From: clyde weston [mailto:flyfisherclyde@yahoo.com] Sent: Sunday, September 23, 2007 07:10 PM To: sunrise@aspeneg.com Subject: public comment-sunrise powerlink modified route D

I am a resident of Descanso and wish to express my adament opposition to all routes for the proposed SDG&E sunrise powerlink transmission project.

I support the position of the Descanso Planning Group which you received in prior public comments.

I also support the public comments submitted by the Center for Biological Diversity, the Sierra Club, UCAN, and the other environmental groups who have objected to the entire project, challenged the need for it, and expressed concern that it may be used to import "dirty energy" from out of state.

I support alternatives to the overall project submitted to you by the above groups, which include increasing energy conservation measures, using new technology and upgrades to increase transmission in existing infrastructure, increasing rebates and other financial incentives to install solar roofing throughout the region and allowing the excess energy to be sold back to the grid, using green building standards, retrofitting big energy users with energy saving improvements, and many other measures like these to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Modified route D is not a solution. It only perpetuates the last centuries approach to our energy needs. We need to look forward to solve our energy problems.