



DCRT
TEN WEST LINK TRANSMISSION LINE PROJECT
**MINOR PROJECT REFINEMENT
REQUEST FORM**

Date Submitted:	12/13/22	Request #:	MPRR 005
Date Approval Required:	12/16/22	Landowner:	Multiple, see table in Attachment C
APN:	Multiple, see table in Attachment C		
Refinement from (check all that apply):			
<input type="checkbox"/> Mitigation Measure	<input type="checkbox"/> APM	<input type="checkbox"/> Project Description	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drawing
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify):			
Identify source (mitigation measure, project description, etc.):			
Modify Project drawings to reflect the following mapping changes on private landowner parcels: Change 1- Str. 344: Access from south of structure Change 2- Str. 345: Revised "center of field road" Change 3- Str. 352: Access from P15W-A-NEW06 (from north) Change 4- Str. 353: Access from P15W-A-021 (from north) Change 5- Str. 354: Access Structure 354 from P15W-A-022GP (from south)			
Attachments (check all that apply):			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Refinement Screening Form (Attachment A)	<input type="checkbox"/> Photos	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Maps (Attachment B)	<input type="checkbox"/> BLM Variance Request
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify): Landowner full easement maps (Lakota and Bryce) (Attachment D); Memorandum to the Compensatory Mitigation Plan (Attachment E); Disturbance Estimates (Attachment F)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Landowner/APN List (Attachment C)	
As identified in Section 3.3 of the MMCRP, the CPUC may approve minor project refinements under certain circumstances. In accordance with Section 3.3 of the MMCRP, respond "yes" or "no" to the following questions (a) through (d).			
(a) Is the proposed refinement outside the geographic boundary of the FEIS study area?			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(b) Will the proposed refinement result in a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified significant impact based on the criteria used in the FEIS, Appendix 1C?			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(c) Does the proposed refinement conflict with any mitigation measure or applicable law or policy?			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(d) Does the proposed refinement trigger an additional permit requirement?			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Describe refinement being requested (attach drawings and photos as needed):			
Refining the construction drawings to reflect the minor mapping changes negotiated with private landowners. All changes reflect <i>temporary</i> disturbance which would be restored during post-construction, as discussed in the Project Plan of Development – Appendix L-1 Reclamation, Vegetation, and Monitoring Plan: Change 1- Str. 344: Landowners requests that the Project access from south of structure; need to remove originally-planned down-ROW road from mapping and replace with spur road from existing Type A road to tower location from south			

Change 2- Str. 345: Revised “center of field road”; need to remove originally planned down-ROW road from mapping and replace with an alternative down-ROW road that is closer to the Project centerline
 Change 3- Str. 352: Landowner requests that the Project access from P15W-A-NEW06 (from north); need to remove originally-planned down-ROW road from mapping and replace with a spur road from the existing Type A road to the north
 Change 4- Str. 353: Landowner requests that the Project access from P15W-A-021 (from north); need to remove originally-planned down-ROW road from mapping and replace with a spur road from the existing Type A road to the north
 Change 5- Str. 354: Landowner requests that the Project access the Structure from P15W-A-022GP (from south); need to remove the majority of the originally-planned down-ROW road to tower from the west from mapping and replace with a spur road from the existing Type A road to the south. Also, adjust Type A road to enter from Lovekin Blvd 50-feet to the north. A section of p15w-D-012_22ft Wide LOD would remain to allow access to the guard pole area on the east side of Lovekin Blvd.

*All disturbance characteristics are provided in Attachment F.

Provide need for refinement (attach drawings and photos as needed):

Changes needed due to landowner requests during easement negotiation.

Date refinement is expected to be implemented: TBD – pending private landowner easement agreements

DCRT Approvals

Title	Name	Approval Initials	Date	Conditions (see attached)	
Project Manager	Lowell Rogers	LR	12/13/2022	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Environmental Project Manager	Randy Schulze	RLS	12/13/2022	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No

Landowner Approval (if required)

Landowner Name	Signature or Other Consent (see attached)	Date
N/A – parcels are part of easement agreements between DCRT and landowners. No specialized authorization required.		

Resource Agency Coordination

Resource Agency	Name	Action Required	Date	Documentation (see attached if yes)	
California Public Utilities Commission	Eric Chiang	Approval	12.14.2022	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No