CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (CPUC)

Scoping Comments

Proposed Jefferson-Martin 230 kV Transmission Line Project

Wednesday, January 29, 2003

Name*: Rosemarie Lashkoff

Affiliation (*if any*):*

Address:* 1316 Skyview Drive

City, State, Zip Code:* Burlingame, CA 94010

Telephone Number:* (650) 348-2351

Email:* Lashkoff @pathlink.com

I feel that the project isn't needed for San Francisco, as the city doesn't have anymore room for development and growth. Using facilities at Hunter's Point should be adequate., or upgraded.

I am very concerned with the health issues from higher voltage lines in our backyards. They should of been installed underground or west of 280 to begin with. We don't need anymore EMF than the present ones give off, which we have no control over.

Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be received by February 27, 2003. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (650) 240-1720 or emailed to jeffmartin@aspeneg.com.

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (CPUC)

Scoping Comments

Proposed Jefferson-Martin 230 kV Transmission Line Project

Wednesday, January 29, 2003

Name*: MARILYN / Steve LADAS

Affiliation (*if any*):* 280 CCC

Address:* 1816 BLACK MTN. RD.

City, State, Zip Code:* HILLSBOROUGH, CA. 94010

Telephone Number:*

Email:*

CONCERNS:

1) ~~HEALTH~~ HAZARDS
2) Aesthetics
3) Noise
4) Construction
5) Traffic
6) Property Values

ALTERNATIVES:

1) Underground Lines
2) Move Lines West of 280.
3) No lines

IF SAN FRANCISCO NEEDS THE EXTRA POWER USE SF TO GENERATE IT.

Knowing of 2 people with brain tumors and one with leukemia in immediate area is enough.

**Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.*

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be received by February 27, 2003. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (650) 240-1720 or emailed to jeffmartin@aspeneg.com.

Jefferson-Martin Transmission Project

From: kathleen means [kathleenmeans@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2003 10:56 AM
To: jeffmartin@AspenEG.com
Subject: Fwd: CGF Action Alert: Help remove transmission towers in the watershed

Please forward to the PUC

Please put the transmission lines underground. Undergrounding of the southern segment under Canada Road and Skyline boulevard is the most environmentally protective alternative. I know it is costly but you could use it as a great public relations story!
>
Kathleen Means
Redwood City

Jefferson-Martin Transmission Project

From: Caletti, Robert H. MHX [CaletRH@HPD.Abbott.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2003 8:24 AM
To: jeffmartin@AspenEG.com
Subject: Undergrounding new PG&E Power lines

In regards to the EIR/EIS for the PG&E Jefferson-Martin 230 kV Transmission Line Project. Please support the Undergrounding Alternative 1B for this project. Also please underground the existing 60 kV Transmission lines as part of the project and remove the existing towers. Thanks,
Bob Caletti
605 Wallea Dr.
Menlo Park, CA 94025

L 31-03

Dear Mr. Blanchard:

Please move the 100 PG+E transmission towers in the Peninsula Watershed underground, restore the views of the watershed, & protect sensitive habitats from damage.

Public Utilities Commission Re: the scope of the EIR/EIS for the PG+E Jefferson-Martin 230 kV Transmission Line Project and in support of Undergrounding Alternative 1B for this project. The existing 60 kV Transmission lines should also be ~~&~~ undergrounded as part of the project & the existing towers should be removed.

Thank you,

Ronald C Wilson
45 Bear Paw
Portola Valley, Ca.
94028

Jefferson-Martin Transmission Project

From: Jerry Hearn [hearnbo@redshift.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 01, 2003 8:36 PM
To: jeffmartin@AspenEG.com
Subject: Comments on Jefferson-Martin project

From:
Jerry Hearn
144 El Nido Road
Portola Valley, CA 94028
hearnbo@redshift.com

I have received the Notice of Preparation for the Jefferson-Martin Project in the mail. As I will be unable to attend any of the scoping meetings, I wish to offer my comments in this email.

I would like to voice a strong preference for Alternative 1B, the underground alternative for Conana Road and Skyline. I would like to see the existing line, as well as the new one, placed underground and the towers removed entirely. This will have the following benefits:
* Restore the senic higway to a more original state
* reduce the possibility of impacts on birds
* reduce the possible impacts of an earthquake on motor vehicle traffic
* remove construction from the fragile habitats of Edgewood Park
* reduce the negative impacts on recreational use of nearby trails

In addition, I think the alternative for a generation facility nearer to the points of use should be considered very carefully for its possible impacts and/or relative benefits to the project.

I also feel that reduction of demand should be considered, especially of the concept of highly increased fees for usages significantly above the true needs of entities being supplied with electricity. The last year has shown that there is a demand far in excess of the real needs of those being served.

Thank you for considering my comments. Jerry Hearn