

Scoping Comments

Proposed Jefferson-Martin 230 kV Transmission Line Project

	Wednesday, January 29, 2003
Name*: Hugo	miranda
Name+1 //www/o	· / with
Affiliation (if any):*	
Address:* 1251	Laurel Hill dr.
City, State, Zlp Code:*	San mater, Ca. 94402
Telephone Number:*	60 573124Z
Email:*	
М	
Istrong	ike many others residentes, mu
because, I	the many others residentes , me
canelry	are:
a)n	expline impact on aesthetics
6)2	
(expline impact on property
-12	egative impact on health
	topulve impact on neally
	<u> </u>
Best so	lution for PG, & project is
togol	inderes ound -
	V
100	
Please print. Your name, address, a	nd comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if request
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ease either deposit this sheet	at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Inse
Trees as Afragua, Co	omments must be received by February 27, 2003. Comments may also be fax 40-1720 or emailed to jeffmartin@aspeneg.com.



CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (CPUC) Scoping Comments

Proposed Jefferson-Martin 230 kV Transmission Line Project

Wednesday, January 29, 2003

Name*: Dennis Tom MD
Affiliation (if any):*
Address:* 379 Barbara Way
City, State, Zip Code:* Hillsborough, CA 94010
Telephone Number:* 650 - 742-24 90
Email:* bennis. Tom @kp.org substation
Please consider the following atternative route from Jefferson & San Bruno (I
heard someone mention this idea at the scoping mtg). It would address many of the
concerns about EMF exposure neighborhood values environment/habitat. PGE might
benefit too (+ expense, community recognition). Sierne Arcific has done undergrounding of
overhead wires under a CHIC program for years. * Jefferson substation - under ground
along Canada Ad to Edgewood Ad. Then underground combined 60 kV/230 kv lines pavallel
and adjacent to current towers to MP4. Lines would then somehow need to cross over
280 92 junction (? undergrand or overhead across fireways). From MP5 -> Hillsdrike
substation continue underground alongside encount towers. Lines would then nun
overhead across Crystal Spring Boad to MP7 in Hillsbornigh (His world avoid underground
at crystal Spring Erecht Dam areas). MPT-MP9 continue underground to
Cavalande substation -> begin overhead directly across to West side of 280 along
existing rights of way (access roads all the way to MP 15 (San Bruno) / keep lines on
Serpentia grass) would be offset by removal of convent toward HPI -> MP 9/Camb
The sould be a great way to return the water hed
*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested. to its natural beauty. Thanks for your consideration.
Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or told, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be received by February 27, 2003. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (650) 240-1720 or emailed to joffmartin@aspeneg.com.

Jefferson-Martin Transmission Project

From: Sent: Joanne Hong [gnibho2@mindspring.com] Wednesday, February 26, 2003 9:22 AM

To:

Jeffmartin@aspeneg.com

Subject:

Proposed Jefferson Martin 230kV transmission project.

Dear Mr. Martin.

I am a long time resident of Hillsborough and I am opposed to the proposed Jefferson Martin 230kV transmission project.

I am extremely concerned about the health issues from increased Electric and Magnetic Field (EMF) emissions from the proposed project being in such close proximity to our homes and schools where people live, work and play. Most recent studies by the California Health Department show exposure to EMF's resulted in higher incidence of childhood leukemia, brain tumors, and miscarriages.

I am concerned about the negative impact on aesthetics and the quality of life of our community. The bigger, wider towers and noisy current will certainly decrease the quality and attractiveness of our town.

I object to the negative impact on the town's property value and tax base. According to real estate sources, the proposed project will certainly decrease property values significantly in areas adjacent to the project, thereby, lowering the property value and tax base of the entire town.

I support the Town of Hillsborough efforts to have the proposed 230kV lines installed underground, and at the same time install the current 60kV lines underground, and/or evaluate whether this project is needed at all. Undergrounding and proper shielding of the lines eliminates the above concerns and seems to be the most prudent option for all concerned.

I believe it is the CPUC's job is to protect the public. Placing this proposed 400,000 watt transmission line so close to homes and schools certainty does not protect our community and its citizens, in fact it seems very irresponsible. In addition, the transmission project only serves the interests of San Francisco and PG&E, while the residents of Hillsborough, San Mateo and Burlingame suffer short term as well as long term consequences.

Please evaluate carefully all the ramifications that this kind of action would have and consider carefully that there are alternatives available that would accomplish CPUC's goals as well as protect the welfare of California's most valuable resource---people!!!

Sincerely,

Joanne Hong

Jefferson-Martin Transmission Project

From:

Teresa Tom [Teresa_Tom@gap.com] Wednesday, February 26, 2003 5:07 PM

Sent: To:

jeffmartin@aspeneg.com

Subject:

CPUC-Proposed Jefferson-Martin 230 kv Transmission Line - Scoping Comments

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (CPUC) SCOPING COMMENTS

Proposed Jefferson-Martin 230 kv Transmission Line Project

Name: Teresa Tom

Affiliation: Area home owner

Address: 1832 Black Mountain Road City., State, Zip Code: 94010 Telephone Number: (650) 347-3867

Email: Teresa_Tom@gap.com

Health issues form increased Electric and Magnetic Field (EMF) emissions so close to my home and families, especially for my elderly mother.

A negative impact on aesthetics from the visibility of bigger, wider towers and noisier current, impacting the views, both from my home and from within the neighborhood.

Increased risk of lightning striking the higher towers A potentially significant negative impact on property value from safety and health concerns about more EMF emissions and aesthetics.

A long construction period involving loud, heavy equipment such as helicopters and earthmovers, and possible blasting.

It seems it would be better on many levels to choose an alternate path, preferably West of the Crystal Springs Reservoir, where the project will not disrupt an existing neighborhood. Please let me know if I can provide further information.

Teresa Tom