

Cover Letter

October 5, 2007

Aspen Environmental Group
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 935
San Francisco, CA 94104-3002
Fax/Voice Mail 866-711-3106
www.aspeneg.com


Re: Sunrise Powerlink Modified Route D Alternative

To whom it may concern:

I just sent two letters concerning the Sunrise Powerlink Modified Route D Alternative and had made an error on the letter for Susan Lee, Vice President.

I am faxing the corrected letter here. Please remove the letter with Ms. Lee's name in the address but Ms. Blanchard's name in the salutation and please replace it with the one I am faxing with this cover letter.

Thank you,



Jan M. Hedlun
PCPG Board Member
Sunrise Powerlink PCPG Liaison
P.O. Box 353
Potrero, CA 91963

October 5, 2007

Susan Lee, Vice President
Aspen Environmental Group
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 935
San Francisco, CA 94104-3002
Fax/Voice Mail 866-711-3106
www.aspeneg.com

Re: Sunrise Powerlink Modified Route D Alternative

Dear Ms. Lee,


Attached is a preliminary draft of the resolution which will be voted on at the October 11, 2007 Potrero Community Planning Group Meeting. I will send you the final version as soon as possible after the meeting.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the Sunrise Powerlink Modified Route D Alternative.

Please place us on the list to receive the EIR/EIS and send it to:

Gordon Hammers, Chairman
The Potrero Community Planning Group
P.O. Box 71
Potrero, CA 91963

Sincerely,



Jan M. Hedlun
PCPG Board Member
Sunrise Powerlink PCPG Liaison
P.O. Box 353
Potrero, CA 91963

CC: Billie Blanchard, Vice President
PCPG Board Members
Cheryl Jones, DPLU
Board of Supervisor Dianne Jacob
Denise Morse, Community Planning and Sponsor Group Alliance
Representative

PRELIMINARY DRAFT

RESOLUTION OF THE POTRERO PLANNING GROUP CONCERNING THE MODIFIED ROUTE D ALTERNATIVE OF THE SUNRISE POWERLINK

Whereas,

1. SDG & E generates and distributes electricity in San Diego County and is part of Sempra Energy, the gas supplier for area generators, and has the ability to generate electricity where used by the consumer, and
2. The need for the power link is to meet the state mandate for future renewable energy sources at a staggering cost to the consumer, and
3. Public mandated power links are far less expensive when crossing public lands, and
4. Lower capacity power links already exist across these public lands and could be upgraded to meet the demand
5. The South West Power link already comes through our community and has been taken down over 18 times by past fires, and greater separation is needed to prevent natural disasters from taking out more than one power link and
6. The necessity for the Sunrise Power Link has not been proven.

Now therefore,

The Potrero Planning Group resolves that the:

1. We oppose the Modified Route D Alternative of the Sunrise Power link.
2. We oppose the Sunrise Power link until the necessity for this link is proven.

On motion made by _____, seconded by _____ and carried the 11th day of October, 2007 by the following roll call vote:

Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
-----	----	---------	--------

Jerry Johnson

Thell Fowler

Mary Johnson

Jan Hedlun

Gordon Hammers

Janet Wright