

This page intentionally left blank.



November 12, 2015 Project No. 20154777.001A

Ms. Sarah Marijana San Diego Gas & Electric Civil/Structural Engineering 8316 Century Park Court, CP-52G San Diego, California 90123

Subject: Geotechnical Study SDG&E Ocean Ranch Substation Pacific Coast Business Park Oceanside, California

Dear Ms. Marijana:

Kleinfelder is pleased to present this geotechnical study for the proposed Ocean Ranch Substation project. The site is located at the southerly terminus of Rocky Point Drive and northeast of Avenida del Oro, within Parcels 16 and 17 of the existing Pacific Coast Business Park in Oceanside, California. The purpose of our geotechnical study was to evaluate subsurface soil conditions beneath the site and to provide geotechnical recommendations for design and construction. The conclusions and recommendations presented in this report are subject to the limitations presented in Section 6.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide geotechnical engineering services to you on this project. If you have any questions regarding this report or if we can be of further service, please do not hesitate to contact us at (619) 831-4600.

Respectfully submitted,

**KLEINFELDER** 

Trampus Grindstaff Project Engineer

Kern Cien

Kevin Crennan, PE, GE Senior Project Manager

20154777.001A/SDI15R21024 Copyright 2015 Kleinfelder Page 1 of 1

November 12, 2015

ED

NO 1651





GEOTECHNICAL STUDY SDG&E OCEAN RANCH SUBSTATION PACIFIC COAST BUSINESS PARK OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA 20154777.001A

**NOVEMBER 12, 2015** 

Copyright 2015 Kleinfelder All Rights Reserved

ONLY THE CLIENT OR ITS DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVES MAY USE THIS DOCUMENT AND ONLY FOR THE SPECIFIC PROJECT FOR WHICH THIS REPORT WAS PREPARED.

20154777.001A/SDI15R21024 Copyright 2015 Kleinfelder Page i of iv

November 12, 2015



A Report Prepared for:

Ms. Sarah Marijana San Diego Gas & Electric Civil/Structural Engineering 8316 Century Park Court, CP-52G San Diego, California 90123

GEOTECHNICAL STUDY SDG&E OCEAN RANCH SUBSTATION PACIFIC COAST BUSINESS PARK OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA

Prepared by:

Trampus Grindstaff Project Engineer

Scott Rugg, PG, CEG Senior Engineering Geologist

Kevin Crennan, PE, PG Senior Project Manager

Reviewed by:

Robert A. Torres, PE Senior Program Manager

## KLEINFELDER

550 West C Street, Suite 1200 San Diego, California 92101 Phone: 619-831-4600 Fax: 619-232-1039

November 12, 2015 20154777.001A







# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Section

# Page

1	INTRO	DUCTION	1
	1.1	SITE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1
	1.2	SCOPE OF SERVICES	2
2	METH	ODS OF STUDY	4
	2.1	BACKGROUND DATA REVIEW	4
	2.2	FIELD INVESTIGATION	4
	2.3	GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING	4
	2.4	GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSES	4
	2.5	REPORT PREPARATION	5
3	GEOL		6
5	3.1	REGIONAL GEOLOGIC AND GEOTECTONIC SETTING	0
	3.2	REGIONAL FAULTING AND SEISMICITY	7
	3.3	SITE GEOLOGY AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	8
	0.0	3.3.1 Artificial Fill (af)	8
		3.3.2 Young Colluvial Deposits (Qvc)	9
		3.3.3 Santiago Formation (Tsa)	9
		3.3.4 Groundwater	10
4	SEIGM		44
4			11
	4.1	SEISMIC SHAKING/CALIFORNIA BLIII DING CODE SEISMIC DESIGN	11
	7.2	PARAMETERS	11
	43	LIQUEFACTION	13
	4.4	SEISMIC COMPRESSION	13
	4.5	FAULT SURFACE RUPTURE	13
		4.5.1 Landslides and Slope Stability	14
		4.5.2 Tsunami. Seiche and Flooding	14
5	CONC		16
3	5 1		16
	5.2	SITE AND SUBGRADE PREPARATION	17
	0.2	521 General	17
		5.2.2 Construction Observation	17
		5.2.3 Excavation Characteristics	17
		5.2.4 Site Preparation	17
		5.2.5 Engineered Fill	18
		5.2.6 Import Materials	19
	5.3	UTILITY TRENCH EXCAVATIONS	19
		5.3.1 Temporary Trench Excavations	19
		5.3.2 Pipe Bedding and Trench Backfill	20
	5.4	SHALLOW FOUNDATION AND SLAB RECOMMENDATIONS	20
		5.4.1 General	20
		5.4.2 Shallow Foundations	20
		5.4.3 Exterior Concrete Slabs-On-Grade	21
	5.5	INTERIOR CONCRETE SLABS-ON-GRADE	22
	5.6	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR RETAINING WALLS	22
	5.7	WALL DRAINAGE	24



#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)**

#### Section

## Page

7	REFE	RENCES	32
6	LIMIT	ATIONS	30
	5.12	SURFACE DRAINAGE	29
	5.11	WATER INFILTRATION AND PERCOLATION	28
	5.10	ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT	27
	5.9	CORROSION POTENTIAL	25
	5.8	DRILLED PIER FOUNDATIONS	24

## TABLES

Table 1	Recommended 2013 CBC Seismic Design Parameters	12
Table 2	Equivalent Fluid Weights for Calculating Lateral Earth Pressures	23
Table 3	Recommended Soil Parameters for MFAD Analysis	25
Table 4	Soil Corrosion Test Results	26
Table 5	Recommended Asphalt Concrete Pavement Sections	27

## FIGURES

1 Site Vicinity Ma	р
--------------------	---

- 2 Boring Location Map
- 3 Regional Geologic Map
- 4 Regional Fault Map and Earthquake Epicenters
- 5 Geologic Cross Section A-A'
- 6 Geologic Cross Section B-B'
- 7 Downward Axial Capacity Curves
- 8 Uplift Capacity Curves

## APPENDICES

- A Field Investigation and Boring Logs
- A-1 Previous Field Investigation Boring Logs and Test Pits (2012)
- B Laboratory Test Results
- C Suggested Guidelines for Earthwork Construction
- D ASFE Insert



# 1 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of our geotechnical study for the proposed San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E) Ocean Ranch Substation located in Oceanside, California. The approximate location of the project site is presented on Figure 1, Site Vicinity Map. The purpose of our geotechnical study was to evaluate subsurface soil conditions beneath the site and provide geotechnical recommendations for design and construction. The scope of our services was presented in our proposal titled, "Proposal for Update Geotechnical Study, Proposed Ocean Ranch Substation, Pacific Coast Business Park – Parcels 16 and 17, Oceanside, California," dated February 3, 2015. Our services were performed under our master services agreement with Richard Brady & Associates, Inc. (Brady) as part of SDG&E Master Services Agreement (MSA) 6360040035.

Our report includes a description of the work performed, a discussion of the general geotechnical conditions observed at the site, and recommendations developed from our engineering analyses of field and laboratory data.

## 1.1 SITE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

## 1.1.1 1.1.1

## Site Description

Kleinfelder understands SDG&E plans to construct a new 69/12kV substation within Parcels 16 and 17 of the existing Pacific Coast Business Park. The site is also being considered for a new 138kV substation. The proposed configuration based on an ultimate arrangement plan for the 69/12KV station is presented in Figure 2, Boring Location Map.

The site is triangular in shape and has a common property boundary directly south and inline of Rocky Point Drive, which previously separated the parcels. At the time of our siting study in 2012, the parcels were moderately to densely vegetated with native grasses and bushes. However, recent clearing of vegetation was performed at Parcel 16 in the general area where the majority substation improvements will be constructed. The graded area is now covered with both 3/4- and 3-inch sized gravel.

The site has gentle to moderately sloping topography with a gradient that generally slopes to the southwest. An approximate 4- to 7-foot high slope with small trees splits the two parcels. The existing site elevations range from approximately 364 feet MSL at Parcel 16 to about 375 feet MSL at Parcel 17. Existing slopes located on the southern portion of the site appear to have inclinations of about 2H:1V. Two 40- to 50-foot diameter stormwater desilting basins are located



within the southern portion of the site. These desilting basins have corrugated steel stand pipes within the deepest portion. During our site visit we observed erosion gullies on the side slopes on the order of 1 to 3 feet in depth.

The latitude and longitude coordinates for the approximate center of the site are listed below, and the site and vicinity are shown on Figure 1.

Latitude: 33.21071 N Longitude: -117.29378 W

## 1.1.2 Project Description

The proposed construction will be primarily situated on Parcel 16 of the existing Pacific Coast Business Park, with future expansion area proposed to the east on Parcel 17. Proposed improvements will consist of transformers, switch stands, circuit breakers, capacitor banks, switchgear, single-story concrete masonry control shelter, and access improvements including new concrete asphalt paved drive lanes. The entire substation pad area will be secured with a 6foot high privacy wall with gates at several perimeter locations. Other general site improvements will consist of concrete headwalls at two locations and new attenuation/bioretention basins. Based on the referenced civil development plan, the existing western desilting basin will be filled as part of the station pad grading and the existing eastern basin will be modified into a biotention facility.

The proposed finish pad elevations will range from 370 feet at the southwest corner to 375 feet MSL at the northeast side. Grading for the substation pad will mainly consist of placing fill soils across the site, creating fill slopes up to about 10 feet high at the southwest corner of the pad. The site will be accessed from the cul-de-sac area at the south end of Rocky Point Drive via two separate drives entries. An additional access point will be constructed off of Avenida Del Oro to the southwest corner of the pad where cuts up to approximately 10 feet will be required to meet existing elevations along the roadway. Proposed cut and fill slopes will be graded to an inclination of 2:1 (horizontal to vertical).

# 1.2 SCOPE OF SERVICES

The purpose of our investigation was to evaluate the surface and subsurface soil and geologic conditions at the currently proposed substation and access road area, and to provide geotechnical information and recommendations to facilitate design of the project development.



The scope of our services for this phase of the project consisted of:

- Review of our 2012 preliminary investigation which included four borings and four test pit excavations within the proposed site.
- Field exploration of the subsurface conditions by drilling ten borings;
- Laboratory testing of selected samples of soil and geologic materials;
- Engineering analysis of field and laboratory data; and
- Preparation of this report presenting our compiled findings, conclusions, and recommendations.

The recommendations contained within this report are subject to the limitations presented in Section 6.0. An information sheet prepared by ASFE (the Association of Engineering Firms Practicing in the Geosciences) is also included in Appendix D. We recommend that all individuals using this report read the limitations along with the attached document.



## 2 METHODS OF STUDY

## 2.1 BACKGROUND DATA REVIEW

**Task 1 – Background Data Review.** We reviewed readily-available published and unpublished geologic literature and aerial photographs in our files and the files of public agencies. In addition, we reviewed previous geotechnical and as-graded reports provided by SDG&E, and our prior studies. The documents reviewed are presented in Section 7, References.

## 2.2 FIELD INVESTIGATION

**Task 2 – Field Exploration.** Subsurface conditions at the site were recently explored by drilling ten geotechnical borings. The borings were drilled to depths of approximately 6½ to 91½ feet below the existing ground surface (bgs) using a truck-mounted drill rig equipped with 6-inchdiameter hollow-stem augers. As part of our prior substation siting study, additional explorations were completed between April 24 and April 26, 2012. Those explorations consisted of three borings to depths ranging between approximately 50 to 80 feet bgs and four test pits ranging from about 5 to 10 feet. The approximate locations of the current and previous borings and test pits are presented on Figure 2, Boring Location Map. A summary of our field investigations are presented in Appendix A and A-1.

# 2.3 GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING

Laboratory testing was performed on selected bulk and drive samples to substantiate field classifications and to provide engineering parameters for geotechnical design. Laboratory testing consisted of in-situ moisture content and dry unit weight, sieve analysis, #200 wash sieve, Atterberg limits, direct shear, R-value, and corrosivity (pH, electrical resistivity, water-soluble sulfates, and water-soluble chlorides). A description of the testing performed and the results are presented in Appendix B.

## 2.4 GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSES

Field and laboratory data were analyzed in conjunction with the proposed finished grades, structures layout, and estimated structural loads to provide geotechnical recommendations for design and construction. We evaluated foundation systems, lateral earth pressures for retaining structures, pavement design, and earthwork. Potential geologic hazards, including ground shaking, liquefaction potential, flood hazard, fault rupture hazard, and seismically-induced



settlement were also evaluated. Seismic design parameters in accordance with the 2013 California Building Code (CBC) are also presented.

## 2.5 REPORT PREPARATION

This report summarizes the work performed, data acquired, and our findings, conclusions, and geotechnical recommendations for the design and construction of the proposed substation. Our report includes the following items:

- Vicinity map and location plan showing the approximate boring locations and locations of the geologic cross sections;
- Logs of borings (Appendix A and A-1);
- Results of laboratory tests (Appendix B);
- Discussion of general site conditions;
- Discussion of general subsurface conditions as encountered in our field exploration;
- Discussion of regional and local geology;
- Discussion of geologic and seismic hazards;
- Recommendations for seismic design parameters in accordance with the 2013 CBC;
- Recommendations for shallow foundation design, allowable bearing pressures, and embedment depths;
- Recommendations for drilled pier design, including MFAD parameters, axial capacities and minimum embedment depths;
- Recommendations for site preparation, earthwork, temporary slope inclinations, fill placement and compaction, and excavation characteristics of subsurface soil deposits and formational materials;
- Recommendations for support of concrete slabs-on-grade;
- Recommendations for flexible pavement structural sections; and
- Preliminary evaluation of the corrosion potential of the on-site soils.



# 3 GEOLOGY AND SOILS

#### 3.1 REGIONAL GEOLOGIC AND GEOTECTONIC SETTING

San Diego County resides within the southern portion of California's Peninsular Ranges Geomorphic Province. This province is characterized as an assemblage of north to northwest trending, high-relief ranges stretching south from the Santa Monica Mountains in Los Angeles, through San Diego County and south into Baja, California. Some of the notable ranges of Southern California include the Santa Ana Mountains, the Laguna Mountains and the Cuyamaca Mountains. The development of this mountain system is closely tied to the transform tectonisim of the San Andreas Fault System.

The County encompasses three geomorphic sub-zones set in a series of north-to-northwest trending belts, roughly parallel to the coastline. From west to east, these zones are comprised of a relatively narrow, low-relief coastal plain; a central high-relief mountainous zone; and a low-lying desert zone. The coastal plain and mountainous zones are part of a more extensive geomorphic province of the Peninsular Ranges. The desert zone is part of a larger geomorphic province known as the Colorado Desert.

Most of the western portion of San Diego County, including the project site is situated within the eastern side coastal subzone near the transition boundary with central mountainous zone. The coastal subzone is characterized by Quaternary to Mesozoic age sedimentary rock material. The sedimentary deposits are configured in a wedge shape mass which thickens to the west across the coastal plain from the edge of the mountainous terrain toward the coastline. The sediments are comprised of a variety of claystones, siltstones, sandstones and conglomerates. Older granitic and metamorphic bedrock occupies the mountainous terrain toward the east and consists of numerous plutonic igneous masses and smaller patches of metamorphic rock into which the granitic rock intruded.

The landscape was eroded during Pleistocene time by a system of generally west flowing large scale drainage systems and associated tributary drainages which resulted in the formation of the canyons/valleys that dominate the regional terrain of San Diego County. These processes also resulted in the accumulation of alluvial soils along drainage pathways and as wedge shape masses along the bottom of eroding hillslopes specifically described as colluvial deposits.



## 3.2 REGIONAL FAULTING AND SEISMICITY

Southern California straddles the boundary between two global tectonic plates known as the North American Plate (on the east) and the Pacific Plate (on the west). The main plate boundary is represented by the San Andreas fault which stretches northwest from the Gulf of California in Mexico, through the desert region of the Imperial Valley, through the San Bernardino region, and into Northern California where it eventually trends offshore north of San Francisco (Jennings and Bryant, 2010). Within Southern California, the San Andreas fault is a complex system of numerous faults known as the San Andreas Fault System (SAFS) that span a 150-mile wide zone from the main San Andreas fault in the Imperial Valley westward to offshore of San Diego (Powell et. al., 1993; Wallace, 1990). The major faults east of the San Diego region (from east to west) include the San Andreas Fault, the San Jacinto fault, and the Elsinore fault. Major faults west of San Diego include the Palos Verdes-Coronado Bank fault, the San Diego Trough fault, and the San Clemente fault.

The most dominant zone of faulting within the San Diego region are several faults associated with the Rose Canyon Fault Zone (RCFZ), as presented in Figure 4, Regional Fault Map and Earthquake Epicenters. The site is located between the RCFZ approximately 9¼ miles to the southwest and the Elsinore Fault Zone (EFZ) located approximately 18½ miles to the northeast. Although activity on any of the known and unknown faults within the SAFS affect the seismicity of the San Diego region, activity within both the RCFZ and the EFZ dominates most aspects of the seismic hazard at the project site.

Most of the seismic energy and associated fault displacement within the SAFS occurs along the fault structures closest to the plate boundary (i.e., on the Elsinore, San Jacinto, and San Andreas faults) (Powell, et. al. 1993). Approximately 49 millimeters per year (mm/yr) (1.9 inches/year) of overall lateral displacement have been measured geodetically and as fault slip across the plate boundary. Combined, the Elsinore, San Jacinto, and San Andreas faults account for up to 41 mm/yr (1.6 inches/year) of the total plate displacement (84 percent), meaning that the remaining 8 mm/yr (0.3 inch) (16 percent) is accommodated across the faults to the west (Bennett et al., 1996). At the latitude of San Diego, most of this, about 5-8 mm/yr, is accommodated by the coastal and offshore system of faults, including the Rose Canyon fault. Farther north, a similar amount (6-8 mm/yr) is accommodated east of the San Andreas Fault in the eastern California Shear Zone (Rockwell, 2010).



# 3.3 SITE GEOLOGY AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

Based on our review of the referenced grading reports by others, the project area prior to grading consisted of a northeast trending ridgeline with two natural drainage features trending along the slope sidewalls. One drainage feature was located on the northwest side of the property trending roughly parallel to Avenida Del Oro. The other drainage trended northeast across the middle of the site from Avenida Del Oro, where it merged with the other drainage, toward the northeast property corner. The drainage flow direction was toward the southwest with elevations of approximately 270 feet above mean sea level (MSL) at Avenida Del Oro up to approximately 315 feet MSL at the northeast corner of the site. The highest elevations on the site were approximately 380 feet MSL at the southern corner and 360 feet on the north.

There have been at least two reported phases of earthwork construction at the site which consisted primarily of infilling of the drainage feature with artificial fill. The first earthwork phase consisted of fill placement along the western side of the site for construction of Avenida Del Oro, and along the southeast side of the site during construction of the adjacent subdivision on Avenida De La Plata. That grading resulted in the formation of an enclosed basin in the central portion of the site with a bottom elevation of approximately 304 feet MSL.A subdrain was reportedly installed which allowed the basin to drain toward the southwest.

Two geologic units underlie the fill. The youngest is an alluvial deposit which consists primarily of material shed down the side slopes of the drainage feature and depositing toward the bottom. This type of alluvial deposit being due primarily to slope runoff is more specifically designated as a young colluvial deposit. The underlying bedrock material is comprised of Eocene age Santiago Formation. Descriptions of these units including the aforementioned artificial fill are provided in Appendix A (Boring Logs and Test Pit Excavations), and generalized descriptions are provided in the subsequent sections. The geometry of the subsurface units are depicted on the geologic cross-sections on Figures 5 and 6.

## 3.3.1 Artificial Fill (af)

Our review of the subdivision grading report (Christian Wheeler 2007) shows that two phases of earthwork construction occurred at the site, with the most recent during 2006 to 2007. Our review of previous topographic maps and site boring data indicates that up to 83 feet of fill was placed below the project site. Christian Wheeler observed and performed compaction testing during the earthwork operations during this phase of work and reported the fill to be a minimum 90 percent relative compaction based on the ASTM D1557 modified proctor maximum dry density. For fills



below 50 feet, they report compaction data showing a minimum of 95 percent relative compaction per ASTM D1557. Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and California Sampler blow counts for fill soils encountered at the two parcels ranged from 9 to 48 blows per foot, which are generally consistent with the reported levels of compaction. The fills were likely derived from the on-site materials and generally consist of loose to dense, olive gray to very dark gray clayey sand to sandy clay, and olive brown to light gray silty sand. The specified Expansion Index for grading was for a maximum El of 90 within the upper 5 feet.

Review of Christian Wheeler's test data indicates that overexcavation and recompaction of fill from the first phase grading was performed within the bottom area of the site drainage basin. The depth of removal was taken from a the existing surface elevation of approximately 304 feet MSL at that time down to a maximum depth of approximately 287 feet MSL. This removal area is shown on Figure 5, geologic cross-section A-A'. It appears that other areas of the Phase 1 fill were not reworked during the second phase of earthwork. These fills occur primarily below the western and southeastern side of the property and occur below the dotted line labeled "2007 Pre-grading Surface" on both of the geologic cross-sections. We did not review any documentation with regards to observation and testing of the Phase 1 fill.

# 3.3.2 Young Colluvial Deposits (Qyc)

Young colluvial deposits were encountered in borings B-4, B-5, and B-6 of the 2012 preliminary borings and boring B-4 from the current study. This material ranged in thickness between 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> to 11<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> feet between a low elevation of approximately 291<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> MSL at previous boring B-6 to a high of 305 feet MSL at two of the previous borings, B-4 and B-5, and recent boring B-4. It apparently was removed from the area of the Phase 2 overexcavation work and consists of a dark gray to black fat clay and clay with sand and in hard condition. SPT and California Sampler blow counts for these soils ranged from 19 to 87 blows per foot.

## 3.3.3 Santiago Formation (Tsa)

Cretaceous-age Santiago Formation has been mapped underlying the subject site (Kennedy and Tan, 2005), was identified by Christian Wheeler during grading, and was encountered in our borings where the fill and young colluvial deposits were penetrated. Based on our borings, trenches and field mapping of slopes on and near the site indicate the Santiago Formation consists primarily of interbedded fine to coarse, light gray to brownish yellow, massively bedded sandstone, clayey siltstone, and claystone. The sandstones vary from very highly cemented with thin concretionary beds to moderately cemented and friable. Siltstones are massive to locally



thinly bedded, and moderately well-cemented. Recorded SPT and California Sampler blow counts for the Santiago Formation were relatively high, having a range of penetration of 2 to 5 inches for 50 blows.

#### 3.3.4 Groundwater

Groundwater was not encountered in any of our borings or test pit excavations during either field investigation. The depth to the regional groundwater table is anticipated to be significantly deeper than anticipated grading depths and proposed construction elevations. The groundwater table may fluctuate with seasonal variations and irrigation. Groundwater is not expected to be a constraint to development the site. The groundwater table may fluctuate with seasonal variations and irrigation. A local rise in the groundwater level, localized zones of perched water, and increased soil moisture content should be anticipated during and following the rainy season. Irrigation of landscaped areas on or adjacent to the site can also cause a fluctuation of local groundwater levels. It should be noted that the borings were completed following several years of below average rainfall and current groundwater levels are likely depressed.



## 4 SEISMIC AND GEOLOGIC HAZARDS

We have reviewed the site with respect to the presence of potential geologic and/or seismic hazards. These hazards include expansive soils, seismic shaking, liquefaction, seismic compression, fault surface rupture, landslides, and flooding. The following sections discuss these hazards and their potential at this site in more detail.

## 4.1 EXPANSIVE SOILS

Expansive soils are characterized by their ability to undergo significant volume changes (shrink or swell) due to variations in moisture content. Changes in soil moisture content can result from precipitation, landscape irrigation, utility leakage, roof drainage, perched groundwater, drought, or other factors and may result in unacceptable settlement or heave of structures or concrete slabs supported on grade.

Based on the recommendations provided by Christian Wheeler (2006), selective grading was to be performed for soils placed within the upper 5 feet of the site. The selective grading was recommended to provide a cap of fill material with an expansion index of less than 90. The Christian Wheeler (2007) grading report references an expansion index test result on one sample of fill collected from each parcel. The test results of the samples collected range between 50 and 61, which correspond to low to medium expansion potential. Based on the results of our review, field investigations, and experience with similar materials, the fill soils encountered at the site are expected to have a medium potential for expansive soils. No special mitigation measures for expansive soils are recommended for the site.

## 4.2 SEISMIC SHAKING/CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE SEISMIC DESIGN PARAMETERS

The project site, like all Southern California, is a seismically active area and is likely to experience ground shaking as a result of earthquakes on nearby or more distant faults. Our recommendations for seismic design parameters are in accordance with the 2013 California Building Code (CBC) and ASCE 7-10 (July 2013 errata) Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures. It should be noted that the seismic provision of the 2013 CBC are based on and refer to (for more requirements) "Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures, ASCE Standard 7".

Based on the soil conditions encountered and the calculated shear wave velocities within the upper 100 feet (Vs30), the project site can be classified as Site Class D. Shear wave velocities within the upper 100 feet are used to determine Site Class D according to ASCE 7-10, Section



20.3.1, Table 20.3-1. Based on our designation of Site Class D, the site is defined as "stiff soil" profile with average shear wave velocities within the upper 100 feet between 600 ft/s (180 m/s) to 1,200 ft/s (360 m/s); average SPT blowcount, 15 < N < 50, blows per foot (bpf); or average undrained shear strength 1,000 < su < 2,000 psf (50 to 100 kPa). Based on the Site Class D designation and on the site location with respect to mapped spectral acceleration parameters SS and S1, Kleinfelder developed seismic design parameters. The recommended seismic design parameters are summarized in Table 1.

DESIGN PARAMETER	SYMBOL	RECOMMENDED VALUE	2013 CBC / (ASCE 7- 10) REFERENCE(S)
Site Class		D	Section 1613.3.2 (Section 11.4.2)
Mapped MCE <sub>R</sub> (5% damped) spectral acceleration for short periods (Site Class B)	Ss	1.053 g	Section 1613.3.1 (Section 11.4.1)
Mapped MCE <sub>R</sub> (5% damped) spectral acceleration for a 1-second period (Site Class B)	S <sub>1</sub>	0.411 g	Section 1613.3.1 (Section 11.4.1)
Short Period Site Coefficient	Fa	1.079	Table 1613.3.3(1) (Table 11.4-1)
Long Period Site Coefficient (at 1- second period)	Fv	1.589	Table 1613.3.3(2) (Table 11.4-2)
$MCE_G$ Peak Ground Acceleration adjusted for site class effects ( $S_M$ at T=0)	PGA <sub>M</sub>	0.437 g	N/A
$MCE_R$ (5% damped) spectral response acceleration for short periods adjusted for site class ( $F_a^*S_s$ )	S <sub>MS</sub>	1.136 g	Section 1613.3.3 / (Section 11.4.3)
$MCE_R$ (5% damped) spectral response acceleration at 1-second period adjusted for site class ( $F_v$ *S <sub>1</sub> )	S <sub>M1</sub>	0.653 g	Section 1613.3.3 / (Section 11.4.3)
Design spectral response acceleration (5% damped) at short periods $(2/3*S_{MS})$	S <sub>DS</sub>	0.757 g	Section 1613.3.4 / (Section 11.4.4)
Design spectral response acceleration (5% damped) at 1-second period $(2/3^*S_{M1})$	S <sub>D1</sub>	0.435 g	Section 1613.3.4 / (Section 11.4.4)

Table 1Recommended 2013 CBC Seismic Design Parameters



## 4.3 LIQUEFACTION

Earthquake-induced soil liquefaction can be described as a significant loss of soil strength and stiffness caused by an increase in pore water pressure resulting from cyclic loading during shaking. Liquefaction is most prevalent in loose to medium dense, sandy and gravely soils below the groundwater table. The potential consequences of liquefaction to engineered structures include loss of bearing capacity, buoyancy forces on underground structures, ground oscillations or "cyclic mobility", increased lateral earth pressures on retaining walls, post liquefaction settlement, lateral spreading and "flow failures" in slopes.

In general, the subject site is underlain by loose to medium dense fill, medium dense to very dense, or hard to very hard, colluvium, and at depth by very dense formational soils. Based on the nature of these deposits, and the absence of shallow groundwater, it is our opinion that the potential for liquefaction across the site is low.

#### 4.4 SEISMIC COMPRESSION

Seismic compression results from the accumulation of contractive volumetric strains in unsaturated soil during earthquake shaking. Loose to medium dense granular material with no fines, or with low plasticity fines, are most susceptible to seismic compression.

Based on the stratigraphy and generally high SPT blow counts in the borings performed at the project site, the seismic related settlement of the soil above groundwater is less than ½ inch. Therefore, no mitigation measures are recommended.

## 4.5 FAULT SURFACE RUPTURE

Review of readily available geologic and fault maps does not show any active or potentially active fault features passing through or nearby the site. An active fault is one which has undergone displacement within the last approximate 11,000 years. A potentially active fault (aka: Pre-Holocene fault) is one in which movement has occurred at sometime between 1.6 million years and 11,000 years before present. The closest active fault to the site is the Rose Canyon Fault, which is located approximately 9.2 kilometers offshore to the southwest. The site is not located within an Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. The closest potentially active fault is located approximately 0.5 miles to the southeast (Kennedy and Tan 2005). This is small discontinuous structure and does not trend toward the site. Based on these relationships, the hazard with respect to fault rupture is considered low.



## 4.5.1 Landslides and Slope Stability

Landslides are deep-seated ground failures in which a large section (tens to hundreds of feet deep) of a slope detaches and slides downhill. Landslides are not to be confused with minor surficial slope failures (slumps), which are usually limited to the topsoil zone and can occur on slopes composed of almost any geologic material. Landslides can cause damage to structures both above and below the slide mass. Undermining of foundations can occur to structures above the slide area. Areas below a slide can be damaged by being overridden and crushed by the failed slope material.

Several formations within the San Diego region are particularly prone to landsliding on steep slope surfaces. One of these is the Santiago Formation which underlies the site. These formations generally have high clay content and mobilize when they become saturated with water. However, the previous grading has resulted in a relatively flat-lying surface topography all around the site. No surficial indications of deep-seated landsliding were noted at the site during our field reconnaissance or in topographic maps we reviewed. There were no reported mapped landslides in the geologic literature we reviewed. Due to this low-lying surface condition within and around the site, the hazard with respect to landsliding is considered low.

Kleinfelder performed static and seismic slope stability analyses for the existing fill slopes along areas of the site adjacent to existing slopes as depicted by the two cross-section lines shown on Figure 2, A-A' and B-B'. The external static and seismic factors of safety calculated from the slope stability analyses were above the generally accepted minimum factors of safety of 1.5 and 1.1, respectively. Based on the results of our review, field investigation and engineering evaluations indicating the calculated factors of safety exceed the industry minimum, it is our opinion that the potential for significant large-scale slope instability is considered low.

## 4.5.2 Tsunami, Seiche and Flooding

Tsunamis are large sea waves that are most often generated by displacement of the ocean floor along submarine faults. They can also develop in response to other events, such as submarine landslides. The State of California through the California Emergency Management Agency, (2009), publishes a set of tsunami inundation maps for the California coastline. Review of the San Luis Rey quadrangle shows the maximum tsunami inundation line closest to the site is approximately 3.4 miles to the southwest at the eastern end of Buena Vista Lagoon.



A seiche is an oscillatory wave that develops in an enclosed or partially enclosed body of water, such as a bay or lake, in response to seismic shaking from an earthquake. The nearest body of water to the site is Guajome Lake which is approximately 2.6 miles to the northeast. Based in this distance, the hazard with respect to seiche inundation is low.

The flood hazard potential at the site was evaluated based on flood hazard maps available through the FEMA Map Service Center Web site. Based on FEMA Map Number, 06073C0758G, the proposed development area of the Ocean Ranch substation site is not located within a mapped flood area. The closest flood area is located approximately 0.5 miles south of the site and is designated as a high flood risk. The project site is well outside of this area and at a significantly higher elevation. Therefore, the hazard with respect to flooding is low.



## 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## 5.1 GENERAL

Based on the results of our field exploration, laboratory testing and engineering analyses conducted during this study, it is our professional opinion that the proposed project is geotechnically feasible, provided the recommendations presented in this report are incorporated into the project design and construction. We identified the following key geotechnical considerations during our study.

- The site is mostly underlain by deep fill, colluvium and Santiago formational soils at depth.
- Groundwater was not encountered at the site within any of the exploratory borings or test pits.
- The site is located in the seismically active Southern California area. The structures should be designed in accordance with the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) 113 Substation Structure Design Guide.
- There are no known active or potentially active faults crossing the site. Based on this information it is our opinion that the hazard with respect to fault rupture is low.
- Foundations supporting the proposed improvements should be constructed upon recompacted and engineered fill soils.
- The on-site soils are suitable for re-use as engineered fill, provided highly expansive soils are kept below 3 feet of finished grade elevation, are properly moisture conditioned, and oversize or deleterious material are removed.

The following opinions, conclusions, and recommendations are based on the properties of the materials encountered in the borings, the results of the laboratory-testing program, and our engineering analyses. If the design grades are substantially different than what was assumed in our analyses or the proposed improvements configuration changes, our recommendations will have to be modified accordingly. Final project drawings and specifications should be reviewed by Kleinfelder Inc. prior to the commencement of construction.



## 5.2 SITE AND SUBGRADE PREPARATION

## 5.2.1 General

Site preparation and earthwork operations should be performed in general accordance with applicable codes, including SDG&E Specifications for Site Development, County of San Diego Municipal Code, 2013 California Building Code (CBC) and Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (Greenbook, latest edition). All reference in this report to maximum dry density is established in accordance with American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) ASTM D 1557.

## 5.2.2 Construction Observation

The recommendations presented in this report are based on our understanding of the proposed project and on our evaluation of the data collected. The interpolated subsurface conditions should be evaluated in the field during construction. A representative from our firm should be present during site preparation, fill placement, and foundation construction to evaluate the suitability of the various soils types exposed during excavation, and to evaluate the minimum recommended compaction of the fills is achieved.

## 5.2.3 Excavation Characteristics

The results of our field exploration program indicate the project site is underlain by fill and colluvium over formational soils at depth. Fill soils should excavate with typical heavy-duty earthwork equipment. Excavations are anticipated for installation of utility lines and the construction of new foundations for the proposed improvements. Most excavations will likely be in the existing and recommended engineered fill.

## 5.2.4 Site Preparation

Based on the results of our investigation and review of the referenced site improvement plan, foundation excavations for site improvements will be within existing fill soils and or newly placed fill material. Therefore, we recommend that existing fill beneath proposed new fill or improvements be excavated to a minimum depth of 2 feet and be replaced by engineered fill compacted to a minimum relative compaction of 90%. In addition, existing fill soils may require deeper removals where erosion and rutting is present within existing basins.

Proposed fill slopes should be properly keyed and benched into firm materials. Benches should be a minimum of 10 feet in width and spaced at no more than 4-foot vertical height intervals.



Excavations may also be extended deeper for removal and recompaction of existing wash ravines around the basin areas. Additional fill should be placed in order to extend fill depths horizontally, approximately 4 feet to 5 feet. After final grading is completed, the additional fill soils should be trimmed back to expose the newly compacted fill for the finish slope grade.

A representative from our firm should be present during construction to evaluate the suitability of the various soils types exposed during excavation at the site for use as engineered fill, and to evaluate the recommended depth of overexcavation and recompaction.

Prior to placing engineered fill, all surficial vegetation and deleterious material should be stripped and completely removed. The stripping work should include the removal of soil that is dry, compressible, collapsible, or contains significant voids in the judgment of Kleinfelder's geotechnical engineer or geologist.

Man-made structures, including buried pipes, utilities, etc., should be completely removed within the improvement areas. The excavations for removal of any man-made improvements should be backfilled with properly compacted engineered fill per Section 5.2.5. Abandoned utilities (if any) should be completely removed, and the loose backfill removed and replaced. Any trench created by relocating the existing utilities should be backfilled with properly compacted fill.

## 5.2.5 Engineered Fill

Fill soils within the upper 3 feet below structural foundations should consist of granular material. In general, the onsite fill soils can be reused as materials for placement as compacted fill, provided they have a very low to low expansion index (expansion index of 50 or less), are free of oversized rock, clay clods, organic materials, and deleterious debris.

Material greater than 3 inches in maximum dimension should not be placed within the upper 3 feet of the improvement areas. The onsite soil placed as engineered fill should be moisture conditioned between 0 and 3 percent above optimum moisture content, and compacted to a minimum of 90 percent relative compaction based on ASTM D 1557. The upper 12 inches of subgrade and overlying aggregate base course should be compacted to a minimum of 95 percent.

Although the optimum lift thickness for fill soils will be dependent on the size and type of compaction equipment utilized, fill should generally be placed in uniform lifts not exceeding approximately 8 inches in loose thickness. Oversized material, rocks, or hard clay lumps greater than 6 inches in dimension should not be used in compacted fills.



## 5.2.6 Import Materials

We recommend that import material consist of granular, very low to low expansive material (expansion index of 50 or less) as evaluated by ASTM D 4829, minimum R-value of 15, no greater than 30 percent of the particles passing the No. 200 sieve, no particles greater than 3 inches in dimension, and with low corrosivity characteristics. Low corrosivity material is defined as having a minimum resistivity of more than 2,000 ohm-cm when tested in accordance with California Test 643, unless defined otherwise by the corrosion consultant. Import material should be evaluated by the geotechnical consultant at the borrow site for its suitability as fill prior to importation to the project site.

## 5.3 UTILITY TRENCH EXCAVATIONS

## 5.3.1 Temporary Trench Excavations

We recommend that trenches and excavations be designed and constructed in accordance with Cal-OSHA regulations. These regulations provide trench sloping and shoring design parameters for trenches up to 20 feet deep based on a description of the soil types encountered. For planning purposes, we recommend the OSHA soil Type C be used for fill soils and OSHA Type B for the Santiago Formation.

Temporary excavations should be constructed in accordance with OSHA recommendations. Excavations deeper than 5 feet should be shored or laid back on a slope no steeper than 1.5H:1V (horizontal:vertical). In the case of trench excavations, OSHA requirements regarding personnel safety should be met using appropriate shoring (including trench boxes), or by laying back the slopes in accordance with OSHA requirements. Temporary excavations that encounter seepage should be evaluated in the field by our geologist or engineer to develop suitable recommendation alternatives. On-site safety of personnel is the responsibility of the contractor, and their designated "competent person" should perform regular inspections of all temporary excavations.

Heavy construction loads, such as those resulting from stockpiles and equipment, should be kept a sufficient distance away from the top of the excavation or shoring to prevent unanticipated surcharge loading. All surface water should be diverted away from excavations.



## 5.3.2 Pipe Bedding and Trench Backfill

Pipe bedding should consist of sand or similar granular material having a sand equivalent (SE) value of 30 or more. The sand should be placed in the pipe zone which extends a minimum of 6 inches below and 12 inches above the pipe for the full trench width. The bedding material should be compacted to a minimum of 90 percent of the maximum dry density. The sand should be brought up evenly on each side of the pipe to avoid unbalanced loads. Onsite silty and clayey sand materials will generally not meet bedding requirements. Compaction by jetting or flooding is not recommended. Trench backfill above pipe zone may consist of approved onsite or import soils placed in lifts no greater than 8 inches loose thickness and compacted to 90 percent of the maximum dry density.

Based on our experience with other substation projects, deep excavations greater than 5 feet may be required for electrical conduit. We understand that conduits may be encased in a cement slurry and that a firm bottom is not needed. However, aggregate base and/or a geotextile filter fabric may be beneficial to provide a firm bottom if soft or unstable soils are encountered to support other construction activity during installation of the conduits. As with many substation sites, a cement slurry is typically used as backfill above the pipe zone to within about 12- to 24inches of the ground surface and is acceptable fill from a geotechnical perspective.

## 5.4 SHALLOW FOUNDATION AND SLAB RECOMMENDATIONS

## 5.4.1 General

The proposed equipment pads for the substation expansion may be supported on shallow spread, mat or continuous footings founded within either engineered fill or undisturbed formational soils. The soils below the improvements should be prepared in accordance with the recommendations in Section 5.2. We have also included parameters for structures to be supported by drilled piers in Section 5.8.

## 5.4.2 Shallow Foundations

Based on current grading plans, the majority of the proposed structures will be founded on engineered fill. However, any improvement with shallow foundations to be constructed along the most northwestern portion of the site in the area of test pits TP-4 and TP-5 may encounter dense formational material and should be evaluated during construction. We recommend footings founded entirely in compacted fill soils be designed for an allowable soil contact pressure of 3,000 pounds per square foot (psf). This allowable pressure is based on a Safety Factor of 3. The



recommended design bearing value may be increased by 1/3 for transient loading (due to seismic or wind loading). Shallow foundations should contain reinforcing steel as determined by the project structural engineer. Foundations should have a minimum width of 18 inches and a minimum depth of embedment of 12 inches below the lowest adjacent grade.

Resistance to horizontal loadings should be developed by passive earth pressure on the sides of footings and frictional resistance developed along the footing bottoms. Passive resistance to lateral earth pressures may be calculated using an equivalent fluid unit weight of 350 pcf. An allowable frictional coefficient of 0.30 may be used along the footing bottoms. Frictional and passive pressures may be combined without reduction.

Based on our understanding of the proposed improvements and the allowable soil bearing pressure recommendations discussed above, total settlements are expected to be 1/2 inch or less, while differential settlements over a 40-foot span are not expected to exceed 75 percent of the total settlement. Footings may experience a reduction in bearing capacity or an increased potential to settle when located in close proximity to existing or future utility trenches. Furthermore, stresses imposed by the footings on the utility lines may cause cracking, collapse, and/or loss of serviceability of the utility. To reduce this risk, utility excavations should not extend below a 2H:1V plane projected downward from 9 inches above the bottom of the outside edge of the footing. Also, no parallel utility excavations should be made within a lateral distance of 18 inches outside the footing.

Footing excavations should be cleaned of all debris, loose or soft soil, and/or water prior to placing reinforcing steel or concrete. All footing excavations should be observed by a representative of the project geotechnical engineer immediately prior to placement of reinforcing steel and concrete to evaluate the soil bearing conditions and verify that the recommendations contained in this report are implemented during construction.

## 5.4.3 Exterior Concrete Slabs-On-Grade

Concrete slabs-on-grade can be used for housekeeping pads adjacent to equipment pads or for light equipment pads to support structure improvements. These pads should be supported by a minimum of 18-inches of approved engineered fill. The engineered fill material should be compacted to at least 90 percent of ASTM D 1557. SDG&E housekeeping pads typically omit reinforcing with steel rebar and only utilized fiber within the concrete. Additional reinforcement should be placed as required by the structural engineer.



## 5.5 INTERIOR CONCRETE SLABS-ON-GRADE

Subgrade soil supporting concrete slabs should be prepared in accordance with the recommendations of Section 5.2 of this report. A subgrade modulus, k, of 150 pounds per cubic inch (pci) may be used for engineered fill soils supporting the slabs. Floor slabs should be designed by the project structural engineer. However, we recommend a minimum thickness of 5 inches and a minimum reinforcement of No. 3 bars at 18-inch spacing in both directions. The reinforcement should be placed near the center of the concrete slab. We strongly recommend that concrete used in slabs-on-grade have a maximum water cement ratio of 0.45. To reduce the effects of cracking, we recommend that expansion relief joints be spaced no greater than 15 feet in both directions. We recommend that all concrete placement, joint spacing, and curing operations be performed in accordance with the recommended guidelines of the American Concrete Institute (ACI).

In cases where the floor may have a vapor/moisture sensitive coverings, may be in a humidity controlled environment, or may likely have one or both of these conditions in the future, we recommend a polyolefin vapor barrier membrane be utilized between the prepared subgrade and the bottom of the floor slab. Based on our experience with other substation structures, proposed switch houses for the substations will not have any floor covering. Thus, a vapor barrier will not be required to reduce moisture vapor transmission through the slab. If moisture protection is desired in selected floor slab areas, an impermeable membrane (minimum 10 mil polyethylene sheeting) should be placed over the compacted subgrade. Care should be taken to properly lap and seal the membrane, particularly around utilities, to provide a uniform barrier.

To promote more uniform curing of the slab and provide protection of the membrane during construction, fine-to-medium-grained clean sand (SP or SW), 2- to 4-inches thick, should be placed on top of the membrane prior to placing slab concrete. This sand should be moistened immediately prior to concrete placement.

## 5.6 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR RETAINING WALLS

Masonry block barrier/retaining walls may be supported on shallow continuous footings per the foundation recommendations in Section 5.4. Lateral pressures acting against masonry and poured-in-place concrete retaining walls can be calculated using soil equivalent fluid weight (efw). The efw value used for design depends on allowable wall movement. Walls that are free to rotate at least 0.5 percent of the wall height can be designed for the active efw. Retaining walls that are



restrained at the top (such as basement walls), or are sensitive to movement and tilting should be designed for the at-rest efw.

Values given in Table 2 below are in terms of equivalent fluid weight and assume a triangular distribution for fill soils. These values assume that imported, sandy soils (SP, SM, SC) will be used as backfill, and the backfill is well drained. If walls with undrained backfill are to be used, Kleinfelder should be consulted for additional evaluation and recommendations.

CONDITIONS	LEVEL BACKFILL	2:1 SLOPING BACKFILL	
At-Rest	55 pcf	80 pcf	
Active	30 pcf	55 pcf	

Table 2 Equivalent Fluid Weights (efw) For Calculating Lateral Earth Pressures

Fifty and thirty percent of any uniform areal surcharge placed at the top of the wall may be assumed to act as a uniform horizontal pressure. for the at-rest and active cases, respectively. As a minimum, a traffic surcharge equivalent to 120 psf may be assumed to act as a uniform horizontal pressure over the retained height of the wall, H. We should be contacted where point or line loads are expected so we can provide recommendations for additional wall stresses.

Retaining walls should be designed to resist earthquake loading with the following recommendations. An estimate of lateral pressures due to seismic loading was evaluated using the Mononobe-Okabe method and one-half of the estimated peak ground acceleration. Based on the design peak horizontal ground acceleration of 0.4g discussed in Section 4.2, the resultant seismic force (in pounds) for each linear foot of wall can be estimated as 9\*H2 within fill soils with a level backfill, where H is the height of the wall (in feet) above its base. The resultant seismic force acts at H/3 above the wall base.

Allowable bearing pressure values described in previous sections of this report can be increased by one-third when calculating resistance caused by loads of short duration, such as earthquake loads. Restraining passive pressure and friction values should not be increased by this amount, but a lower factor of safety than is normally applied to static loads could be used. The factor of safety for dynamic load conditions should not be less than 1.2.



## 5.7 WALL DRAINAGE

Drainage should be provided for walls which retain soil to prevent the development of hydrostatic forces behind the wall. Either a geosynthetic composite drainage mat or crushed stone wrapped in filter fabric can be used for drainage. If a geosynthetic composite drainage mat is used, the PVC pipe or composite drainage should be routed to discharge at a suitable location that is protected from erosion. If crushed stone drainage if used, it should consist of a zone of crushed, open-graded, <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch gravel at least 12 inches wide from the base of the wall to within 2 feet of the ground surface. The gravel should be separated from all soil with Mirafi 140N geosynthetic fabric or an approved equivalent. A 4-inch diameter Schedule 40 PVC pipe should be placed at the bottom of the gravel zone and sloped to drain at 1 percent. The pipe should have two rows of 3/8-inch diameter holes spaced at about 6 inches and on an arc of 120 degrees, facing downward. Kleinfelder should be notified to observe the final tie-in of the outlet pipe to its discharge point.

The wall designer should determine the damp proofing requirements.

## 5.8 DRILLED PIER FOUNDATIONS

Drilled pier lengths should be designed based on downward, uplift and lateral loading. We understand that proposed pier lengths are typically governed by lateral loading and that SDG&E will utilize computer program Moment Foundation Analysis Design (MFAD) for design. The recommended soil values below are based on average soil conditions in the generalized layer and the best fit line in Figures 5-8 and 5-14 of the EPRI Manual on Estimating Soil Parameters for Foundation Design (1990). Due to the wide scatter in correlations of pressuremeter modulus data to SPT blow counts and the limited data collected in our study, designers may consider use of more conservative modulus values than the best fit line. These values are intended for use in computer program MFAD only and should be applicable for all versions of the program.. Design values for other methods of analyses can be provided upon request.

The locations for transmission poles were not specified at the time of this report and the substation limits cover a large area. Due to the variable depth of compacted fill over Santiago Formation, the estimated depth of fill at a specific pole location can be provided by Kleinfelder in and addendum when the location is specified. However, we are providing a range of values for the large substation area based on the conditions depicted on the geologic cross-sections between borings. As shown on Figures 5 and 6 respectively, depths of the fill material within the proposed substation varies between 74 and 83 feet (B5, B5 and B6) along cross-section line A-A' in a



northwest direction through the substation limits and are between 37 and 80 feet (B2, B3 and B5) along cross-section line B-B' from west to east. The depth of fill likely exceeds the depth of most if not all foundations. We are providing design parameters for both anticipated geologic units.

SOIL TYPE	UNIT COHESION (PSF)	FRICTION ANGLE (DEGREES)	UNIT WEIGHT (PCF)	DEFORMATION MODULUS E <sub>PMT</sub> (KSI)	STRENGTH REDUCTION FACTOR
Fill	0	32	125	1.0	1.0
Santiago Formation	0	38	130	4.0	1.0

Table 3Recommended Soil Parameters for MFAD Analysis

Notes: Surficial discount of 2 feet is recommended.

Figures 7 and 8 provide allowable capacity curves for both compression and uplift, respectively. End bearing is included in the provided curves but should be neglected if design lengths encounter groundwater. The potential presence of groundwater may be further addressed in project planning and construction but was not observed during either field investigation for the proposed substation. It should be noted that our borings were completed following several years of drought conditions and could fluctuate if piers are constructed following seasonal precipitation.

## 5.9 CORROSION POTENTIAL

The subsurface soils that may be in contact with foundations and buried utilities are anticipated to be locally derived fill, however, the majority of the site will receive imported fill to attain proposed finish grade elevations. Laboratory testing was performed to evaluate soluble chloride, soluble sulfate content and pH of soil. Corrosion test results are summarized in Table 4.



BORING / SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH (FEET)	MINIMUM RESISTIVITY (OHM-CM)	РН	SULFATE CONTENT (PPM)	CHLORIDE CONTENT (PPM)
B-3 / 1	0.5 to 5	480	8.7	210	160
B-4 / 1	0.5 to 5	870	8.9	50	50
B-5 / 1	0.5 to 5	550	8.3	70	160

Table 4 Soil Corrosion Test Results

For reference, Caltrans considers a site to be aggressive if one or more of the following conditions exist for the representative soil samples taken at the site: chloride concentration is 500 parts per million (ppm) or greater, sulfate concentration is 2,000 ppm or greater, or the pH is 5.5 or less.

The Portland Cement Association correlates sulfate content to potential sulfate attack as presented on below:

Sulfate Content, ppm	Sulfate Attack Potential
0 to 1,000	Negligible
1,000 to 2,000	Moderate
2,000 to 20,000	Severe
Over 20,000	Very Severe

A commonly accepted correlation between soil resistivity and corrosivity towards unprotected ferrous metals (National Association of Corrosion Engineers (NACE), 1984) is provided below:

Minimum	
Resistivity, ohm-cm	<b>Corrosion Potential</b>
0 to 1,000	Severely Corrosive
1,000 to 2,000	Corrosive
2,000 to 10,000	Moderately Corrosive
Over 10,000	Mildly Corrosive

Based on the measured minimum resistivities between 480 and 550 ohm-cm, the soils tested at boring locations B-3, B-4 and B-5 are considered severely corrosive to ferrous metals by the



NACE criteria. The soluble sulfate and pH test results did not indicate adverse soil conditions per Caltrans and the Portland Cement Association (PCA) criteria. Based on the laboratory test results, we recommend that Type II or V cement with a maximum water cement ratio of 0.45 should be used for structural concrete structures in contact with soil.

We have performed preliminary laboratory corrosion screening as an initial indicator of soil corrosivity at the site. Performing corrosion engineering is excluded from Kleinfelder's scope. Based on the test results, we recommend that a corrosion engineer be retained to evaluate corrosivity at the site and to provide corrosion resistant design recommendations.

## 5.10 ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT

The required asphalt concrete (AC) pavement structural sections will depend on the expected wheel loads, volume of traffic, and subgrade soils. Site specific traffic indices (TI) for the site were not provided, however, we have assumed a TI of 4.5 for interior access roads within the substation and 6.0 for entrance driveways and other heavy traffic areas.

We performed resistance R-value tests on bulk soil samples of the near-surface soils from two boring locations to evaluate pavement support characteristics of the onsite soils. The R value tests were performed in general accordance with ASTM D 2844. The test results for samples collected at Borings B-7 and B-10 were 11 and 9, respectively. Due to the unknown distribution of each material, we recommend that the pavement be designed for and R-value of 9.The pavement thickness may be adjusted during design if the higher values are prevalent and the area can be delineated. Table 5 presents recommended pavement sections based on the described design criteria.

TRAFFIC USE	TRAFFIC INDEX, TI	ASPHALT CONCRETE (INCHES*)	AGGREGATE BASE (INCHES*)
Interior Substation	4.5	3.0	8.0
Driveway and	6.0	4.0	11.0
Heavy Traffic Areas	6.0	Or 5.0	9.0

# Table 5Recommended Asphalt Concrete Pavement Sections

\* Table values were rounded up to the nearest 1/2 inch.



Flexible pavement sections have been evaluated in general accordance with the Caltrans method for flexible pavement design criteria, design R-value of 9 based on the R-value testing, the calculated Traffic Indices, and a theoretical design life of 20 years. The pavement sections provided above are contingent on the following recommendations being implemented during construction:

- The pavement subgrade should be prepared as recommended in earthwork section of this report.
- Aggregate base materials should be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction per ASTM D 1557 (Modified Proctor).
- Adequate drainage (both surface and subsurface) should be provided such that the subgrade soils and aggregate base materials are not allowed to become saturated. This includes sloping pavement surfaces to promote drainage.
- Aggregate base materials should meet current Caltrans specifications for Class 2 aggregate base. Alternatively, the aggregate base course could meet the specifications for untreated base materials (crushed aggregate base or crushed miscellaneous base) as defined in Section 200-2 of the current edition of the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (Greenbook).
- Asphalt paving materials and placement methods meet current Caltrans specifications for asphalt concrete or Section 400 of the current edition of the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (Greenbook).

Pavement sections provided above are based on the soil conditions encountered during our field investigation, our assumptions regarding final site grades, and limited laboratory testing. A representative of Kleinfelder should be on-site during paving operations to observe and test the subgrade preparation, compaction of the aggregate base, and testing of the asphalt concrete materials.

# 5.11 WATER INFILTRATION AND PERCOLATION

Per the scope of services for the project, no infiltration testing was performed as part of the field study. Due to the extensive depth of existing fill soils at the site (approximately 80 feet) and potential for inducing hydraulic settlement by water from the site, impermeable liners are recommended for all attenuation/bioretention basin areas.



## 5.12 SURFACE DRAINAGE

Foundation performance is a function of how well the runoff waters drain from the site. Drainage should be maintained both during construction and over the entire life of the project. Final elevations at the site should be planned so that positive drainage is established around the control house and other future proposed structures. Positive drainage is defined as a slope of 2 percent or more for a distance of 5 feet or more away from structure foundations.


# 6 LIMITATIONS

This work was performed in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by other members of Kleinfelder's profession practicing in the same locality, under similar conditions and at the date the services are provided. Our conclusions, opinions and recommendations are based on a limited number of observations and data. It is possible that conditions could vary between or beyond the data evaluated. Kleinfelder makes no representation, guarantee or warranty, express or implied, regarding the services, communication (oral or written), report, opinion, or instrument of service provided.

This report may be used only by the Client and the registered design professional in responsible charge and only for the purposes stated for this specific engagement within a reasonable time from its issuance, but in no event later than two (2) years from the date of the report.

The work performed was based on project information provided by Client. If Client does not retain Kleinfelder to review any plans and specifications, including any revisions or modifications to the plans and specifications, Kleinfelder assumes no responsibility for the suitability of our recommendations. In addition, if there are any changes in the field to the plans and specifications, Client must obtain written approval from Kleinfelder's engineer that such changes do not affect our recommendations.

The scope of services was limited to the evaluation of the proposed improvements at the site. It should be recognized that definition and evaluation of subsurface conditions are difficult. Judgments leading to conclusions and recommendations are generally made with incomplete knowledge of the subsurface conditions present due to the limitations of data from field studies. The conclusions of this assessment are based on our subsurface exploration including borings drilled to a maximum depth of 91½ feet, laboratory testing, and engineering analyses.

Kleinfelder offers various levels of investigative and engineering services to suit the varying needs of different clients. Although risk can never be eliminated, more detailed and extensive studies yield more information, which may help understand and manage the level of risk. Since detailed study and analysis involves greater expense, our clients participate in determining levels of service, which provide information for their purposes at acceptable levels of risk. The client and key members of the design team should discuss the issues covered in this report with Kleinfelder, so that the issues are understood and applied in a manner consistent with the owner's budget, tolerance of risk and expectations for future performance and maintenance.



Recommendations contained in this report are based on our field observations and subsurface explorations, laboratory tests, and our present knowledge of the proposed construction. It is possible that soil, rock or groundwater conditions could vary between or beyond the points explored. If soil, rock or groundwater conditions are encountered during construction that differ from those described herein, the client is responsible for ensuring that Kleinfelder is notified immediately so that we may reevaluate the recommendations of this report. If the scope of the proposed construction, including the estimated loads, and the design depths or locations of the foundations, changes from that described in this report, the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are not considered valid unless the changes are reviewed, and the conclusions of this report are modified or approved in writing, by Kleinfelder. Kleinfelder cannot be responsible for interpretation by others of this report or the conditions encountered in the field.



# 7 REFERENCES

- American Concrete Institute (ACI), 2011, Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-11) and Commentary.
- American Public Works Association (APWA), 2013, "Greenbook," Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction.
- American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), 2010, Minimum Design Load for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE/SEI 7-10).
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), various standards and dates.
- Bennett, R, A. and Others, 1996, Global positioning system constraints on fault slip rate in Southern California and Northern Baja, Mexico," Journal of Geophysical Research, vol. 101, no. B10, pp. 21,943-21,960.
- BHA Inc. 2008, Rough Grading Plans for Pacific Coast Business Park, Parcels 1 through 30, City of Oceanside, California, Sheets 1 through 19, As-built dated March 6, 2008.
- California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2012d. Corrosion Guidelines. Version 2.0. Accessed at: www.dot.ca.gov/hq/esc/ttsb/corrosion/pdf/2012-11-19-Corrosion-Guidelines.pdf.
- California Division of Mines and Geology (CDMG). 2006. Seismic Shaking Hazard in California, Based on the USGS/CGS Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Assessment (PSHA) Model, available on-line at http://www.consrv.ca.gov/CGS/rghm/pshamap/pshamain.html.
- California Division of Mines and Geology (CDMG), 1998, Maps of Known Active Fault Near-Source Zones in California and Adjacent Portions of Nevada: International Conference of Building Officials.
- California Division of Mines and Geology (CDMG), 1995, Landslide Hazards in the Northern Part of the San Diego Metropolitan Area, San Diego County, California, Landslide Hazard Identification Map No. 35
- California Division of Mines and Geology (CDMG), 1996, Plate 1: Geologic Maps of the Oceanside, San Luis Rey, and San Marcos 7.5' Quadrangles. DMG Open-file Report 96-02.



- Christian Wheeler Engineering, 2006, Report of Supplemental Geotechnical Investigation, Pacific Coast Business Park, Old Grove Road and Avenida del Oro, Oceanside, California, dated June 14, 2006.
- Christian Wheeler Engineering, 2007, Report of Mass Grading Observations and Testing, Pacific Coast Business Park, Old Grove Road and Avenida del Oro, Oceanside, California, dated May 2, 2007.
- Davis Earth & Materials, Inc., 2005, Supplemental Geotechnical Investigation, Pacific Coast Business Park, Oceanside, California, dated December 9, 2005 (included as Appendix C of Christian Wheeler 2006 report).
- Google Earth Pro, website, Historical Satellite Photographs from 1994 to present.
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), 2012, Flood Insurance Rate Maps, San Diego County, California and Incorporated Areas, FEMA Map No. 06073C0758G available at: http://msc.fema.gov.
- International Code Council, Inc., 2013 California Building Code.
- Jennings, C.W. and Bryant, W.A., 2010, Fault Activity Map of California: California Geological Survey, Scale 1:750,000.
- Kennedy, M.P., and Tan, S.S., 2005, Geologic Map of the Oceanside 30'x60' Quadrangle, California, Regional Geologic Maps Series, 1:100,000 Scale, Map No. 2, Sheets 1 and 2, California Division of Mines and Geology (CDMG).
- Kleinfelder Inc., 2012, Geotechnical Siting Study, San Diego Gas & Electric, Proposed Ocean Ranch Substation, Pacific Coast Business Park - Parcels 7, 16 and 17, Oceanside, California.
- Lindvall, S.C and Rockwell, T.K., 1995, Holocene activity of the Rose Canyon fault zone in San Diego, California, Journal of Geophysical research, Vol. 100, No. B12, pp. 24, 121-24, 132, doi:10.1029/95JB02627.
- Medall, Aragon Geotechnical, Inc., 2004, Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, Pacific Coast Industrial Park, SW Corner of College Boulevard and Old Grove Road, Oceanside, California, dated June 14, 2004.



- Moss, R.E.S, Seed, R.B., Kayen, R.E., Stewart, J.P., Der Kiureghian, A., and Cetin, K.O., 2006, "CPT-based probabilistic and deterministic assessment of in situ seismic soil liquefaction potential," J. Geotech. & Geoenv. Engrg., ASCE, 132 (8), 1032-1051.
- Norris, R.M., and Webb, R.W., 1990, Geology of California, Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Pub.
- National Association of Corrosion Engineers (NACE), 2006, "Corrosion Basics, An Introduction, 2nd Edition" National Association of Corrosion Engineers.
- Portland Cement Association, 1988, Design and Control of Concrete Mixtures, Portland Cement Association, Skokie, Illinois.
- Powell, R.E., Weldon, R.J., and Matti, J.C., 1993, The San Andreas Fault System : Displacement, Palinspastic Reconstruction, and Geologic Evolution, Geological Society of America, Memoir 178, 376 p.
- Rockwell, T. K. 2010, The Rose Canyon Fault Zone in San Diego, Fifth International Conference on Recent Advances in Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics, Paper No. 7.06c. pp. 1-9.
- San Diego Gas & Electric Company, 2012, Concept Layout Plan for Ocean Ranch Substation.
- San Diego Gas & Electric Company, 2015, Preliminary Civil Development Plan, Ocean Ranch Substation.
- Seed, R. B., and Harder, L. F., 1990, "SPT-Based Analysis of Cyclic Pore Pressure Generation and Undrained Residual Strength," Proc. H. B. Seed Memorial Symp., Bi-Tech Publishing Ltd., Vol. 2, pp 351–376.
- Tokimatsu, K. and Seed, H.B., 1987, Evaluation of Settlements in Sands Due to Earthquake Shaking," J. of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 113, No. 8.

United States Department of Agriculture, 1953, Aerial Photographs Flight AXN-4M.

- United States Geological Survey, 2008, Interactive Deaggregation website, http://eqint.cr.usgs.gov/deaggint/2008/index.php.
- Wallace, R.E. 1990. The San Andreas Fault System, California, U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1515, pp. 3-12.



# FIGURES



J:\\_clients\SanDiegoGasAndElectric\20154777\20154777\_oRanchBor.mxd 6/12/2015









ATTACHED IMAGES: ATTACHED XREFS:



ATTACHED IMAGES: ATTACHED XREFS:







# **APPENDIX A**

# FIELD INVESTIGATION AND BORING LOGS



## APPENDIX A FIELD INVESTIGATION AND BORING LOGS

# GENERAL

Our field exploration program consisted of a site reconnaissance, review of previous geotechnical borings and test pits in 2012, and drilling ten geotechnical borings between April 15, 2015 and April 17, 2015. Prior to commencement of the fieldwork, geophysical techniques were used at the boring location in order to identify potential conflicts with subsurface structures. The boring locations were also cleared for buried utilities through Underground Service Alert (USA) of Southern California. In addition, Kleinfelder subcontracted a private utility locating company to sweep the proposed boring locations for underground utilities at the site.

Borings were placed within a structure, pavement or other improvement area. The borings were drilled to depths of approximately 6½ to 91½ feet below the existing ground surface (bgs) using a truck-mounted drill rig equipped with 6-inch-diameter hollow-stem augers (HSA). In addition to our current field exploration program, four borings were drilled within the project area to depths ranging between approximately 20 to 34 feet bgs and four test pits to depths ranging approximately 5 to 10 feet by Kleinfelder, Inc. in 2012 as part of our geotechnical site study for the substation. These borings are presented in Appendix A.1.

The boring logs of our current study are presented as Figures A-3 through A-19. An explanation to the boring logs are presented as Figures A-1 and A-2. The boring logs describe the earth materials encountered, samples obtained and show field and laboratory tests performed. The logs also show the location, boring number, drilling date and the name of the drilling subcontractor. The borings were logged by a Kleinfelder engineer using the Unified Soil Classification System. The boundaries between soil types shown on the log are approximate because the transition between different soil layers may be gradual. Bulk and drive samples of selected earth materials were obtained from the borings.

A California type sampler was used to obtain drive samples of the soil encountered. This sampler consists of a 3-inch O.D., 2.4-inch I.D. split barrel shaft that is pushed or driven a total of 18 inches into the soil at the bottom of the boring. The soil was retained in 6-inch brass sleeves for laboratory testing. An additional 2 inches of soil from each drive remained in the cutting shoe and was usually discarded after visually classifying the soil. The sampler was driven using a 140-pound hammer falling 30 inches. The total number of blows required to drive the sampler the final 12 inches is termed blow count and is recorded on the Log of Boring.



Samples were also obtained using a Standard Penetration Sampler (SPT). This sampler consists of a 2-inch O.D., 1<sup>3</sup>/<sub>e</sub>-inch I.D. split barrel shaft that is advanced into the soils at the bottom of the drill hole a total of 18 inches. The sampler was driven using a 140-pound hammer falling 30 inches. The total number of hammer blows required to drive the sampler the final 12 inches is termed the blow count (N), however all blow counts for each 6-inches is recorded on the boring log. The procedures we employed in the field are generally consistent with those described in ASTM Standard Test Method D1586.

SAMPLE/SAMPLER TYPE GRAPHICS		<u>UNIF</u>	IED S	SOIL CLA	SSIFICATI	ON SY	/STE	M (AS	<u>5TM D 2487)</u>			
BULK SAMPLE			e)	CLEAN GRAVEL	Cu≥4 and 1≤Cc≤3		G	w	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES LITTLE OR NO FINES	WITH		
CALIFORNIA SAMPLER (3 in. (76.2 mm.) outer diameter) STANDARD PENETRATION SPLIT SPOON SAMPLER (2 in. (50.8 mm.) outer diameter and 1-3/8 in. (34.9 mm.) ini	ner		ne #4 siev	WITH <5% FINES	Cu <4 and/ or 1>Cc >3		G	Р	POORLY GRADED GRAVE GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES LITTLE OR NO FINES	LS, WITH		
diameter) GROUND WATER GRAPHICS			jer than th		Cu≥4 and		GW	-GM	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES LITTLE FINES	WITH		
✓       WATER LEVEL (level where first observed)         ✓       WATER LEVEL (level after exploration completion)			ion is larç	GRAVELS WITH	1≤Cc≤3	Ż	GW	-GC	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES LITTLE CLAY FINES	WITH		
Y       WATER LEVEL (additional levels after exploration)         Image: Comparison of the system of the		eve)	arse fract	5% TO 12% FINES	Cu<4 and/		GP-	GM	POORLY GRADED GRAVE GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES LITTLE FINES	LS, WITH		
NOTES • The report and graphics key are an integral part of these logs. <i>J</i> data and interpretations in this log are subject to the explanations a	All and	e #200 sie	i half of co		or 1>Cc>3		GP-	GC	POORLY GRADED GRAVE GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES LITTLE CLAY FINES	LS, WITH		
<ul> <li>Lines separating strata on the logs represent approximate boundaries only. Actual transitions may be gradual or differ from those shows.</li> </ul>		ler than th	More than				G	м	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL MIXTURES	-SILT-SAND		
<ul> <li>No warranty is provided as to the continuity of soil or rock conditions between individual sample locations.</li> </ul>		rial is larg	AVELS (	GRAVELS WITH > 12% FINES			G	с	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-CLAY MIXT	URES		
<ul> <li>Logs represent general soil or rock conditions observed at the point of exploration on the date indicated.</li> <li>In general. Unified Soil Classification System designations</li> </ul>		If of mate	GR				GC-	GM	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-CLAY-SILT	MIXTURES		
presented on the logs were based on visual classification in the fiel and were modified where appropriate based on gradation and inde property testing.	d x	re than ha	(a	CLEAN SANDS	Cu≥6 and 1≤Cc≤3		SI	w	WELL-GRADED SANDS, SA MIXTURES WITH LITTLE C	AND-GRAVEL OR NO FINES		
<ul> <li>Fine grained soils that plot within the hatched area on the Plasticity Chart, and coarse grained soils with between 5% and 12° passing the No. 200 sieve require dual USCS symbols, i.e., GW-GN GP-GM, GW-GC, GP-GC, GC-GM, SW-SM, SP-SM, SW-SC, SP-GP-GC, GC-GM, SW-SM, SP-SM, SW-SC, SP-GP-GC, GC-GM, SW-SM, SP-SM, SW-SC, SP-GP-GP-GP-GP-GP-GP-GP-GP-GP-GP-GP-GP-GP</li></ul>	% //, SC,	OILS (Mo	ie #4 siev	<5% FINES	Cu <6 and/ or 1>Cc >3		S	P	POORLY GRADED SANDS SAND-GRAVEL MIXTURES LITTLE OR NO FINES	with		
<ul> <li>If sampler is not able to be driven at least 6 inches then 50/X indicates number of blows required to drive the identified sampler &gt; inches with a 140 exact because follows 20 inches</li> </ul>	ĸ	AINED S	ler than th		Cu≥6 and	• • • • • • • •	sw-	-SM	Well-graded Sands, SAMDS, SAMDS, SAMDS, SAMDATION SAMDAT	AND-GRAVEL INES		
incres with a 140 pound naminer failing 30 mones.		ARSE GR	ction is smalle	SANDS WITH	1≤Cc≤3		sw	-sc	WELL-GRADED SANDS, SAMIXTURES WITH LITTLE C	AND-GRAVEL LAY FINES		
		CO/	rse fractio	12% FINES	Cu <6 and/		SP-	SM	POORLY GRADED SANDS SAND-GRAVEL MIXTURES LITTLE FINES	WITH		
			alf of coa		or 1>Cc>3		SP-	sc	POORLY GRADED SANDS SAND-GRAVEL MIXTURES LITTLE CLAY FINES	WITH		
			ore than h				SI	м	SILTY SANDS, SAND-GRAN MIXTURES	/EL-SILT		
			ANDS (M	SANDS WITH > 12% FINES			S	с	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND-GF MIXTURES	RAVEL-CLAY		
			S				SC-	SM	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND-SIL MIXTURES	.T-CLAY		
		<u>ه</u> ۵				м	L	INORG	ANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE S Y FINE SANDS, SILTS WITH SI	ANDS, SILTY OR LIGHT PLASTICITY		
		SOIL:	L ô	SILTS AND	CLAYS	C	L	INORG	ANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM , SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LE	ACTICITY, GRAVELLY		
		FD S f of n	er tha sieve	less than	50)	CL-	ML		SANDY CLAYS-SILTS OF LOW P S, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS	LASTICITY, GRAVELLY , LEAN CLAYS		
		ZAIN n hal	#200			0	L		W PLASTICITY			
		E GI e tha	is s the	SILTS AND	CLAYS	M	H		MACEOUS FINE SAND OR SANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLAY			
		 ∎ Po Po		(Liquid L greater tha	imit an 50)	C	н 	FAT C	NIC CLAYS & ORGANIC SIL	TS OF		
						၂၀	Н	MEDI	JM-TO-HIGH PLASTICITY			
	000	F07.	10 :	0454777								
$\frown$	PROJ	ECIN	10.: 2	20154777		G	RA	PHIC	S KEY	FIGURE		
	DRAW	VN BY	:	JC								
KLEINFELDER	CHEC	KED I	BY:	SR	SDG&		EAN			A-1		
	DATE:	:	5	5/28/2015	PAC		COA	ANSI	ST BUSINESS PARK			

PLOTTED: 06/11/2015 04:42 PM BY: jco

gINT FILE: PROJECTWISE: Ocean Ranch Substation.gpj gINT TEMPLATE: PROJECTWISE: KI.F\_STANDARD\_GINT\_LIBRARY\_2015.GLB [GEO-LEGEND 1 (GRAPHICS KEY) WITH USCS]

REVISED:

6/1/2015

### **GRAIN SIZE**

DESCRI	PTION	SIEVE SIZE	GRAIN SIZE	APPROXIMATE SIZE	
Boulders	3	>12 in. (304.8 mm.)	>12 in. (304.8 mm.)	Larger than basketball-sized	
Cobbles		3 - 12 in. (76.2 - 304.8 mm.)	3 - 12 in. (76.2 - 304.8 mm.)	Fist-sized to basketball-sized	
Croval	coarse	3/4 -3 in. (19 - 76.2 mm.)	3/4 -3 in. (19 - 76.2 mm.)	Thumb-sized to fist-sized	
Graver	fine	#4 - 3/4 in. (#4 - 19 mm.)	0.19 - 0.75 in. (4.8 - 19 mm.)	Pea-sized to thumb-sized	_
	coarse	#10 - #4	0.079 - 0.19 in. (2 - 4.9 mm.)	Rock salt-sized to pea-sized	_
Sand	medium	#40 - #10	0.017 - 0.079 in. (0.43 - 2 mm.)	Sugar-sized to rock salt-sized	_
	fine	#200 - #10	0.0029 - 0.017 in. (0.07 - 0.43 mm.)	Flour-sized to sugar-sized	_
Fines		Passing #200	<0.0029 in. (<0.07 mm.)	Flour-sized and smaller	

# Munsell Color

0 ¢¢

FIELD TEST

Visible free water, usually soil is below water table

Absence of moisture, dusty, dry to the touch

FIELD TEST

Some reaction, with bubbles forming slowly

Violent reaction, with bubbles forming immediately

Damp but no visible water

**REACTION WITH HYDROCHLORIC ACID** 

No visible reaction

NAME	ABBR
Red	R
Yellow Red	YR
Yellow	Y
Green Yellow	GY
Green	G
Blue Green	BG
Blue	В
Purple Blue	PB
Purple	Р
Red Purple	RP
Black	N

#### **ANGULARITY**

DESCRIPTION	CRITERIA					
Angular	Particles have sharp edges and relatively plane sides with unpolished surfaces	$\left( \right)$			AND	
Subangular	Particles are similar to angular description but have rounded edges	$\bigcirc$		S.		
Subrounded	Particles have nearly plane sides but have well-rounded corners and edges	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	()	
Rounded	Particles have smoothly curved sides and no edges	Rounded	Subrounded	Subangular	Angular	

#### **PLASTICITY**

DESCRIPTION	LL	FIELD TEST
Non-plastic	NP	A 1/8-in. (3 mm.) thread cannot be rolled at any water content.
Low (L)	< 30	The thread can barely be rolled and the lump or thread cannot be formed when drier than the plastic limit.
Medium (M)	30 - 50	The thread is easy to roll and not much time is required to reach the plastic limit. The thread cannot be rerolled after reaching the plastic limit. The lump or thread crumbles when drier than the plastic limit
High (H)	> 50	It takes considerable time rolling and kneading to reach the plastic limit. The thread can be rerolled several times after reaching the plastic limit. The lump or thread can be formed without crumbling when drier than the plastic limit

#### COARSE-GRAINED SOIL <u>AP</u> DEL ATIVE

APPARENT / R	ELATIVE D	ENSITY - COA	RSE-GRAINE	D SOIL	<b>CONSISTENCY</b>	- FINE-GRAINED S	<u>OIL</u>
APPARENT DENSITY	SPT-N <sub>60</sub>	MODIFIED CA SAMPLER	CALIFORNIA SAMPLER	RELATIVE DENSITY (%)	CONSISTENCY	UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (q <sub>u</sub> )(psf)	CRITERIA
Very Loose	(# biows/it) <4	(# blows/it) <4	(# blows/it) <5	0 - 15	Very Soft	< 1000	Thumb will penetrate soil more than 1 in. (25 mm.)
Loose	4 - 10	5 - 12	5 - 15	15 - 35	Soft	1000 - 2000	Thumb will penetrate soil about 1 in. (25 mm.)
Medium Dense	10 - 30	12 - 35	15 - 40	35 - 65	Firm	2000 - 4000	Thumb will indent soil about 1/4-in. (6 mm.)
Dense	30 - 50	35 - 60	40 - 70	65 - 85	Hard	4000 - 8000	Thumb will not indent soil but readily indented with thumbnail
Very Dense	>50	>60	>70	85 - 100	Very Hard	> 8000	Thumbnail will not indent soil

MOISTURE CONTENT

DESCRIPTION

Dry

Moist

Wet

DESCRIPTION

None

Weak

Strong

NOTE: AFTER TERZAGHI AND PECK, 1948

#### **STRUCTURE**

STRUCTURE				<b>CEMENTATION</b>		
DESCRIPTION	CRITERIA			DESCRIPTION	FIELD TEST	
Stratified	Alternating layers of varying material or colo at least 1/4-in. thick, note thickness	or with layers		Weakly	Crumbles or breaks with handling or s finger pressure	light
Laminated	Alternating layers of varying material or colo less than 1/4-in. thick, note thickness	or with the layer		Moderately	Crumbles or breaks with considerable finger pressure	
Fissured	Breaks along definite planes of fracture with to fracturing	n little resistance		Strongly	Will not crumble or break with finger p	ressure
Slickensided	Fracture planes appear polished or glossy,	sometimes striate	ed			
Blocky	Cohesive soil that can be broken down into lumps which resist further breakdown	small angular				
Lensed	Inclusion of small pockets of different soils, of sand scattered through a mass of clay; r	such as small len note thickness	nses			
Homogeneous	Same color and appearance throughout					
		PROJECT NO.:	: 2015477	7 SOIL [	DESCRIPTION KEY	FIGURE
		DRAWN BY:	J			
<b>KLE</b>	EINFELDER	CHECKED BY:	S	SDG&E OC	EAN RANCH SUBSTATION	A-2
	Bright People. Right Solutions.	DATE:	5/28/201	5 PACIFIC	COAST BUSINESS PARK	
	·	REVISED:	6/1/201	5		

### Particles Present Amount Percentage

trace	<5
few	5-10
little	15-25
some	30-45
and	50
mostly	50-100

00

Date Begin - End:         4/15/2015           Logged By:         J. Co							I Comp	any	<b>/:</b> Paci	cific Drilling rdv/Tobv								BORING LOG B-
Log	ge	d B	y:		J. Co	_ Drill Cr	ew:		Gord	ly/Toby	/							
Hor.	:-V	ert.	Datı	ım:	Not Available	_ Drilling	Equip	me	nt: Unin	nog			На	Imme	r Typ	e - Dr	юр: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
Plur	nge	<b>:</b> :			-90 degrees	Drilling	Metho	d:	Solic	Stem	Auger							
Wea	ath	er:			Sunny and Warm	_ Explor	ation D	iam	neter: 6 in.	in. O.E	).							
					FIEL	D EXPLORATIO	DN							LA	ABORA	TORY	RESU	JLTS
oximate ation (feet)	th (feet)		ohical Log	Appr	Latitude: 33.21113° Longitude: -117.29459 roximate Ground Surface Ele Surface Condition: Bare Earth	N ° W vation (ft.): 367 a and Grass	ple ber	ple Type	Counts(BC)= r. Blows/6 in. et Pen(PP)= tsf	very No Recovery)	S bol	er tent (%)	Jnit Wt. (pcf)	sing #4 (%)	sing #200 (%)	id Limit	ticity Index NonPlastic)	tional Tests/ iarks
	Pent		Grap		Lithologic Descripti	on	- Sam	Sam	Jncor	Reco NR=	JSC	Vate	Dry L	ass	ass	-iqui	Plast NP=	Addi Rem
- 365				Artifi Claye	cial Fill (af) by SAND (SC): fine to mediu velowish brown (2.5 Y 6/3),	um-grained, moist, loose,	1											
-				Silty yellov	SAND (SM): fine to medium v (2.5 Y 7/3), moist, medium	-grained, pale dense, low	2		BC=5 6 10	18"								
-	ł	5		mottle with s	city fines ed with olive gray, dense, be some clayey sand	comes mottled	3		BC=17 15 26	18"		12.2	117.3		33			
- 360	4.																	
- 	10 Lean CLAY (CL): fine-grained, pale of 6/3) mottled with dark gray (2.5 Y, 4/1 very hard, low plasticity						4		BC=5 13 21	14"		15.6	114.2			39	20	
-	1	5		orgar	iic odor		5		BC=7 14 20	18"								
350 																		
╞	2	o-P		Lean	CLAY (CL): olive gray (5 Y	5/2), moist,	6		BC=9	18"								
- 				Poor gray ( mediu	y-graded SAND with Silt ( (2.5 Y 7/2) to olive yellow (2. um dense	SP-SM): light 5Y 6/6), moist,			11 9									
-  -  -	2	5		1 foot mottle	t layers of claystone and sar ed clay in sand	idstone,	7		BC=7 15 19	18"								
340 - -	31	- - - 0		The b 26.5 f was b	ooring was terminated at app ft. below ground surface. Th packfilled with bentonite on A	proximately ne exploration April 15, 2015.		_				GROU Groun compl GENE The ex the Pr	JNDWA dwater etion. RAL NC xploratio eliminar	TER L was no DTES: on elev y Civil	EVEL ot enco vation is Develo	INFOF ountere s appropriet	RMATIC ed durir oximate ot Plan	<u>DN:</u> ng drilling or after e and was estimated from by SDG&E dated Februar
- 												<u> </u>						
						PR	OJECT I AWN BY	NO.: /:	: 20154777 JC			BO	RINC	G LO	G B-	-1		FIGURE
	ł			E/ Brig	NFELDE ght People. Right Solu	ER CH Itions. DA	ecked Te: Vised:	BY:	SR 5/28/2015 6/1/2015	s	DG&E PACI	E OCE IFIC C C	EAN R COAST ICEAN	ANCI BUS ISIDE	H SUI SINES E, CA	BSTA SS PA	ATION ARK	A-3

3Y: jco	Date Begin - End:4/15/2015Logged By:J. CoHorVert. Datum:Not Available					Drilling Company:     Pacific Drilling       Drill Crew:     Gordy/Toby									BORING LOG B-2					
Μ	Log	ged	By:	J. Co	Drill Cre	ew:		Gord	y/Toby	/										
04:45	Hor.	-Ver	t. Da	tum: Not Available	Drilling	Equip	mer	nt: Unim	log			На	mme	r Typ	e - Dr	ор: _	140 lb	. Auto - 30 in.		
015 (	Plur	nge:		-90 degrees	Drilling	Metho	d:	Solid	Stem	Auger										
5/11/2	Wea	ther	:	Sunny and Warm	Explora	tion D	iam	eter: 6 in.	in. O.E	). I										
				FIELD E	EXPLORATIO								L	ABORA	ATORY	' RESL T	JLTS			
PLOTTE	pproximate levation (feet)	lepth (feet)	iraphical Log	Latitude: 33.21099° N Longitude: -117.29424° W Approximate Ground Surface Elevati Surface Condition: Bare Earth ar	/ ion (ft.): 367 nd Grass	ample lumber	ample Type	low Counts(BC)= ncorr. Blows/6 in. ocket Pen(PP)= tsf	ecovery VR=No Recovery)	ISCS ymbol	/ater ontent (%)	ry Unit Wt. (pcf)	assing #4 (%)	assing #200 (%)	iquid Limit	lasticity Index NP=NonPlastic)		dditional Tests/ temarks		
	∢ш		0	Lithologic Description		νZ 1	S	aj r	r e	⊃ø	≤u		4	<u>م</u>		чe		<u>م</u> لا		
	-365	-		Clayey SAND (SC): fine to coarse-g light yellowish brown (2.5 Y 6/3), dry loose, low plasticity fines	rained, to moist,	2	X	BC=8			12.2	112.6					DS	-		
F		yellowish brown (2.5 Y 5/3), dry to m medium dense, random dark gray cla				2		15 15			12.2	112.0						-		
		5 medium dense, random dark gray cla inch chunks, low plasticity fines Poorly-graded SAND with Silt (SP-							1									-		
		Poorly-graded SAND with Silt (SP- medium-grained, light gray (5 Y 7/2), moist, medium dense, random 1/2 in				3		BC=10 10	18"									-		
	-360	-		medium-grained, light gray (5 Y 7/2) moist, medium dense, random 1/2 ir of dark gray silty sand	, dry to nch chunks													-		
┢		-	$\overline{//}$	Clayey SAND (SC): fine to medium	grained,													-		
ŀ		10-		light yellowish brown (2.5 Y 5/3) to o (5Y 5/2) with very dark gray (5Y 3/1)	live gray ), dry to	4		BC=9	18"		14.4	106.6		38				_		
F		-		moist, medium dense, randomly mix	ed with silty			15										-		
f	-355	-		fines	ow plasticity													-		
Ī		-																-		
F		-																-		
Ī		15-		some mottled strong brown (7.5 YR	5/8)	5		BC=8 11	18"									_		
	250	-						14										-		
	-350																			
50		-																_		
		20-						20.0										-		
2				increase in coarse grain sand and fin from 20-20.5 feet	ne gravel	6		BC=6	18"									-		
20	-345	-																-		
ING/		-																-		
BOR		-																-		
KLF –		25-		2" thick weakly computed devetage	somo miso	7		BC=8	10"		70	106 1						-		
GLB		-	41			- '		11 8			1.0	100.1						-		
2015.	-340	-		dark gray (5 Y 3/1), moist, hard, low	plasticity			~										-		
ARY_		-		ine, organic odor, small roots														-		
LIBR		-																-		
		30-		random sandy clay, some gray to oli	ve yellow	8		BC=10	18"									-		
		-						12 23										-		
AND	-335	5 -																-		
																		-		
SE: KL																		-		
					PRC		10.:	20154777		ı					2			FIGURE		
SUJEC						WN RY		.IC			ЪU	TAINC		- D -	~					
н Н								00										A 4		
LAI		7		Right People Pight Solution			דים:	58	s						BSTA		I	A-4		
TEM					DAT	E:		5/28/2015		FAU	0	CEAN	SIDE	E, CA	53 PA					
gIN				-	REV	ISED:		6/1/2015										PAGE: 1 of 2		

Date	e Be	gin ·	Er	nd:	4/15/20	15			Drillinę	g Comp	any	: Paci	fic Drill	ing							E	BORING	LOG B-		
Log	ged	By:			J. Co				Drill C	rew:		Gord	ly/Toby	/			•								
Hor.	Ve	rt. Da	atu	m:	Not Ava	ailable			Drilling	g Equip	mei	nt: Unin	nog			На	mme	r Typ	e - Dr	op: _	140 lb	Auto -	30 in.		
Plun	nge:			-	-90 deg	rees			Drilling	g Metho	od:	Solid	Stem	Auger	r	_									
Wea	athe	r:	-		Sunny a	and W	arm		Explor	ation D	iam	eter: 6 in.	in. O.E	).											
							FI	ELD EXF	PLORATIO								LA	ABORA	TORY	RESU	JLTS				
Approximate Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Graphical Log	-	Appro S	La Long oximate Gr urface Cor Lith	titude: 3 jitude: - round S ndition: nologic	33.2109 117.294 urface E Bare Ea Descri	9° N 24° W Elevation arth and ( ption	(ft.): 367 Grass	 Sample Number	Sample Type	Blow Counts(BC)= Uncorr. Blows/6 in. Pocket Pen(PP)= tsf	Recovery (NR=No Recovery)	USCS Symbol	Water Content (%)	Dry Unit Wt. (pcf)	Passing #4 (%)	Passing #200 (%)	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index (NP=NonPlastic)		Additional Tests/			
				Lean	CLAY wit	h Sand	(CL): f	ine to		9		BC=8	18"		13.4			81							
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	40- 45-			coarsa hard, SANL Silty S yellow moist, shoe, becon olive y	e-grained, rootlets ago Form. STONE (¢ SAND (SW (2.5 Y 6/6 very dens non-plasti	very da ation (1 excaval 1): fine t 5) to ligh se, 1/2" c ellowish Y 6/6)	rk gray (sa) tes as): to coars t gray ( chunks) brown	(5 Y 3/1 e-graine 2.5 Y 7/ of clays (2.5Y 6/	), moist, ed, olive 2), dry to tone at 3) to	10		BC=14 37 50/6" BC=14 19 22	18"		10.2	111.4		23							
- -315 -				The b 51.5 fi was b	oring was t. below gr ackfilled w	termina ound su	ited at a urface. tonite g	approxim The exp rout on A	nately Noration April 15,	12		36 50/4"			GROU Groun comple	INDWA dwater etion.	TER L was no	EVEL I ot enco	NFOR untere	MATIC d durir	<u>DN:</u> ng drillin	g or after			
- 	55- 60-	-		2015.											The experimental the Provide 27, 20	ploratic eliminar 15.	n elev y Civil	ation is Devek	s appro	oximate t Plan I	e and w by SDG	as estima &E dated	ited from February		
- 	65-																								
								PR	OJECT I	NO.: /:	20154777 JC			во	RING	G LO	G B-	-2			FIC	GURE			
	KLEINFELDER Bright People. Right Solutions									IECKED TE: VISED:	BY:	SR 5/28/2015 6/1/2015	s	DG&E PACI	E OCE IFIC C O	AN R. OAST CEAN	ANCI BUS SIDE	H SUE SINES E, CA	BSTA S PA	TION RK	1	A	<b>\-5</b>		

- - -	Date	Beg	jin - I	ind: <u>4/15/2015</u> Dr	illing	Comp	any	Pacif	ic Drill	ing							BO	RING LOG B	3-3			
	Log	ged I	⊔у: ⊳_р_4	J. CO Dr	illica '	W: Eauir	<b>m</b>	Gord	y/ I oby	y		U-			- D-		140 16 4	uto 20 in				
ŕ.	HOr.	-ven	. Dat	um: <u>Not Available</u> Dr	'illing i	Equip Motho	mer	nt: <u>Unim</u> Solid	log	A		на	mme	riyp	e - Dr	ор: _	140 ID. A	uto - 30 in.	—			
2	Mee	iye: thor		-yu uegrees Dr	uung l	wietho	u: iam	<u>50110</u>		Auger												
	vvea	uier					am	eter: o In.	111. U.L	<u>ן.</u> 			1.4			/ DEGI	II T9					
i i				FIELD EXFLOR	KATIO											RESU						
	proximate evation (feet)	epth (feet)	aphical Log	Latitude: 33.21109° N Longitude: -117.29366° W Approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): Surface Condition: Bare Earth and Gras	368 s	imple umber	Imple Type	w Counts(BC)= corr. Blows/6 in. cket Pen(PP)= tsf	covery R=No Recovery	SCS mbol	ater ontent (%)	y Unit Wt. (pcf)	Issing #4 (%)	Issing #200 (%	quid Limit	asticity Index P=NonPlastic)		lditional Tests/ emarks				
-	άщ	ă	Ū	Lithologic Description		Sz	Sa		₽Z	Sy	ΰڏ	Ğ	Ра	Ра	Ĕ	ĭ₹Z		Ad Re				
-		-		Artificial Fill (af) Sandy CLAY (CL): fine to coarse grained, olive brown (2.5 Y 5/3), moist, loose, low plasticity fines	light	1	$\mathbb{X}$								44	26	Corrosion					
╞	-365	5 - Clayey SAND (SC): fine to coarse graine				2		BC=7 8	18"		12.8	108.9	100	26								
╞		-		light yellow brown (2.5 Y 6/3), moist, mediu dense, low plasticity fines	m	1		11														
╞		5-		1/2" chunks of non-uniform clay		3	$\wedge$	BC=9	18"	-									-			
╞		-				Ĺ		11 17														
╞		-																				
┢	-360	-																				
╞		-																				
╞		10-		some light olive brown (2.5 Y 5/3), 1/2"		4		BC=7	18"										-			
╞		-		claystone chunks				9 12														
╞		-																				
┢	-355	-																				
╞		-																				
ŀ		15-		Sandy CLAY (CL): fine to medium grained	, <u> </u>	5		BC=7	18"		15.8	103.0							-			
╞		-		gray (2.5 Y 5/1) to light olive brown (2.5 Y 5 moist, hard, low plasticity fines	5/3),	L		13 14														
ŀ		-		,, . · p																		
	-350	-																				
F		-																				
ŀ		20-		mostly fine to coarse grained sandy clay wit	th	6		BC=7	16"	1									-			
F		-		random silty sand and claystone chunks. gr brownish yellow and dusky red	ay to			7														
f	o · -	-																				
f	-345	-																				
١ţ		-																				
ſ		25-		becomes very hard, random chunks of sand	dy	7		BC=6 16	18"	1	15.2	108.9							-			
ſ		-		day, onve yendw mixed with gray and dark	yray			20														
	240	-		Santiago Formation (Tsa)		1													-			
ſ	-340	-	V///	CLAY with Sand (CL): grayish brown (10 )	YR,																	
		20		5/2), moist, very dense, weak cemented cal carbonate fracture. low plasticity	lcium	L																
		30-				8		BC=15 21	22"		13.0								_			
		30 Carbonate macture, low plasticity SANDSTONE: excavates as Sitry SAND (SM): fine to medium grained			olive			35														
	-335	5 SANUS I ONE: Excavates as Silty SAND (SM): fine to medium grained, gray to gray (5 Y 4/2) with strong brown (7 5 5																				
	555	5/6), moist, very dense, low plasticity fines,				]																
					PRO	JECTN	10.:	20154777			BO	RING	G LO	G B-	-3			FIGURE				
		$\bigcap$			DRA	WN BY	·:	JC			-		2	_								
	(	K	1		CHE	CKED	BY:	SR	<u> </u>									Δ_6				
;	\ \	7 1		Bright People, Right Solutions.				5/29/2015	5	DG&E PACI	E OCE FIC C	EAN RA	ANCI	H SUI	BSTA SS PA			7-0				
				/				0/20/2015		01	C	CEAN	SIDE	E, CA								
5		<b>KLEINFELDER</b> Bright People. Right Solutions.				ISED:		6/1/2015									PA	GE: 1 of	2			

Dat	e Beç	gin - I	End:	4/15/2015	Drilling	Compa	ny:	Pacif	c Drill	ing				BORING LOG			
Log	ged	By:		J. Co	Drill Cre	w:		Gord	/Toby	/			L				
Hor	Ver	t. Dat	um:	Not Available	Drilling	Equipm	nent:	Unim	og			Ha	mme	r Type	e - Dr	ор: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
Plu	nge:			-90 degrees	Drilling	Method	l:	Solid	Stem	Auger							
Wea	ather	:		Sunny and Warm	Explora	tion Dia	amete	<b>r:</b> 6 in. i	n. O.E	).							
				FIELD	EXPLORATION	١							LA	BORA	TORY	RESL	JLTS
roximate /ation (feet)	oth (feet)	phical Log	Арр	Latitude: 33.21109° N Longitude: -117.29366° i roximate Ground Surface Eleva Surface Condition: Bare Earth a	W ition (ft.): 368 and Grass	nple nber	Tple Type	contractory brr. Blows/6 in. tet Pen(PP)= tsf	overy =No Recovery)	SS Ibol	er itent (%)	Unit Wt. (pcf)	sing #4 (%)	sing #200 (%)	iid Limit	sticity Index =NonPlastic)	itional Tests/ narks
App Elev	Dep	Gra		Lithologic Description	n	Nun	San	Pock	Rec( (NR=	USC	Wat	Dry I	Pas	Pas	Liqu	Plas (NP:	Add Ren
			SAN	DSTONE: excavates as		9	BC	=17	16"								
Ē	-		medi	rly-graded SAND with Silt (Si ium-grained, light yellowish bro	<b>P-SM)</b> : fine to own (2.5 Y	10	BC	50/4" =14	18"								
	-		6/4),	moist, very dense, low plastici	ity fines	10	20	19 24	10								
-330	-	- 111				I											
-	-	1	The l	boring was terminated at appro	oration was						GROU	dwater	Vas no	EVEL I	<u>NFOR</u> untere	d durin	<u>DN:</u> ng drilling or after
F	40-	1	back	filled with bentonite on April 15	5, 2015.						Comple	etion. RAI NO	DTES.				
F	-	1									The ex		n elev	ation is	s appro	oximate	e and was estimated from
╞	-	-									27, 20	15.	y UIVII	Devel	PUIGI	u idil i	by ODORE URIEU FEDILIE
-325	-	-															
F	-	-															
ŀ	45-	-															
-	-	-															
Ļ	-																
-320	-																
	-																
L	50-																
	50																
<b>_</b>	-																
	-																
-315	-	1															
F	-	1															
F	55-																
F	-																
F	-																
-310	-																
F	-																
F	60-	1															
-	-	1															
F	-	-															
-305	-	-															
F	-	-															
F	65-	-															
F	-	-															
╞	-	-															
-300	-	-															
F	-	4															
					I												
					PRO	JECT N	O.: 20	154777			во	RING	S LO	G B-	.3		FIGURE
					DRA	WN BY:		JC									
	K	1	FI			CKED B	Y:	SR									^ 7
	n		Rri	ight People Right Solut		-			S			AN R			SSTA	TION	A-7
				.ge. copie, mgne soluti	DAT	E:	5/2	28/2015			0	CEAN	SIDE	, CA	5 F A		
			-		REV	ISED:	6	/1/2015									PAGE: 2 o

Y: jco	Date	e Beç	jin - E	End:	4/16/2015	Drilling	Comp	any	: Pacif	ic Drill	ing							BORING LOG B-4
PM B	Log	ged I	By:		J. Co	Drill Cre	w:		Gord	y/Toby	/			L				
4:45 F	Hor.	-Ver	t. Dat	um:	Not Available	Drilling	Equip	mer	nt: Unim	og			На	mme	r Typ	e - Dr	ор: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
15 04	Plur	nge:			-90 degrees	Drilling	Metho	d:	Solid	Stem	Auger							
11/20	Wea	ather			Sunny and Warm	Explora	tion Di	iam	eter: 6 in. i	n. O.E	).							
: 06/					FIELD E	XPLORATIO	N							LA	BORA	TORY	RESL	ILTS
PLOTTED	proximate vation (feet)	pth (feet)	aphical Log	Арр	Latitude: 33.21067° N Longitude: -117.29314° W vroximate Ground Surface Elevati Surface Condition: Bare Earth and	on (ft.): 373 d Grass	mple mber	nple Type	w Counts(BC)= orr. Blows/6 in. ket Pen(PP)= tsf	covery č=No Recovery)	CS nbol	ıter ntent (%)	' Unit Wt. (pcf)	ssing #4 (%)	ssing #200 (%)	uid Limit	sticity Index <sup>&gt;</sup> =NonPlastic)	ditional Tests/ marks
	App Ele	Del	Grã		Lithologic Description		Sar Nui	Sar	Blov Unc Poc	Rec NF	US Syr	Va Coi	Dry	Раз	Рая	Liq	(NF	Add Rei
	-	-		Artif Clay light plast	icial Fill (af) ey SAND (SC): fine to coarse gr olive gray (5 Y 6/2), moist, loose icity fines	ained, , low	1	$\mathbb{N}$										-
	370 	-		beco dark	mes white, medium dense, rand olive gray	om 1/2"	2		BC=7 10 13	16"		14.0	114.2			33	16	DS, Corrosion
	-	5		rand	om 1/2" dark olive gray		3		BC=4 7 10	14"								-
	—365 -	- - 10-																
	_	-10		Silty dens	<b>SAND (SM)</b> : pale yellow, moist, se, 1/2" dark gray claystone chun	medium ks	4		BC=8 10 15	18"		10.8	114.1	100	29			-
	- —360 -	-													29			-
	-	15- - -		incre	ase in 2" claystone chunks		5		BC=7 9 11	18"								-
IL LOG]	355 -	-																-
ORING/TEST PIT SO	- -  -350	20- - -		<b>San</b> 6/3) 5/8), plast	dy CLAY (CL): fine grained, pale mixed with random yellowish bro moist, firm, 1/4" claystone chunl icity fines	e olive (5 Y wm (10 YR ks, low	6		BC=3 6 6	14"		15.1						-
15.GLB [KLF_B(	-	- 25 -		beco ceme 1/2"	mes hard, 1/2 to 1" chunks of we ented fine grained silty sand, sor	eak ne white	7		BC=6 11 12	18"								-
LLIBRARY_20	- 	-		Lear	CLAY (CL): yellowish brown (1)	0 YR 5/4),												-
Substation.gpj TANDARD_GIN <sup>-</sup>	-	30- - -		mois	ı, nalu		8		BC=7 8 9	26"						41	23	-
ean Ranch SE: KLF_S	- 340	-																-
CTWISE: OC ROJECTWI						PRC	UJECT N	10.: :	20154777 JC			BO	RING	6 LO	G B-	-4		FIGURE
IT FILE: PROJEC	KLEINFELDER Bright People. Right Solutions.				CHE	CKED I E: ISED <sup>.</sup>	BY:	SR 5/28/2015 6/1/2015	s	DG&E PACI	E OCE FIC C O	AN RA OAST CEAN	ANCI BUS SIDE	H SUE SINES , CA	BSTA SS PA	TION	A-8	
glh gl																		PAGE: 1 of 3

Da	nte E	Beg	in - E	End:	4/16/2015 D	rilling	Comp	any	: Pacif	ic Drill	ing								BORING	LOG B-4
Lc	gge	ed E	iy:		J. Co D	rill Cre	w:		Gord	y/Toby	/			I						
Ho	orV	/ert	Dat	um:	Not Available D	rilling	Equip	mer	nt: Unim	log			На	mme	r Typ	e - Dr	ор: _	140 lk	o. Auto -	30 in.
PI	ung	e:			-90 degrees D	rilling	Metho	d:	Solid	Stem	Auger									
W	eath	ner:			Sunny and Warm E	xplora	tion D	iam	eter: 6 in.	in. O.E	).									
					FIELD EXPLC	RATION	1	-						L	ABOR/	ATOR)	' RESL	JLTS		
Approximate Elevation (feet)		Ueptn (reet)	Graphical Log	Арр	Latitude: 33.21067° N Longitude: -117.29314° W roximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft., Surface Condition: Bare Earth and Gra 	: 373 ss	Sample Number	Sample Type	Blow Counts(BC)= Uncorr. Blows/6 in. Pocket Pen(PP)= tsf	Recovery (NR=No Recovery)	USCS Symbol	Water Content (%)	Dry Unit Wt. (pcf)	Passing #4 (%)	Passing #200 (%)	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index (NP=NonPlastic)		Additional Tests/	Kemarks
			////	Sand	dy CLAY (CL): fine grained, pale olive	(5 Y	9		BC=9	18"										
- 33! -	5	- - - -		6/3) chun very	mottled with very dark gray (5 Y 3/1) a ks of strong brown (7.5 YR 5/6), mois hard, low plasticity fines	nd t, 			20											
-		-		<b>Silty</b> <sup>mois</sup> ך fines,	<b>SAND (SM)</b> : white, gray to strong bro t, dense, 1/4" piece of wood, low plas	own, icity <sub>/</sub> I	10		BC=6 7 6	24"										
-330 -	)			<b>CLA</b> mois	Y (CL): light brownish gray (2.5 Y 6/2) t, firm, low plasticity fines	,														
- - -325	5	15 - - -		Sand pale and s fines	dy CLAY (CL): fine to medium grained olive with random chunks of very dark strong brown, moist, hard, low plastici	i, — — - a gray ty	11		BC=5 10 15	18"		13.3	107.6							
-	5	50		CLA very low p	<b>Y with Sand (CL)</b> : fine to medium gra dark gray (2.5 Y 3/1), moist, firm to ha plasticity fines	ained, ard,	12		BC=4 6 9	24"										
320 - -	) 5				av SAND (SQ), find and light div		10		BC-3	14"		17.0	102.4		42					
- - -31	5			gray	(5 Y 6/2), moist, hard, low plasticity fi	e nes			8 11			17.0	103.4		43					
-	6	50		Sand very 6/1), plant	<b>by CLAY (CL)</b> : fine to medium grained dark gray (2.5Y 3/1) to greenish gray moist, hard, organic odor, unwithered s, low plasticity fines	1, (5 GY I dry	14		BC=4 8 11	24"										
-310	)	-			Y with Sand (CL): fine grained, very	 dark														
-		-		gray wood rootle	(2.5 Y 3/1), moist, very hard, chunk o d with 1" nails in sampler, organic odo ets, medium plasticity fines	f 2-3" r and	15		BC=13 19 	20"		20.1	107.5			45	27			
-30	,			You	ng Colluvial Deposits (Qyc)															
1						PRO DRA	UJECT N WN BY	, 10.: ; ₽∨∙	20154777 JC			BO	RING	GLC	G B	-4			FI	GURE
				Bri	INFELDER ight People. Right Solutions.	DAT	E: ISED:	דט:	5/28/2015 6/1/2015	S	DG&E PACI	E OCE FIC C C	EAN R. COAST DCEAN	ANCI BUS ISIDE	H SUI BINES E, CA	BSTA SS PA	ATION ARK	I	PAGE:	<b>₹-9</b> 2 of 3

D	Date	Beç	jin - E	Ind:	4/16/2015	Drilling	Compa	any	: Pacif	ic Drill	ing							BORING LOG B-4
L	.ogę	ged l	Зу:		J. Co	Drill Cre	w:		Gord	y/Toby	/			L				
н	lor.	Ver	. Dat	um:	Not Available	Drilling	Equip	mer	nt: Unim	og			На	mme	r Type	e - Dr	ор: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
P	lun	ge:			-90 degrees	Drilling	Metho	d:	Solid	Stem	Auger							
v	Vea	ther			Sunny and Warm	Explora	tion Di	iam	eter: 6 in.	in. O.E	).							
					FIELD E	XPLORATIO	N							LA	BORA	TORY	' RESU	ILTS
roximate	/ation (feet)	oth (feet)	phical Log	Арр	Latitude: 33.21067° N Longitude: -117.29314° W roximate Ground Surface Elevati Surface Condition: Bare Earth ar	/ on (ft.): 373 nd Grass	nple nber	nple Type	Counts(BC)= orr. Blows/6 in. (et Pen(PP)= tsf	overy =No Recovery)	CS Ibol	ter itent (%)	Unit Wt. (pcf)	sing #4 (%)	sing #200 (%)	uid Limit	sticity Index =NonPlastic)	litional Tests/ narks
App	Шe	Dep	Gra		Lithologic Description		San	San	Uncc Pock	Rec (NR	USC	Wat Cor	Dry	Pas	Pas	Liqu	Plas (NP	Add Ren
- - -30	00	-		Your CLA black (7.5 fragn	ng Colluvial Deposits (Qyc) Y with Sand (CL): fine grained, (10Y 2.5/1) and flecks of strom YR 5/6), moist, hard, rootlets an nents, medium plasticity fines	greenish g brown id wood	16		BC=6 9 10	18"								
- - 29	95	- 75 - -		Sant SAN Silty (10 Y (5 Y, non-j	iago Formation (Tsa) DSTONE: excavates as SAND (SM): fine grained, brow (R 6/8) with pale yellow, light gra 7/3, 7/1, 5/1), moist, very dense plastic	nish yellow ay, and gray e,	17	-	BC=36 50/2"	8"								
- - 29	90	80 - -		The I ft. be	boring was terminated at approx low ground surface. The explor filled with bentonite grout on Ap	kimately 81 ration was ril 16, 2015.	18		BC=23 50/5"	11"		GROU Ground Comple	NDWA dwater	TER L was no	EVEL I ot enco	NFOR	RMATIC d durin	<u>DN:</u> ig drilling or after
- - 	85	85- - - - 90-										the Pre 27, 20	eliminar 15.	y Civil	Develo	opmen	t Plan t	by SDG&E dated February
- 28 - - -	80	- - 95— -																
-27	75	- - 100- - -																
	.0	_				PRC	DJECT N	<b>10</b> .: :	20154777 JC			BO	RING	G LO	G B-	-4		FIGURE
		K		E/ Bri	<b>NFELDE</b> ight People. Right Solution	CHE DAT REV	:CKED I E: 'ISED:	BY:	SR 5/28/2015 6/1/2015	S	DG&E Paci	E OCE FIC C O	AN R OAST CEAN	ANCI BUS ISIDE	H SUE SINES E, CA	BSTA SS PA	TION RK	A-10

BY: jco	Date	Beg	jin -	End: <u>4/17/2015</u>	Drilling	Compa	any	: Pacif	ic Drill	ing							BORING LOG B-5
Μ	Log	ged I	Зу:	J. Co	Drill Cre	w:		Gord	y/Carlo	os					_		
04:45	Hor.	-Ver	. Da	um: Not Available	Drilling	Equip	mer	nt: <u>Unim</u>	og			На	mme	r Typ	e - Dr	op: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
2015	Plur	ige:		-90 degrees	Drilling	Metho	d:	Solid	Stem	Auger							
3/11/2	Wea	ther		Sunny and Warm	Explorat	tion Di	iam	eter: 6 in.	in. O.L	).							
Ö				FIELD EXPL	ORATION	1								abora		RESU	ILIS
PLOTTE	oroximate vation (feet)	pth (feet)	aphical Log	Latitude: 33.21053° N Longitude: -117.29372° W Approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft Surface Condition: Bare Earth and Gra	.): 371 ass	nple mber	nple Type	v Counts(BC)= orr. Blows/6 in. ket Pen(PP)= tsf	covery R=No Recovery)	CS nbol	iter ntent (%)	· Unit Wt. (pcf)	ssing #4 (%)	ssing #200 (%)	uid Limit	sticity Index <sup>&gt;</sup> =NonPlastic)	litional Tests/ marks
	App	Dep	Gra	Lithologic Description		Sar	Sar	Poct Poct	(NR	USI Syn	Cor	Dry	Pas	Pas	Liqu	(NP	Adc
-	-370	-		Artificial Fill (af) Silty SAND (SM): fine to medium grained olive gray (5 Y 6/2), dry to moist, loose, ro and roots, low plasticity fines	l, light ootlets	1	X	20.7									Modified Proctor, Corrosion
ł	-	-		olive gray to white with 1/2" chunks of cla	ecomes ystone	2		BC=7 14	16"		13.3	113.8		27			LDS _
ł	-	-				1	$\mathbf{X}$										-
-	-365	5		becomes pale yellow (5 Y 7/3), random cl sand and 1/2" claystone chunks,	ayey	3		BC=9 14 18	16"		12.2	111.2					-
-	- - -	- - - 10—						PC-5	40"								- - -
	-360	-				4		8 13	18								-
-	-	-															-
	-	15-		moist, medium dense, increase in clay,	,			DC-5	4.0"		45.0	110.0					-
-	-355 - -	-		becomes more olive gray (5 Y 5/2) chunk white and very dark gray	s of	5		BC=5 5 8	18"		15.6	110.3					-
PIT SOIL LOG	- - -350	- 20—		moist, olive gray mixed with pale yellow		6		BC=5	20"								-
F_BORING/TEST	-	-															-
E E	-	25-	///			7		BC=9	18"		12.2	107.6					-
.IBRARY_2015.GLE	345 - -	-		Sandy CLAY (CL): tine to medium graine olive (5 Y 5/3) and random dusk red, stro brown and gray, moist, hard, 1/2" chunks claystone, low plasticity fines	ed, ng of			9 20									- - -
T_N_	-	30-				0		BC=8	24"								_
D_G	-340	-				ŏ		8 7	24"								4
NDAF	-	-						<b></b>									
STA	-	-															4
KLF	-	-															4
MISE			////														
JECT					PRO	JECT N	10.:	20154777			BO	RING	G LC	G B-	-5		FIGURE
PRO	/				DRA	WN BY	:	JC									
APLATE:		K	L	EINFELDER Bright People. Right Solutions.	CHE	CKED E	BY:	SR	s	DG&E PACI	E OCE FIC C	AN RA			BSTA		A-11
						 ISFD·		6/1/2015			0	CEAN	SIDE	E, CA			
Пß								5, 1/2013									PAGE: 1 of 3

BY: jco	Date	Beg	gin - E	End: 4/17/2	015	Drilling	Comp	any	r: Paci	fic Drill	ing							BORING LOG B-5
5 PM	Log	ged I	By:	J. Co	voilabla		ew:		<u>Gord</u>	iy/Carl	OS							
04:4(	Hor.	-ver	t. Dat	um: Not Av		Drilling	Equip	mer	nt: Unin	10g	A		На	mme	riyp	e - Dr	op: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
2015	Plur	ige:	_	-90 de		Drilling	Metho	a:			Auger							
6/11/2	vvea	tner		Sunny				am	eter: 6 in.	in. O.L	). 							
0 					FIELD EX	PLURATIO								L/		TURI	RESU	LIS
PLOTTE	pproximate evation (feet)	epth (feet)	aphical Log	L Lor Approximate ( Surface C	atitude: 33.21053° N ngitude: -117.29372° W Ground Surface Elevation ondition: Bare Earth and	n (ft.): 371 Grass	ample umber	ample Type	w Counts(BC)= corr. Blows/6 in. cket Pen(PP)= tsf	scovery R=No Recovery)	SCS mbol	ater ontent (%)	y Unit Wt. (pcf)	1%) #4	assing #200 (%	quid Limit	asticity Index P=NonPlastic)	ditional Tests/ smarks
	άŝ	Ğ	ē	Li	thologic Description		Sa	Sa		₽Z	s v	°,8 °,8	D	Ра	Ра	Lic	ΞZ	Ad Re
-	335 - -	- - -		Sandy CLAY ( olive (5 Y 5/3) brown and gra claystone, low moderatley cer of pale yellows	(CL): fine to medium gra and random dusk red, s y, moist, hard, 1/2" chui plasticity fines mented dusk red, rando silty sand	ained, strong nks of om chunks	9		9 9 BC=8	18"		14.4	112.4					- - -
-	-330 - -	40 - - -		some strong b	rown		10		BC=6 7 9	24"								
-	- 325 - -	45		random chunk strong brown	s of dusk red, olive gray	/, and	11		BC=4 9 11	18"		7.8	112.8					-
SOIL LUGJ	-320			Silty SAND (S Y 7/4) mixed w medium dense	M): fine grained, pale y vith yellow (2.5 Y 7/8), n	ellow (2.5 noist,	12		BC=5 7 7 BC=9	24"								-
KLF_BORING/IESI PII	-315 - -	- - - -		Clayey SAND (2.5 Y, 8/2) to 1/2" chunks of	(SC): fine grained, pale yellow (2.5 Y 7/8), mois claystone	e yellow t, dense,			19 25									- - -
T_LIBKARY_ZU15.GLB	-310 - -			becomes light mottled with st 61.5 feet beco plasticity fines	yellowish brown (2.5 Y ( rong brown (7.5 YR 5/8 mes white and light gray	6/4) ). Then at y, low	14		BC=5 6 9	24"								
ISE: KLF_S IANUAKU_GIN	305 - -	65- - - -		becomes white strong brown	e to light gray (5 Y 7/1) v	with little	15		BC=10 14 20	18"		13.4	111.5		46			- - - - -
	(	K		EINF	ELDE		DJECT N AWN BY ECKED I	10.: : :3Y:	20154777 JC SR		SDG&F	BO			GB-	-5 		FIGURE
gIN I EMPLA			-	Bright Pec	ople. Right Solution	ns. DAT REV	TE: /ISED:		5/28/2015 6/1/2015		PACI	FIC C	CEAN	BUS	SINES E, CA	S PA	RK	PAGE: 2 of 3

3Y: jco	Date	e Beg	jin - I	End:4/17/2015 Dr	rilling C	ompa	any	: Pacit	ic Drill	ing							BORING LOG B-5
Σ	Log	ged I	By:	J. Co Dr	rill Crew	v:		Gord	y/Carl	os			L				
94:45	Hor.	-Verl	. Dat	tum: Not Available Dr	rilling E	quip	mer	nt: Unim	log			На	mme	r Тур	e - Dr	ор: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
015 (	Plur	nge:		-90 degrees Dr	rilling M	letho	d:	Solid	Stem	Auger							
2/11/2	Wea	ather		Sunny and Warm Ex	cplorati	on Di	iam	eter: 6 in.	in. O.[	). I							
. 06				FIELD EXPLOF	RATION								LA	ABOR/	ATOR)	( RESU	ILTS
PLOITEL	oproximate evation (feet)	epth (feet)	raphical Log	Latitude: 33.21053° N Longitude: -117.29372° W Approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): Surface Condition: Bare Earth and Gras	: 371 ss	ample umber	ample Type	ow Counts(BC)= ncorr. Blows/6 in. ocket Pen(PP)= tsf	ecovery IR=No Recovery)	SCS ymbol	'ater ontent (%)	-y Unit Wt. (pcf)	assing #4 (%)	assing #200 (%)	quid Limit	asticity Index IP=NonPlastic)	dditional Tests/ emarks
╞	ΧШ	ă	Ū ////	Lithologic Description		ທັž	š		24"	ິນ ດົ	≥ŏ	ā	å	ů 67	Ē	⋴∊	ÅÅ.
	-300	-		very dark gray (2.5 Y 3/1) with some light greenish gray at 70.5 feet, organic odor, mo firm, organic odor, low to medium plasticity	, oist, − fines	10		6 7	24					07			-
	-295	- 75— - -		olive gray (5 Y 5/2) mixed with very dark grace (2.5 Y 3/1), becomes very hard, organic ode	ay Ior	17		BC=10 17 31	18"								
-	-290	- 80— -		light olive gray (5 Y 6/2) to light gray (5 Y 7/ mottled with strong brown and very dark gra becomes hard	/1) ay,	18		BC=7 7 12	24"								
	-285	- 85 - -		Santiago Formation (Tsa) SANDSTONE: excavates as Silty SAND (SM): fine grained, pale olive ( 6/3), moist, medium dense, low plasticity fir	5 Y nes	19		BC=9 10 17	16"								- - - - -
	-280	- 90— -		becomes light gray (5 Y 7/1)		20		BC=21 39 50/4"	16"								-
KARY_2015.GLB [KLF_BURING/IE	-275	- - 95— - -		The boring was terminated at approximately 91.5 ft. below ground surface. The explorat was backfilled with bentonite grout on April 2015.	y tion 17,						<u>GROU</u> Groun- comple <u>GENE</u> The ex the Pro 27, 20	INDWA dwater etion. RAL NC cploratio eliminar 15.	<u>TER L</u> was no <u>DTES:</u> n elev y Civil	EVEL ot enco ration is Develo	INFOF ountere s appr opmer	RMATIC ed durin oximate	<u>N:</u> g drilling or after e and was estimated from by SDG&E dated February
KLF_S IANUARU_GIN I_LIDI																	
	/				ECT N	10.: ':	20154777 JC			во	RING	6 LO	G B-	-5		FIGURE	
II IEMPLAIE:		K		EINFELDER Bright People. Right Solutions.		: Sed <sup>.</sup>	BY:	SR 5/28/2015 6/1/2015	s	DG&E PACI	E OCE IFIC C O	AN R/ OAST CEAN	ANCI BUS SIDE	H SUI BINES E, CA	BSTA SS PA	ATION ARK	A-13
5 L									1								PAGE: 3 01 3

2 č 1007 Ê

-	Date	e Beg	in - E	End: <u>4/16/2015</u> Dr	illing	Comp	any	: Pacif	ic Drill	ing							BORING LOG B-6
	Log	ged E	By:	J. Co Dr	ill Cre	w:		Gord	y/Toby	/				_	_		
	Hor.	-Vert	. Dat	um: Not Available Dr	illing l	Equip	mer	nt: Unim	og			На	mme	r Typ	e - Dr	ор: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
	Plun	nge:		<u>-90 degrees</u> Dr	illing I	Metho	d:	Solid	Stem	Auger							
	Wea	ther:		Sunny and Warm Ex	plorat	tion Di	iam	eter: 6 in. i	in. O.E	). I							
5				FIELD EXPLOF	RATION	1							L	ABORA	ATORY T	' RESU T	
	oproximate evation (feet)	epth (feet)	raphical Log	Latitude: 33.21057° N Longitude: -117.29464° W Approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft.): Surface Condition: Bare Earth and Grass	357 s	ample umber	ample Type	ow Counts(BC)= icorr. Blows/6 in. icket Pen(PP)= tsf	scovery R=No Recovery)	SCS /mbol	ater ontent (%)	y Unit Wt. (pcf)	assing #4 (%)	assing #200 (%)	quid Limit	asticity Index IP=NonPlastic)	dditional Tests/ emarks
	Ϋ́Ē	ð	Ū	Lithologic Description		ຶ່ນ ຮັບ	Š	Pan of	r R Z	പ്ര	≥õ	ā	Pa	Å	Ĕ	đΖ	Š Š
	- 355 - - - - 350 -	- - 5 - -		Artificial Fill (af) Sitty SAND (SM): fine to medium grained, I yellowish brown (2.5 Y 6/3) to pale yellow (5 8/3), dry to moist, medium dense, random clayey sand, low plasticity fines increase in clay content	ight 9 Y,	1 2 1 3		BC=6 8 12 BC=7 11 14	18"		12.9	105.1		45			
	- - - -345	- 10— -		Poorly-graded SAND (SP): medium to coa grained, pale yellow (5 Y 7/3), moist, mediu dense, non-plastic Clayey SAND (SC): fine to coarse grained,	 rse J	4		BC=4 8 8	18"		16.0	109.8					-
	- - - 	- - 15— -		olive gray (5 Y 5/2), moist, medium dense, non-plastic Sandy CLAY (CL): fine to medium grained, light olive brown mottled with pink, moist, ha 1/2" chunks of claystone, low plasticity fines		5		BC=5 9 12	18"		19.5	103.9			38	18	
	- - - -335	- 20— -		becomes light yellow brown (2.5 Y 6/3) moth with strong brown Silty SAND (SM): fine grained, pale yellow Y 7/3), moist, medium dense, low plasticity	tled  (2.5 fines	6		BC=3 4 8	18"								-
	- - - -330 -	- 25— - -		Sandy CLAY (CL): fine grained, light yellow brown (2.5 Y 6/3) mottled with strong brown moist, hard		7		BC=2 7 11	18"		18.0	108.4					
i I	- - - -325 -	- 30— - -		becomes light olive brown (2.5 Y 5/4), firm		8		BC=3 6 8	18"								
		K		EINFELDER Bright People. Right Solutions.	PRO DRA CHE DATE	JECT N WN BY CKED I E:	NO.: : BY:	20154777 JC SR 5/28/2015	s	DG&E PACI	BO OCE FIC C O	RING AN R. COAST CEAN			-6 BSTA		FIGURE

1. Jco	Date	e Beç	gin - E	nd:	4/16/2015	Drilling	Comp	any	: Pacif	ic Drill	ing							BORING LOG B-6
	Log	ged	By:		J. Co	Drill Cre	w:		Gord	y/Toby	/			I				
101.4	Hor	Ver	t. Dat	um:	Not Available	Drilling	Equip	mer	nt: Unim	og			Ha	mme	r Typ	e - Dr	ор: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
2	Plu	nge:			-90 degrees	Drilling	Metho	d:	Solid	Stem	Auger	•						
1/70	Wea	ather			Sunny and Warm	_ Explora	tion Di	iam	eter: 6 in.	in. O.E	).							
100					FIELD	EXPLORATIO	N							L	ABORA	TORY	' RESL	ILTS
	oroximate vation (feet)	oth (feet)	phical Log	Appi	Latitude: 33.21057° I Longitude: -117.29464' roximate Ground Surface Elev Surface Condition: Bare Earth	N YW ation (ft.): 357 and Grass	nple nber	nple Type	v Counts(BC)= orr. Blows/6 in. ket Pen(PP)= tsf	overy (=No Recovery)	CS nbol	ter ntent (%)	Unit Wt. (pcf)	ssing #4 (%)	sing #200 (%)	uid Limit	sticity Index =NonPlastic)	litional Tests/ marks
	App Elev	Dep	Gra		Lithologic Description	on	San	San	Ducc	Rec	US(	Cor	Dry	Pas	Pas	Ligu	NP NP	Add Rer
ł				Silty	SAND (SM): fine grained, pa	ale yellow (2.5	9		BC=8	18"		17.4	108.2					
-	- —320	-		Sand (2.5)	b), very moist, medium dense dy CLAY (CL): fine grained, of Y 6/8), very moist, hard		-		16									
-	- -  315 -	- 40- - - -		CLAY with s plasti	<b>Y (CL)</b> : fine grained, dark gra strong brown (7.5 YR 5/8), m icity fines	y (2.5 Y 4/1) oist, hard, low	10		BC=5 8 10	18"								
ł	-	45-		Sand	ly CLAY (CL): fine grained, li	ght yellowish	11		BC=5	18"		21.8	104.1					
ł	-	-		brow	n (2.5 Y 6/3) mottled with whi	te and strong			10 14									
	—310 - -	-		brown	וז, יכו א חוסיגו, חמוט, וטא אמצע													
	-	50- -		moist	t		12		BC=7 9 12	18"								
		- 		The t	poring was terminated at app ft. below ground surface. Th backfilled with bentonite on A	roximately e exploration pril 16, 2015.						GROU Groun GENE The ex- the Prr 27, 20	INDWA dwater etion. RAL NG cploratic eliminar 15.	TER L was no DTES: Dn elev y Civil	EVEL tot enco	INFOF ountere	RMATIC	DN: Ig drilling or after e and was estimated from by SDG&E dated February
						PRC DRA	JECT N	10.: ':	20154777 JC			BO	RING	G LO	G B-	-6		FIGURE
		K		EI. Bri	NFELDE ight People. Right Solu	tions.	ECKED I	BY:	SR 5/28/2015	s	DG&E PACI	E OCE IFIC C O	AN R OAST CEAN	ANCI BUS ISIDE	H SUI BINES E, CA	BSTA SS PA		A-15
, [						REV	ISED:		0/1/2015									PAGE: 2 of 2

7. JCO	Date	Beg	in - I	End: <u>4/17/2015</u> D	rilling Company	: Pacif	ic Drill	ing						BORING LOG B-7
ם ≥	Log	ged E	By:	J. Co D	rill Crew:	Gord	y/Carlo	os						
1 0 7 1 7	Hor.	-Vert	. Dat	tum: Not Available D	rilling Equipmer	nt: Unim	log			Han	nmer Ty	pe - Dr	ор: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
0	Plun	ige:		-90 degrees D	rilling Method:	Solid	Stem	Auger						
07/1	Wea	ther:		Sunny and Warm E	xploration Diam	eter: 6 in.	in. O.E	).						
. no/				FIELD EXPLO	RATION						LABOR	RATORY	RESU	ILTS
	pproximate levation (feet)	epth (feet)	iraphical Log	Latitude: 33.21021° N Longitude: -117.29439° W Approximate Ground Surface Elevation (ft. Surface Condition: Bare Earth and Gra	ample Type	low Counts(BC)= ncorr. Blows/6 ln. ocket Pen(PP)= tsf	ecovery VR=No Recovery)	ISCS ymbol	/ater ontent (%)	ry Unit Wt. (pcf)	assing #4 (%) assing #200 (%)	iquid Limit	lasticity Index NP=NonPlastic)	dditional Tests/ temarks
+	ΑШ	Δ	0 111	Lithologic Description	Ο̈́ΖΟ̈́	āj č	r R	⊃ŵ	≤0	ā	ă ă		⋴∊	ŘΥ
	-365			Silty SAND (SM): fine to coarse grained, p olive (5 Y 6/3), dry to moist, medium densi plasticity fines	pale e, low	DC-7	101							R-Value _ - -
	-360	-		becomes fine grained mottled with dark gr and 1/2" chunks of claystone	ay 2	9 9	18"							-
	-355 -350 -345	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	<u></u>	The boring was terminated at approximate ft. below ground surface. The exploration backfilled with soil cuttings on April 17, 20	ly 6.5 was I5.				GROU Ground Comple <u>GENEI</u> The ex the Pre 27, 20 <sup>-</sup>	NDWAT Jwater we tion. RAL NOT ploration ploration liminary 15.	ER LEVE as not en <u>IES:</u> elevatior Civil Dev	<u>INFOF</u> countere	AMATIC ed durin oximate t Plan t	DN: Ig drilling or after e and was estimated from by SDG&E dated February
		25—												
	-340	-												
	-335	30— - - -												
					PROJECT NO .:	20154777			RO	RING		3_7		FIGURE
					DRAWN BY:	JC			50	NING.	1001	J-1		
		K	L	EINFELDER Bright People. Right Solutions.	CHECKED BY: DATE:	SR 5/28/2015	s	DG&E PACI	E OCE FIC C O	AN RA OAST CEANS	NCH SI BUSINE SIDE, C	JBSTA SS PA	ATION ARK	A-16
í B						0/1/2010								PAGE: 1 of 1

Y: jco	Date	e Beç	jin - E	End: <u>4/17/2015</u>	Drilling	Comp	any	: Pacif	ic Drill	ing							BORING LOG B-8
B M	Log	ged	Зу:	J. Co	Drill Cre	ew:		Gord	y/Carlo	os			L				
4:45	Hor.	-Ver	. Dat	um: Not Available	Drilling	Equip	mer	nt: Unim	og			На	mme	r Typ	e - Dr	op: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
15 0	Plur	nge:		-90 degrees	Drilling	Metho	d:	Solid	Stem	Auger							
11/20	Wea	ther		Sunny and Warm	Explora	tion D	iam	eter: 6 in. i	n. O.E	).							
: 06/				FIELD E	XPLORATIO	N							LA	BORA	TORY	' RESU	ILTS
PLOTTED Annrovimata	Elevation (feet)	Jepth (feet)	Graphical Log	Latitude: 33.20979° N Longitude: -117.29447° W Approximate Ground Surface Elevati Surface Condition: Bare Earth an Lithologic Description	on (ft.): 367 d Grass	Sample Number	Sample Type	3low Counts(BC)= Jncorr. Blows/6 in. Pocket Pen(PP)= tsf	Recovery NR=No Recovery)	JSCS Symbol	Nater Content (%)	Dry Unit Wt. (pcf)	⊃assing #4 (%)	⊃assing #200 (%)	-iquid Limit	Plasticity Index (NP=NonPlastic)	Additional Tests/ Remarks
F			///	Artificial Fill (af)		0,2	0,		ш	2 00	20		ш.	<u> </u>		ш. С	<u></u>
	365 360	- - - 5 -		Clayey SAND (SC): fine to medium o olive gray (5 Y 5/2) mottled with very to light gray, dry to moist, loose, low fines	grained, dark gray plasticity	1		BC=3 3 6	18"								- - - - - - - - - - - 
-		- 10		Sandy CLAY (CL): fine to medium-g pale olive (5 Y 6/4), moist, hard	rained,	2		BC=9 12 15	18"		15.0	114.4		68			-
	355	- - 15- -		Santiago Formation (Tsa) SANDSTONE: excavates as Silty SAND (SM): fine to medium-gra yellowish brown (2.5 Y 6/4), moist, vo low plasticity fines becomes greenish gray (10Y 6/1) mo yellowish brown (10 YB 5/8)	ained, light ery dense, ottled with	3	X	BC=15 23 28	16"								- - - -
	350 345	- - 20- - - -		The boring was terminated at approx 16.5 ft. below ground surface. The e was backfilled with bentonite on April	imately xploration 17, 2015.						GROL Groun comple <u>GENE</u> The ex the Pro 27, 20	<u>INDWA</u> dwater v etion. <u>RAL NC</u> kploratio eliminary 15.	TER L vas no <u>DTES:</u> n elev y Civil	EVEL ot enco ation is Develo	INFOR untere	RMATIC d durin oximate t Plan t	DN: g drilling or after e and was estimated from by SDG&E dated February
IBKAKY_2015.GLB [KLF	340	25- - - -															
E: KLF_STANDARD_GIN I_L	335	30- - - -															
PROJECTWIS	/				PRC DRA	JECT N	10.: :	20154777 JC			BO	RING	i LO	G B-	-8		FIGURE
T TEMPLATE:		K	L	EINFELDE Bright People. Right Solution		ECKED	3Y:	SR 5/28/2015	s	DG&E PACI	E OCE FIC C O	EAN RA OAST CEAN	ANCI BUS SIDE	H SUE SINES E, CA	BSTA SS PA	TION	A-17
lβ																	PAGE: 1 of

Y: Jco	Date	Beg	jin - E	nd:	4/17/2015	Drilling	Comp	any	: Paci	fic Drill	ing							BORING LOG B-9
	Log	ged I	Зу:		J. Co	Drill Cre	ew:		Gord	y/Carlo	os			l				
04.4	Hor.	-Vert	. Dat	um:	Not Available	Drilling	Equip	mer	nt: Unim	nog			Ha	mme	r Typ	e - Dr	ор: _	140 lb. Auto - 30 in.
	Plun	ige:			-90 degrees	Drilling	Metho	d:	Solic	Stem	Auger	-						
07/1	Wea	ther			Sunny and Warm	Explora	tion D	iam	eter: 6 in.	in. O.E	D.							
. no/					FIELD EX	PLORATIO	N							LA	BORA	TORY	RESU	LTS
	Approximate Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Graphical Log	Арр	Latitude: 33.21129° N Longitude: -117.29375° W roximate Ground Surface Elevatio Surface Condition: Bare Earth and Lithologic Description	n (ft.): 368   Grass	L Sample Number	Sample Type	Blow Counts(BC)= Uncorr. Blows/6 in. Pocket Pen(PP)= tsf	Recovery (NR=No Recovery)	USCS Symbol	Water Content (%)	Dry Unit Wt. (pcf)	Passing #4 (%)	Passing #200 (%)	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index (NP=NonPlastic)	Additional Tests/ Remarks
	-365	- - - 5	1	Artif Clay light medi Sant CAL very	icial Fill (af) ey SAND (SC): fine to medium g olive gray (5 Y, 6/2), dry to moist um plasticity fines iago Formation (Tsa) ICHE: pale yellow to strong browr dense, highly cemented rock	n, dry,	2		BC=35 26	18"		13.2	112.1					-
	-360	-	[]]]	SAN Clay light	DSTONE: excavates as ey SAND (SC): fine to medium g olive gray (5 Y 6/2), dry to moist, e low plasticity fines	rained, very	ſ		26	<u> </u>		<u>GROL</u> Groun	INDWA dwater v	<u>FER L</u> vas no	EVEL	INFOR untere	RMATIC ed durin	<u>)N:</u> g drilling or after
		- 10— -		The ft. be back	boring was terminated at approxim slow ground surface. The explora filled with soil cuttings on April 17	mately 6.5 ition was 7, 2015.						comple GENE The ex the Pro 27, 20	etion. <u>RAL NC</u> cploratio eliminary 15.	<u>)TES:</u> n elev y Civil	ation is Develo	s appro	oximate It Plan I	e and was estimated from by SDG&E dated February
	-355	-																
		- 15-																
		-																
+		-																
₋⊦	-350	-																
		-																
		20-																
		-																
	245	-																
	-345	-																
		25																
		-																
		-																
	-340	-																
		-																
5		30—																
		_																
	-335	_																
		-																
																		FIGURE
						PRO	JECTN	NO.:	20154777			BO	RING	6 LO	G B-	-9		FIGURE
	ľ			_`			AWN BY	':	JC									
		K	L	E/ Bri	INFELDE	CHE	ECKED	BY:	SR 5/28/2015	s	DG&E PACI		AN RA			BSTA SS PA	TION RK	A-18
						REV	/ISED:		6/1/2015			0			., CA			PAGE: 1 of 1

3Y: jco	Date Begin - End:				Drilling	Drilling Company:			Pacific Drilling					BORING LOG B-10			
ΡM	Log	ged	By:	J. Co	Drill Crew:			Gord	Gordy/Carlos				L				
4:45	Hor.	-Ver	t. Dat	tum: Not Available	Drilling Equipment:			it: Unim	Unimog			Hammer Type - Drop: 140 lb. Auto - 30 in.					
15 0	Plunge:90 degrees [					Drilling Method: Solid S			Stem	m Auger							
11/20	Wea	ther	:	Sunny and Warm	Explorat	Exploration Diameter: 6 in. in. O.D.											
: 06/				FIELD EX	(PLORATION	RATION LABORATORY RE							RESL	LTS			
PLOTTED	Approximate Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Graphical Log	Latitude: 33.21114° N Longitude: -117.29319° W Approximate Ground Surface Elevatio Surface Condition: Bare Earth and Lithologic Description	n (ft.): 374 I Grass	Sample Number	Sample Type	Blow Counts(BC)= Uncorr. Blows/6 In. Pocket Pen(PP)= tsf	Recovery (NR=No Recovery)	USCS Symbol	Water Content (%)	Dry Unit Wt. (pcf)	Passing #4 (%)	Passing #200 (%)	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index (NP=NonPlastic)	Additional Tests/ Remarks
	- - 			Artificial Fill (af) Clayey SAND (SC): fine to medium g light olive gray (5 Y, 6/2) with chunks gray, dry to moist, loose, low plasticity	rained, of dark / fines	1											R-Value
	-			medium dense to dense, medium pla	sticity fines	2		10	18"		9.1	112.9		19			-
	- 	- - - 10 - -		The boring was terminated at approxi ft. below ground surface. The explora backfilled with soil cuttings on April 17	mately 6.5 ation was 7, 2015.		1.00				GROU Groun comple <u>GENE</u> The ex the Pri 27, 20	I INDWA dwater v etion. RAL NC vploratio eliminar 15.	<u>TER Ll</u> was no <u>)TES:</u> л elev. у Civil	EVEL 1 ot enco ration is Develo	INFOR untered 3 appro ppment	<u>MATIC</u> d durin ximate t Plan I	<u>N:</u> g drilling or after e and was estimated from by SDG&E dated February
[KLF_BORING/TEST PIT SOIL LOG]	-260	_															
	-360	15-															
	- - 355 - - - - 350	- - - 20- - - - -															
	-	25-															
JDARD_GINT_LIBRARY_2015.GLB	- - 345 - -	- - - 30- -	-														
STAN	-	-															
KLF	-340	-															
: PROJECTWISE:	(				PRO	JECT N	10.: ':	20154777 JC			BOI	RING	LO	G B-'	10		FIGURE
JINT TEMPLATE		K		EINFELDEI Bright People. Right Solutio	ns. DAT	CKED I E: ISED:	BY:	SR 5/28/2015 6/1/2015	s	DG&E PACI	E OCE FIC C O	AN RA OAST CEAN	ANCI BUS SIDE	H SUE SINES E, CA	BSTA SS PA	tion RK	A-19


## APPENDIX A-1 PREVIOUS FIELD INVESTIGATION BORING LOGS AND TEST PITS (2012)

Γ	Date Begin - En Logged By:		nd: _	4/26/12 - 4/27/12	Dri	II Con	npany	1	Scott's	s Drillir	ng						B	BORING	LOG B	-3 (2012)	
	Log	ged E	Зу:	_	E. Koprulu	Dri	II Crev	w:													
	Hor.	-Vert	t. Datu	ım: _	NAD83 - NAD83	Dri	ll Equ	ipmer	nt:	CME-	55			Ha	amme	r Тур	e - Dro	op: _	140 lb.	Automat	ic - 30"
	Ang	le fro	om Ve	rt.: _	0 degrees	Exp	olorati	ion M	etho	od: Hollov	/ Stem	Auger	·								
F	Wea	ther	: 		Sunny	Au	ger Di	amete	ər:	8 incl	nes										
			-		F	IELD EXPLOR			<b>—</b> 1						LABO	RATO I	ry re		'S I		
Americanoto	Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Graphical Log	Ap Su	Latitude: 33.2108 Longitude: 117.293 proximate Surface Eleva Irface Condition: Bare Ea	11° N 189° W ttion (ft): 367.0 arth and Grass		Sample Number	Sample Type	Blow Counts(BC)= Uncorr. blows/6 in	Recovery	USCS Symbol	Moisture Content (%)	Dry Density (pcf)	Passing No.4 Sieve (%)	Passing #200 Sieve (%)	Liquid Limit (NV=No Value)	Plasticity Index (NP=No Plasticity		Other Tests/ Remarks	
	365 360	  5		Artificia Clayey non-pla	al Fill SAND (SC): fine to c stic fines, gray, mois	oarse grained, t															-
			Sandy mediun very ha	CLAY (CL): fine to me n plasticity fines, gray rd	edium grained, to brown, moi	st,	1		BC=17 32 44											- - - - -	
	350 345	  		Fine to gray, m	coarse grained, low p oist, very hard	plasticity fines,	-	2		BC=13 27 40											- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
			Fine to fines, g decreas	medium grained, me rayish brown, moist, v se in grain size	dium plasticity very hard,	, -	3		BC=20 30 											-	
0.1000 0.1100 0.1100 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000		KLE		E/A Brigh	VFELC	<b>DER</b>	PROJ DRAV CHEC	ECT N VN BY: CKED E	0. : : ::	124202 EK DH/SR	S	B		G L(		-3 (2 - 3 SUE	2012 3STA	) TION		PL	ате - <b>5</b>
	www.	KLE		5015 5015 San E n PH. 8	Shoreham Place Diego, CA 92122 58-320-2000 FAX. 858-3	320-2001	DATE	:: SED:		5/7/2012 6/2/2015		PAC		UAS NSIDE	i BUS E, CAI	LIFOF	S PA RNIA	KK	F	PAGE:	1 of 3

ſ	Date	Date Begin - Ei Logged By:			4/26/12 - 4/27/12	Drill	Company	<b>/</b> :	Scott's	s Drillir	ng						В	30RING LOG B-3 (2012)
	Log	ged	By:		E. Koprulu	Drill	Crew:											
	Hor.	-Ver	t. Dat	um:	NAD83 - NAD83	Drill I	Equipme	nt:	CME-	55			Ha	amme	r Тур	e - Dr	op: _	140 lb. Automatic - 30"
	Ang	le fr	om Ve	ert.:	0 degrees	Explo	oration M	leth	od: Hollov	v Stem	Auge	r						
	Wea	ther	:		Sunny	Auge	r Diamet	er:	8 incl	nes	1							
					FIELD	EXPLORAT		-						LABO I	RATO I	ry Re		S 
	Approximate Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Graphical Log		Latitude: 33.21081° N Longitude: 117.29389° W Approximate Surface Elevation (ft Surface Condition: Bare Earth an	): 367.0 d Grass	Sample Number	Sample Type	Blow Counts(BC)= Uncorr. blows/6 in	Recovery	USCS Symbol	Moisture Content (%)	Dry Density (pcf)	Passing No.4 Sieve (%)	Passing #200 Sieve (%)	Liquid Limit (NV=No Value)	Plasticity Index (NP=No Plasticity	Other Tests/ Remarks
		_		San med	dy CLAY (CL): fine to medium lium plasticity fines, gray to bro	grained, own, moist,												
	-330 -325	- - 40- - - -		Fine mois gray scat	hard <i>(continued)</i> to coarse grained, low plastic st, very hard, intermixed color - dark brown to reddish brown tered sand lenses	tity fines, from light n, some	4		BC=13 18 24									
[KLF_BORING/IESTPILLOG]			Fine fines light \lens San	to medium grained, medium s, moist, very hard, intermixed gray to reddish brown, some es of sand <b>tiago Formation (Tsa)</b>	plasticity color from brown	5		BC=21 25 35										
ксг_оталилаки_gint_cibrarr_beta.gu	-310	- 55- - - - 60- -		CLA	YSTONE excavates as Sandy	CLAY												
Ocean Kancn.gpj U.n	-305	_ _ 65-		(CL) gray	: fine grained, medium plastic , moist, very hard	ity fines,												-
Jesktop/1/24/20/2_sage	-300	-																
Jaimervo						P	ROJECT N	10.	124202		В	ORIN	G L(	)G B	8-3 (2	2012	)	PLATE
gINT FILE: C:\users\mp					INFELDE ight People. Right Solut 115 Shoreham Place an Diego, CA 92122 1. 858-320-2000 FAX. 858-320-20	ions.	RAWN BY HECKED I ATE: EVISED:	r: BY:	EK DH/SR 5/7/2012 6/2/2015	S	DG&I PAC (	E OCE IFIC C DCEAN	AN R OAS <sup>-</sup> ISIDE	ANCI F BUS E, CAI	H SUI SINES LIFOF	BSTA SS PA RNIA	TION RK	A-6



Dat	te B	Beg	in - E	nd:4/24/12	Drill	Company	<i>ı</i> :	Scott's	s Drillir	ng						E	BORING	LOG B	-4 (2012)
Lo	gge	d E	By:	E. Koprulu	Drill	Crew:													
Ho	rV	ert	. Datu	m: NAD83 - NAD83	Drill I	Equipme	nt:	CME-	55			Ha	amme	r Тур	e - Dr	op: _	140 lb.	Automa	tic - 30"
An	gle	fro	m Ve	rt.: 0 degrees	Explo	oration M	eth	od: Hollov	v Stem	Auger	·								
We	ath	er:		Sunny	Auge	r Diamet	er:	8 incl	nes	1									
			Ļ	FIELD	EXPLORAT		-						LABO	RATO	RY RE		S		
Approximate Elevation (feet)	Denth (feet)	הפטווו (ופפו)	Graphical Log	Latitude: 33.21102° N Longitude: 117.29288° W Approximate Surface Elevation (ft Surface Condition: Bare Earth an	:): 374.0 d Grass	Sample Number	Sample Type	Blow Counts(BC)= Uncorr. blows/6 in	Recovery	USCS Symbol	Moisture Content (%)	Dry Density (pcf)	Passing No.4 Sieve (%)	Passing #200 Sieve (%)	Liquid Limit (NV=No Value)	Plasticity Index (NP=No Plasticity		Other Tests/ Remarks	
-		_		Artificial Fill Silty SAND (SM): fine to coarse gunon-plastic fines, gray, moist, den	rained, se	1													
- 370				Trace Clay		2		BC=9 20 28											
_		5-		Increase in Clay content															
-		_		Clayey SAND (SC): fine to coarse non-plastic fines, dark gray, moist	grained, , dense	3		BC=14 26 40											
- 365 - -	5 - Fine to cc dark gray, dark brow			Fine to coarse grained, low plastic dark gray, moist, very dense, some dark brown Lean Clay	city fines, e lenses of	4		BC=12 31 42											
- 360 - - -	30 - 15 - Sandy Isome Some			Sandy CLAY (CL): fine to medium low plasticity fines, moist, very har intermixed color from light gray to some lenses of dark brown Lean (	grained, rd, light tan, Clay	5		BC=18 32 42											
355 - - -	2	-0		Fine grained, medium plasticity fir yellowish brown to light gray, mois increase in clay content, decrease content, lenses of Sand	nes, light st, very hard ⊧ in sand	, 6		BC=18 35 50/5.5"											
350  	50 - Fi - Gr - Gr - Gr - Gr - Gr - Gr - Gr - Gr			Fine to coarse grained, low plastic gray to brown, trace organic smell very hard, lenses of Sand through brown to black lenses of old Top S	city fines, odor, mois out, dark Soil	t, 7		BC=16 35 42											
- 345 - -	15 F			Fine grained, medium plasticity fir dark brown, trace organic smell or very hard, black lenses of old Top	nes, gray to dor, moist, Soil	8		BC=12 31 48											
- 340																			
					P	ROJECT N	10. ':	124202 EK		B	ORIN	GL	DG E	8-4 (2	2012	)		PL	ATE
	ŀ			EINFELDE Bright People. Right Solut 5015 Shoreham Place San Diego, CA 92122	tions.	HECKED   ATE: EVISED:	3Y:	DH/SR 5/7/2012 6/2/2015		DG&I PAC	E OCE IFIC C DCEAN	AN R OAS NSIDE	ANCI T BUS E, CA	H SUI SINES LIFOF	BSTA SS PA RNIA	TION RK		A	8

Γ	Date	Beg	jin - E	ind: <u>4/24/12</u>	Drill Co	mpany	r:	Scott's	s Drillir	ng						E	BORING LOG B-4 (2012)
	Logo	ged I	By:	E. Koprulu	Drill Cre	ew:	-4-							- <b>T</b>			
	Hor.	-veri	. Dat	um: <u>NAD83 - NAD83</u>	Drill Eqi Explore	uipmei tion M	nt: oth		25 / Stom	Augor		Ha	amme	riyp	e - Dr	op: _	140 lb. Automatic - 30"
	Nea	ther		Sunny	Auger D	iamet	er.	8 inch		Augei							
F	1100			FIELD EXPL	ORATIO	N							LABO	RATO	RY RE	SULT	S
							Π					(J				<u>it</u>	
Approximate	Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Graphical Log	Latitude: 33.21102° N Longitude: 117.29288° W Approximate Surface Elevation (ft): 374 Surface Condition: Bare Earth and Gras	.0 ss	Sample Number	Sample Type	Blow Counts(BC)= Uncorr. blows/6 in	Recovery	USCS Symbol	Moisture Content (%)	Dry Density (po	Passing No.4 Sieve (%)	Passing #200 Sieve (%)	Liquid Limit (NV=No Value)	Plasticity Index (NP=No Plastic	Other Tests/ Remarks
- - -3	335			Silty SAND (SM): fine grained, non-plas fines, gray, moist, very dense	 stic	9		BC=20 40 50/5"									
-		40		Clayey SAND (SC): fine grained, low plasticity fines, white to light brown, mo very dense, caliche	ist, 	10		BC=17 42 50									
-3  -  -	330	45 Sandy CLAY (CL): fine grained, me plasticity fines, dark gray to black, hard			n t, very	11		BC=19 38 50									
-3	325	Fine grained, low plasticity fines, date 50 Fine grained, low plasticity fines, date moist, very hard, increase in Sand of reddish brown and gray sand lenser			ıray, ent,	12		BC=15 29 46									
3 - -	320			Fine to medium grained, low plasticity f gray, moist, hard, black colored CL-CH \ shoe of sampler	ines, at ′	13		BC=12 26 									
- 3 - 3 3	315	 60 		Lean to Fat CLAY (CL-CH): fine grained medium plasticity fines, dark gray to bla moist, hard	J, ack,	14		BC=16 22 34									
-3	310	Fine grained, medium plasticity fine gray to black, moist, very hard, incre sand content			ark e in	15		BC=19 30 40									
-3	805	_		Young Colluvial Denceite (Ouc)		-											
					PRO DRA	JECT N	  0. :	124202 EK		B	ORIN	GLC	DG B	8-4 (2	2012	)	PLATE
v	vww.ł		L Ider.co	Solts Shoreham Place San Diego, CA 92122 PH. 858-320-2000 FAX. 858-320-2001	CHE DAT	CKED E E: ISED:	3Y:	DH/SR 5/7/2012 6/2/2015	S	DG&E PAC	E OCE IFIC C DCEAN	AN R OAST NSIDE	ANCI F BUS E, CA	H SUI SINES LIFOF	BSTA SS PA RNIA	TION RK	A-9 PAGE: 2 of 3



Da	te I	Beg	jin - E	End: 4/24/12 - 4/25/12	Drill C	ompany	y:	Scott's	s Drillir	ng						E	ORING LOG B-5 (2012)
Lo	gge	ed I	By:	E. Koprulu	Drill C	rew:											
Ho	or\	Ver	. Dat	um: NAD83 - NAD83	_ Drill E	quipme	nt:	CME-	55			Ha	amme	r Тур	e - Dro	op: _	140 lb. Automatic - 30"
An	igle	e fro	om Ve	ert.: 0 degrees	_ Exploi	ation M	leth	od: Hollov	v Stem	Auger							
We	eatl	her		Sunny		Diamet	er:	_ 8 incl	nes				1 4 5 0	DATO			0
				FIEL	DEXPLORATI		1	1					LABO	RATO			5
Approximate Elevation (feet)		Depth (feet)	Graphical Log	Latitude: 33.21074° N Longitude: 117.29347° Approximate Surface Elevation Surface Condition: Bare Earth	W W (ft): 372.0 and Grass	Sample Number	Sample Type	Blow Counts(BC)= Uncorr. blows/6 in	Recovery	USCS Symbol	Moisture Content (%)	Dry Density (pcf)	Passing No.4 Sieve (%)	Passing #200 Sieve (%)	Liquid Limit (NV=No Value)	Plasticity Index (NP=No Plasticity	Other Tests/ Remarks
- 370 -		_		Artificial Fill Silty SAND (SM): fine to coarse non-plastic fines, gray, moist, de	grained, ense												-
- - 365 -				Fine to coarse grained, non-pla moist, dense, increase in grain	stic fines, gray, size	1		BC=15 24 29									-
- - - - - - 360	10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10-			Clayey SAND (SC): fine to coar non-plastic fines, gray to dark g dense	se grained, ray, moist, very	2		BC=18 28 38									-
	360 - 200 a - 200 a 15- 200 a 355 - 200 a - 200 a																-
	:	 		Fine grained, low plasticity fines gray, moist, medium dense, inc content	s, gray to dark rease in Clay	3		BC=10 12 13									-
	350																-
	30 <b>s</b> 340 <b>s</b>			Sandy CLAY (CL): fine grained, fines, light brown to light gray, n	low plasticity noist, firm	4		BC=8 11 13									
					PF	OJECT N RAWN BY	NO. 1	124202 EK		B	ORIN	G L(	) DG B	-5 (2	2012	)	PLATE
	w.kle	K	L Ider.co	EINFELDI Bright People. Right Sol 5015 Shoreham Place San Diego, CA 92122 m PH. 858-320-2000 FAX. 858-320-	<b>ER</b> utions. DA 2001	HECKED ATE: EVISED:	BY:	DH/SR 5/7/2012 6/2/2015	S	DG&E PAC	E OCE IFIC C DCEAN	AN R OAST NSIDE	ANCI F BUS E, CAI	H SUE SINES LIFOF	BSTA SS PA RNIA	TION RK	A-11 PAGE: 1 of 3

Γ	Date	Date Begin - En .ogged By: łorVert. Datur		nd:4/24/12 - 4/25/12	Drill Co	ompany	<i>r</i> :	Scott's	s Drillir	ng						В	ORING LOG B-5 (2012)
	Log	ged E	By:	E. Koprulu	Drill Cr	ew:											
	Hor.	-Vert	. Datu	IM: NAD83 - NAD83	Drill Ec	luipme	nt:	CME-	55			Ha	amme	r Type	e - Dro	op: _	140 lb. Automatic - 30"
	Ang	le fro	om Vei	rt.: 0 degrees	Explora	ation M	ethe	od: Hollov	v Stem	Auger	·						
╞	Wea	ther:		Sunny	Auger I	Diamet	er:	8 incl	nes	1							
			_	FIELD	EXPLORATIC	DN							LABO	RATO	RY RE	SULT	S
	Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Graphical Log	Latitude: 33.21074° N Longitude: 117.29347° W Approximate Surface Elevation (f Surface Condition: Bare Earth ar	.): 372.0 Id Grass	Sample Number	Sample Type	Blow Counts(BC)= Uncorr. blows/6 in	Recovery	USCS Symbol	Moisture Content (%)	Dry Density (pcf)	Passing No.4 Sieve (%)	Passing #200 Sieve (%)	Liquid Limit (NV=No Value)	Plasticity Index (NP=No Plasticity	Other Tests/ Remarks
-	335	- - - 40-		Fine to medium grained, low plas	icity fines,	5		BC=10									- - -
-	330			light gray to reddish brown, moist, caliche, 3-inch thick lense of Sand	hard, trace			15 21									- - - -
BETA.GLB [KLF_BORING/IESTPIILUG]	325 320			CALICHE: dense, entire sample C	aliche	6		BC=23 19 15									- - - - - - - - - - - - - 
an Kanch.gpj C:KLF_STANDARD_GINT_LIBKARY				Fine to coarse grained, low plastic light gray to brown, moist, hard, so	sity fines, me rootlets	7		BC=8 13 15									- - - - - - - - - - - - -
npalmer\desktop\124202_sdge Oct	305			Young Colluvial Deposits (Qyc) Fat CLAY (CH): high plasticity fine organic odor, moist, hard	s, black,		NO.	124202		B	ORIN	GLO	DG B	-5 (2	2012)	)	PLATE
gINT FILE: C:\users\m	www.	Kleinfe		Solution States		awn by Ecked f TE: /ISED:	: 3Y:	EK DH/SR 5/7/2012 6/2/2015	S	DG&I PAC (	E OCE IFIC CO DCEAN	AN R OAS ISIDI	ANCI T BUS E, CAI	H SUE SINES LIFOF	BSTA S PA RNIA	tion RK	A-12 PAGE: 2 of 3



ſ	Date	Date Begin - E Logged By: HorVert. Datu			4/26/12	Dril	I Com	ipany	:	Scott's	5 Drillir	g						В	ORING LOG B-6 (2012)
	Log	ged	By:		E. Koprulu	Dril	I Crev	v:											
	Hor.	-Ver	t. Dat	um:	NAD83 - NAD83	Dril	l Equi	pmer	nt:	CME-	55			Ha	amme	r Type	e - Dro	op: _	140 lb. Automatic - 30"
	Ang	le fr	om Ve	ert.:	0 degrees	Ехр	lorati	on Me	etho	od: Hollow	Stem	Auger	·						
╞	Wea	ather	:		Sunny	Aug	ger Dia	amete	er:	8 inch	ies								
					FIELD	EXPLORA	ATION								LABO	RATO	RY RE	SULT	S
	Approximate Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Graphical Log		Latitude: 33.21047° N Longitude: 117.29423° V Approximate Surface Elevation ( Surface Condition: Bare Earth a	V ft): 366.0 nd Grass		Sample Number	Sample Type	Blow Counts(BC)= Uncorr. blows/6 in	Recovery	USCS Symbol	Moisture Content (%)	Dry Density (pcf)	Passing No.4 Sieve (%)	Passing #200 Sieve (%)	Liquid Limit (NV=No Value)	Plasticity Index (NP=No Plasticity	Other Tests/ Remarks
ſ	205			<u>Artif</u>	icial Fill (ov SAND (SC): fine to coarse	arained													
-	-365	- - - 5-		non-	plastic fines, gray, moist, der	io finco ar		1		BC-16									-
	-360	moist, very dense moist, very dense Coarse grained, non-plastic f very dense, decrease in fines predominantly coarse grained				ic fines, gr	ray, 	1		BC=16 24 42									-
	-355	Coarse grained, non-pla: Coarse grained, non-pla: very dense, decrease in predominantly coarse grained			rse grained, non-plastic fines dense, decrease in fines cor lominantly coarse grained sa	, gray, moi ntent, nd	ist,	2		BC=16 37 45									-
	-350	- 15- - -																	-
	-345	- 20- - -		Coa very	rse grained, non-plastic fines dense	, gray, moi	ist,	3		BC=16 25 39									-
	-340	- 25- - -		San low hard	dy CLAY (CL): fine to coarse plasticity fines, grayish browr	grained, n, moist, ve	ery	4		BC=20 30 38									-
	-335	30 30 Fine grained, lo moist, very hard throughout			e grained, low plasticity fines, st, very hard, gray colored Sa ughout	light browr nd lenses	n,	5		BC=23 47 50									-
		KLE			NFELDE	ER	PROJE DRAW CHEC	ECT N VN BY: KED E	0. 8Y:	124202 EK DH/SR	S	B DG&I		G L(		-6 (2 - SUE	2012) BSTA	) TION	PLATE A-14
GINI LIFE.	www.	kleinfe	elder.co	Bri 50 Sa om Ph	Ight People. Right Solu 115 Shoreham Place an Diego, CA 92122 4. 858-320-2000 FAX. 858-320-2	<i>tions.</i> 001	DATE: REVIS	: SED:		5/7/2012 6/12/2015		PAC	IFIC CO DCEAN	OAS <sup>-</sup> ISIDE	Γ BUS Ξ, CAI	INES _IFOF	S PA RNIA	RK	PAGE: 1 of 3

Γ	Date	e Beg	jin - E	ind:4/26/12 [	Drill Com	npany	:	Scott's	s Drillir	ıg						В	ORING LOG B-6 (2012)
	Log	ged E	Зу:	E. Koprulu	Drill Crev	w:											
	Hor.	-Vert	t. Dat	um: <u>NAD83 - NAD83</u>	Drill Equi	ipmeı	nt:	<u>_CME-</u>	55			Ha	amme	r Тур	e - Dr	op: _	140 lb. Automatic - 30"
	Ang	le fro	om Ve	ert.: <u>0 degrees</u> E	Explorati	ion M	ethc	d: Hollov	/ Stem	Auger	•						
┢	vvea	itner				amete	er:		ies					ρλτο			e
																	5
A norrowing to	Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Graphical Log	Latitude: 33.21047° N Longitude: 117.29423° W Approximate Surface Elevation (ft): 366.0 Surface Condition: Bare Earth and Gras	0 ss	Sample Number	Sample Type	Blow Counts(BC)= Uncorr. blows/6 in	Recovery	USCS Symbol	Moisture Content (%)	Dry Density (pcf)	Passing No.4 Sieve (%)	Passing #200 Sieve (%)	Liquid Limit (NV=No Value)	Plasticity Index (NP=No Plasticit	Other Tests/ Remarks
-	330	_		Sandy CLAY (CL): fine to coarse graine low plasticity fines, grayish brown, moist hard (continued)	ed, t, very												
	325			Fine grained, low plasticity fines, light br to white, moist, very hard, abundant cali Pieces of debris (metal pieces) observe	rown che d in	6		BC=8 15 19									
		_		soil cuttings	-												
	320	45		Fat CLAY (CH): high plasticity fines, dari brown to black, trace organic odor, mois hard, some reddish brown to gray colore Sand lenses, some rootlets	 st, ed	7		BC=8 11 18									-
	315			Sandy CLAY (CL): fine grained, medium plasticity fines, grayish brown, moist, ha	 ard	8		BC=13 25 35									
	310			Soil cuttings indicate black colored Clay strong organic smell from approximately 58 feet	/ with y 50 to												-
-	305	 60  		Fine grained, low plasticity fines, grayish brown, moist, very hard	h -	9		BC=17 18 									
	300	65 Becomes firm to hard Fat CLAY (CH): trace fine grained, high plasticity fines, black, organic smell od moist, firm to hard				10		BC=6 10 14									-
					PROJ DRAV	ECT N	0.	124202 EK		B	ORIN	G L(	DG E	8-6 (2	2012	)	PLATE
		K		EINFELDER Bright People. Right Solutions. 5015 Shoreham Place San Diego, CA 92122		CKED E :: SED <sup>.</sup>	BY:	DH/SR 5/7/2012 6/12/2015	S	DG&E PAC	E OCE IFIC C DCEAN	AN R OAS <sup>-</sup> NSIDE	ANCI F BUS E, CA	H SUI SINES LIFOF	BSTA SS PA RNIA	tion RK	A-15
5 L	www.	kieinte	uuer.co	H. 858-320-2000 FAX. 858-320-2001													PAGE: 2 of 3





GINT LIBRARY BETA.GLB STANDARD C:KLF Ocean Ranch.gpj sdge U:\gint\projects\2012\124202\_



FILE: U:gintiprojects/2012/124202\_sdge Ocean Ranch.gpj C:KLF\_STANDARD\_GINT\_LIBRARY\_BETA.GLB [KLF\_BORING/TEST PIT LOG]



[KLF\_\_ GINT LIBRARY BETA.GLB STANDARD C:KLF sdge Ocean Ranch.gpj U:\gint\projects\2012\124202\_



[KLF\_ GINT LIBRARY BETA.GLB STANDARD C:KLF Ocean Ranch.gpj sdge U:\gint\projects\2012\124202\_ EILE:



# **APPENDIX B**

# LABORATORY TEST RESULTS



## APPENDIX B LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

### GENERAL

Laboratory tests were performed on selected samples as an aid in classifying the soils and to evaluate physical properties of the soils that may affect foundation design and construction procedures. The tests were performed in general conformance with the current ASTM or California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) standards. A description of the laboratory-testing program is presented below.

### CLASSIFICATION

Soils were visually and texturally classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) in general accordance with ASTM D 2488. Soil classifications are indicated on the boring logs in Appendix A.

## LABORATORY MOISTURE AND DENSITY DETERMINATIONS

Natural moisture content and dry density tests were performed on selected intact samples collected and moisture content was performed on selected disturbed samples. Moisture content was evaluated in general accordance with ASTM Test Method D 2216; dry unit weight was evaluated using procedures similar to ASTM Test Method D 2937. This data is included on the boring logs in Appendix A.

### **GRADATION ANALYSIS**

Sieve and hydrometer analyses were performed on samples from the site to evaluate the gradation characteristics of the soil and to aid in its classification. The tests were performed in general accordance with ASTM Test Methods D6913 and ASTM D422. The results of the sieve analyses are shown in Figures B1 and B2.

### WASH SIEVE

The percent passing the No. 200 sieve of selected soil samples was performed by wash sieving in accordance with ASTM Standard Test Method D1140. The results of the tests are presented on the boring logs in Appendix A.



## DIRECT SHEAR TEST

Three-point direct shear tests were performed on selected soil samples to evaluate the shear strength of representative site soils encountered. The soil samples were tested in a saturated state at three different normal pressures in general accordance with ASTM Test Method D 3080. The test results are presented in Figures B3 through B5.

## ATTERBERG LIMITS

Atterberg limit testing was performed on soil samples to assist in classification. Testing was performed in general accordance with ASTM D4318. Results are presented on Figure B-6.

## **R-VALUE TESTS**

Two resistance values (R-value) test were performed on a bulk soil samples obtained within the proposed site to evaluate pavement support characteristics of the near-surface onsite soils. R-value tests were performed in accordance with ASTM Standard Test Method D4829. The test results are presented as Figures B-7and B-8.

## MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY AND OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT

The maximum dry density and optimum moisture content of a representative soil sample was evaluated in general accordance with ASTM Test Method D1557. The test result is summarized on Figure B-9

## **CORROSION TESTING**

The sulfate and chloride contents, pH, and resistivity of selected samples were evaluated in general accordance with California Test 643. Our boring logs and these test results should be reviewed by a qualified corrosion engineer to evaluate the general soil stratigraphy corrosion potential with respect to construction materials to evaluate whether further testing is warranted. The results of the preliminary corrosive screening are presented on Figures B-10 through B-12 and summarized in Table B1 below.



	Fieminia		est nesun	.5	
BORING / SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH (FEET)	MINIMUM RESISTIVITY (OHM-CM)	PH	SULFATE CONTENT (PPM)	CHLORIDE CONTENT (PPM)
B-3 / 1	0.5 to 5	480	8.7	210	160
B-4 / 1	0.5 to 5	870	8.9	50	50
B-5 / 1	0.5 to 5	550	8.3	70	160

Table B1 Preliminary Corrosion Test Results











#### Date Tested : 5/4/2015 & 5/13/2015

SYMBOL	SAMPLE NAME	DEPTH (ft)	LL	PL	PI	USCS CLASSIFICATION (Minus No. 40	USCS (Entire Sample)
				- 10		Sieve Fraction)	
•	B-1-4	10-11.5	39	19	20	CL	CL
	B-3-1	0.5-5'	44	18	26	CL	SC
•	B-4-2	2.5-4'	33	17	16	CL	SC
0	B-4-8	30-31.5'	41	18	23	CL	CL
	B-4-15	65-66.5'	45	18	27	CL	CL
	B-6-5	15-16.5'	38	20	18	CL	CL
+							
♦							



Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth		Description		Da	te Teste	ed
B7	1	0.5-5'	Р	ale Olive Clayey S	SAND	5	5/6/2015	5
					[]			
TEST SPECIM	EN							
MOLD NO.			2	1	9			
FOOT PRESSU	JRE, psi		80	60	50			
INITIAL MOIS	TURE, %		13.1	13.1	13.1			
"AS-IS" WEIG	HT, g		1200	1200	1200			
DRY WEIGHT	, g		1060.9	1060.9	1060.9			
WATER ADDE	ED, ml		40	52	64			
COMPACTION	N MOISTURE, %	5	16.9	18.0	19.1			
HEIGHT OF B	RIQUETTE, in.		2.58	2.6	2.62			
WEIGHT BRIC	QUETTE/MOLD,	,	3213	3203.4	3216.4			
WEIGHT OF M	IOLD, g		2109.2	2103.4	2114.5			
WEIGHT OF B	RIQUETTE, g		1103.8	1100	1101.9			
DRY DENSITY	l, pcf		111.0	108.7	107.1			
STABILOMET	ER, 1000 lbs		55	61	64			
	2000lbs		134	139	144			
DISPLACEME	NT, in		3.59	3.66	3.84			
EXUDATION ]	LOAD, lbs		5515	3621	1972			
EXUDATION ]	PRESSURE, psi		439.1	288.3	157.0			
R-VALUE			12	9	7			
CORRECTE	D R-VALUE		13	10	8			
DIAL READIN	G, END		0.0311	0.0302	0.0404			
DIAL READIN	G, START		0.0300	0.0300	0.0400			
DIFFERENCE			0.0011	0.0002	0.0004			
EXPANSION F	PRESSURE, PSF		48.0	8.7	17.5			
INITIAL M	OISTURE					50		
WET WEIGHT	, g		433.8			40		
DRY WEIGHT	, g		383.5			-		
WEIGHT OF W	VATER					30		
WEIGHT OF S	AMPLE					-	LUE	
MOISTURE CO	ONTENT %		13.1			-	VA]	
						20	R	
R-VALUE:	11					-		
Location:						10		
Limitations: Pursuant t exclusive use of the cli The results apply only not communicated to K statements (meets/did n full, without written ap	o applicable codes, the re ent and the registered de to the samples tested. If Cleinfelder, Kleinfelder a not meet), if provided. T pproval of Kleinfelder.	esults presente sign profession changes to the ssumes no resp his report may	d in this report are for the nal in responsible charge. specification were made and ponsibility for pass/fail not be reproduced, except in	800 700 600 50 EXUDA	00 400 300 200 100 ATION PRESSURE	0		
К	LEINFELDER Bright People, Right Solution	R		<b>R-Value</b>	(ASTM D2844)		FIGUI	RE
				SDG&E OCEAN	N RANCH SUBSTATIO	N	D'	7
Tested By:	Uly P.	Ck by:	TG	PACIFIC CO.	AST BUSINESS PARK		D-	1
Job Number:	20154777	DATE	12-Iun-15	OCE	EANSIDE, CA			

Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth		Description		Da	ate Test	ed
B10	1	0.5-5'	Ligh	t Olive Gray Clay	ey SAND	5	5/6/2015	5
TEST SPECIM	EN							
MOLD NO.			6	3	8			
FOOT PRESSU	JRE, psi		80	60	50			
INITIAL MOIS	TURE, %		14.5	14.5	14.5			
"AS-IS" WEIG	HT, g		1200	1200	1200			
DRY WEIGHT	, g		1048.0	1048.0	1048.0			
WATER ADDE	ED, ml		30	45	58			
COMPACTION	N MOISTURE, %	5	17.4	18.8	20.0			
HEIGHT OF B	RIQUETTE, in.		2.5	2.55	2.6			
WEIGHT BRIQ	QUETTE/MOLD,	,	3170.7	3176.5	3174.6			
WEIGHT OF M	10LD, g		2101	2105.4	2112.6			
WEIGHT OF B	RIQUETTE, g		1069.7	1071.1	1062			
DRY DENSITY	r, pcf		110.6	107.2	103.2			
STABILOMET	ER, 1000 lbs		57	61	66			
	2000lbs		136	142	145			
DISPLACEME	NT, in		3.5	3.74	3.92			
EXUDATION	LOAD, lbs		6142	3253	2529			
EXUDATION	PRESSURE, psi		489.0	259.0	201.4			
R-VALUE			11	8	6			
CORRECTE	D R-VALUE		11	8	7			
DIAL READIN	IG, END		0.0478	0.0285	0.0476			
DIAL READIN	IG, START		0.0475	0.0285	0.0482			
DIFFERENCE			0.0003	0.0000	-0.0006			
EXPANSION F	PRESSURE, PSF		13.1	0.0	0.0			-
	OLGELIDE		1			50		
INITIAL M	OISTURE							
WET WEIGHT	. σ		506.0			40		
DRY WEIGHT	'.g		441.9					
WEIGHT OF W	VATER					20		
WEIGHT OF S	AMPLE						UE	
MOISTURE CO	ONTENT %		14.5			Ē	/AL	
			1.10			20	R-V	
R-VALUE:	9							
Location:					9	10		
						F		
Limitations: Pursuant t exclusive use of the cli	to applicable codes, the re-	esults presented	d in this report are for the					
The results apply only	to the samples tested. If	changes to the	specification were made and	800 700 600 50	$\begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline $			
not communicated to k statements (meets/did n	Cleinfelder, Kleinfelder a not meet), if provided. T	ssumes no resp his report may	oonsibility for pass/fail not be reproduced, except in	EXUDA	ATION PRESSURE	0		
full, without written ap	proval of Kleinfelder.	1 5						
				<b>R-Value</b>	(ASTM D2844)		FIGU	RE
( KI	LEINFELDE	R ns.			(			
				SDG&E OCEAN	N RANCH SUBSTATIO	N		0
Tested By:	Uly P.	Ck by:	TG	PACIFIC CO	AST BUSINESS PARK		В-	Ŏ
Job Number	20154777	DATE	12-Jun-15	OCH	EANSIDE, CA			



#### 5761 Copley Drive, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92111 Phone: (858) 223-8500 Fax: (858) 277-1035

## Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Modified Effort ASTM D 1557



Water Content (%)

Remarks:

Manlot

Ulysses Panuncialman

Laboratory Manager

Limitations: Pursuant to applicable building codes, the results presented in this report are for the exclusive use of the client and the registered design professional in responsible charge. The results apply only to the samples tested. If changes to the specifications were made and not communicated to Kleinfelder, Kleinfelder assumes no responsibility for pass/fail statements (meets/did not meet), if provided. This report may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of Kleinfelder.

Reviewed on 5/14/2015 by:

**FIGURE B-9** 

#### LABORATORY REPORT

Telephone (619) 425-1993 Fax 425-7917 Established 1928 CLARKSON LABORATORY AND SUPPLY INC. 350 Trousdale Dr. Chula Vista, Ca. 91910 www.clarksonlab.com ANALYTICAL AND CONSULTING CHEMISTS Date: May 12, 2015 Purchase Order Number: PROJ#20154777 Sales Order Number: 26878 Account Number: KLE To: \*\_\_\_\_\_ Kleinfelder Inc. 550 West C Street Ste 1200 San Diego, CA 92101 Attention: Uly Panuncialman Laboratory Number: S05666-1 Customers Phone: 831-4600 Fax: 831-4619 Sample Designation: \*\_\_\_\_\_\* One soil sample received on 05/04/15 at 3:42pm marked as: Project: SDG&E Ocean Ranch Substation Project #: 20154777 Boring #: B3 Sample #: 1 Depth: 0.5-5' Date Sampled: 04/15/15. Analysis By California Test 643, 1999, Department of Transportation Division of Construction, Method for Estimating the Service Life of Steel Culverts. рН 8.7 Water Added (ml) Resistivity (ohm-cm) 10 1800 990 5 5 550 5 480 5 480 5 520 5 550 24 years to perforation for a 16 gauge metal culvert. 29 years to perforation for a 14 gauge metal culvert. 41 years to perforation for a 12 gauge metal culvert. 52 years to perforation for a 10 gauge metal culvert. 63 years to perforation for a 8 gauge metal culvert. Water Soluble Sulfate Calif. Test 417 0.021% Water Soluble Chloride Calif. Test 422 0.016%

LT/ram

#### LABORATORY REPORT

Telephone (619) 425-1993 Fax 425-7917 Established 1928 CLARKSON LABORATORY AND SUPPLY INC. 350 Trousdale Dr. Chula Vista, Ca. 91910 www.clarksonlab.com ANALYTICAL AND CONSULTING CHEMISTS Date: May 12, 2015 Purchase Order Number: PROJ#20154777 Sales Order Number: 26878 Account Number: KLE To: \*\_\_\_\_\_\* Kleinfelder Inc. 550 West C Street Ste 1200 San Diego, CA 92101 Attention: Uly Panuncialman Laboratory Number: S05666-2 Customers Phone: 831-4600 Fax: 831-4619 Sample Designation: \*\_\_\_\_\_\* One soil sample received on 05/04/15 at 3:42pm marked as: Project: SDG&E Ocean Ranch Substation Project #: 20154777 Boring #: B4 Sample #: 1 Depth: 0.5-5' Date Sampled: 04/15/15. Analysis By California Test 643, 1999, Department of Transportation Division of Construction, Method for Estimating the Service Life of Steel Culverts. pH 8.9 Water Added (ml) Resistivity (ohm-cm) 10 2200 5 1400 5 870 5 880 5 910 5 920 29 years to perforation for a 16 gauge metal culvert. 38 years to perforation for a 14 gauge metal culvert. 52 years to perforation for a 12 gauge metal culvert. 66 years to perforation for a 10 gauge metal culvert. 81 years to perforation for a 8 gauge metal culvert. Water Soluble Sulfate Calif. Test 417 0.005% Water Soluble Chloride Calif. Test 422 0.005%

Torres

LT/ram

#### LABORATORY REPORT

Telephone (619) 425-1993 Fax 425-7917 Established 1928 CLARKSON LABORATORY AND SUPPLY INC. 350 Trousdale Dr. Chula Vista, Ca. 91910 www.clarksonlab.com ANALYTICAL AND CONSULTING CHEMISTS Date: May 12, 2015 Purchase Order Number: PROJ#20154777 Sales Order Number: 26878 Account Number: KLE To: \*\_\_\_\_\_\* Kleinfelder Inc. 550 West C Street Ste 1200 San Diego, CA 92101 Attention: Uly Panuncialman Laboratory Number: S05666-3 Customers Phone: 831-4600 Fax: 831-4619 Sample Designation: \*\_\_\_\_\_\* One soil sample received on 05/04/15 at 3:42pm marked as: Project: SDG&E Ocean Ranch Substation Project #: 20154777 Boring #: B5 Sample #: 1 Depth: 0.5-5' Date Sampled: 04/15/15. Analysis By California Test 643, 1999, Department of Transportation Division of Construction, Method for Estimating the Service Life of Steel Culverts. pH 8.3 Water Added (ml) Resistivity (ohm-cm) 10 2000 1100 5 5 720 5 580 5 550 5 570 5 590 24 years to perforation for a 16 gauge metal culvert. 31 years to perforation for a 14 gauge metal culvert. 43 years to perforation for a 12 gauge metal culvert. 55 years to perforation for a 10 gauge metal culvert. 67 years to perforation for a 8 gauge metal culvert. Water Soluble Sulfate Calif. Test 417 0.007% Water Soluble Chloride Calif. Test 422 0.016%

LT/ram



# **APPENDIX C**

## SUGGESTED GUIDELINES FOR EARTHWORK CONSTRUCTION



## APPENDIX C SUGGESTED GUIDELINES FOR EARTHWORK CONSTRUCTION

### GENERAL

**<u>Scope</u>** - The work done under theses specifications shall include site clearing, removal of unsuitable material, excavation, preparation of natural soils, placement and compaction of on-site and imported fill material.

**Contractor's Responsibility** - The Contractor shall attentively examine the site in such a manner that he can correlate existing surface conditions with those presented in the geotechnical evaluation report. He shall satisfy himself that the quality and quantity of exposed materials and subsurface soil or rock deposits have been satisfactorily represented by the Geotechnical Engineer's report and project drawings. Any discrepancy of prior knowledge to the Contractor to that is revealed through his evaluations shall be made known to the Owner. It is the Contractor's responsibility to review the report prior to construction. The selection of equipment for use on the project and the order of the work shall similarly be the Contractor's responsibility. The Contractor shall be responsible for providing equipment capable of completing the requirements included in the following sections.

<u>Geotechnical Engineer</u> - The work covered by these specifications shall be observed and tested by Kleinfelder, the Geotechnical Engineer, who shall be hired by the Owner. The Geotechnical Engineer will be present during the site preparation and grading to observe the work and to perform the tests necessary to evaluate material quality and compaction. The Geotechnical Engineer shall submit a report to the Owner, including a tabulation of tests performed. The costs of re-testing unsuitable work installed by the Contractors shall be deducted by the Owner from the payments to the Contractor.

<u>Standard Specifications</u> - Where referred to in these specifications, "Standard Specifications" shall mean the State of California Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction, with Regional Supplement Amendments for San Diego County, 2000 Edition.

<u>Compaction Test Method</u> - Where referred to herein, relative compaction shall mean the inplace dry density of soil expressed as a percentage of the maximum dry density of the same material, as determined by the ASTM D 1557 Compaction Test Procedure. Optimum moisture content shall mean the moisture content at the maximum dry density determined above.



## SITE PREPARATION

<u>Clearing</u> - Areas to be graded shall be cleared and grubbed of all vegetation and debris. These materials shall be removed from the site by the Contractor.

**Stripping** - Surface soils containing roots and organic matter shall be stripped from areas to be graded and stockpiled or discarded as directed by the Owner. In general, the depth of stripping of the topsoil will be approximately 6 to 12 inches within the landscaped areas. Deeper stripping, where required to remove weak soils or accumulations of organic matter, shall be performed when determined necessary by the Geotechnical Engineer. Stripped material shall be removed from the site or stockpiled at a location designated by the Owner.

**Removal of Existing Fill** - Existing fill soils, trash and debris in the areas to be graded shall be removed prior to the placing of any compacted fill. Portions of any existing fills that are suitable for use in new compacted fill may be stockpiled for future use. All organic materials, topsoil, expansive soils, oversized rock or other unsuitable material shall be removed from the site by the Contractor or disposed of at a location on-site, if so designated by the Owner.

<u>**Ground Surface</u>** - The ground surface exposed by stripping shall be scarified to a depth of 6 inches, moisture conditioned to the proper moisture content for compaction and compacted as required for compacted fill. Ground surface preparation shall be approved by the Geotechnical Engineer prior to placing fill.</u>

## EXCAVATION

**General** - Excavations shall be made to the lines and grades indicated on the plans. The data presented in the Geotechnical Engineer's report is for information only and the Contractor shall make his own interpretation with regard to the methods and equipment necessary to perform the excavation and to obtain material suitable for fill.

<u>Materials</u> - Soils which are removed and are unsuitable for fill shall be placed in nonstructural areas of the project, or in deeper fills at locations designated by the Geotechnical Engineer.

All oversize rocks and boulders that cannot be incorporated in the work shall be removed from the site by the Contractor.

<u>**Treatment of Exposed Surface</u>** - The ground surface exposed by excavation shall be scarified to a depth of 6 inches, moisture conditioned to the proper moisture content for compaction and</u>


compacted as required for compacted fill. Compaction shall be approved by the Geotechnical Engineer prior to placing fill.

#### **COMPACTED FILL**

<u>Materials</u> - Fill material shall consist of suitable on-site or imported soil. All materials used for structural fill shall be reasonably free of organic material, have an Expansion Index of 50 or less, 100% passing the 3 inch sieve and less than 30 percent passing the #200 sieve.

**Placement** - All fill materials shall be placed in layers of 8 inches or less in loose thickness and uniformly moisture conditioned. Each lift should then be compacted with a sheepsfoot roller or other approved compaction equipment to at least 90 percent relative compaction in areas under structures, utilities, roadways and parking areas. No fill material shall be placed, spread or rolled while it is frozen or thawing, or during unfavorable weather conditions.

<u>Compaction Equipment</u> - The Contractor shall provide and use sufficient equipment of a type and weight suitable for the conditions encountered in the field. The equipment shall be capable of obtaining the required compaction in all areas.

**Recompaction** - When, in the judgment of the Geotechnical Engineer, sufficient compactive effort has not been used, or where the field density tests indicate that the required compaction or moisture content has not been obtained, or if pumping or other indications of instability are noted, the fill shall be reworked and recompacted as needed to obtain a stable fill at the required density and moisture content before additional fill is placed.

**<u>Responsibility</u>** - The Contractor shall be responsible for the maintenance and protection of all embankments and fills made during the contract period and shall bear the expense of replacing any portion which has become displaced due to carelessness, negligent work or failure to take proper precautions.

#### UTILITY TRENCH BEDDING AND BACKFILL

<u>Material</u> - Pipe bedding shall be defined as all material within 4 inches of the perimeter and 12 inches over the top of the pipe. Material for use as bedding shall be clean sand, gravel, crushed aggregate or native free draining material, having a Sand Equivalent of not less than 30.

Backfill should be classified as all material within the remainder of the trench. Backfill shall meet the requirements set forth in Section 4.2.7 for compacted fill.



<u>Placement and Compaction</u> - Pipe bedding shall be placed in layers not exceeding 8 inches in loose thickness, conditioned to the proper moisture content for compaction and compacted to at least 90 percent relative compaction. All other trench backfill shall be placed and compacted in accordance with Section 306-1.3.2 of the Standard Specifications for Mechanically Compacted Backfill. Backfill shall be compacted as required for adjacent fill. If not specified, backfill shall be compacted to at least 90 percent relative compaction in areas under structures, utilities, roadways, parking areas and concrete flatwork.

#### SUBSURFACE DRAINAGE

<u>General</u> - Subsurface drainage shall be constructed as shown on the plans. Drainage pipe shall meet the requirements set forth in the Standard Specifications.

<u>Materials</u> - Permeable drain rock used for subdrainage shall meet the following gradation requirements:

SIEVE SIZE	PERCENTAGE PASSING
3"	100
1-1/2"	90 - 100
3/4"	50 - 80
No. 4	24 - 40
No. 100	0 - 4
No. 200	0 - 2

<u>Geotextile Fabric</u> - Filter fabric shall be placed between the permeable drain rock and native soils. Filter cloth shall have an equivalent opening size greater than the No. 100 sieve and a grab strength not less than 100 pounds. Samples of filter fabric shall be submitted to the Geotechnical Engineer for approval before the material is brought to the site.

<u>Placement and Compaction</u> - Drain rock shall be placed in layers not exceeding 8 inches in loose thickness and compacted as required for adjacent fill, but in no case, to be less than 85 percent relative compaction. Placement of geotextile fabric shall be in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and shall be checked by the Geotechnical Engineer.



### AGGREGATE BASE BENEATH CONCRETE SLABS

<u>Materials</u> - Aggregate base beneath concrete slabs shall consist of clean free-draining sand, gravel or crushed rock conforming to the following gradation requirements:

SIEVE SIZE	PERCENT PASSING
1"	100
3/8"	30 – 100
No. 20	0 – 10

<u>**Placement</u></u> - Aggregate base shall be compacted and kept moist until placement of concrete. Compaction shall be by suitable vibrating compactors. Aggregate base shall be placed in layers not exceeding 8 inches in loose thickness. Each layer shall be compacted by at least four passes of the compaction equipment or until 95 percent relative compaction has been obtained.</u>** 



# APPENDIX D

# **ASFE INSERT**

# Important Information about Your Geotechnical-Engineering Report

Subsurface problems are a principal cause of construction delays, cost overruns, claims, and disputes.

# While you cannot eliminate all such risks, you can manage them. The following information is provided to help.

# Geotechnical Services Are Performed for Specific Purposes, Persons, and Projects

Geotechnical engineers structure their services to meet the specific needs of their clients. A geotechnical-engineering study conducted for a civil engineer may not fulfill the needs of a construction contractor or even another civil engineer. Because each geotechnical-engineering study is unique, each geotechnical-engineering report is unique, prepared *solely* for the client. No one except you should rely on your geotechnical engineering report without first conferring with the geotechnical engineer who prepared it. *And no one — not even you* — should apply the report for any purpose or project except the one originally contemplated.

# **Read the Full Report**

Serious problems have occurred because those relying on a geotechnicalengineering report did not read it all. Do not rely on an executive summary. Do not read selected elements only.

#### A Geotechnical-Engineering Report Is Based on a Unique Set of Project-Specific Factors

Geotechnical engineers consider many unique, project-specific factors when establishing the scope of a study. Typical factors include: the client's goals, objectives, and risk-management preferences; the general nature of the structure involved, its size, and configuration; the location of the structure on the site; and other planned or existing site improvements, such as access roads, parking lots, and underground utilities. Unless the geotechnical engineer who conducted the study specifically indicates otherwise, do not rely on a geotechnical engineering report that was:

- not prepared for you,
- not prepared for your project,
- not prepared for the specific site explored, or
- completed before important project changes were made.

Typical changes that can erode the reliability of an existing geotechnicalengineering report include those that affect:

 the function of the proposed structure, as when it's changed from a parking garage to an office building, or from a light-industrial plant to a refrigerated warehouse,

- elevation, configuration, location, orientation, or weight of the proposed structure,
- · composition of the design team, or
- project ownership.

As a general rule, *always* inform your geotechnical engineer of project changes—even minor ones—and request an assessment of their impact. *Geotechnical engineers cannot accept responsibility or liability for problems that occur because their reports do not consider developments of which they were not informed.* 

# **Subsurface Conditions Can Change**

A geotechnical-engineering report is based on conditions that existed at the time the study was performed. *Do not rely on a geotechnical-engineer-ing report* whose adequacy may have been affected by: the passage of time; by man-made events, such as construction on or adjacent to the site; or by natural events, such as floods, droughts, earthquakes, or groundwater fluctuations. *Always* contact the geotechnical engineer before applying the report to determine if it is still reliable. A minor amount of additional testing or analysis could prevent major problems.

# Most Geotechnical Findings Are Professional Opinions

Site exploration identifies subsurface conditions only at those points where subsurface tests are conducted or samples are taken. Geotechnical engineers review field and laboratory data and then apply their professional judgment to render an opinion about subsurface conditions throughout the site. Actual subsurface conditions may differ—sometimes significantly— from those indicated in your report. Retaining the geotechnical engineer who developed your report to provide construction observation is the most effective method of managing the risks associated with unanticipated conditions.

# A Report's Recommendations Are *Not* Final

Do not overrely on the construction recommendations included in your report. *Those recommendations are not final,* because geotechnical engineers develop them principally from judgment and opinion. Geotechnical engineers can finalize their recommendations *only* by observing actual

subsurface conditions revealed during construction. *The geotechnical* engineer who developed your report cannot assume responsibility or liability for the report's recommendations if that engineer does not perform construction observation.

### A Geotechnical Engineering Report Is Subject to Misinterpretation

Other design team members' misinterpretation of geotechnical-engineering reports has resulted in costly problems. Lower that risk by having your geotechnical engineer confer with appropriate members of the design team after submitting the report. Also retain your geotechnical engineer to review pertinent elements of the design team's plans and specifications. Contractors can also misinterpret a geotechnical-engineering report. Reduce that risk by having your geotechnical engineer participate in prebid and preconstruction conferences, and by providing construction observation.

# **Do Not Redraw the Engineer's Logs**

Geotechnical engineers prepare final boring and testing logs based upon their interpretation of field logs and laboratory data. To prevent errors or omissions, the logs included in a geotechnical engineering report should *never* be redrawn for inclusion in architectural or other design drawings. Only photographic or electronic reproduction is acceptable, *but recognize that separating logs from the report can elevate risk.* 

#### Give Contractors a Complete Report and Guidance

Some owners and design professionals mistakenly believe they can make contractors liable for unanticipated subsurface conditions by limiting what they provide for bid preparation. To help prevent costly problems, give contractors the complete geotechnical-engineering report, *but* preface it with a clearly written letter of transmittal. In that letter, advise contractors that the report was not prepared for purposes of bid development and that the report's accuracy is limited; encourage them to confer with the geotechnical engineer who prepared the report (a modest fee may be required) and/or to conduct additional study to obtain the specific types of information they need or prefer. A prebid conference can also be valuable. *Be sure contractors have sufficient time* to perform additional study. Only then might you be in a position to give contractors the best information available to you, while requiring them to at least share some of the financial responsibilities stemming from unanticipated conditions.

# **Read Responsibility Provisions Closely**

Some clients, design professionals, and contractors do not recognize that geotechnical engineering is far less exact than other engineering disciplines. This lack of understanding has created unrealistic expectations that

have led to disappointments, claims, and disputes. To help reduce the risk of such outcomes, geotechnical engineers commonly include a variety of explanatory provisions in their reports. Sometimes labeled "limitations," many of these provisions indicate where geotechnical engineers' responsibilities begin and end, to help others recognize their own responsibilities and risks. *Read these provisions closely.* Ask questions. Your geotechnical engineer should respond fully and frankly.

# **Geoenvironmental Concerns Are Not Covered**

The equipment, techniques, and personnel used to perform a *geoenvironmental* study differ significantly from those used to perform a *geotechnical* study. For that reason, a geotechnical-engineering report does not usually relate any geoenvironmental findings, conclusions, or recommendations; e.g., about the likelihood of encountering underground storage tanks or regulated contaminants. *Unanticipated environmental problems have led to numerous project failures*. If you have not yet obtained your own geoenvironmental information, ask your geotechnical consultant for risk management guidance. *Do not rely on an environmental report prepared for someone else*.

# **Obtain Professional Assistance To Deal with Mold**

Diverse strategies can be applied during building design, construction, operation, and maintenance to prevent significant amounts of mold from growing on indoor surfaces. To be effective, all such strategies should be devised for the express purpose of mold prevention, integrated into a comprehensive plan, and executed with diligent oversight by a professional mold-prevention consultant. Because just a small amount of water or moisture can lead to the development of severe mold infestations, many mold-prevention strategies focus on keeping building surfaces dry. While groundwater, water infiltration, and similar issues may have been addressed as part of the geotechnical-engineering study whose findings are conveyed in this report, the geotechnical engineer in charge of this project is not a mold-prevention consultant; none of the services performed in connection with the geotechnical engineer's study were designed or conducted for the purpose of mold prevention. Proper implementation of the recommendations conveyed in this report will not of itself be sufficient to prevent mold from growing in or on the structure involved.

#### Rely, on Your GBA-Member Geotechncial Engineer for Additional Assistance

Membership in the GEOPROFESSIONAL BUSINESS ASSOCIATION exposes geotechnical engineers to a wide array of risk confrontaton techniques that can be of genuine benefit for everyone involved with a construction project. Confer with your GBA-member geotechnical engineer for more information.



8811 Colesville Road/Suite G106, Silver Spring, MD 20910 Telephone: 301/565-2733 Facsimile: 301/589-2017 e-mail: info@geoprofessional.org www.geoprofessional.org

Copyright 2014 by Geoprofessional Business Association, Inc.(GBA) Duplication, reproduction, or copying of this document, in whole or in part, by any means whatsoever, is strictly prohibited, except with GBA's specific written permission. Excerpting, quoting, or otherwise extracting wording from this document is permitted only with the express written permission of GBA, and only for purposes of scholarly research or book review. Only members of GBA may use this document as a complement to or as an element of a geotechnical-engineering report. Any other firm, individual, or other entity that so uses this document without being a GBA member could be commiting negligent or intentional (fraudulent) misrepresentation.