

**From: 'Phil Bryson'**  
**To:**  
**Sent: Tue Apr 1 17:27**  
**Subject: Fwd: Comments on Sunrise Powerlink Draft EIR/EIS**

To Whom it may concern:

We wanted to comment on the Sunrise Powerlink draft EIR/EIS regarding the ES.7.1.4 Inland Valley Link – CNF Existing 69 kV Route Alternative:

1. We support the conclusion that this alternative is superior to the Proposed Project.
2. In addition, as the representative of the private land owner who's four lots would be affected (either visually or directly) by the jog envisioned in the Proposed Project, I wanted to add a few more comments not articulated or considered in the conclusion of the EIR/EIS draft:
  - Currently our family owns and ranches (for 5 generations) all of the property surrounding the proposed jog.
  - By deviating from the existing 69 kV route/right of way as envisioned in the Proposed Project, there will be a loss of our current grazing land and additional erosion from the new roads and construction will affect our stock ponds and meadows below.
  - Our most significant concern is the negative visual impacts created by relocating the Proposed Project power lines away from the exiting route.
  - A quick overview of the topography of the area reveals that the exiting route follows a natural valley, significantly reducing the visibility of the exiting 69 kV power lines from one of the lots where we intended to build our future home.
  - If the Proposed Project's jog was adopted, the jog would take the new power lines onto a prominent ridge which would put them directly in front of our future home's main view corridor. If this occurred the home site we have looked forward to building on for the past 15 years would be no longer a viable option and the alternative home sites that would not be visually affected on our lot are nonexistent.
  - In addition, on the adjacent lots, lie the future home sites for our children. All would also be significantly, visually impacted in a negatively way by the relocation of the existing route to the ridge top, including the corner of the proposed jog is right where the only suitable home site on that lot exists.
  - Also the existing route makes the current power lines barely visible from Highway 78 – if the proposed jog was installed they would be prominently visible to all vehicles and bikes.

In summary, if the new power lines followed the existing route as recommended in this alternative the negative visual impact on our family's future home sites would be significantly reduced as well the agricultural impact on our grazing land.

I'm having a hard time understanding why the Proposed Project was slated to jog as proposed, just to seemingly avoid any contact with National Forest land when there is already an existing power line there and by jogging creating a untenable, unnecessary negative impact on an adjacent private property owner.

On behalf of the Tulloch Family Partnership, Lucy Tulloch Bryson, Lyle & Acacia Bryson and myself.

Could you please acknowledge receipt of this comment. Thanks.

Warm Regards,

Phil Bryson  
Chairman - Brainstorm Internet

640 Main Avenue - Suite 201  
Durango, CO 81301  
970.247.1442 (o) 970.946.5440 (w)  
phil@brainstorminternet.net  
www.gobrainstorm.net