

ZAYO'S PRINEVILLE TO RENO PROJECT

CPUC MINOR PROJECT REFINEMENT FORM

[with instructions]

Minor Project refinements are strictly limited to changes that will not trigger an additional permit requirement, do not substantially increase the severity of a previously identified significant impact based on criteria used in the SB156 Exemption Report, create a new significant impact, are located within the geographic boundary of the study area of the SB156 Exemption Report, and that do not conflict with any environmental measure or applicable law or policy.

Date Requested:	Report No.:
Date Approved:	Approval Agency:
Property Owner(s):	Location/Milepost:
Land Use/Vegetative Cover:	Sensitive Resources:

Modification From:

- Permit Plan/Procedure Specification Drawing Environmental Measure Other

Describe how Project refinement deviates from current Project. Include photos.

What to include in this section:

- **Original Condition:** A concise description of the existing condition as it is originally described and approved (NTP, engineering specifications, Final EA/ISMND, etc.)—i.e., how did the applicant originally intend to build this/do this?
- **Justification for change:** A concise description of and justification for the change requested – i.e., what happened to make the change necessary?
 - These descriptions should be detailed enough and include enough background so that a person unfamiliar with the Project should be able to follow the narrative about what the original plan was and why the new plan is needed instead
 - The description should be in layman’s terms to the extent possible. Be as specific as possible. The more vague the language, the more conditions may need to be added to account for omissions. Avoid logic leaps
- **Maps and Figures:** The exact location(s)/Project component(s) the change will affect. Include dimensions, if applicable. A map and/or figure is usually extremely helpful. Make sure the map is at a readable scale. Ideally, the map should be based on the most current Project map and show other Project components, survey areas, underlying topography, etc.
- **Environmental Impact:** Demonstrate that the applicant has considered how this change will affect environmental/cultural resources. List EMs, plans, permits, etc. that were reviewed in order to ensure that this change will not result in significant impacts
 - Include analyses demonstrating that projected impacts will not be significant (e.g., narrative justification, tables, figures, calculations, etc.). Base this analysis on what was previously analyzed in the NTP, SB156 Exemption Report, etc.
- **Concurrence (if appropriate):** Demonstrate that the applicant has considered whether other agencies, municipalities, utilities, etc. would need to provide concurrence with this MPM. If so, either provide anticipated contact/approval schedule, or provide dates/contact reports/emails with approvals.

<u>Resources:</u>			
Biological	<input type="checkbox"/> No Resources Present	<input type="checkbox"/> Resources Present	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A, Change would not affect resources
Previous Biological Survey Report Reference:			
Cultural	<input type="checkbox"/> No Resources Present	<input type="checkbox"/> Resources Present	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A, changes would not affect resources
Previous Cultural Survey Report Reference:			
<u>Disturbance Acreage Changes:</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
Original disturbance acreage:		New disturbance acreage:	

SB156 Exemption Report Section	Applicable	(Y) Define potential impact or (N) briefly explain why SB 156 Exemption Report section isn't applicable. If (Y), describe original and new level of impact, and environmental measures to be taken. [Add notes to specify whether agency consultation is necessary, and if so, provide brief summary of that consultation.]
Geology, Soils, and Seismic	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Agency Consultation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Hazardous Materials and Waste	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Agency Consultation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Hydrology	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Agency Consultation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Cultural Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Agency Consultation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Traffic and Circulation	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Agency Consultation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Air Quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Agency Consultation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Noise and Vibration	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Agency Consultation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Aesthetics/ Visual Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Agency Consultation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Vegetation and Wildlife	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Agency Consultation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	

Approvals	Date	Name (print)	Signature	
Zayo Project Manager				<input type="checkbox"/> Reviewed
CPUC Project Manager				<input type="checkbox"/> Approved with conditions (see below) <input type="checkbox"/> Denied

For CPUC Compliance Manager Use Only		
<input type="checkbox"/> Refinement Approved	<input type="checkbox"/> Refinement Denied	<input type="checkbox"/> Beyond Authority

<p><u>Conditions of Approval or Reason for Denial:</u></p>	
Prepared by:	Date:

RFI No. 497

Summary: *The contractor has requested to shift the alignment of the fiberoptic line between MP L97.4.5-L97.5 to avoid a steep rocky slope. The inspector and contractor walked the entire section to determine the distance from the edge of the pavement to each side of the bore, which is 30 ft from EOP at Sta. 966+80 and 55 ft from EOP at Sta. 970+30. These adjustments will facilitate the construction of this segment.*



schedule: *This section will be constructed upon approvals from CPUC and Caltrans.*

RE Review:

- *Maintenance – No change to maintenance*
- *Design – Design will change for plowing to bore.*
- *Environmental – No additional impacts*

RE Recommendation:

Based on my review, I support approval of this RFI.

