From: 'fred emery'

To:

Sent: Sun Jan 6 11:33

Subject: Fwd: Why no night visual impact?


First, congratulations on the exhaustive and meticulous work in the draft EIR/EIS. I have so far read only the executive summary, and dipped into various other sections. However I have been through the entire D visual impact section, and I can find no reference to the impact the red lights on top of the 160/140foot towers will have on viewing of the desert sky at night.

If I am correct, and this has been overlooked can it please be included in the final version? Many thanks Fred Emery



## California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) and Bureau of Land Management (BLM)





**Proposed Sunrise Powerlink Project** 

Your Name*: FRED EMERY
Your Name*:
Affiliation (if any):*
Address:* 1447 / agui Rd 6349)
City, State, Zip Code:* Borrego Drup CA 92004
760 7/7 4/50
Telephone Number:
Email:* VISUAL/NIGHT EFFECTS
Further to my e-mail I was told
at today's workshop that there is no
evaluation in the DRAFT EIR/ETS of
the effect of red lights a top the 200 Hoot (160 ft)
town In the DANK (ABDSP)
Please in the final state where new
Larand lighty would have to be
installed on towers - thus irreparable
impacting the desert sky at right

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be received by April 11, 2008. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (866) 711-3106 or emailed to sunrise@aspeneg.com.

<sup>\*</sup>Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.



## California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) and Bureau of Land Management (BLM)

## Comments on the EIR/EIS



**Proposed Sunrise Powerlink Project** 

Your Name*: FRED EMERY
Your Name*:
Affiliation (if any):*
Address:* 1447 Yaqui Rd PO Box 97
City, State, Zip Code:* Borcego Sprs. 92804
Telephone Number:* 760 — 767 — 4654
Address:*  City, State, Zip Code:*  Telephone Number:*  Telephone
To forestall lidless litigation, would it
but he prudent to Puc to delay
not be prudent for SPUC to delay  its decision until after the 2008 president  election So that a new administration  Can be left to interpret the ENERGY POLICY  APT?
election So that a new administration
can be left to interpret the ENERGY POLICY
ACT?

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be received by April 11, 2008. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (866) 711-3106 or emailed to sunrise@aspeneg.com.

<sup>\*</sup>Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested