

From: Shannon Davis

D0142

of Deerhorn Valley Parcel 602-020-0800

20731 Spice Way

Jamul, CA 91935

Mail to: 1185 East Lane

Imperial Beach, CA 91932

I am concerned that San Diego Gas and Electric Company's "Sunrise Powerlink Modified D EIR/EIS Applications A. 05-12-014 and A. 06-08-016 may be significantly responsible for setting a precedence on the Southern Preferred Alternative D Route for establishing siting for the Federal Westwide Energy Corridor to run parallel to the Sunrise. That this project of S.D.G.E. is so presumptuous, that the blame and liability for putting it here is in question. This EIR/EIS did not show the Federal Corridor on the routes to the North. Please see Figure E. 4.1-1a. I am opposed to the fact that you may have set this up in this Sunrise Application, deliberately.

D0142 cont.

From: 'William E. Jr. Davis' <williamedavisjr@gmail.com>
To: sunrise@aspenerg.com
Sent: Thu Apr 10 15:59
Subject: Fwd: Public comment - EIS/EIR

Billie Blanchard, CPUC/Lynda Kastoll, BLM
c/o Aspen environmental group
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 935
San Francisco, CA 94104

Dear Bill Blanchard and Lynda Kastoll,

We are opposed to the S.D.G.& E. Sunrise Powerlink Transmission Project and all of the Alternative routes. We do own property with an existing 69 kV, thirty foot utility right of way at 20731 Thyme Way, Jamul, Ca 91935. Mapping will show Davis, William E. and Eleanor B. Revocable Trust 10-15-97 / 062-020-08-00 parcel number in San Diego County. San Diego gas and Electric may identify this property from the 20726 Spice Way entrance at the top north entrance.

In the final draft, should Sunrise be approved, please distinguish in mapping and information about this neighborhood site which surrounds S.D.G.& E.'s Barrett Lake Electrical Sub-station, that you have placed the Modified " D " Transmission Line over in the Cleveland National Forest.

We request that you are very clear that this has been moved out of the residential area to the public land area because you may change this location back to the private properties at the last minute in the final Draft. This would not be fair, because in the Draft EIR/EIS you have based much of your environmental data, from public lands not from private lands, in this south eastern corner of Jamul, Ca. The sources for the private lands of environmental, historical fires, and cultural, etc. would need to be added which would not only delay completion of the final draft but corrupt the legal EIR process.

We were alarmed when a neighbor recently told us that Eric La Coste, Senior Biologist, of EcoSystems Restoration Associates, hired by S.D.G.&E. as a sub-contractor, was on private property beyond the 30 foot R.O.W. clearance, surveying the private properties. We have mentioned in our last public comment to you that we are concerned about possible baits and switches. We ask that any new data submitted about private properties; and land surveys, maps and charting be stricken from the record on the basis that entrance to private properties was gained illegally. We have witnesses to the fact that can be brought forward.

We ask why S.D.G. & E. did not provide information on the Barret Electrical Sub-station ? While on page E.4.15-8 the EIR Draft mentions the responsibility is the San Diego rural Fire Protection District you don not show data was collected from them as your sources. Your source: is California Department of Forestry, the Forester's Fire Atlas Data. The Draft EIR is representing East Jamul as Dulzura. You show AFS-8 Barrett Sub-station as Dulzura Forest. This area has a great deal of fire history that is not included from San Diego Rural Fire District; from Deerhorn Valley Rural Fire District or from Jamul Rural Fire District. Therefore the charts and mapping do not show an accurate assessment of fire history. You do not have accurate fire history collected from S.D.G.&E. or fires starting at Barrett Electrical Sub-station. In fact, Barrett Electrical Sub-station should be relocated far away from the residential areas

D0142 cont.

surrounding it on the Modified " D " Route Alternative. We object to the mapping figure E.4.15-8 of the EIR in residents have witnessed so many fires in this area of Barrett Sub-station that this area should be colored red depicting very high history of fires. We find it to be wrong in that earlier, in the scoping, reported to you numerous fire history in the area. Can this mapping be corrected in the final draft E.I.R. ? One of the reasons you chose the "D" Route to be environmentally not as superior as the other routes was based on fire history. It is that critical.

We noticed that a letter we sent to you in preparation for the E.I.R. MODIFIED "D" Route of May 20, 2007 that you did not address our concerns on page E.3.2-19 about migrating birds mistaking lights on transmission towers for stars. We presented evidence to the fact that birds travel at night guided by the stars. We object to the Draft E.I.R. stating that "their mortality would be a significant impact that is not mitigatable to less than significant levels (class 1). You know that the fly zones over this area for border patrol helicopters will demand lights on the electrical towers. that this is also the fly zone for birds going to Barrett Lake (which is less than one mile from our property), and Lake Morena. This is the location of habitat for: Golden Eagles; Bald Eagles; Least Bells Verio, Southwestern Willow Fly Catcher, California Gnat Catcher; Peregrine Falcons, etc. Please comply with border patrol and state that indeed lights on towers will be necessary. Please address the fact that even more collisions will come with lights.

We believe that the C.P.U.C. and B.L.M. may have provided the capability for the Federal Department of Energy to select the Modified Route " D " Alternative corridor on page E.4.2-32. because there may be a legal liability and legal conflict of interest, we request the final E.I.R. omit under E.4.2.5 future transmission system expansion any reference to 368 corridor Department of energy Westwide Corridor. We object to any mapping as it found on map figure E.4.1-1a Modified D" Alternative and draft programmatic EIS corridor. Please omit the westwide mapping in the final Sunrise Powerlink E.I.R. It is more than presumptuous in that you printed this up in your E.I.R. Draft before the final comment closing for the Westwide Corridor Federal Department of Energy section 368. You have presented a conflict of interest that may be legally challenged. In this E.I.R. Draft, also, omit reference on page E.4.11-5 to 368 Corridor south for 8 miles to MP MD-26 (in the third bullet on the page).

The E.I.R./E.I.S. may not have done a fair survey of the Southern Alternative "D" Route on butterflies. Endangered Quino Checkerspot Butterfly, in the E.I.R. 2007 and 2008 U.S. Fish and Wildlife studies were dismissed. Stating that it was a drought year and they could not include population counts. But the truth is that East Jamul is the last place on earth where they are still counting catipillars and cocoons even after the Harris Fire. The fact the butterfly can wait in the cocoon for up to 3 years for the right conditions to hatch and still be alive is not mentioned in the E.I.R. Also, you were requested in a previous letter to consult Etomologist Anderson. You did not. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Etomologist would have told you that this South East Corner of Jamul historically has documented Quino Checkerspot Butterfly and that she has catapillars in Jamul even after the Harris Fire in the Spring of 2008.

We are opposed to the Sunrise Powerlink Project.

Shannon and William Davis

1185 East Lane
Imperial Beach, Ca 91932-3227