

SONGS EIR Project

From: Richard Warnock [rwarnock@warnocksolutions.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2005 9:52 PM
To: sanonofre@aspenerg.com
Subject: San Onofre Steam Generator Replacement

Dear Sir:

I strongly favor replacement of the San Onofre steam generators as a means to assure that these cost-effective and reliable sources of environmentally friendly electric power continue to support California. As you well know, California does not generate all of the electric power that it requires. To fail to provide for the continued operation of San Onofre would be to discard about 2300 MWe of needed electric power. That's enough power for about 2,000,000 California homes.

Replacement of steam generators in pressurized water reactors is a relatively common practice in both the United States and Europe where PWRs are the dominate reactor type. Fifty percent or more of the PWRs in the U.S. have already received new steam generators. Most of these reactors have also received a 20 year license extension so that full benefit can be received from the new generators.

Richard Warnock
Board Certified Health Physicist
25551 Rocky Beach Lane
Dana Point, CA 92629
rwarnock@warnocksolutions.com

SONGS EIR Project

From: ssanor [ssanor@tfb.com]
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2005 9:15 AM
To: sanonofre@aspeneg.com
Subject: Plant

I think the plant's a good idea! We hear all of this good stuff about green power! That's all good, but here's the thing. In order to have green power, you have to have a lot of space for these generators. Then what about on those hot days, when there's a lot of AC being used! Not since 1979 has there been an accident with a nuclear powerplant! There are things such as The California Public Utilities Commission, watching over this kind of Industry! Just wanting to shut one of these Plants down, for having a nuclear accident!

SONGS EIR Project

From: allengc@songs.sce.com
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2005 12:43 PM
To: sanonofre@aspeneg.com
Subject: Comments on Draft EIR, 5/12/05

Gentlemen and Ladies,

Thank you for your presentation on the San Onofre steam generator replacement project.

Andrew Barnsdale made a good point that many of the concerns of the public brought up would be the same if SONGS replaced steam generators or not. Many people are uneasy about nuclear power and do not understand it can be a clean, economical source of power. San Onofre provides income for employees living in the area. San Clemente receives an economic benefit from workers spending their money in San Clemente.

Replacing the steam generators will allow the plant to operate to the end of its license, 2022. I support replacing the steam generators for economic and base load stability reasons. San Onofre is part of the grid stability.

Nuclear power at San Onofre has been used safely since 1968. The nations worst nuclear disaster, the Three Mile Island accident in 1979, did not expose the public to dangerous levels of a radio active plume. The US has 20% of its electric power provided by nuclear generation, France has 70%. Environmentalist want clean power and nuclear is as clean as we can get without harming the environment like hydro power does. If we want low CO2 emitting sources of electrical power. Nuclear provides this.

Other replacement power options would have other problems such as laying natural gas piping, erecting transmission lines, building power plants. Alternate sources of power have advantages and disadvantages. We know the nuclear issues. We have upgraded our plant to meet added security treats and have met all the NRC mandates requested.

People fear the high level waste produced. The waste is being stored on site until a more permanent site is approved.

Our plant is running safe and stable and will continue to produce power through it license end date, 2022, if we get to replace our steam generators. Please help keep San Onofre producing power through its intended usefull life.

I welcome the public to come up with lower costing cleaner sources of power. Until those sources can be built and provide power, we need to keep San Onofre as part of the state's energy mix.

Thank you,

George C. Allen
1307 Altura
San Clemente, CA 92673
949 492 6734
allengc@songs.sce.com

SONGS EIR Project

From: VACornell@aol.com
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2005 4:39 PM
To: sanonofre@aspeneg.com
Subject: Nuclear Energy--Southern California

Sir:

We absolutely need to build more reactors in San Onofre in Southern California and north of Santa Barbara at that, the only other, plant in California. These new reactors at existing plants will fit in very well.

Since the Three-mile Island in 1978---27 years ago--the NRC has done an excellent job of overseeing the 103 reactors in the USA. Reactors that ran but seven months a year now operate eleven months a year...reliability is the note. We get reliable electricity every day from these 103 reactors.

Those people saying they are dangerous are hiding there heads in the sand...and they know it. They are SAFE and they are reliable around the clock and around the ca lander.

This business is being actively promoted by the Congress and by DOE. We have an energy bill through the House and need one through the Senate, that continues this promoting of safe, reliable nuclear energy.

Once the new generation reactors get built, they will perform for 60 years..reliably.

Westinghouse and GE are ready to go...but they need USA support. Westinghouse has been licensed by DOE to build a standard safe design throughout the world. GE is not far behind. Many good jobs for us in California. The people east of the Mississippi are really moving to build new reactors through the DOE use of ESPs--Early Site Permits---and through COLs---combined construction and operating licenses. California should get going, also.

It is absolutely foolish to say these two plants in California should be destroyed.

They need reliable additions..!

Sincerely...Vern Cornell, Tierrasanta, San Diego County

Cheers...Vern