H0010

GARY C. HOYT

[illegible]
[illegible]

August 10, 2008

CPUC/BLM
235 Montgomery St. Suite #935
San Francisco, Ca. 94104

Re: Sunrise Powerlink

Dear Bureau of Land Management/Ca. Public Utilities Commission,

Looking back on previous correspondence to CPUC and Aspen Environmental the letters to you began in 2005. I have tried to remain active, attending all the local meetings and addressing my concerns via ground mail and internet. I go to sleep at night and wake to the buzz of our local Boulevard substation that is destined to be enlarged three fold.

I would be in hopes that the meetings based on alternatives to the powerlink, such as were held in Boulevard, Ca. would have had more input in the final analysis and approval/disapproval of the Sunrise project. Alternatives such as installing wind turbines in the Pacific Ocean close to the population density of San Diego, Los Angeles that would have and maintain enough onshore/offshore breeze to operate the 14:1 gear reduction motor in the turbine, together with generating electricity where the highest demand for it existed, were not recognized. Other suggestions included using ocean currents or tides to spin a generator/alternator under the sea's surface. The obvious were rooftop forms of generation and private wind generators on ratepayers properties, which would fall under the conservation category. Hundreds of residents took time out of their lives to attend, testify and voice their opinions of this project without any type of financial reward and worst yet not listened to. I have my doubts that this letter will be read and reviewed taking more time and effort without any type of remuneration. So if you would, please dial the phone number on my cover sheet to let me know if this letter was read, let alone reviewed for any type of alternative to the powerlink. I live in a community that is already swamped with the southwest powerlink, a 69 KV line and power/telephone poles that seem to be placed at random creating a visual pollution situation that already is excessive without the threat of another set of 500 KV lines that the sunrise powerlink promises to further pollute our community with. We also have in excess of 1000 acres that a foreign, private developer of electricity is examining as a generating site located in the Lark Canyon area of our community. This project also will send more wires, poles and electro magnetic fields into our residential area already full of poles. I speak for most that live here and would like to say that Boulevard, the alternative BCD route or any other route of

H0010

electrical wire, its appurtenances and threat to our health are not wanted in Boulevard.

Thanks for the opportunity to relay Boulevard's concerns regarding the possibility of upcoming negative impacts of a community that may not have a high income base such as North County San Diego, successful in pushing the powerlink to our neighborhoods via the legal system and deeper pockets. I think we know the best route for the Sunrise Powerlink is the north route and not the southerly one.

Anyway you look at it, communities affected by the power lines take the brunt of all this, while Sempra Energy walks away with deeper pockets at the public/ratepayers expense who in turn fund the project! Lets work toward a better America to live, not degrading it for generations with visual pollutants such as Sempra is aggressively working toward doing. Wind power has been around for generations. Correct placement of it and aggressive conservation of electricity should be of paramount. Current assessment of the projects listed above need more constructive studies and should be tabled until newer, less abrasive forms of electrical transmission are investigated nationally or worldwide.

Sincerely,

Gary Hoyt
Boulevard, Ca.