

Rebecca W. Giles
Regulatory Case Manager
San Diego Gas and Electric Company
8330 Century Park Court
San Diego, CA 92123-1530

January 30, 2015

Reg.12-10/A.12-10-009 SDG&E CNF PTC Application

Sent Via Electronic Mail

Lisa Orsaba	Rica Nitka
California Public Utilities Commission	Dudek
Energy Division	605 Third Street
505 Van Ness Avenue	Encinitas, CA 92024
San Francisco, CA 94102	

Subject: CNF ED09-SDGE Response Q1-2

Dear Ms. Orsaba and Ms. Nitka:

Attached is SDG&E's response to CNF ED09-SDGE Q1-2. This submittal completes SDG&E's response to this data request.

If you have any questions, please contact me either by phone: (858) 636-6876 or email: **RGiles@semprautilities.com**.

Sincerely,

Signed

Rebecca W. Giles Regulatory Case Manager

Enclosures

cc: Allen Trial – SDG&E John Porteous – Dudek

Elizabeth Cason – SDG&E Bob Hawkins – US Forest Service

Tim Knowd – SDG&E Jeff Heys – Cleveland National Forest, USFS

Central Files - SDG&E Fred Bauermeister – Insignia

Kelli Taylor - Cleveland National Forest, USFS

San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) Cleveland National Forest Master Special Use Permit and Permit to Construct Power Line Replacement Projects (Proposed Project) Application No. A.12-10-009

SDG&E's Response Dated January 30, 2015 to Data Request No. 9 Dated January 22, 2015

SDG&E RESPONSE TO QUESTION 1.0 – IMPACT CATEGORIES/ACREAGES

A. Description of the Vegetation Categories for Pastureland and Disturbed Habitat (Ruderal/Barren Land)

As SDG&E outlined in the Proposed Project's Biological Resources Technical Report dated May 2012, and as described below, vegetation mapping for the Proposed Project was based on descriptions in Section 3.1 of SDG&E's Subregional Natural Community Conservation Plan (NCCP) and in Gray and Bramlet (1992. Habitat Classification System, Natural Resources, Geographic Information System (GIS) Project. County of Orange Environmental Management Agency, Santa Ana, California). All plant species observed within the Proposed Project's area were noted during field surveys. Plant communities correspond to the habitats described in the NCCP. A description of two non-sensitive habitat areas are defined below.

1. Pasturelands/Cultivated Agriculture

This type of community is best characterized as Dryland Field Crops, as described in Gray and Bramlet (1992), and consists of planted, annual grasses and forbs harvested for livestock feed. These species are generally non-native and include barley (*Hordeum* spp.), wild oat, and clover or alfalfa (*Trifolium* spp., *Medicago sativa*) species. This vegetation community is a component of Pasturelands/Cultivated Agriculture under the NCCP. Pasturelands/Cultivated Agriculture areas were identified during the 2010 surveys for the Proposed Project as areas that showed recent evidence of maintenance, such as tilling, mowing, or heavy grazing. These non-sensitive habitat areas were identified on private lands where the land use was at the discretion of the land owners, and were typically behind barbed wire fencing to delineate the properties and provide a barrier to any livestock that were actively grazing on the properties.

In response to Question 1, SDG&E reviewed the currently available aerial imagery, as well as any historic imagery of these areas that is publicly available on the Internet. Based on that review, a vast majority of staging yard sites originally identified as agriculture still appear to be utilized by the land owner in that capacity and have historically been used for continuous agricultural purposes. After reviewing the aerial imagery, SDG&E has identified the following staging yards and helicopter landing areas that may potentially function as annual grasslands. Please note that the term "TL" is an abbreviation for "Tie Line," which refers in this case to a 69 kilovolt power line.

TL 625 Martin Staging Yard/Helicopter Landing Area –
 1.38 acres (Disturbed)

- 2. TL 625 Garbani Staging Yard/Helicopter Landing Area 1.05 acres (Pastureland)
- 3. TL 629 Kitchen Creek Staging Yard 0.92 acres (Pastureland)
- 4. TL 629 Kitchen Creek Helicopter Landing Area 0.13 acres (Pastureland)
- 5. TL 6923 Unnamed TL 6923 Staging Yard 8.23 acres (Previously Used Staging Area)
- 6. TL 682 Lake Henshaw Staging Yard/Helicopter Landing Area 4.49 acres (Previously Used Staging Area)

The last two staging areas were added following submission of the Revised Plan of Development (POD) for the Proposed Project in April 2013 and have no associated vegetation community data available, since they were not surveyed in 2010. Based on a preliminary review of aerial imagery, however, the Unnamed TL 6923 Staging Yard is likely Pastureland and the Lake Henshaw Staging Yard/Helicopter Landing Area is likely non-native grassland, although further field surveys would be beneficial to determine this. The four areas with defined vegetation community information total approximately 3.48 acres; all six areas total approximately 16.2 acres. Since a Pre-construction Survey Report (PSR) will be performed as part of the Notice to Proceed for each power line, current conditions will be captured at that time. If necessary, the habitat will be reclassified and recorded in the PSR and the annual report submitted to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), U.S. Forest Service, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

2. Disturbed Habitat (Ruderal/Barren Land)

Disturbed Areas, which is defined as a vegetation community under the NCCP, describes any land on which the native vegetation has been significantly altered by construction, human interference, vehicle access, or other land-clearing activities. Disturbed habitat is an area with no functional ecological value. Such land has been cleared, regularly mowed, or otherwise routinely disturbed. This habitat is typically found in vacant lots, roadsides, construction staging areas, vegetation management areas (i.e. fire breaks), or abandoned fields. It is dominated by non-native annual species and non-native perennial broad-leafed species. In general, disturbed habitat is remote, discontinuous within the surrounding native or otherwise functional habitats, and does not contribute to the connectivity or carrying capacities of sensitive habitat areas or Preserve areas in the region. Disturbed habitat may be nearly devoid of vegetation because of clearing or grading and is dominated by pioneering herbaceous species that readily colonize disturbed soils (i.e., opportunistic invasive species), such as tocalote (*Centaura melitensis*), wild oat (*Avena fatua*), black mustard (*Brassica nigra*), prickly sow-thistle (*Sonchus asper*), and wild lettuce (*Lactuca serriola*) (Gray and Bramlet 1992).

B. Review and Reduction of Temporary Impact Areas

In the POD, SDG&E took a conservative approach to estimating the size and number of stringing sites to provide maximum flexibility during construction and to accommodate worst-case terrain conditions. In response to Question 1, SDG&E reviewed all stringing sites to identify opportunities to reduce their size or number while maintaining compliance with all applicable construction and safety requirements. Based on this review, SDG&E has identified twelve stringing sites that can be eliminated from the Proposed Project and 117 stringing sites that can be reduced in size from the original estimate of 150 feet by 150 feet to substantially

smaller dimensions, although each stringing site varies in size according to local conditions and site-specific constraints. Each of the adjusted sites has been reduced to less than half its original size. SDG&E has also added nine stringing sites in paved or dirt roadways to accommodate stringing site needs while avoiding impacts to natural areas.

When the biological surveys and GIS calculations were completed for the POD, the vegetation layers used in the GIS calculations were broad and in many cases did not identify dirt roads or other disturbed areas within them. The impact totals by habitat were estimated using GIS by clipping a vegetation layer with the GIS footprints of the stringing sites and staging yards. As a result, many stringing sites that were specifically placed within dirt roads and other disturbed areas were reported as placed within habitat. In response to Question 1, SDG&E has refined the method for estimating impact totals by habitat and has proposed revised estimates in the summary table below.

The estimated reduction in habitat impacts due to the review and refinement described above is approximately 60 acres. The attached Excel spreadsheet shows the proposed decrease in temporary impacts for the five power lines included for the Proposed Project. The decrease is the result of desktop level reviews conducted with SDG&E construction and environmental personnel to the best of SDG&E's knowledge and belief. Non-habitat (disturbed, agriculture and developed/landscape) have been removed from the impacted acres. The second tab in the attached spreadsheet shows the compiled decreases by vegetation type. The reduction of almost 25 acres in temporary impacts to southern mixed chaparral and almost 6.8 acres in temporary impacts to chamise chaparral are notable.

SDG&E is currently refining the revised GIS dataset to reflect the changes described above. SDG&E will submit the revised GIS dataset to the CPUC and Forest Service within approximately 10 days from submittal of this data request response.

If the CPUC and Forest Service confirm that the decreases in temporary impacts described above are acceptable and that impacts due to restoration of approximately 11 miles of access road can be subtracted from the impacts total, then SDG&E currently estimates that the Proposed Project's temporary impacts to habitat are 49.32 acres. If the Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) requires undergrounding of Circuit 440, then the impacted acres will increase substantially due to the trenching and adjacent work space needed for the undergrounding.

As a standard operating procedure, SDG&E works with contractors during construction to avoid and minimize temporary impacts as a result of staging yards and stringing sites. Consistent with the protocols in the NCCP, SDG&E typically prepares a post-construction report after completion of a project. Actual impacts are determined, and mitigation is based on the actual impacts. Actual impacts are often lower than anticipated. Therefore, the temporary impacts to acreage listed in the summary table below are conservative.

Temporary Impact Summary	Acres
Total Temporary Impacts Identified in the Draft EIR/EIS	164.63
Disturbed (Ruderal/Barren)	-7.41
Pastureland/Cultivated Agriculture	-18.74
Urban and Developed/Ornamental Landscaping	-21.64
Total Temporary Impacts Excluding Non-Habitat	116.84
Reduced Access Road Impacts	-19.06
(11.2 miles of roads to be removed from service)	
Reduced Temporary Impacts from Stringing Site Review Described Above	-61.18
Previously Utilized SDG&E Staging Yard (additions on TL 6923 and 682)	12.72
Total Temporary Impacts	49.32

SDG&E'S RESPONSE TO QUESTION 2.0 – NATURAL COMMUNITY CONSERVATION PLAN

To the best of SDG&E's knowledge and belief as of the date of this data request response, SDG&E will be able to rely on the NCCP for the Proposed Project to impact acreage in natural areas and for incidental take authorization for species covered by the NCCP. This reliance on the NCCP for the Proposed Project will include fully implementing the Applicant Proposed Measures in the POD, addressing impacts identified in the Draft EIR/EIS, and implementing the mitigation obligations described in the POD and SDG&E's comment letter on the Draft EIR/EIS dated November 3, 2014.

In SDG&E's letter dated January 5, 2015, to the CPUC and Forest Service, SDG&E confirmed based on recently identified constraints that using the NCCP to implement all the habitat mitigation required for the Proposed Project may reduce the amount of impacted acreage allowed under the NCCP such that using the NCCP for other construction, operations, and maintenance activities may be challenging. Since then, SDG&E has been taking several steps to address the constraints such that, to the best of SDG&E's knowledge and belief, SDG&E will be able to rely on the NCCP for the Proposed Project as stated in the paragraph above.

The steps that SDG&E has been taking to address the constraints are as follows:

- SDG&E has reviewed the temporary impact areas for the Proposed Project and reduced some of them in size, as described in the response to Question 1 above. These reductions are subject to confirmation by the CPUC and Forest Service that they are acceptable.
- SDG&E has been reviewing other projects and activities to identify opportunities to reduce the size of their temporary and permanent impact areas.
- SDG&E has been reviewing electric facility projects for which SDG&E anticipates filing applications for approval to determine whether the projects should rely on the NCCP or should pursue other compliance mechanisms under the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) and California ESA. These

mechanisms would be federal agency consultation under federal ESA Section 7 or a Habitat Conservation Plan under federal ESA Section 10, plus a consistency determination under California ESA Section 2080.1 or incidental take authorization under California ESA Section 2081.

- SDG&E has been working with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and California Department of Fish and Wildlife (collectively, Wildlife Agencies) to confirm whether approximately 20 to 40 acres of impacted acreage can be subtracted from the cap on impacted acreage under the NCCP. SDG&E may have mistakenly treated these approximately 20 to 40 acres as impacted under the NCCP in reporting to the Wildlife Agencies relating to the 2003 and 2007 firestorms in the San Diego County area. SDG&E's emergency repair activities after those firestorms impacted approximately 20 to 40 acres of recently burned land. While SDG&E cannot guarantee that these approximately 20 to 40 acres will be subtracted, or guarantee the timing for such a decision from the Wildlife Agencies, SDG&E anticipates feedback from the Wildlife Agencies within the next several weeks.
- SDG&E is pursuing an amendment to the NCCP that increases the allowed amount of impacted acreage. While SDG&E cannot guarantee a timeline for environmental review and approval of such an amendment, SDG&E is working with the Wildlife Agencies on the amendment as quickly as possible.

SDG&E also notes that the total impacted acreage for the Proposed Project will not occur at once but will instead be spread over the approximately five-year construction time frame. To the best of SDG&E's knowledge and belief, SDG&E will be able to rely on the NCCP for the Proposed Project throughout this approximately five-year construction time frame. If SDG&E cannot do so for any reason, SDG&E acknowledges that additional permitting and environmental review, such as an Addendum to the Final EIR/EIS or a Supplemental EIR/EIS, may be necessary before construction could proceed.

Impact Changes by TL

Disturbed of Mixed Oak Native Grand Non-native Oak Savani Pasturelan Southern Murban and TL625 Total TL626 Freshwate Mixed Oak Non-native Oak Savani Southern Murban and TL626 Total TL629 Chamise Clause Chamise Clause Cl	astal Sage Scrub (Ruderal/Barren)	5.90 2.49	1.95	
Disturbed (Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savani Pasturelan Southern N Urban and TL625 Tota TL626 Freshwate Mixed Oak Non-native Oak Savani Southern N Southern N Urban and TL626 Tota TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed (Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savani	(Ruderal/Barren)	2.49		, ,
Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savani Pasturelan Southern N Urban and TL625 Tota TL626 Freshwate Mixed Oak Non-native Oak Savani Southern N Southern N Southern R Urban and TL626 Tota TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed (Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savani	•		0.89	· ,
Native Gra Non-native Oak Savane Pasturelan Southern N Urban and TL625 Tota TL626 Freshwate Mixed Oak Non-native Oak Savane Southern N Southern N Urban and TL626 Tota TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed of Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savane	14. II I	5.54		· ,
Non-native Oak Savani Pasturelan Southern N Urban and TL625 Tota TL626 Freshwate Mixed Oak Non-native Oak Savani Southern N Southern N Urban and TL626 Tota TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed of Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savani	Woodland	2.03		· · ·
Oak Savani Pasturelan Southern M Urban and TL625 Tota TL626 Freshwate Mixed Oak Non-native Oak Savani Southern M Southern M Southern R Urban and TL626 Tota TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed (Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savani	ssland	0.58	0.08	· · ·
Pasturelan Southern N Urban and TL625 Tota TL626 Freshwate Mixed Oak Non-native Oak Savan Southern N Southern N Urban and TL626 Tota TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed of Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savan	e Grassland	<0.01	<0.01	
Southern M Urban and TL625 Tota TL626 Freshwate Mixed Oak Non-native Oak Savan Southern M Southern M Urban and TL626 Tota TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed (Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savan	na	0.41	0.02	(0.39)
TL626 TL626 Freshwate Mixed Oak Non-native Oak Savan Southern N Southern N Urban and TL626 Tota TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed (Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savan	d/Cultivated Agriculture	9.48	11.04	1.56
TL625 Total TL626 Freshwate Mixed Oak Non-native Oak Savant Southern N Southern N Urban and TL626 Total TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coal Disturbed of Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savant	Mixed Chaparral	14.22	4.51	(9.71)
TL626 Freshwater Mixed Oak Non-native Oak Savant Southern N Southern N Urban and TL626 Tota TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed of Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savant	Developed/Ornamental Landscaping	6.01	3.20	(2.81)
Mixed Oak Non-native Oak Savane Southern N Southern R Urban and TL626 Tota TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed Cl Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native	al	46.66	28.25	(18.41)
Non-native Oak Savant Southern N Southern R Urban and TL626 Tota TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed of Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savant	r Seep/Open Water	0.48	0.03	(0.45)
Oak Savani Southern N Southern R Urban and TL626 Tota TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed (Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savani	Woodland	2.25	1.16	(1.09)
Southern M Southern R Urban and TL626 Tota TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed (Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savan	e Grassland	1.25	0.87	(0.38)
Southern R Urban and TL626 Tota TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed (Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savan	na	2.00	1.23	(0.77)
Urban and TL626 Tota TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed cl Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savan	Mixed Chaparral	10.33	4.02	(6.31)
TL626 Total TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed (Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savan	Riparian Forest	1.73	0.71	(1.02)
TL629 Chamise Cl Diegan Coa Disturbed (Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savan	Developed/Ornamental Landscaping	1.46	1.00	(0.46)
Diegan Coa Disturbed (Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savan	al	19.50	9.02	(10.48)
Disturbed (Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savan	haparral	4.32	1.48	(2.84)
Mixed Oak Native Gra Non-native Oak Savan	astal Sage Scrub	0.11	0.11	0.00
Native Gra Non-native Oak Savani	(Ruderal/Barren)	1.25	0.28	(0.97)
Non-native Oak Savani	Woodland	0.75	0.45	(0.30)
Oak Savanı	ssland	0.08	0.08	0.00
	e Grassland	1.99	1.21	(0.78)
	na	4.34	1.72	(2.62)
Pasturelan	d/Cultivated Agriculture	8.16	9.63	1.47
Semi-Dese	rt Chaparral	5.92	1.87	(4.05)
Southern N	Mixed Chaparral	6.92	3.20	(3.72)
Southern R	Riparian Forest	0.58	0.57	(0.01)
Urban and	Developed/Ornamental Landscaping	11.60	7.89	(3.71)
TL629 Tota	al .	46.02	28.49	(17.53)
TL682 Diegan Coa	astal Sage Scrub	1.72	1.09	(0.63)
Disturbed ((Ruderal/Barren)	0.98	0.98	0.00
Mixed Oak	Woodland	4.14	2.18	(1.96)
Non-native	e Grassland	9.07	5.22	(3.85)
Oak Savanı	na	0.03	0.03	0.00
Pasturelan	d/Cultivated Agriculture	2.91	2.66	(0.25)
Southern N	Mixed Chaparral	4.52	1.85	(2.67)
Southern F	Riparian Forest	0.73	0.06	(0.67)
Urban and	Developed/Ornamental Landscaping	1.21	1.14	(0.07)
TL682 Tota	nl	25.31	15.21	(10.10)
TL6923 Chamise Cl	haparral	0.56		
	astal Sage Scrub	2.70		
_	r Seep/Open Water	0.03		
	Woodland	0.03	0.03	
Native Gra		1.04		
	e Grassland	0.15	0.15	
Oak Savani	. 	0.05	0.05	
	na		0.03	0.00
			1 55	(2 EE)
	Mixed Chaparral	4.10		
TL6923 Totals	Mixed Chaparral Developed/Ornamental Landscaping		1.55 1.21 <i>4.9</i> 5	0.26

Impact Changes by Veg Category

Vegetation Community	Temporary Impact (Draft EIR/EIS)	Temporary Impact (Revised)	Difference
Chamise Chaparral	10.78	3.98	(6.80)
Diegan Coastal Sage Scrub	7.02	3.29	(3.73)
Disturbed (Ruderal/Barren)	7.77	6.77	(1.00)
Mixed Oak Woodland	9.20	4.87	(4.33)
Native Grassland	1.70	0.34	(1.36)
Non-native Grassland	12.46	7.45	(5.01)
Oak Savanna	6.83	3.05	(3.78)
Pastureland/Cultivated Agriculture	20.55	23.33	2.78
Southern Mixed Chaparral	40.09	15.13	(24.96)
Urban and Developed/Ornamental Landscaping	21.23	14.44	(6.79)
Freshwater Seep/Open Water	0.51	0.06	(0.45)
Southern Riparian Forest	3.04	1.34	(1.70)
Semi-Desert Chaparral	5.92	1.87	(4.05)
Total	147.10	85.92	(61.18)

CLEVELAND NATIONAL FOREST (CNF) POWER LINE REPLACEMENT PROJECTS (PROPOSED PROJECT)

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (SDG&E)
Geographic Information System (GIS) Data Transfer

February 13, 2015

Introduction

The following document describes the GIS data created or used by SDG&E and Insignia Environmental for evaluation of the Proposed Project. Table 1: CNF Geodatabase – Project Components includes the name, description, data type, and source for each GIS file being transmitted. The GIS data is provided as one geodatabase—CNF_Project_Components.gdb.

Coordinate System

All shapefiles are provided in the NAD_1983_StatePlane_California_VI_FIPS_0404_Feet coordinate system, in Feet_US units.



Table 1: CNF Geodatabase – Project Components

Feature Class by Dataset	Description	Geometry	Source		
CNF_Project_Components.gdb					
CNF_Access_Roads	SDG&E access roads and USFS roads for anticipated usage for the CNF Power Line Replacement Projects construction and maintenance	Polyline	USFS, 2013; SDG&E, 2015; Insignia, 2015		
CNF_AII_Work_Areas	Proposed pole work areas and staging, stringing, and underground work areas split by permanent and temporary impact for the CNF Power Line Replacement Projects	Polygon	SDG&E, 2015; Insignia, 2015		
CNF_Non_Pole_Work_Areas	Staging, stringing, and underground work areas for the CNF Power Line Replacement Projects	Polygon	SDG&E, 2015; Insignia, 2015		
CNF_Pole_Work_Areas	Proposed pole work areas split by permanent and temporary impact for the CNF Power Line Replacement Projects	Polygon	SDG&E, 2015; Insignia, 2015		
CNF_Power_Lines	CNF Power Line Replacement Projects power line alignments.	Polyline	SDG&E, 2015; Insignia, 2015		
CNF_Proposed_Poles	CNF Power Line Replacement Projects proposed pole locations that have been staked	Point	SDG&E, 2015; Insignia, 2015		
CNF_Trenching	Approximate area of underground trenching	Polygon	SDG&E, 2015; Insignia, 2015		





Rebecca W. Giles
Regulatory Case Manager
San Diego Gas and Electric Company
8330 Century Park Court
San Diego, CA 92123-1530

February 24, 2015

Reg.12-10/A.12-10-009 SDG&E CNF PTC Application

Sent Via Electronic Mail

Lisa Orsaba Rica Nitka
California Public Utilities Commission Dudek
Energy Division 605 Third Street
505 Van Ness Avenue Encinitas, CA 92024
San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: CNF ED09-SDGE Updated Response Q1-2.

Dear Ms. Orsaba and Ms. Nitka:

The attached updated CNF Proposed Project design change maps, which show the changes to the Proposed Project designs since the submission of the Revised POD, have been uploaded to the project's FTP site. Five maps – one pertaining to each of the 69 kilovolt (kV) power lines included in the Proposed Projects – have been included. With the exception of C79, no changes have been made to the 12 kV distribution lines. C79 changes are minor and include only handhole placements and one small staging area change; due to the scale of the maps, however, these changes are not readily identifiable on the map set. If requested, SDG&E can prepare and provide a more detailed map set detailing the changes to C79.

This submittal updates the CNF ED09-SDGE Q1-2 response previously submitted on January 30, 2015.

Please let me know if you would like to schedule a follow up meeting to for SDG&E to explain the maps.

If you have any questions, please contact me either by phone: (858) 636-6876 or email: *RGiles@semprautilities.com*.

Sincerely,

Signed

Rebecca W. Giles Regulatory Case Manager

Enclosures

cc: Allen Trial – SDG&E

Elizabeth Cason – SDG&E Bob Hawkins – US Forest Service

Tim Knowd – SDG&E Jeff Heys – Cleveland National Forest, USFS

Central Files - SDG&E Fred Bauermeister – Insignia

David A. Peffer, POC Kelli Taylor - Cleveland National Forest, USFS

John Porteous – Dudek





































































































































































































































































































































































































































































































