

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



March 18, 2011

Mr. Alan F. Colton
Manager – Environmental Services
Sunrise Powerlink Transmission Project
8315 Century Park Court, CP21G
San Diego, CA 92123-1550

RE: SDG&E Sunrise Powerlink Transmission Line Project – Variance Request #2

Dear Mr. Colton,

On December 22, 2010, San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E) requested a variance from the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) for the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard located within San Diego County. On March 2, 2011, an updated request providing additional information was submitted. The proposed yard is approximately 35 acres and is located in the City of Poway, California. The yard is needed to support construction of Link 5 towers approved under CPUC NTP #13. This is an additional yard to those approved for the Sunrise Powerlink Transmission Line Project.

The CPUC voted on December 18, 2008 to approve the SDG&E Sunrise Powerlink Transmission Line Project ([Decision D.08-12-058](#)) and a [Notice of Determination](#) was submitted to the State Clearinghouse (SCH#2006091071). The BLM issued a [Record of Decision](#) approving the Project on January 20, 2009. The Project also crosses lands under jurisdiction of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; and Forest Service on the Cleveland National Forest; the Forest Service issued its Record of Decision and Supplemental Information Report on July 9, 2010. The area requested under this variance does not fall under Forest Service jurisdiction.

The CPUC also adopted a Mitigation, Monitoring, Compliance and Reporting Program (MMCRP) to ensure compliance with all mitigation measures imposed on the Sunrise Powerlink Project during implementation. The MMCRP also acknowledges that temporary changes to the project, such as the need for additional workspace, are anticipated and common practice for construction efforts of this scale and that a Variance Request would be required for these activities. This letter documents the CPUC's thorough evaluation of all activities covered in this variance, and that no new impacts or increase in impact severity would result from the requested variance activities.

Variance #2 for the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard is granted by CPUC for the proposed activities based on the factors described below.

SDG&E Variance Request. Excerpts from the SDG&E Variance Request, received March 2, 2011, as well as e-mail correspondence received March 8, 2011, are presented below (indented) with CPUC additions in **bold**:

SDG&E is requesting a variance from the Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (FEIR/EIS) issued October 2008 by the CPUC and the Project Modification Report (PMR), approved on September 22, 2010 to relocate a construction yard to a previously disturbed area near the Sycamore Canyon Substation. Construction Yards were identified in the Final EIR/EIS and subsequent PMR to support construction of the project. The total number

of construction yards was reduced from 43 in the FEIR/EIS to 19 in the PMR. Since that time, SDG&E has identified the proposed Sycamore Estates Construction Yard that has been determined to be essential to the logistics of construction for Link 5. SDG&E is requesting this variance to relocate a previously identified construction yard in Link 5. The proposed yard is located east of Stonebridge Parkway and Via Santa Pradera in the City of Poway, California. The site is on private property and the landowner has agreed to lease the yard to SDG&E for approximately 24 months. SDG&E would use this yard to replace the previously identified Stowe/Kirkham Construction Yard (20.87 acres). The Stowe/Kirkham Construction Yard is adjacent to the General Atomics facility. It was determined that the Stowe/Kirkham Construction Yard would be an incompatible use with the General Atomics facility and the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard (35.85 acres) would be more suitable to support construction activities. The Sycamore Estates Construction Yard would be used similar to the Stowe/Kirkham yard planned use in the PMR and other approved construction yards. The yard would be used for material lay-down and staging, including fly operations, as well as a contractor crew staging location for Sections 4a and 5 and the reconductoring. The Sycamore Estates Construction Yard would have work hours between 7 a.m. and 7 p.m. on Monday through Saturday, excluding holidays. In the event that construction activity must occur outside these hours, SDG&E will coordinate with the local jurisdiction to obtain a variance.

The Sycamore Estates yard would support at a maximum 4 steel poles and 10 wood poles to be used for the 69kV reconductoring. These will all be constructed conventionally (by way of access roads). The yard would support a total number of 23 230kV tower structures. These would be built using a combination of helicopter construction and conventional construction methods. Additionally the yard would support 9 230 kV steel poles (for a total of 32 230 kV structures). These poles would not be stored at the yard, but the equipment and crews would be staged out of this yard. Work will be restricted to the boundaries described in the final construction Plans.

The Sycamore Estates Construction Yard site was previously disturbed and substantially graded by others. The Sycamore Estates Construction yard was previously used as a site by General Dynamics for Department of Defense (DoD) testing and was included in the Phase I Addendum 3 report dated December 3, 2010. The site was determined to have a low to medium potential to affect project construction. Geotechnical Consultants, Inc (GTC). provided comments dated January 29, 2010 to the Phase I Addendum 3 report and recommended SDG&E submit the agency file review of the Sycamore Estates property to the CPUC. SDG&E has provided a limited supplement to the Sunrise Powerlink Phase I ESA proposed Sycamore Yard in response to GTC's comments. **(GTC, the CPUC Geotechnical Consultant, reviewed and approved the supplement.)** Construction activities will be located entirely within the footprint as outlined in the Final Construction Plans submitted to the CPUC on September 2, 2010.

Traffic Impacts for utilization of the Sycamore Estates Construction yard were included in the project-wide Traffic Impact Analysis as all traffic would be along Stonebridge Parkway. The Traffic Impact Analysis was submitted to the CPUC on April 28, 2010 and revealed no significant impacts with the use of the yard. Stonebridge Parkway provides the only public roadway access to the area. Stonebridge Parkway is not a designated residential street per the City of San Diego's street design guidelines. The study was approved by San Diego County on September 29, 2010.

No impacts will occur to biological or cultural resources. Biological reconnaissance was conducted on July 19 and August 17, 2010. The entire Sycamore Construction Yard was surveyed for biological resources. The construction yard occurs within developed and disturbed habitat with few nondisturbed areas present. No Quino checkerspot butterfly larval host plants were observed on or adjacent to the proposed work site. The 2009 and 2010 sensitive plant surveys did not identify sensitive plant species on or adjacent to the site. No sensitive water features occur in the proposed yard. Access roads to and from the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard are existing roads and no road improvements are proposed as part of their use. Best Management Practices (BMPs) will be installed in accordance with the Link 5 Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). The SWPPP, includes Sycamore Estates Construction Yard.

The cultural resources survey for the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard was included in the scope of the project-wide Class III inventory submitted and approved by the CPUC and BLM on June 2, 2010. There are no cultural resources within the limits of the proposed yard.

A Noise Study has been completed and did not identify any significant noise issues associated with the proposed uses of the yard. A temporary helicopter landing zone will be used at Sycamore Estates Construction Yard and noise impacts associated with helicopter operations are included in the Noise Study. A map has been provided with this variance request illustrating flight paths to and from the yard. The analysis was based on a worst-case operational noise exposure

with consideration of terrain, distance to the identified sensitive receptors and zoning. Hours of operation for this temporary landing area will be similar to hours of operation for the construction yard (from 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. or sundown, whichever is earlier, Mondays through Saturdays, except holidays). Approximately 20 flights per day are anticipated to occur out of this yard during peak construction. It is anticipated that Type 1 (e.g. S64F Air Crane), Type 2 (e.g. Kaymann Kmax, Bell 205, or comparable), and Type 3 (e.g. AS350B3, Hughes 500, Bell 206, MD 530FF, or comparable) helicopters will be used at the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard. The number of flights out of the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard would be reduced once helicopter construction structures are completed. Helicopter operations out of the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard will occur for no more than 365 days. SDG&E will implement dust control per the Dust Control Plan, as approved by the CPUC on January 20, 2010.

Based upon review of applicable plans, policies and ordinances, and discussion with the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and CalTrans Division of Aeronautics, the proposed helicopter operations at the Sycamore Estates Construction yard are exempt from noise ordinance standards. Coordination between SDG&E's noise consultant, the FAA, and the John A. Volpe National Transportations Systems Center advise that temporary uses (such as a temporary helicopter landing zone) are exempt from FAR Part 150 due to the categorical exclusion found under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (40CFR6.107). It is the FAA's position to classify temporary aircraft activities (either fixed wing or rotary-wing) as non-significant. Therefore, the FAA does not assign an International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) airport code to temporary or incidental helicopter landing areas. Coordination occurred with the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and Marine Corps Air Station Miramar, as a courtesy, regarding helicopter use on the Project on October 27, 2010. A poster was provided to both entities illustrating the types of helicopters that could potentially be utilized. The location of the temporary landing zone would be on private land, outside of the CalTrans right-of-way, and does not require CalTrans approval.

The temporary landing zone would be a Temporary Helicopter Landing Site per 21 CCR 3527(y), which defines a Temporary Helicopter Landing Site as:

"A site, other than an emergency medical service landing site at or near a medical facility, which is used for landing and taking off of helicopters and,
(1) Is used or intended to be used for less than one year, except for recurrent annual events, and,
(2) Is not marked or lighted to be distinguishable as a heliport and,
(3) Is not used exclusively for helicopter operations."

Additionally, pursuant to the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) Section 21661, Section 3533(b)(6), which states, "Temporary helicopter landing sites that are not within 1000 feet of the boundary of a public or private school maintaining kindergarten classes or any classes in grades 1 through 12." Therefore, the proposed temporary landing zone would be exempt from planning and performance standards per PUC Section 21661. Coordination has occurred with the City of San Diego, as a courtesy, for the construction yard planned use. A memo documenting this coordination effort has been included with this variance. Potential fueling for both helicopter operations and construction equipment (diesel only) is anticipated to occur at this yard. Proper BMPs will be installed and implemented in areas designated for fueling. Should it be necessary to store fuel tankers (over 55 gallons) overnight, a Hazardous Materials Business Plan will be developed and submitted to the local CUPA.

Construction Notification for the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard was included with the mailing for Link 5. No sensitive noise receptors were identified within 1000 feet during the noise analysis of this construction yard. The construction yard will be fenced/screened for security purposes on the southwesterly portion of the yard at the Stonebridge Parkway access. Fencing will occur as described in the approved Construction Yard Visual Screening Plan. The Construction Yard Visual Screening Plan was approved by the CPUC on October 15, 2010. Fencing/screening will not occur around the entire perimeter of the yard as the yard is inaccessible to the south, east and west. Visual screening is not necessary for the entire perimeter of the yard. Because of distances and ridgelines, the yard is not viewable from any sensitive viewing receptors. SDG&E has included with this variance request line of sight views of the yard from potential visual receptors and vice versa. The yard is blocked from sensitive receptors because of the elevated position with intervening undulating terrain and the distance to the nearest receptors, making the installation of fence screening unnecessary. No security lighting will be used at the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard. Temporary lighting will be used for the safety of convening personnel during approved construction work hours at times of the year when daylight is insufficient for safe movement of personnel around the meeting area. The use of lights will be associated with

construction activities such as morning tailboards and during emergencies. Temporary lights will be hooded and pointed down-ward and will follow specifications as presented in the Construction Yard Lighting Plan that was approved by the CPUC on February 1, 2011.

Cassandra Garza, CPUC representative and Chris Mellett Sunrise Link Lead visited the site on November 10, 2010.

CPUC Evaluation of Variance Request

In accordance with the MIMCRP, the subject variance request was reviewed by CPUC to confirm that no new impacts or increase in impact severity would result from the requested variance activities. This review also included a visit of the subject site on November 10, 2010 by the CPUC Lead Environmental Monitor (EM). The following discussion summarizes this analysis for biological, cultural, paleontological, and hydrological resources, sensitive land uses/noise, and other issue areas. A list of conditions is presented below to define additional information and clarifications regarding mitigation requirements. In some cases, these items exceed the requirements of the Mitigation Measures and Applicant Proposed Measures, and are based on specific site conditions and/or are proposed conditions by SDG&E.

Biological Resources. The variance request and supplemental survey report was reviewed by the CPUC biologist. Several invasive weeds were detected at the site during plant surveys. Therefore, SDG&E and its contractors will implement the Weed Control Plan immediately prior to, during and following construction as appropriate for the weed species being treated.

Biological reports indicate the slopes just outside of the work footprint to the north of the proposed construction yard contain a limited number of spiny redberry (*Rhamnus crocea*) with associated California buckwheat (*Eriogonum fasciculatum*). This unique plant proximity combination is particularly important in the ecology of the hermes copper (*Lycaena hermes*), and should be protected from impact.

According to biological reports, several sensitive wildlife species occur or have the potential to occur on or near the site. These include coastal California gnatcatcher (federally threatened), San Diego fairy shrimp (federally endangered), coastal cactus wren (California State Species of Special Concern – CSC), grasshopper sparrow (CSC), coast horned lizard (CSC), and western spadefoot toad (CSC). To avoid harm to these species, nesting birds and other wildlife species, SDG&E and its contractors will implement the protective measures noted below in the conditions of variance approval section. No impacts to biological resources are anticipated with the implementation of the conditions.

Hydrological Resources. While there are several areas where soil and rock have been graded to control surface water runoff, according to SDG&E, no impacts to hydrological resources are anticipated with the implementation of the conditions noted below. Per the variance request, BMPs will be installed in accordance with the Link 5 SWPPP.

Cultural and Paleontological Resources. The cultural resources survey for the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard was included in the scope of the project-wide Class III inventory submitted and approved by the CPUC and BLM on June 2, 2010. There are no known cultural resources within the limits of the proposed yard. In the event of an unanticipated discovery of archaeological materials within the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard during maintenance and use, all ground-disturbing work within the immediate area of the discovery will be suspended. Any new discoveries shall be managed in compliance with the guidelines set forth in the Final Historic Properties Management Plan (HPMP).

Based on the Final Paleontological Monitoring and Discovery Treatment Plan (PMDTP), the potential to encounter paleontological resources within the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard is high. In accordance with Mitigation Measure PAL-01c, full-time construction monitoring during ground

disturbance will be conducted by a qualified paleontologist in areas determined to have a moderate to high paleontological sensitivity. In the event of an unanticipated discovery of paleontological materials within the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard during maintenance and use, all ground-disturbing work within the immediate area of the discovery will be suspended. Any new discoveries shall be managed in compliance with the guidelines for set forth in the PMDTP.

No impacts to cultural or paleontological resources are anticipated with the implementation of the conditions noted below.

Noise. The noticing materials submitted with the request were verified by the CPUC. A Noise Study has been completed and did not identify any significant noise issues associated with the proposed uses of the yard. A temporary helicopter landing zone will be used at Sycamore Estates Construction Yard and noise impacts associated with helicopter operations were included in the noise study. Although no noise impacts are anticipated to occur, residents within 1000 feet and potential sensitive noise receptors within 300 feet of the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard have been identified by SDG&E. Noticing was previously conducted as part of Link 5 noticing.

All complaints received by SDG&E in regard to use of the yard especially pertaining to ILA activities shall be logged and reported immediately to the CPUC. This includes complaints relevant to noise and dust, etc. Complaints should also be forwarded immediately to San Diego County. If complaints cannot be resolved, sound attenuation devices may need to be installed or modified flight paths instituted, depending on the nature of the complaint.

Visual. The construction yard will be fenced/screened on the southwesterly portion of the yard at the Stonebridge Parkway access. Fencing will occur as described in the CPUC approved Construction Yard Visual Screening Plan. Fencing/screening will not occur around the entire perimeter of the yard as the yard is inaccessible to the south, east and west. Visual screening is not necessary for the entire perimeter of the yard. Because of distances and ridgelines, the yard is not viewable from any sensitive viewing receptors. Line of sight views of the yard from potential visual receptors and vice versa were submitted. No security lighting will be used at the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard. Temporary lighting will be used for the safety of convening personnel during approved construction work hours at times of the year when daylight is insufficient for safe movement of personnel around the meeting area. The use of lights will be associated with construction activities such as morning tailboards and during emergencies. Temporary lights will be hooded and pointed down-ward and will follow specifications as presented in the CPUC approved Construction Yard Lighting Plan.

All complaints received by SDG&E in regard to use of the yard pertaining visual issues shall be logged and reported immediately to the CPUC. Complaints should also be forwarded immediately to San Diego County. If complaints cannot be resolved, additional fencing/screening may need to be installed or changes made to temporary lighting, depending on the nature of the complaint.

Traffic. Traffic Impacts for utilization of the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard were included in the project-wide Traffic Impact Analysis. The Traffic Impact Analysis was submitted to the CPUC and revealed no significant impacts with the use of the yard. Stonebridge Parkway provides the only public roadway access to the area. Stonebridge Parkway is not a designated residential street per the City of San Diego's street design guidelines. The CPUC conducted a review of the study and did not note any concerns. The study was approved by San Diego County on September 29, 2010. It is important to note that even without the use of the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard. Stonebridge Parkway is the

approved route to access construction of a number of Project tower locations all of which will now be supported by the Sycamore Estates Yard.

All complaints received by SDG&E in regard to use of the yard pertaining to traffic impacts shall be logged and reported immediately to the CPUC. Complaints should also be forwarded immediately to San Diego County. If complaints cannot be resolved, additional mitigation for traffic impacts may be considered, depending on the nature of the complaint.

Conditions of Variance Approval.

The conditions presented below shall be met by SDG&E and its contractors:

1. All applicable project mitigation measures, APMs, compliance plans permit conditions and conditions of NTP # 13 shall be implemented. Some measures have on-going/time-sensitive requirements and shall be implemented prior to and during construction where applicable.
2. Copies of all relevant permits, compliance plans, NTP #13 and this Variance approval shall be available on site for the duration of construction activities.
3. SDG&E shall conduct biological monitoring in compliance with Mitigation Measure B-1c. "Survey sweeps" will occur immediately preceding and during active construction as part of required biological monitoring activities. If active nests are found, a biological monitor shall establish an appropriate buffer around the nest and no activities will be allowed within the buffer until the young have fledged from the nest or the nest fails. The biological monitor shall conduct regular monitoring of the nest to determine success/failure and to ensure that project activities are not conducted within the buffer until the nesting cycle is complete or the nest fails. The biological monitor shall be responsible for documenting the results of the surveys and the ongoing monitoring. The buffer may be adjusted with the approval of CDFG and USFWS, and with prior knowledge of the CPUC.
4. No clearing or disturbance to native vegetation shall occur inside or outside of approved work areas. If chamise chaparral-disturbed and/or Diegan coastal sage scrub-disturbed must be removed from within the yard boundaries, the CPUC Environmental Monitor (EM) shall be notified and those plant communities will be mitigated in compliance with Mitigation Measure (MM) B-1a, and either must be cleared outside the bird breeding season, or pre-construction nesting bird surveys must be conducted in accordance with MM B-8a and with prior approval from the wildlife agencies.
5. In compliance with MM B-7I, a U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) permitted biologist shall survey for coastal California gnatcatcher within 10 calendar days prior to initiating construction activities. The results of the survey shall be submitted to the wildlife agencies for review and approval prior to initiating any construction activities. If coastal California gnatcatchers are present, but not nesting, a USFWS permitted biologist shall survey for nesting coastal California gnatcatchers approximately once per week within 500 feet of the construction area for the duration of the activity in that area during the breeding season (February 15 through August 30).
6. Removal of native vegetation within occupied habitat of the coastal California gnatcatcher (within 500 feet of a gnatcatcher sighting) shall be conducted from September 1 through February 14.
7. In compliance with Mitigation Measure B-8a, pre-construction surveys by a qualified biologist for nesting birds within 100 feet of the construction zone within 10 calendar days prior to the initiation of construction shall occur between January 15 and August 15. In addition, nesting surveys by a qualified biologist for sensitive species including Coastal California gnatcatcher, coastal cactus wren,

grasshopper sparrow and raptors shall be conducted within 500 feet of the construction zone within 10 days prior to the initiation of construction shall occur between January 1 and September 15. If active nests are found, follow protocols in MM B-8a. If no active nests are observed, construction may proceed. If active nests are found, work may proceed provided that construction activity is 1) located at least 500 feet from raptor nests, 2) located at least 100 feet from non-listed bird species nests, and 3) noise levels do not exceed 60 dB (A) hourly Leq at the edge of nesting territories as determined by a qualified biologist in coordination with a qualified acoustician. The biological monitor shall conduct regular monitoring of the nest to determine success/failure and to ensure that project activities are not conducted within the buffer until the nesting cycle is complete or the nest fails. The biological monitor shall be responsible for documenting the results of the surveys and the ongoing monitoring. There may be a reduction of these buffer zones depending on site-specific conditions or the existing ambient level if activity in coordination with the wildlife agencies.

8. SDG&E shall install fencing around western spadefoot toad breeding pools as identified in the biological survey report such that the fencing allows the free movement of the species from the surrounding uplands to the pool and also does not interfere with the flow of traffic within the construction yard. Place "Environmentally Sensitive Area" signs and instruct all personnel to avoid those areas. No fueling or hazardous materials storage may occur within 100 feet of the breeding pools.
9. Coast horned lizard and western spadefoot toad have been observed on site. To avoid harm to these species, a Biological Monitor will include this species in regular 'sweeps' of the yard and access roads throughout the day during vegetation removal and ground disturbance activities.
10. If absence of fairy shrimp has not been proven by USFWS protocol wet/dry sampling, direct impacts to vernal pools within the yard boundaries as identified in the biological survey report shall be avoided in accordance with MM B-1b. No fueling or hazardous materials storage may occur within 100 feet of the pools.
11. Wildlife found to be trapped will be removed by a qualified Biological Monitor. If the Biological Monitor is not qualified to remove the entrapped wildlife, a recognized wildlife rescue agency (such as Project Wildlife) will be contacted to remove the wildlife and transport it safely to other suitable habitats.
12. Invasive weeds have been observed on the site. The Weed Control Plan will be implemented immediately prior to, during and following construction as appropriate for the weed species being treated.
13. All crew members shall be Safe Worker and Environmental Awareness Program (SWEAP) trained prior to working on the project. A log shall be maintained on-site with the names of all crew personnel trained. For any crew members with limited English, a translator shall be on-site to ensure understanding of the training program. In place of a translator, the SWEAP training brochure can be provided in Spanish or other languages as appropriate. All participants will receive a hard-hat sticker for ease of compliance verification.
14. Full-time construction monitoring will be conducted during *all* ground disturbing activities by a qualified Paleontologist in areas determined to have a moderate to high paleontological sensitivity.
15. In the event of an unanticipated discovery of archaeological or paleontological materials within the Sycamore Estates Construction Yard during maintenance and use, all ground-disturbing work within the immediate area of the discovery will be suspended. Any new discoveries shall be managed in compliance with the guidelines set forth in the Final HPMP and Final PMDTP.

16. If any unanticipated lane restrictions or closures are found to be necessary, prior proof of coordination with emergency service providers and all necessary permits shall be submitted to the CPUC.
17. As proposed, the SDG&E Environmental Monitoring Program will be implemented during construction which will include implementation of the applicable environmental plans (as defined in HS-APM-1, HS-APM-2, HS-APM 3, HS-APM-8 and HS-APM-10. An Environmental Field Representative for the Sycamore Estates Yard will be on site to observe and document adherence to the applicable environmental plans.
18. If the application of water is needed to abate dust in the yard, SDG&E shall use the least amount needed to meet safety and air quality standards and prevent the formation of puddles, which could attract wildlife to construction sites (as requested by USFWS). Conditions of the Dust Control Plan will be implemented and enforced throughout the occupancy of the Sycamore Estates Yard.
19. The Link 5 SWPPP shall be implemented where appropriate for the duration of the Sycamore Estates Yard occupancy.
20. All temporary facilities (i.e., trailers, fencing, etc.) shall be removed from the Sycamore Estates Yard at the completion of occupancy.
21. All complaints received by SDG&E in regard to the yard shall be logged and reported immediately to the CPUC. This includes complaints relevant to noise, dust, visual, lighting and traffic etc. Complaints shall also be forwarded immediately to San Diego County. If complaints cannot be resolved, activities at the site may need to be modified depending on the nature of the complaint.

Please contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Billie Blanchard
CPUC Environmental Project Manager
Sunrise Powerlink Transmission Project

cc: Daniel Steward, BLM El Centro Field Office
Tom Zale, BLM El Centro Field Office
Bob Hawkins, Forest Service
Eric Kershner, USFWS
Erinn Wilson, CDFG
Susan Lee, Aspen Environmental Group
Vida Strong, Aspen Environmental Group
Anne Coronado, Aspen Environmental Group