



MINOR PROJECT REFINEMENT REQUEST FORM

Date Submitted:		10/21/22		Request #:	MPRR 004 REV	/2							
Date Approval Required:		10/26/22		Landowner:	Multiple, see table in Attachment C								
APN:	Multiple, see table i	ole, see table in Attachment C											
Refinement from (check all that apply):													
☐ Mitigation Measure		e 🗆 APM		☐ Project □	Description	□ Drawing							
☐ Other (please specify):													
Identify source (mitigation measure, project description, etc.):													
Change #1 - Shift access road p15w-B-003_20ft Wide LOD and p15w-B-004_20ft Wide LOD on parcel 875-131-007 & 008 5- to 10-feet north to avoid parcel 875-131-011 as requested by the property owner Change #2 - Shift access road ca09-D-010a to the west approximately 50-feet onto BLM land to avoid a private landowner parcel 879-080-032 Change #3 - Add four temporary guard pole areas with associated access roads to the Project mapping on BLM land for protection of two newly-installed Gen-tie lines that will be crossed during Ten West wire pulling Change #4 - Exchange Palo Verde Irrigation District (PVID) Water Withdrawal mapping features on parcel 875-080-014 for PVID drainage area to similar mapping features on 872-061-001													
Attachments (check all that apply):													
□ Refinement Screening Form (Attachment A)		Form	☐ Photos		□ BLM Variance	ance Request (Attachment D)							
☐ Other (please specify):													
As identified in Section 3.3 of the MMCRP, the CPUC may approve minor project refinements under certain circumstances. In accordance with Section 3.3 of the MMCRP, respond "yes" or "no" to the following questions (a) through (d).													
(a) Is the	(a) Is the proposed refinement outside the geographic boundary of the FEIS study area? ☐ Yes ☐ No												
(b) Will the proposed refinement result in a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified significant impact based on the criteria used in the FEIS, Appendix 1C?													
(c) Does the proposed refinement conflict with any mitigation measure or applicable law or policy?													
(d) Does the proposed refinement trigger an additional permit requirement?													
Describe refinement being requested (attach drawings and photos as needed):													
Refining the construction drawings to reflect the mapping changes negotiated with landowners and BLM to date.													

Provide need for refinement	t (attach draw	ings a	nd photos as	need	ed):				
Change #1 - Need refinement to Change #2 - Need refinement to Change #3 - Need refinement to Change #4 - Need refinement to PVID	avoid a private ensure protecti	landow on of g	ner parcel 879 gen-tie lines tha	9-080- at will	032 per th	e landov d during	Ten V	Vest wire	
Date refinement is expected	to be impleme	nted:	10/26/22						
DCRT Approvals									
Title	Name		е	Approval Initials		Date		Conditions (see attached)	
Project Manager	Lowell Rogers			ZR		11/15/22		□ Yes	≥ No
Environmental Project Manage	Randy Sch		PLS		11/21/22		Yes	No	
Landowner Approval (if require	red)					/ /	and the same of th		1 - 1
Landowner Name			Signature or Other Consent (see attached)					Date	
N/A – parcels are part of easeme between DCRT and landowners, authorization required.									
Resource Agency Coordination	on								
Resource Agency	Name		Action Require	l n		е	Documentation (see attached if yes)		
			Variance Approval	6/7/22 (Var 2 6/8/22 (Var 2			⊠ Yes		□No
Bureau of Land Management	ment David (Rem) Ha		Variance Approval			7/22 (Var 23), 13/22 (Var 22)		Yes	□ No
CA Public Utilities Commission	Eric Chaing		Approve	Approved		10.25.2022		Yes	⊠ No
								Yes	□ No
								Yes	□No