

**From: Cindy Buxton**  
**To:**  
**Sent: Fri Jan 4 4:37**  
**Subject: Fwd: eis/eir**

**Please send me the executive summary and the dvd copy of the complete eis/eir. In addition if you have maps of the routes that would be helpful. I am particularly confused about the route of the option D-I-8, and option D-alternative. You guys really need to clarify this as it appears to be in reality about 5 different routes with the same name. Most especially what does the CPUC recommend in a route when the line gets to the Descanso-Alpine area? They have not made this clear. They say freeway route, yea , but if you go north from there up through Boulder CReek Road you will wipe out some of the prettiest places in San Diego and in Jerry Shad's Hiking book. It is also some of the only places within about an hour of town that have flowing water. Unfortunately you could not have been there to see the water at a good time of year. However, if you go after the storm this weekend you are going to be blown away by what you see!**

**Here is my address**  
**Cindy Buxton**  
**541 Spurce St.**  
**Imperial Beach, Ca**  
**91932**

**From: Cindy Buxton**  
**To:**  
**Sent: Tue Mar 25 3:11**  
**Subject: Fwd: Sunrise EIS Response; legal section**

Please consider the following questions and comments about the Sunrise Powerlink EIS and process. There were several sections. Most of my ground knowledge is about option D . First however I would like to address the "legal"section of this process.

Thank you for your review.

Cindy Buxton  
Imperial Beach, Ca.

Legal section extracted from my complete table of comments on option D.

- How can they legally do this?
- The CPUC stated they prefer the local mix of electricity generation over any route and state that this is not only doable but cheaper, and better for the environment. So why is this not a done deal?
- If they can't take your suggestions than what power is driving this decision?
- What is the penalty for the designers of this proposal if they manipulate employers of an individual that opposes it to discourage any action he might want to take?
- Likewise what is the penalty for the designers of this proposal if they provide special favors to individuals or employers if they support this proposal?
- What is the penalty to an employer if he exploits the special nature and knowledge of the employer/employee relationship to manipulate the free speak rights and abilites of their employees?
- Have they considered the provisions of the clean water act that disallow construction in a zone where a flowing stream is recovering from sedimentation from a fire?
- Have they considered the provisions of the endangered species act that disallow construction in the territory of nesting raptors.
- What are the penalties for providing or manufacturing false information in this report, since it is being facilitated by state resources?
- Who pays for this report?
- If not the CPUC, than how can any private individual pay for is and it still be unbiased?
- What influence does the CPUC have over the local Forest Service?
- Did the CPUC influence/comment on the land designations in the forest service 15 year plan in a way that would influence this power line plan?
- Did the proposed power line have any bearing on the last minute changes in land designation in the Forest Service Fifteen year plan for this region?
- Did the BLM influence/comment on the land designations in the forest service 15 year plan, in a way that would influence this power line plan?
- Did SDG&E or its parent company Sempra Energy influence / comment on the land designations in the forest service 15 year plan in a way that would influence this power line plan?

- Did SDG&E, Sempra, The CPUC, The BLM or any environmental organization in anyway influence the way the Witch fire was fought and contained?
- Have you noticed how amazingly similar the path of the Witch fire and the areas cleared to fight it and stage for it from Ramona to mile marker 8 on Boulder Creek Road so very closely follows the path of this power line proposal right down to the placement of towers along Cedar Creek and Sunshine Mountain?
- Do you plan to insist that SDG&E publish their information on the McCoy fire?
- Is it just a coincidence and have you noticed that the private land burned in this fire exactly matches the same land owned by the same people who wrote comments opposing this line across their property in the previous comment period?
- Do you have any idea why the location of this fire has been mis-published by 2 miles in every major publication and no one not you or anyone involved with this line has been willing to correct the details of this report and insist that they are published correctly?
- Are you aware that nearly 20 people came close to dying in that fire right under the power line proposal that night if it hadn't been for the quick thinking of their neighbor to get them out?
- Has anyone insisted that SDG&E disclose how the power came back on after being out for 20 minutes right before the fire started, and weather or not a line breaker was remotely flipped back on by SDG&E before checking on the cause?
- If there was an original short caused by private sources as some have suggested, though not at all confirmed, the fact remains that the power breaker successfully shut off. Twenty minutes later however, it came back on and moments later a fire ball swept through the area with a 100 mile /hour tail wind. Did the breaker mysteriously flip itself back on? Did they turn the breaker back on? Could that not be called attempted manslaughter?
- To not insist that this is investigated and published, would that not be called accessory? Surely this process is actively in place?
- Why was Michelle Sarubi the original Forest Service investigator to arrive on the scene suddenly removed from this investigation?
- What influenced this decision?
- Can you legally allow more construction there until this is completely understood and remedied? Whatever the flaws in their infrastructure this needs to be fixed. A week later the power went out again and the next morning SDG&E was replacing every breaker for 15 miles saying the lightning did it. There was no lightning. I was camping out there that night under the stars. This is going way too far for the good faith and trust of the public. Surely the integrity of the organization doing this needs to be reviewed. What kind of people do they hire? How do they insure that their contractors and sub- sub- sub contractors will maintain integrity and high safety and concern for the public? If they can't manage power outages without killing people they don't need bigger "guns".
- Is there some way they can insure this doesn't happen again on this huge proposal?
- I don't think you have considered the impacts of these issues and the wind speed of 100 mph that night.
- Are you aware that only one year prior to the McCoy and Witch fires another fire broke out off of Boulder Creek Road about ½ mile away, caused by a broken power line in 90 mile per hour winds?
- Are you aware that that one was mis placed by 2 miles and many other details about that fire were mis quoted in the paper?

- When I called the woman who published the information I asked her where the location came from, She said the dispatch, and I said where did disbatch get it? And she said wait a minute I'll ask. She returned and said the incident commander. (I surely hope that was wrong) proximity to the road was also wrong.

- Is it not disturbing that one year later there is a repeat at nearly the same location and no one still gets the location and other details correct even though there were lives at stake? Location and number of houses was also wrong.

- I do not believe you have considered all of the legal implications this process has on our foreign relationships, national security, and trade agreements as well as sending technical information out of the country for generation of energy, since we know that this is bringing electricity generated in Mexico and fuelled by gas from southeast Asia well in excess of the publicized renewable resources it claims to transport.

- Have you considered the vastly broadened legal, security and training obligations this line would create albeit added cost?

- What percentage of the alternating current generated will flow INTO San Diego and what Actual percentage will be flowing OUT of San Diego to Los Angeles?

- What ACTUAL percentage of the alternating current generated would flow INTO San Diego and what ACTUAL percentage would be flowing OUT of San Diego to Arizona?

- What ACTUAL percentage of the alternating current generated would flow INTO San Diego and what ACTUAL percentage would be flowing to Mexico?

- Why does no one want to give a clear answer to these questions of where the electricity will be pulled? For example "its alternating current, we can't tell where its going, there's different demands, its in the grid and goes to the demand" Balony! That's sidestepping-- I know its alternating current and energy demands vary throughout the day, but you have some idea where the demand is or you wouldn't be wanting to build it. ? It is incumbent upon the CPUC and SDG&E to compile and publish this data.

**From: Cindy Buxton**  
**To:**  
**Sent: Tue Mar 25 3:21**  
**Subject: Fwd: Sunrise EIS Response opt D**

The following are my comments to the recreation half of the recreation and wilderness section of the EIS for the Sunrise Powerlink. These two sections do not belong together!

Thanks you for your consideration .  
Cindy Buxton  
541 Spruce Street  
Imperial Beach, Ca.  
619 823-3620

- Have you considered the fact that the Cleveland purchased a lot of the affected land in the last decade and a half so much is still new to them.
- It is likely that more recreation will occur here as the time and resources and general public knowledge is cultivated.
- The region is close and accessible from town, contains most of the water recreational resources for the county, much of the high elevation that is cooler and wetter.
- What hiking areas did you consider?
- Have you considered the impacts to hiking along Sunshine Mountain?
- Have you considered the impacts to the old hwy 80 River Park trail ?
- Are you aware that you used their trail as an access road?
- Have you considered the impacts to the Sea to Sea Trail?
- Why / how was the location of the Sea to Sea Trail changed for this document?
- I asked the recreation manager for the Palomar Distric of the Cleveland National Forest why the route was changed, he replied “it hasn’t changed to his knowledge, it it appears in the EIS than he has no knowledgeof it, and no power over what was published in a document that he wasn’t consulted on. “ Have you considered the implications of this?
  - The Sea to Sea trail is a multiagency trail running from the Torrey Pines State Beach to the Salton Sea. I’ve been in several of their meetings and participated in an expedition 3 years ago where it went through the option D area. This was not the route and the former Palomar District ranger said that it would be years before this route could be completely identified. Anyone who is even mildly interested in this area would know that there has been a very serious investigation and debate concerning golden eagle closures on Eagle Peak .
  - These have a likelihood of occurring at least during the spring months during nesting season. It is not possible that the Sea to Sea trail, being clearly identified as an interagency trail with each agency weighing in on its own turf could have placed the trail where they did. I’ve provided further information to Jeff Wells concerning Golden Eagles just to the East of Boulder Creek Road where this line goes as well as considerable occurrence of red tailed hawk nesting sights on Mineral Hill, also where the powerline could be places. Construction is not allowed near and during any rapture nesting season, this would clearly impact both the powerline and the Sea to Sea trail. In addition there is considerable data on seeps located along the new route of the Sea to Sea trail on your maps. The trail also goes on a route that is way too steep to be feasible without considerable blasting to give it a legal

grade for a public trail. And finally the trail uses a route way too close to both Kelly Creek and Cedar Creek to be allowable according to the Clean Water Act.

- If Jeff did not provide this information than who did and how can it be considered at all official? The Cleveland would surely know these things . At the time of my inquiry anyone within the Cleveland would have had ample time to consult with Jeff.
- So if the documentor did not get information from the right resources surely there are guidelines on who they can consult.?
- If the Sea to Sea trail remains on Cedar Creek Road, already built and paid for in an extremely rugged and forboding area, as it would seem that the visionaries of this trail would capitalize on this advantage, than the Sea to Sea trail would run close and parallel for 4 miles to the Poweline buzzing overhead.
- ] Likewise, if for some reason the Sea to Sea Trail were to take a route different from Cedar Creek Road, the likely alternative would be the top of SunShine Mountain, not Eagle Peak. So it has all the look and feel that someone associated with this line is influenceing the creation of this mulitagency triail particularly through the forest service section?
- My deepest concern here is that inappropriate collaboration has taken place. Surely the wrong map was provided and this needs only to be identified. So what did happen with this route?
- Who provided the Sea to Sea trail information?
- When was it first provided?
- How many times was it provided? Why would it have been provided more than once if this is the case?
- Have you considered the impacts to a Boy Scout Camp when you run a powerline and access roads through their camp?
- Can you insure their safety?

**From: Cindy Buxton**  
**To:**  
**Sent: Tue Mar 25 3:39**  
**Subject: Fwd: EIS process for the Sunrise Powerlink.**

The is another comment on the EIS process for the Sunrise Powerlink.

Thanks you for your consideration .

Cindy Buxton  
541 Spruce Street  
Imperial Beach, Ca.  
619 823-3620

- I don't think you have begun to consider what these factors are doing to the lives of the people and their children that live along this route. Living culture is being destroyed even before a decision is made. 3 years of this: for example some 3 year old, now 6 has lived half of his life with his parents under this stress. What does this do to a child?
- Do you have any idea what you are putting these people through?
- . This is their lives. They do not owe any of us conciliation. You are suggesting taking their homes away, homes in a very special place. Is SDG&E accepting the liability of the emotional impact that they are having on the land owners?
- Are you aware that they are sending and calling land owners in a manner that they have already got authority and intimidating them into allowing them to gather information. No one has provided legal support for the rights of these people. Shouldn't our state tax dollar paid utilities commission be insisting that the power company conduct themselves respectfully of the public?.
- They have tried to bully insult and intimidate their way onto private property without clear agenda or intention clearly stated to the land owners. and their demeanor is indignant when it should be the utmost in gratefulness considering what they are suggesting these people give up. Their behavior towards the public has been rude and uncalled for. They should be held accountable for any emotional consequences, especially to the children.
- When the only waterfalls remaining are hotel lobby fountains, and the milky way is a candy bar, Just where do the kids play?

I think having land and not ruining it is the most beautiful art that anybody could ever want to own."

-- Andy Warhol

The following is a series of comments mostly specific to the Option D proposal to the proposed SDG&E Sunrise Powerlink. I have also provided a link to a 7.5 minute video essay on this proposal containing over 60 photos of this area and comments identifying some of my issues with this route:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VOdz6kcBuGA> (enlarge the view with the button on the right on the view management bar)

I was the adoptive parent for this, 40,000 or so, acres that are also in a Bill sponsored by Senator Barbara Boxer to designate most of these same lands as Federally protected wilderness. I know the area very well and have walked over most of it taking pictures and enjoying it as it is.

Please consider the CPUC's recommendation to develop/redevelop our energy resources locally instead of putting this line ANYWHERE. This is a switch in the way we've been thinking. Nevertheless it holds significant monetary opportunities as well as eliminating the impacts I outline here. If SDG&E will think this through a bit more they will realize that given their goals as a business, to make money, the local generation proposal carries significant opportunity and industry-leading edge innovation and positioning if only they will think out of the box and not be given to the usual pressures. Complacency is what kills the bottom line.

Consider, a great manager is relentlessly diligent, while a great leader is relentlessly passionate. Our challenge is to effectively integrate these authoritative philosophies into a well grounded strategy for the future.

Sincerely,  
Cindy Buxton  
Imperial Beach, Ca 91932



# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
Fire and Fire management (for example)	E.3.15	moderate	I disagree. The threat is severe. Sorry but right here in the beginning you need to hear this.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What factors formed this How do you get moderate out of this. Right here in the beginning, this is really pushing the envelope.</li> <li>• Why would an unbiased documenter for our state supported CPUC say something like this.</li> <li>• Were you in anyway influenced to provide this determination from something other than data review?</li> <li>• I think it is severe and a certainty. What Cedar Fire? (Are they brain dead?) Your line passes a point on Sunshine Mountain that is directly across from the ignition site of the Cedar Fire. It is a direct shot across the gorge by about a mile and about a third of a mile from where it would cross the gorge. This was the largest fire in San Diego History when it broke out. We field checked this point yesterday. I saw the fire at 10:30 at night the night it broke out from Eagle Peak Road behind Kessler Flat. Moderate fire danger? --You have got to be kidding! It took 2 hours to reach San Diego Country Estates. The Chaos looked like the proverbial burning of Atlanta. 18 hours later it was in Scripts Ranch. For 4 more years everybody has slung political pseudo science musings about control burning, numbers of helicopters in the air, and what burns farther and faster and who caused this fire. Then the Cedar Gorge burned up again. So much for control burning and helicopters to the rescue. You've removed the ground moisture, allowed all the top soil to blow away, and created a tinder box. Good going. You can not fight a wind driven fire. You've got a lot of nerve trying to place a number on the scope and piddle over a percentage point of probability of one of these. The more you put roads in the back country the more of these you will get. They all burn like the fire at the end of a blow torch. Your only hope is to guard the urban interface. All the politics and control burning in the middle is ruining the ecology and making the situation much worse. (ibid Rick Halsey and Jon Keeley—if you don't know who they are you are in the wrong business! You need to listen to these guys and listen to them good. They know what they are talking about and you don't. You may not like it and you may not agree with it but</li> </ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>acceptance dictates at the very least that rational functioning people need to be able to see what is right in front of them. Unless you are planning to pave the entire gorge which wouldn't surprise me at this point. See also the legal section.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cedar Fire started in this area adjacent to Cedar Gorge where this line crosses Cedar Creek.</li> <li>• Wind speeds often over 80mph dropping off of Cuyamaca Peak.</li> <li>• Recent McCoy Fire started right by this route due to shorting power line in 100 mph winds and failed shutoff breaker. (conclusion still under investigation) All lightning arrestors on Boulder Creek line were replaced two weeks later only 4 years after the entire line was replaced in the Cedar fire.</li> <li>• McCoy almost took 20 lives late at night when most were in bed.</li> <li>• McCoy fire took 3 homes, damaged two additional homes , two other properties</li> <li>• Oct 2006 Power line broke right on this line and started a fire.</li> <li>• Witch fire damaged a home in this area, burned 10000 acres of sensitive habitat for the 2<sup>nd</sup> time in 4 years, debunking must of the popular control burning theories.</li> <li>• Witch fire was started by a downed power line</li> <li>• 3 of the four fires in four years were started by power lines.</li> <li>• Cedar fire destroyed numerous homes and structures in the area.</li> <li>• Fire Fighters can not get near a 500 kV line.</li> <li>• What criteria did you use to make this determination?</li> <li>• How local was the data used to record wind speed?</li> <li>• Did you consider local weather stations in place for this purpose?</li> <li>• Have you done a study of the data that considers impacts to the ecology when chaparral is burning more often than every 15 years?</li> <li>• I don't think you have considered the impacts that the fires in the last fire years have had on wind speed.</li> <li>• I don't think you have considered the impacts of</li> </ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>wind speed upon fire damage and containment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• I don't think you have considered the source of nearly all of these fires was just to the east of your line and in every case would have crossed it.</li> <li>• I don't think you have considered the fact that this crossing can occur with 100 mile per hour winds, 150 foot fire plums, faster than you have the ability to even drive to the location.</li> <li>• How are you able to accurately assess the impacts to this option when it was recently burned?</li> <li>• Remember the Witch Fire?</li> <li>• Remember the Pines File?</li> <li>• Remember the McCoy Fire?</li> <li>• Remember the Conejos Fire?</li> <li>• SDG&amp;E has an even worse track record managing and communicating to vendors. Their vendor consistently shows up at the wrong gate even after being told even for years.</li> <li>• Their own workers have gotten lost looking for the lines they service.</li> <li>• A breaker was turned back on after shorting out as all the residents have noted and caught fire in a 100 mile an hour wind burning down 3 houses and a dozen properties in the recent McCoy fire. That they didn't know enough to check before flipping the switch is very scary. Are you going to do that with 500 kilowatts on top of someone's house as well?</li> <li>• Several cases where equipment has gotten stuck going where it wasn't supposed to go. Personnel have gotten lost not knowing where they were.</li> </ul>

## D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
Biological Resources	E.3.2	They didn't see California newts, Cuyamaca Cypress, Tecate Cypress, a couple of Arroyo Toads, fish, and turtles.	You didn't look enough and at the appropriate time. We have seen all of these and more.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Have you considered that collecting and observing plants and animals in July may not be the best time?</li> <li>• Have you considered that collecting and observing plants and animals after a fire may not be the best time?</li> <li>• Have you considered asking the folks from the San Diego Natural History Museum for their data?</li> <li>• There are an abundance of springs seeps and wet meadows a long this corridor that are the headwaters of the central water supply to San Diego, El Capitan Reservoir. They are unique biological treasures.</li> <li>• I don't think these have been given adequate study since they are most active in March and the majority of your study was done after that.</li> <li>• There is a particularly special on just to the south of the "Wall of Elders" due west of approximately mile 7 on Boulder Creek Road.</li> <li>• This also contains some old 19th century sites as well; not to mention it is classified unroaded.</li> <li>• The line manages to bisect the majority of them for 20 miles. This would impact a horrible percentage of a highly unique environment to this region. These areas are already in recovery from the cedar fire. Construction day and night and again within 10 years would be a horrible demise for them.</li> <li>• You line goes right over or by within yards of at least 2 Golden Eagle nesting sites and several red tail hawk nests.</li> <li>• I think you need to do a study for the reasons that you did NOT find native impacts in Cedar Gorge. This may seem counter intuitive but actually it is highly significant. This may be an environment that has very little impact from humans at all, including native Americans, making it one of the rarest biological riparian study areas in the county for riparian and chaparral systems. , not to mention the Indian reasons for avoiding the gorge...?</li> <li>• Why was I mentioned as a biological resource?</li> <li>• I recommended contacting the San Diego Natural History Museum and the coordinators of their plant atlas for the most exhaustive study of plants</li> </ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>on Sunshine Mountain and Cedar Creek. Did you do this?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How can you study plants in July when they can not be definitively identified without flowers and most of the flowering plants occur in the spring?</li> <li>• I mentioned Jeri Hirschberg as the most knowledgeable taxonomist with experience in the San Diego River gorge, Sunshine Mountain, and Cedar Gorge. Have you contacted her?</li> <li>• There is a meadow and series of seeps about 300 yards below the line crossing onto the mountain with the "wall of elders" which lays to the south of Dubois road. Did you study this? I don't see how it would have been effective or possible in July. Did you go there?</li> <li>• Why is Cuyamaca Cypress not listed in rare plants found as there are near your service roads?</li> <li>• Why are Tecate Cypress not listed in rare plants found as they are near your service roads?</li> <li>• Why are fish not listed in your list of species found, as there are steel head living right under where the line would cross Boulder Creek and also near where it would cross Cedar Creek?</li> <li>• Why are turtles not listed in your list of species found? We found several on an outing where subsequently within an hour later we found your footprints!</li> <li>• Have you considered that there are golden eagles sited on Boulder Creek Road just to the north of Boulder Creek, Cedar Creek just to the north of the potential power line crossing, and one was spotted recently in nesting season just overhead at Mildred Falls?</li> <li>• Have you considered the impacts to an unroaded area that running lights for 2 years at night would have on the wildlife there.</li> <li>• Have you considered the impacts to the wildlife that cutting off their ability to migrate from higher elevations to lower ones would have especially for two years during constructions.</li> <li>• Do you really believe in this rugged country it would only take two years to build this line there?</li> <li>• Have you considered the impacts of basically subdividing an already relatively small area by</li> </ul>

## D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				placing this line right in the middle of it? A broad view is between 40,000 to 60000 acres. By placing the line where it is you've cut off wildlife between Boulder Creek Road and the ridge and cliffs to the west. We compromise our compromises to the point there is no habitat, no pristine public land left.

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
Visual Resources	E.3.3	Best view is from the "high point" on Boulder Creek Road. And the only one mentioned???	There are many, many gorgeous views along this line. You didn't survey nearly enough of it.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Line would cross several major recreational trails.</li> <li>• Line would be very visible from most of the hike to Cedar Creek Falls.</li> <li>• Line would be very visible from most of the hike to Three Sisters Water fall. , (270 degrees of the view!)</li> <li>• Line would be very visible for most of the drive along Boulder Creek Road.</li> <li>• How did you prepare your hypothetical photos of the power line? It appears as though unequal positioning was given the power line and poles in the layering or "transparency rating" process on a photo editor such as Photoshop. . In other words the towers look ghostlike; indicating that maybe you used a transparency option to dumb down their impact.</li> <li>• I don't think this depiction is accurate and the visible impacts would be considerably more severe from the top of Cuyamaca, from the city, and from Boulder Creek Road. We will provide our mock-up of the Visual Impacts to Mildred Falls.</li> <li>• Have you seen Mildred Falls? Mildred is 100 feet tall. These lines are 120-150 feet tall.</li> <li>• Totally compromised the stunning top of the world like views from the walk out Sunshine Mountain where you can view the Cedar Gorge and Kelly Gorge from both sides.</li> <li>• Did you walk the length of this?</li> <li>• From your maps you did not consider the impact of the service roads.</li> <li>• Maybe seen from town considering all the roads they added ziz- zagging everywhere as you can see the face of Cuyamaca from all over San Diego.</li> <li>• You did not consider the impacts of doubling or even tripling this line in ten years.</li> <li>• Have you done a study of hits to Google or Yahoo to see how many people search for waterfalls? or creeks/streams/rivers?</li> <li>• I don't think you considered the visual impacts to Mildred Falls at all.</li> <li>• Are you aware that this is a waterfall that is more accessible to handicap than any other when flowing in the spring being that the view spot it is</li> </ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>only a few feet from Eagle Peak Road?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• I don't think you considered the visual impacts to the Devils Jump-off at all.</li> <li>• Your maps show a route for the Sea to Sea multi-agency transcounty trail running over Eagle Peak. I studied this trail at length and this is not the former route. A quick question to Jeff Wells, the recreation manager for the Palomar District for the Cleveland National Forest disclosed that the route on your map is not a route that he knew anything about. The Forest Service proposed route through the Forest Service jurisdiction that is currently on record is on Cedar Creek Road. It is doubtful they would consider the route shown on your map as it crosses two restricted Eagle nesting areas. Hence the power line proposed in Alternate D would parallel 4 miles or better of the Transcounty Trail.</li> <li>• Where did you acquire the data for the map of this trail?</li> <li>• Why did you not consult and provide data for this trail provided by the agency that manages the area sited.</li> <li>• I think your visual impacts are highly inaccurate because your study was done in July and the land was dry and brown.</li> <li>• I think you need to review the visual impacts during the spring months of February, March, and April for green landscape and flowing roaring water.</li> <li>• Have you made plans to review in the spring? Did you consider the visual impacts from Eagle Peak Road?</li> <li>• Did you consider the visual Impacts from Tule Springs road?</li> <li>• Did you consider the visual impacts from Dubois road?</li> <li>• Did you consider the visual impacts to the "wall of Elders" overlooking the ancient Conejos village?</li> <li>• Did you consider the visual Impacts from Sill Hill?</li> <li>• Did you consider the visual impacts from Sill Hill waterfall?</li> <li>• Did you consider the visual impacts from Middle Peak?</li> <li>• Did you consider the visual impacts from North</li> </ul>



## D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>Peak?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Did you consider the visual impacts to each and every land owner that is close or adjacent to this line?</li> <li>• Did you consider the visual impacts of additional runoff muddying up Boulder Creek, Cedar Creek, Conejos Creek, and the San Diego River?</li> <li>• Did you consider the visual impacts to the San Diego River Park's Eagle Peak Preserve and the 85000 members that support this preserve?</li> <li>• Did you consider the visual impacts from the top of Sunshine Mountain that currently has a 360 view of pristine proposed and undisturbed wilderness?</li> <li>• Top of Eagle Peak</li> <li>• Hike to Cedar Creek Falls</li> <li>• View from the top of Cuyamaca</li> </ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
Land Use	E.3.4		This land should be left to exist just like it is. It is a proposed wilderness and limited roadbed area.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The designation of service roads is unfathomable.</li> <li>• Did you actually go to see these?</li> <li>• Did you walk the whole route through the San Diego River Park Foundation preserve of just pick it off of an old map?</li> <li>• Before you read this? When?</li> <li>• Have you considered that some of the "roads" on the topo in dotted lines do not exist? We have spent ample time during the documentation process of the California Wild Heritage Act on hands and knees trying to find the continued road cut that was on a map.</li> <li>• Are not some of your "roads" in this condition?</li> <li>• How can you begin to justify the road that leads into the San Diego River?</li> <li>• Did you walk this route? (I double dare you!)</li> <li>• The whole thing?</li> <li>• When?</li> <li>• After building these roads will the line be economically feasible?</li> <li>• Are you aware that the Forest region in and around Cedar Creek and Sunshine Mountain was "backcountry unroaded" until there was talk of a power line coming through?</li> <li>• The first round in the 15 year plan had this designation as it did ever since the land was first purchased.</li> <li>• Did you know this?</li> <li>• Did you attempt to influence this?</li> <li>• Did you provide comments to the 15 year plan?</li> <li>• Would you make those discussions with the forest service public and indicate here where they can be viewed.?</li> <li>• Have you consulted the former owners, the Rutherford's?</li> <li>• SDG&amp;E does not have a good track record managing what they have, SDG&amp;E has significant difficulty protecting the watershed now. They have not demonstrated the ability to disseminate regulatory information to their vendors and manage and monitor them.</li> <li>• SDG&amp;E has an even worse track record managing and communicating to vendors. Their vendor consistently shows up at the wrong gate even after being told even for years.</li> </ul>

## D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Their own workers have gotten lost looking for the lines they service.</li><li>• A breaker was turned back on after shorting out as all the residents have noted and caught fire in a 100 mile an hour wind burning down 3 houses and a dozen properties in the recent McCoy fire. That they didn't know enough to check before flipping the switch is very scary. Are you going to do that with 500 kilowatts on top of someone's house as well?</li></ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
Wilderness and Recreation	E.3.5		Wilderness can not be mitigated.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Why are the items, “wilderness” and “recreation” under the same heading? Ave you considered clarifying the usage of these two words further?</li> <li>• I do not think it is valid to put these under the same heading as their definitions are entirely different and the implications of those definitions are entirely different. Why are these together?</li> <li>• Wilderness is land as unaffected by us as possible in the year 2008. A wilderness designated in 1890 (in different terms) would probably be different in some ways than one designated today, but the concept of untrammeled ness persists</li> <li>• To digress to demo the point.. The difference as I've come to experience between wilderness and recreation is almost the exact opposite as its being assumed here. In wilderness it is the land that has the direction. In Recreation it is us. -In other words those who would seek a “formal ” form of recreation such as hiking –with trails, shooting, dirt biking, fishing, offroading (not the same as dirt biking, or skiing, would involve eyeing a piece of land and modifying it in some way as to make it conducive to the sport/recreation/activity at hand: aka “we need more places to quail hunt, we need more places to rock climb, we need more places to mine for rocks (as in rock hounds not commercial mining), more trails, we need more swim holes.</li> <li>• These could involve modification to some degree or to a great degree, the building of trails of various sizes according to some standard and some allowable usage, the damming of streams for swim holes, setting up safe area to shoot, noise not withstanding.</li> <li>• There are official trails within official wilderness, as is some mining and grazing allowed.</li> <li>• In the recreational land use model, the land is modified and adapted TO us.</li> <li>• Nevertheless, in wilderness by contrast we are the ones that bear the responsibility and accountability to modify, to be there. We are the ones that adapted TO wilderness land. We go to a place with spikes of poison oak around a 300 year old live oak tree, a 4 foot rattle snake</li> </ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>sunning on a rock, a view that stretches for miles, water that cascades without interference, or no water at all. (All these things are demonstrated in the video essay on utube sighted above.).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We take it in exactly as it is, for what it is. We are the ones that must modify for poison oak, for snakes, and we come to the land and accept it exactly as it stands, its hazards, its gifts, and as the natives say in their Indian prayer "to learn the lessons hidden in every leaf and rock".</li> <li>• –And God willing the ultimate gift and value of wilderness is that we take that lesson of acceptance and accountability home to be practiced with each other.</li> <li>• In the times we bear in 2008 I can't think of anything more crucial to the integrity of our existence than our continued ability to access wilderness on wilderness terms.</li> <li>• Have you taken into account any of the intrinsic values of wilderness? The ability to adapt and accept and to trust?</li> <li>• . While these may be difficult on the surface to quantify, consider the cost to society in correcting the delinquencies of members who do not learn these lessons.</li> <li>• Has it for one instant occurred to you that wilderness is a resource for these things?</li> <li>• Has it occurred to SDG&amp;E?</li> <li>• You can not mitigate wilderness, and land with wilderness character, whether the land bears a legal title or just its components. A piece of land kept in such a state that we are the ones required to adapt to its standards is a very rare thing, especially close to SanDiego, especially with flowing water present on public land, especially that supports a very rare chaparral ecology. You can not mitigate these criteria for perpetuity.</li> </ul>
Wilderness	E.3.5	Doesn't impact wilderness.	Your definition for the Desert isn't the same as here. By the desert definition this area more than qualifies.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are you aware, and have you made the documenters aware that the word "trammeled" that appears in the official federal definition of wilderness in the original Wilderness Act is not the same as the word "trampled"? I've seen these two words misunderstood and misused interchangeably even by government agency officials!</li> </ul>

## D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The definition of "Wilderness" in the main body in the desert section of the EIS is expanded but the definition of wilderness in the mountain section does not appear to be the same. We were informed that they were written by 2 different organizations. Is it your intention to use a different set of standards for one area over another?</li> <li>• Have you done a theoretical or practical analysis of the value of wilderness?</li> <li>• True hunting for the purpose of food gathering might be removed from the first list for these reasons. It would be a wise observation at this point that off trail hiking and hunting in true wilderness is very similar, probably more in common with the relationship between these two groups and how they interact with the land than with and between the other aforementioned recreators. The NRA, wildlife federation, and its followers should take heart and notice, as should the environmentalists.</li> <li>• This entire corridor is very popular among deer and turkey hunters.</li> <li>• This power line would affect the ability of hunters to shoot to the west.</li> <li>• Have you consulted the input from the hunting community?</li> <li>• Have you considered the impact to cross country hikers?</li> <li>• This line would severely impact all five units of the proposed Federal Wilderness status for the proposed Eagle Peak Wilderness.</li> <li>• This bill has already endured five sessions of Congress, a lengthy process.</li> <li>• This bill was endorsed by twenty thousand signatures statewide and sits adjacent to the River Park preserve among others.</li> <li>• There have been several other impact studies for this bill and for the 15 year plan which indicated much of this land was rare, sensitive and in dire need of preserving.</li> <li>• The definition of "Wilderness" in the main body in the desert section of the EIS is expanded but the definition of wilderness in the mountain section does not appear to be the same. We were</li> </ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Pubic Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>informed that they were written by 2 different organizations. Is it your intention to use a different set of standards for one area over another?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• By definition, you can not mitigate wilderness or land with wilderness character!</li> </ul>
Recreation		Not that much.	<p>This line is a horrible impact. Consider that offroaders, land owners, hunters, and enviros have come together in ways not thought possible to oppose this line.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Have you considered the fact that the Cleveland purchased a lot of the affected land in the last decade and a half so much is still new to them.</li> <li>• It is likely that more recreation will occur here as the time and resources and general public knowledge is cultivated.</li> <li>• The region is close and accessible from town, contains most of the water recreational resources for the county, much of the high elevation that is cooler and wetter.</li> <li>• Have you considered the impacts to hunters?</li> <li>• What are the impacts to deer and turkey hunting areas from Ramona to Descanso?</li> <li>• I do not think you have considered the full economic benefit of recreation in this area to the economies of Ramona, Descanso, and Julian and the county as a whole.</li> <li>• Totally compromised the stunning top of the world like views from the walk out Sunshine Mountain where you can view the Cedar Gorge and Kelly Gorge from both sides.</li> <li>• Did you walk the length of this?</li> <li>• What hiking areas did you consider?</li> <li>• Have you considered the impacts to hiking along Sunshine Mountain?</li> <li>• Have you considered the impacts to the old hwy 80 River Park trail?</li> <li>• Are you aware that you used their trail as an access road?</li> <li>• Have you considered the impacts to the Sea to Sea Trail?</li> <li>• Why / how was the location of the Sea to Sea Trail changed for this document?</li> <li>• I asked the recreation manager for the Palomar District of the Cleveland National Forest why the route was changed, he replied "it hasn't changed to his knowledge, it appears in the EIS than he has no knowledge of it, and no power over what was published in a document that he wasn't</li> </ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>consulted on. " Have you considered the implications of this? The Sea to Sea trail is a multiagency trail running from the Torrey Pines State Beach to the Salton Sea. I've been in several of their meetings and participated in an expedition 3 years ago where it went through the option D area. This was not the route and the former Palomar District ranger said that it would be years before this route could be completely identified. Anyone who is even mildly interested in this area would know that there has been a very serious investigation and debate concerning golden eagle closures on Eagle Peak. These have a likelihood of occurring at least during the spring months during nesting season. It is not possible that the Sea to Sea trail, being clearly identified as an interagency trail with each agency weighing in on its own turf could have placed the trail where they did. I've provided further information to Jeff Wells concerning Golden Eagles just to the East of Boulder Creek Road where this line goes as well as considerable occurrence of red tailed hawk nesting sights on Mineral Hill, also where the power line could be places. Construction is not allowed near and during any rapture nesting season, this would clearly impact both the power line and the Sea to Sea trail. In addition there is considerable data on seeps located along the new route of the Sea to Sea trail on your maps. The trail also goes on a route that is way too steep to be feasible without considerable blasting to give it a legal grade for a public trail. And finally the trail uses a route way too close to both Kelly Creek and Cedar Creek to be allowable according to the Clean Water Act. If Jeff did not provide this information than who did and how can it be considered at all official? The Cleveland would surely know these things. At the time of my inquiry anyone within the Cleveland would have had ample time to consult with Jeff. So if the documenter did not get information from the right resources surely there are guidelines on who they can consult. If the Sea to Sea trail remains on Cedar Creek Road, already built and paid for in an extremely rugged and foreboding area, as it would seem that the visionaries of this</p>



## D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>trail would capitalize on this advantage, than the Sea to Sea trail would run close and parallel for 4 miles to the power line buzzing overhead. Likewise, if for some reason the Sea to Sea Trail were to take a route different from Cedar Creek Road, the likely alternative would be the top of Sunshine Mountain, not Eagle Peak. My deepest concern here is that inappropriate collaboration has taken place. Surely the wrong map was provided and this needs only to be identified. So what did happen with this route?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is it possible that the information provided on this route represents a conflict of interest by the person that provided it?</li> <li>• What steps were taken to ensure that information provided to the preparers of this report is accurate?</li> <li>• Who provided the Sea to Sea trail information?</li> <li>• When was it first provided?</li> <li>• How many times was it provided? Why would it have been provided more than once if this is the case?</li> <li>• Have you considered the impacts to a Boy Scout Camp when you run a power line and access roads through their camp?</li> <li>• Can you insure their safety?</li> </ul>

## D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
Agriculture	E.3.6	No impact	I do not think you have fully inventoried the agricultural endeavors in the option D valley.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Many stories of high power lines adversely affecting live stock.</li> <li>• What effect does it have on bees?</li> <li>• Are you aware this is one of the best areas for bees?</li> <li>• Are you aware that there are silva culture efforts in the area to grow native trees after the fires??</li> <li>• What effects would all of the dust and digging have on this?</li> </ul>
Cultural Resources	E.3.7	Only found a few places.	The whole line is archeological monument both for native Americans and for San Diego early history.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Why is there no mention of the impact to the ancient Conejos Village and ancient Native American Route?</li> <li>• Why is there no mention of the ancient healing rock, and other landmarks close by that were cherished by this culture to this day?</li> <li>• What resources have you contacted that have actual on the ground knowledge of this area for cultural resources.</li> <li>• What percentage of the route did you perform on the ground surveys for cultural resources?</li> <li>• Why is there no mention of the collective cultural significance of Sunshine Mountain?</li> <li>• You do mention a need to not be publically specific but you do not account for the huge quantity of resources that are there.</li> <li>• Have you considered that this was the central path for the annually migrating Kumeyaay Indians?</li> <li>• The whole line is archeological monument both for Native Americans and for San Diego early history.</li> <li>• Why is there no mention of the other upper Conejos creek village where this line crosses Conejos Creek?</li> <li>• Did you go there?</li> <li>• Why is there no mention of the two Kelly Creek villages? Did you go there?</li> <li>• Why is there no mention of considerable sites on the River Park preserve?</li> <li>• Why is there no mention of the Boulder Creek sites and ancient trail?</li> <li>• There are possibly 6 shaman sites along this area, why have you not mentioned any of them?</li> <li>• I think you need to do a study for the reasons that</li> </ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>you did NOT find native impacts in Cedar Gorge. This may seem counter intuitive but actually it is highly significant. This may be an environment that has very little impact from humans at all, including native Americans making it one of the rarest biological study areas in the county for riparian and chaparral systems. , not to mention the Indian reasons for avoiding the gorge...?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• You need to consider the potential cultural impacts of the site for a tower at the “Wall of Elders”, located on the mountain top immediately south of Dubois Road.</li> <li>• You need to consider viewing this feature from below on Dubois Road along Conejos creek.</li> <li>• Have you been there?</li> <li>• You need to consider the potential cultural impacts at the side of the tower immediately below Mildred Falls to the North adjacent to the San Diego River.</li> <li>• Did you go there?</li> <li>• Your line goes right over the top of a very rare woman’s rite of passage site. This site has a rock sighting relationship with the man’s rite of passage site on the opposite side of the gorge. YOU CAN’T MITIGATE SOMETHING LIKE THAT!!! Do you know what to look for?</li> <li>• Your line goes through several small village sites along Kelly Creek.</li> <li>• Your line goes over a significant mining village, the Last Chance Mine that had significant relationships with “the Dance Hall”, the Anahuac School house, the Turntable, and several others along Johnson Creek and several old home sites along Kelly Creek that deserve more study of early San Diego History.</li> <li>• The Johnson Creek Site is particularly rare both historically and environmentally. I do not think you have completed near enough historical review of this area. This area is very significant to San Diego early modern history as well as its intertwined relationship with Native American migratory history which passed right through this region.</li> <li>• Your line goes through another significant Indian site to the north of the pond off of Cedar Creek</li> </ul>

## D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>Road. I don't think this was checked or surely you would have noticed this one.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Dam on this pond could not stand to be driven on by heavy equipment.</li> </ul>
Paleontological Resources	E. 3.7.5	One found.	I won't argue.	I'm impressed, but if you found one there are probably more.
Noise	E.3.8	No major impact.	Those lines make a horrible noise. Constructions would be awful.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• I do not think you have considered the effects the noise from these lines has on wildlife.</li> <li>• I do not think you have considered the effects these lines have on cattle.</li> <li>• I do not think you have considered the effects these line constructions at night have on the wildlife and the residents.</li> <li>• Have you considered the impacts of noise to the nesting raptures and game animals since you will be working day and night?</li> <li>• What about bees and other wild life?</li> <li>• And people</li> </ul>
Transportation and Traffic	E.3.9	They will be building night and day with bright lights.	At least twice in ten years.	<p>I don't think you have considered the affects of the additional traffic on little dirt graded Boulder Creek Road on the lives of the people residing in that area. All of that construction will severely curtail their ability to get to work, the recreational opportunities and revenue generated and the large equipment so much on a tiny windy road.</p> <p>The residents adamantly do not want it paved.</p>
Public Health and Safety, Contamination	E.3.10	No major impact.	Serious threat.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Have you researched the possibility that substances used in mining in the last century, such as arsenic, and explosives might still be buried along this line?</li> <li>• There are considerable concerns with the drilling needed for this line damaging the underground water resources.</li> <li>• Wells in the area are being drilled several hundred feet more that they were a couple of decades ago. Often because the aquifers are not well researched before drilling. Resulting in significant drop in the water table.</li> <li>• The Dam on this pond could not stand to be driven on by heavy equipment. If it were to break it would do significant historical and environmental damage to the 5 miles of Kelly and Cedar and the San Diego River below. If it were to break when there were people at</li> </ul>

## D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				Cedar Creek Falls which there often are during the part of the year when this is a possibility, the sudden deluge could be lethal to anyone down stream.
Air Quality	E.3.11		Building this line creates dust.	I don't think you have considered the effects of the construction of all of the service roads will have on putting dust into the air. A lot of residents moved to the back country to get away from city pollution.

## D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
Water Resources	E.3.12	Moderate impact; They will mitigate.	You can't mitigate this for all of perpetuity. You're current power line road is eroding into Boulder and Cedar Creek.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This proposal affects Conejos, King, Boulder, Cedar, Kelly Creeks and the San Diego River. Collectively in this region these make up the watershed for the San Diego River. The Cleveland National Forest was originally created to protect this watershed as it is the primary and central watershed for San Diego.</li> <li>• The clean water Act says that once a watercourse is in recovery for over siltation from fire or other disaster no further construction can occur upstream. This line would create all kinds of siltation for every major and minor tributary for the entire watershed.</li> <li>• The service roads run parallel and through several streams and tributaries.</li> <li>• SDG&amp;E does not have a good track record managing what they have, SDG&amp;E has significant difficulty protecting the watershed now. They have not demonstrated the ability to disseminate regulatory information to their vendors and manage and monitor them.</li> <li>• SDG&amp;E has an even worse track record managing and communicating to vendors. Their vendor consistently shows up at the wrong gate even after being told even for years.</li> <li>• Their own workers have gotten lost looking for the lines they service.</li> <li>• A breaker was turned back on after shorting out as all the residents have noted and caught fire in a 100 mile an hour wind burning down 3 houses and a dozen properties in the recent McCoy fire. That they didn't know enough to check before flipping the switch is very scary. Are you going to do that with 500 kilowatts on top of someone's house as well?</li> <li>• Several cases where equipment has gotten stuck going where it wasn't supposed to go.</li> <li>• Personnel have gotten lost not knowing where they were.</li> <li>• Personnel didn't know where their routes and easements were designated and cut private owners locks they were not allowed to cut.</li> <li>• If you can't insure it now how are you going to effectively manage and monitor your employees and the vendor employees in the future for</li> </ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Pubic Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				perpetuity?
Geology, Mineral Resources, and Soils	E.3.13			Have you considered a study of the existing mines and their relationship to San Diego History?
Socioeconomics	E.3.14	Won't hurt property value all that much. In time people get over it.	(See combined affects below.)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• *%#!*&amp;#\$\$%@!!!</li> <li>• You know, a comment like "they get use to it". Is just irresponsible albeit even immature. Who made such a declaration?</li> <li>• I think if they were use to all that crap they would not have moved out of the city in the first place, nor would the FS buy it for public use.</li> </ul>
Combined considerations			The sum is even worse than the parts.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• I don't think you have begun to consider what these factors are doing to the lives of the people and their children that live along this route. Living culture is being destroyed even before a decision is made. For example a child age three when this began will be 6 or 8 before its over and has lived half of his life with his parents under this stress. What does this do to a child? Are you going to mitigate that?</li> <li>• These impacts when viewed together point to a corridor of biological, natural, environmental significance that when viewed collectively can not be mitigated as this resource is unique locally and anywhere. Any natural movement form lower to higher elevations or higher to lower elevations, or from west to east and east to west, would be severely affect by this line as it cuts through the entire length of it. This affects all resources, biological, fire, wind, geological, cultural, and recreational.</li> <li>• I do not think you have adequately considered the impact to the wildlife corridors by placing this for 18 -20 miles and cutting off the east to west link. I do not think you are considering that it will be twice what it is if you add a second line and all of that even further by the 26 miles of additional roads that do a lot of zig zagging .</li> </ul>
Legal			I don't think you have considered the legal president and	<p>With all due respect to the ones who have worked hard on this document, However this is the forum where we ask the hypothetical and extraordinary questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How can they legally do this?</li> </ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
			implications for our State.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The CPUC stated they prefer the local mix of electricity generation over any route and state that this is not only doable but cheaper, and better for the environment. So why is this not a done deal?</li> <li>• How can they legally do this?</li> <li>• The CPUC stated they prefer the local mix of electricity generation over any route and state that this is not only doable but cheaper, and better for the environment. So why is this not a done deal?</li> <li>• If they can't take your suggestions than what power is driving this decision?</li> <li>• What is the penalty for the designers of this proposal if they manipulate employers of an individual that opposes it to discourage any action he might want to take?</li> <li>• Likewise what is the penalty for the designers of this proposal if they provide special favors to individuals or employers if they support this proposal?</li> <li>• Have they considered the provisions of the clean water act that disallow construction in a zone where a flowing stream is recovering from sedimentation from a fire?</li> <li>• Have they considered the provisions of the endangered species act that disallow construction in the territory of nesting raptors?</li> <li>• What are the penalties for providing or manufacturing false information in this report, since it is being facilitated by state resources?</li> <li>• Who pays for this report?</li> <li>• If not the CPUC, than how can any private individual pay for is and it still be unbiased?</li> <li>• What influence does the CPUC have over the local Forest Service?</li> <li>• Did the CPUC influence/comment on the land designations in the forest service 15 year plan in a way that would influence this power line plan?</li> <li>• Did the BLM influence/comment on the land designations in the forest service 15 year plan, in a way that would influence this power line plan?</li> <li>• Did SDG&amp;E or its parent company Sempra Energy influence / comment on the land designations in the forest service 15 year plan in</li> </ul>



# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>a way that would influence this power line plan?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Did SDG&amp;E, Sempra, The CPUC, The BLM or any environmental organization in anyway influence the way the Witch fire was fought and contained?</li> <li>• Have you noticed how amazingly similar the path of the Witch fire and the areas cleared to fight it and stage for it from Ramona to mile marker 8 on Boulder Creek Road so very closely follows the path of this power line proposal right down to the placement of towers along Cedar Creek and Sunshine Mountain?</li> <li>• Do you plan to insist that SDG&amp;E publish their information on the McCoy fire?</li> <li>• Is it just a coincidence and have you noticed that the private land burned it this fire exactly matches the same land owned by the same people who wrote comments opposing this line across their property?</li> <li>• Do you have any idea why the location of this fire has been mis-published by 2 miles in every major publication and no one not you or anyone involved with this line has been willing to correct the details of this report and insist that they are published correctly.</li> <li>• Are you aware that nearly 20 people came close to dying in that fire right under the power line proposal that night if it hadn't been for the quick thinking of their neighbor to get them out?</li> <li>• Has anyone insisted that SDG&amp;E disclose how the power came back on after being out for 20 minutes right before the fire started, and weather or not a line breaker was remotely flipped back on by SDG&amp;E before checking on the cause?</li> <li>• Is there some way they can insure this doesn't happen again on this huge proposal?</li> <li>• I don't think you have considered the impacts of these issues and the wind speed of 90 mph that night.</li> <li>• I do not believe you have considered all of the legal implications this process has on our foreign relationships, national security, and trade agreements as well as sending technical information out of the country for generation of energy, since we know that this is bringing</li> </ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>electricity generated in Mexico and fuelled by gas from southeast Asia well in excess of the publicized renewable resources it claims to transport.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What percentage of the alternating current generated will flow INTO San Diego and what Actual percentage will be flowing OUT of San Diego to Los Angeles?</li> <li>• What ACTUAL percentage of the alternating current generated would flow INTO San Diego and what ACTUAL percentage would be flowing OUT of San Diego to Arizona?</li> <li>• What ACTUAL percentage of the alternating current generated would flow INTO San Diego and what ACTUAL percentage would be flowing to Mexico?</li> <li>• Why does no one want to give a clear answer to this question? I know its alternating current and energy demands vary throughout the day, but you have some idea where the demand is or you wouldn't want to build it. ?</li> <li>• If they can't take your suggestions than what power is driving this decision?</li> <li>• What is the penalty for the designers of this proposal if they manipulate employers of an individual that opposes it to discourage any action he might want to take?</li> <li>• Likewise what is the penalty for the designers of this proposal if they provide special favors to individuals or employers if they support this proposal?</li> <li>• Have they considered the provisions of the clean water act that disallow construction in a zone where a flowing stream is recovering from sedimentation from a fire?</li> <li>• Have they considered the provisions of the endangered species act that disallow construction in the territory of nesting raptors?</li> <li>• What are the penalties for providing or manufacturing false information in this report, since it is being facilitated by state resources?</li> <li>• Who pays for this report?</li> <li>• If not the CPUC, than how can any private individual pay for is and it still be unbiased?</li> <li>• What influence does the CPUC have over the</li> </ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>local Forest Service?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Did the CPUC influence/comment on the land designations in the forest service 15 year plan in a way that would influence this power line plan?</li> <li>• Did the BLM influence/comment on the land designations in the forest service 15 year plan, in a way that would influence this power line plan?</li> <li>• Did SDG&amp;E or its parent company Sempra Energy influence / comment on the land designations in the forest service 15 year plan in a way that would influence this power line plan?</li> <li>• Did SDG&amp;E, Sempra, The CPUC, The BLM or any environmental organization in anyway influence the way the Witch fire was fought and contained?</li> <li>• Have you noticed how amazingly similar the path of the Witch fire and the areas cleared to fight it and stage for it from Ramona to mile marker 8 on Boulder Creek Road so very closely follows the path of this power line proposal right down to the placement of towers along Cedar Creek and Sunshine Mountain?</li> <li>• Do you plan to insist that SDG&amp;E publish their information on the McCoy fire?</li> <li>• Is it just a coincidence and have you noticed that the private land burned it this fire exactly matches the same land owned by the same people who wrote comments opposing this line across their property?</li> <li>• Do you have any idea why the location of this fire has been mis-published by 2 miles in every major publication and no one not you or anyone involved with this line has been willing to correct the details of this report and insist that they are published correctly.</li> <li>• Are you aware that nearly 20 people came close to dying in that fire right under the power line proposal that night if it hadn't been for the quick thinking of their neighbor to get them out?</li> <li>• Has anyone insisted that SDG&amp;E disclose how the power came back on after being out for 20 minutes right before the fire started, and weather or not a line breaker was remotely flipped back on by SDG&amp;E before checking on the cause?</li> <li>• Is there some way they can insure this doesn't</li> </ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>happen again on this huge proposal?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• I don't think you have considered the impacts of these issues and the wind speed of 90 mph that night.</li> <li>• I do not believe you have considered all of the legal implications this process has on our foreign relationships, national security, and trade agreements as well as sending technical information out of the country for generation of energy, since we know that this is bringing electricity generated in Mexico and fuelled by gas from southeast Asia well in excess of the publicized renewable resources it claims to transport.</li> <li>• What percentage of the alternating current generated will flow INTO San Diego and what Actual percentage will be flowing OUT of San Diego to Los Angeles?</li> <li>• What ACTUAL percentage of the alternating current generated would flow INTO San Diego and what ACTUAL percentage would be flowing OUT of San Diego to Arizona?</li> <li>• What ACTUAL percentage of the alternating current generated would flow INTO San Diego and what ACTUAL percentage would be flowing to Mexico?</li> <li>• Why does no one want to give a clear answer to this question? I know its alternating current and energy demands vary throughout the day, but you have some idea where the demand is or you wouldn't want to build it. ?</li> <li>• What is the legal extent that an employer can influence an employee to demonstrate a particular position with respect to this line?</li> <li>• If an employee is making a statement that is on behalf of his employer and not his own opinion what is his legal obligation to disclose that relationship?</li> <li>• Can an employer legally influence an employee with compensation in monetary or other forms such as benefits, raises, perks, promotions, or time off with pay to display a position concerning this line that is not in a manner that is disclosed as his job description but to do so as though the opinion were his? In other words if you are paid to</li> </ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>market a position and disclose that when you provide comments or public commentary as opposed to accepting any form of compensation and provide comments as though they were yours.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Can an employer legally influence an employee by denying compensation in any form, favor, job assignments, etc, if an employee chooses to display and provide an opinion about this project that is different from his own?</li> <li>• Do you know of any case where SDG&amp;E tried to influence their employee's ability to speak about this line from their own opinion when not on the job?</li> <li>• Do you know of any case where any of the providers of data, private, agency, or business has tried to influence the personal position that was publically made by another?</li> <li>• What is the penalty, if any for doing this?</li> <li>• Do you know of any employers who have manipulated an employee's schedule or work requirements and habits so as to curtail their ability to research and provide comments on this project?</li> <li>• What would be the penalty for doing so?</li> <li>• If an item of record in the EIS is subsequently found to have been placed there because someone was influenced to put it there and not due to data collected or personal opinion, what action is taken to correct it?</li> <li>• What process do you have in place to train and reinforce to employees, contractors, and subcontractors to report when encountered any violations in the truth and accuracy of this report?</li> <li>•</li> <li>• Are there implications for the State of California for endorsing and empowering a business that we are in all respects indentured to use that is doing business in providing a large volume of resources from foreign resources?</li> <li>• Has the CPUC thoroughly reviewed the project's issues of export of information to foreign countries?</li> <li>• What happens to our reliable energy if our relationship or Sedge's relationship with foreign</li> </ul>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>countries changes in an adverse way?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are there any actions taken to ensure that SDG&amp;E is doing business with legitimate resources and not criminal ones when in foreign countries?</li> <li>• What assurance that business decisions that they make for profit won't compromise security here?</li> <li>• Is setting up a situation where all of our economic resources will be dependent upon one business's relationship with several foreign countries a valuation of our law that renewable energy resources have to be pursued?</li> <li>• Have you considered the issues of national security in depending upon unstable foreign resources for our energy needs?</li> <li>• Will the bulk or net flow of electricity on the Sunrise Poweline (given that it is alternating current) be going FROM San Diego or TO San Diego? Please clarify as the document is not clear.</li> <li>• What percentage of the flow of energy will be sent to Arizona?</li> <li>• Does the creation of this line have any influence upon weather Chula Vista gets a stadium?</li> </ul>
One more thing				<p>What many are asking: When I first heard about the following, I considered it a bogus sort of conspiracy story by people too bored or informed to do creative things. Well I have to admit its starting to become very disconcerting: the big question is this: Is this actually a part of a much bigger focus our government has for changing our country's relationship with Mexico (and probably other countries as well) That sift is already becoming apparent in the news media everyday.</p> <p>Has SDG&amp;E figured into something else? If so just what and to what extent is the federal government involved.</p> <p>Mexico use to be so much fun. I have hiked the border at Tecate, Hauser Canyon, Otay Mountain, Pine Creek, and Barrett, and even though presumably undocumented humans were observed on occasion I never once was the least big scared to be there, and I was often alone. Today we hear of considerable aggressions that make little sense to the old</p>

# D0001 cont.

Impact or other item stated in EIS	Page references in the EIS for option D The Eagle Peak Road and Boulder Creek Road option.	General EIS conclusion from CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	My general conclusion	My information: one item per bullet, including questions, sources when possible. Consider how, when, how much, for how long, direct contradiction to a law, or consideration of this impact with another, what effort has been made.
				<p>understanding. This is terribly sad. I don't think throwing our public land is the way to fix it however.</p> <p>Is SDG&amp;E's last minute media campaign in South Bay an attempt to appeal to this relationship?</p> <p>What is in this for Mexico?</p> <p>Who in Mexico benefits?</p> <p>Who are the investors and players on that side, including the infrastructure being created to import the gas and pipe it to Mexicali?</p> <p>Who are the players and investors in Southeast Asia?</p> <p>What does SDG&amp;E need to accomplish legally and managerially in order to be doing business in these countries?</p> <p>What countries are they doing business in and at what level?</p> <p>What products and sources of revenue are they receiving other than energy transmission and generation? I</p> <p>There was a shift about six years ago in the upper managerial attitude of the Forest Service toward their fifteen year plan. They gave their reasons not all of which I'm willing to accept at face value. I have a wonderful relationship with the FS out in the field, not withstanding. Nothing in this document is poised against any specific individual that I currently know of.</p> <p>But we as a society have not been given any information at a National threatening level that would indicate the need for this line. Yet the forces line up, here, with Ferc, NAFTA, with fire management shifts, Significant border shifts, Forest Plan shifts, global warming. I don't consider myself an intense observer of the News, but even with casual awareness it is becoming an activity that is catching attention. So what is the relationship to our federal government?</p> <p>This is the forum we are given to bear all the extraordinary questions. It would seem that if such a shift were taking place that our government would have to take bids from many vendors. There would also be some serious issues of export control.</p>