 SAVANNAH Dr. Banning
Jane Hansen	1175 Bal Cir Ct., Banning
Dr. Roger L. Jones	5347 Beckenridge
Jack B. Long	1411 Fairway Oaks
William F. Olson	1412 Fairway Oaks Ave
Joanne P. Cannon	1398 Fairway Oaks

Return this completed Protest Form to Edward H. Leonhardt, 4837 Mission Hills Drive, (951) 845-6403 by March 15, 2007

Comment Set C20, continued

①

EL CASCO PROJECT PROTEST

As residents of Sun Lakes retirement community, located in the City of Banning, California, we are signing this protest against the El Casco System Project (Project), a project proposed by Southern California Edison (SCE), California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Application No. A07-02-022. We contend that the Proponent's Environmental Assessment prepared by SCE does not mitigate the potential environmental impacts, i.e., to result in final conditions becoming less severe or intense than current ones. We request that the CPUC require that an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) be prepared for the portion of the Project where 13 miles of existing single-circuit 115 kV lines (three lines) are replaced with new, higher capacity double-circuit 115 kV lines (six larger lines) and taller poles. We believe that the results of the EIR will require SCE to bury the proposed new lines beneath ground level.

Signature	Street Address, Banning, CA 92220
<i>Anna M. Brown</i>	1444 Fairway Oaks, Banning
<i>Walter Smith</i>	891 Cypress Point Dr. Banning, CA
<i>Stanley Mosberg</i>	1168 So. Bay Hill Rd. Banning, CA
<i>Nancy Purio</i>	891 Cypress Pt. Dr. Banning, CA
<i>Patsy Mosberg</i>	1168 Brighton Banning
<i>Nancy R. Brown</i>	1444 Fairway Oaks Banning
<i>Georgia Sobiech</i>	5066 Singing Hills Banning
<i>Blanche L. Dennis</i>	1205 Cypress Pt. Dr. Banning
<i>George W. Dennis</i>	1205 Cypress Pt. Dr. Banning
<i>Don Ziehl</i>	5066 Singing Hills Banning
<i>Patricia Kelly</i>	5922 Myrtle Beach Banning
<i>Oliver K. Willey</i>	1094 Fairway Oaks Banning
<i>Fawn Stuckert</i>	6344 Baldwin Ave Banning
<i>Paul Miller</i>	1094 Fairway Oaks
<i>Ray Lee</i>	1318 Bay Hill Rd. Banning
<i>Ronald Brown</i>	5917 Sullivan Ct. Banning
<i>Laura J. Lee</i>	1318 S Bay Hill Rd. Banning
<i>Ronald P. Sumner</i>	1624 Fairway Oaks Banning
<i>Stephen L. Lytle</i>	1425 Arbor Ln Banning
<i>Evel W. Kelly</i>	5024 BRECKENRIDGE BANNING
<i>Lisa Kelly</i>	5024 Breckenridge Ave. Banning
<i>Donna Reed</i>	5933 Brookside Dr. Banning
<i>Ed Reed</i>	5933 Brookside Dr. Banning
<i>Johnna Hallaband</i>	5712 Riviera Dr. Banning
<i>Wanda Hallaband</i>	5712 Riviera Ave Banning

Return this completed Protest Form to Edward H. Leonhardt, 4837 Mission Hills Drive, (951) 845-6403 by March 15, 2007