PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



December 23, 2019

Ms. Shannon Stewart Senior Advisor, Infrastructure Licensing Southern California Edison

Via email to Shannon.C.Stewart@sce.com

Re: CPUC Data Request (DR) JWS-4 Related to Alberhill System Project, A.09-09-022

Dear Ms. Stewart,

Attached is CPUC Data Request (DR) JWS-4. DR JWS-4 requests supplemental data responsive to the additional analysis addressed within Commission Decision D.18-08-026 regarding the Alberhill System Project. Additionally, our team requests that Edison provide a walkthrough of Edison's data request responses with the Energy Division team via WebEx/Skype during the week of January 13, 2020.

Please contact me on (415) 703-1810, if I can provide additional information.

Sincerely,

Joyce Steingass, P.E.

CPUC Project Manager

California Public Utilities Commission

505 Van Ness Avenue

San Francisco, CA, 94102-3298

cc: Mary Jo Borak, CPUC Program and Project Supervisor (<u>Maryjo.Borak@cpuc.ca.gov</u>)
Amy DiCarlantonio, Ecology and Environment, Inc. (<u>ADiCarlantonio@ene.com</u>)
Grant Young, Ecology and Environment, Inc. (<u>GYoung@ene.com</u>)

Subject: CPUC Data Request DR JWS-4 Related to Alberhill System Project, A.09-09-022

December 23, 2019

Data Request JWS-4 Alberhill System Project, A.09-09-022

- 1. Provide additional analysis as required by Decision D.18-08-026, Ordering Paragraph 4, items 4.a, 4.c, 4.f, 4.g and 4.i, replicated below:
 - a) Load forecast including industry accepted methods for estimating load growth and incorporating load reduction programs due to energy efficiency, demand response, and behind-the-meter generation;
 - c) A planning study that supports the project need and includes applicable planning criteria and reliability standards;
 - f) The forecasted impact of the proposed project on service reliability performance, using electric service reliability metrics where applicable;
 - g) Cost/benefit analysis of several alternatives for:
 - · enhancing reliability and
 - providing additional capacity including evaluation of energy storage, distributed energy resources, demand response or smart-grid solutions; and
 - i) Detailed justification of the recommended solution as the best solution, including an explanation of how the proposed project ranks in the SCE capital investment portfolio of infrastructure upgrades.
- 2. For Edison responses that have been created in Microsoft Excel, provide Edison responses in native Excel format with formulas intact.
- 3. In the event documents are provided in PDF format, provide a searchable PDF file format.
- Transmit the information requested for CPUC Energy Division Staff in items 1-3 above to: Joyce Steingass, <u>JWS@cpuc.ca.gov</u>. And provide a complete copy to Amy DiCarlantonio, <u>ADiCarlantonio@ene.com</u>