

## Attachment A: TL 674A Reconfigure and TL666D Removal Project Data Request # 1

Data requests for San Diego Gas & Electric Company’s (SDG&E’s) TL 674 Reconfigure and TL 666 Removal Project are described in detail in the table below.

<i>No.</i>	<i>Reference</i>	<i>Description of data being requested</i>
<b>Aesthetics</b>		
AES-1	PEA Section 4.1.1 Methodology  PEA Section 4.1.2 Existing Conditions – Proposed Project Visibility and Viewshed	Provide more detail in the definition of distance zone categories (e.g., foreground), how simulations were prepared, the criteria for selecting Key Observation Points (KOPs) (are locations called out in planning documents as being scenic vistas? Are these all publicly accessible locations?), and the ways in which visual contrast is evaluated.  Include a discussion of how adverse or beneficial impacts were determined.
AES-2	PEA Attachment 4.1-B	For each simulation developed for the Proposed Project, provide basic information required per typical photographic standards, such as date, time of day, weather conditions, note on single frame/multiple, camera height, elevation at viewpoint, GPS coordinates, general conditions at time of photograph, etc.
AES-3	PEA Attachment 4.1-B	Provide a visual simulation from the portion of the I-5 highway that is eligible for designation, or show within the existing simulations where this area of the highway would be designated.
<b>Agriculture and Forest Resources</b>		
AG-1	PEA Section 4.2.3 - Impacts	Cite sources reviewed to determine the presence of agricultural and forest (timber) lands.
<b>Biological Resources</b>		
BIO-1	General	Deficiency request 5.4-2 requested geographic information system (GIS) data documenting locations of special status species. The resulting GIS data received did not include locations for special status plants. Please provide GIS data regarding special status plant locations.
BIO-2	Deficiency Request 3.4-1	Deficiency request 3.4-1 requested GIS layers of areas of vegetation removal. Should it be assumed that all vegetation would be removed within temporary work areas, access roads, storage areas, etc? Specifically, would any special status plants (e.g., Torrey pines, Del Mar manzanita) or sensitive natural communities need to be trimmed or removed?

<i>No.</i>	<i>Reference</i>	<i>Description of data being requested</i>
BIO-3	Deficiency Request 5.4-4	The response to Deficiency Request 5.4-4 stated that the construction schedule was devised to avoid construction within sensitive areas during nesting bird season. However, construction is scheduled to take place between February and November 2019, which would encompass all of the 2019 nesting bird season. How would this construction schedule avoid sensitive areas during nesting season? Would construction activities be phased to avoid nesting habitat during the nesting season?
<b>Cultural Resources</b>		
CR-1	PEA Section 4.5.1 – Methodology – Paleontological Research – Field Investigation	Describe what constituted the survey area for the paleontological field investigation.
CR-2	PEA Section 4.5.2 – Existing Conditions – Records Search Results	Identify whether the total number of previously recorded cultural resources within the study area is 24 or 27. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PEA Page 4.5-13 notes 24 previously recorded resources, and page 4.5-14 identifies 27 resources.</li> <li>• Pages 80–81 of the Cultural Resources Survey Report lists 19 previously recorded archaeological sites and 5 previously recorded isolates.</li> <li>• Page 91 of the August 2017 report notes three previously recorded historic resources.</li> </ul>
CR-3	PEA Section 4.5.2 – Existing Conditions – Records Search Results	Confirm the number of newly recorded cultural resources located within the study area. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Page 4.5-13 of the PEA indicates that there are 18 previously recorded resources, then page 4.5-14 states that there are 18 newly recorded resources.</li> <li>• Table 4.5-1 of the PEA section shows 19 newly recorded resources.</li> <li>• Page 79 of the Cultural Resources Survey Report (August 2017) identifies 18 newly recorded resources.</li> </ul>
CR-4	PEA Section 4.5.3 Impacts - Question 4.5a	Clarify whether all six resources noted are characterized as “historical resources” as defined in Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines.
CR-5	PEA Section 4.5.3 Impacts - Question 4.5c	Describe how fossils would be recovered, if identified, and whether the recovery would apply to all fossil types and is intended to be a full recovery.
CR-6	Native American Contact and Tribal Consultation	Continue to provide documentation as received regarding tribal contact and consultation, as well as any other agency correspondence.

<i>No.</i>	<i>Reference</i>	<i>Description of data being requested</i>
CR-7	Cultural Resources Survey Report– August 2017	Page vi – Define with more detail what the area of direct impact is and its relation to the study area and survey area. Because this is not explicitly stated, please confirm that the study area and survey area are coterminous (account for the same area).
CR-8	Cultural Resources Survey Report – August 2017	Sorrento Tower is not listed as one of the 11 resources that would be located within site boundaries (see report – Pages. vi, 94, and 104). Clarify whether this only has the potential to be indirectly (i.e., visually) impacted, as Table 10 (Page 103) of the report notes that it would be within areas of direct impact.
CR-9	Cultural Resources Survey Report – August 2017	Confirm or re-check numbers presented on page 45 of the Cultural Resources Survey Report. The “remaining 112 sites” of prehistoric origin does not add up—this should be 111 sites.
CR-10	Cultural Resources Survey Report– August 2017	Based on the information presented on page 71 of the Cultural Resources Survey Report, describe how areas that were not surveyed due to heavy vegetation were evaluated, as it is assumed that review with binoculars would not be sufficient to identify surface features or resources. Provide an approximate percentage of areas that were not surveyed.
CR-11	Cultural Resources Survey Report – August 2017	Page 79 of the Cultural Resources Survey Report notes that one area was not surveyed due to existing construction. Are there plans to return to this area or was visual review sufficient to determine the Proposed Project has no potential to impact cultural resources?
CR-12	Cultural Resources Survey Report– August 2017	Confirm the numbers for prehistoric resources identified on page 98 of the Cultural Resources Survey Report. Page 98 states that there are 16 previously recorded resources (including multi-component), but Table 7 on Page 80 shows 17.
CR-13	Cultural Resources Survey Report– August 2017	Define the terminology provided on pages 91 and 103 of the Cultural Resources Survey Report.
CR-14	Cultural Resources Survey Report– August 2017	Provide the GIS shapefiles for the records within the study area and within the 0.5 mile records search area; for records where information is noted within the shapefile, please provide the full attribute table.
CR-15	Cultural Resources Survey Report – August 2017	Provide a copy of all site records noted in the literature search.
CR-16	Paleontological Technical Study– March 2017	Provide the confidential appendices associated with this report. Appendices were not included as part of the Paleontological Technical Study (response to Deficiency Letter #1).

<i>No.</i>	<i>Reference</i>	<i>Description of data being requested</i>
<b>Land Use and Planning</b>		
LU-1	PEA Section 4.10.2 Existing Conditions – Existing Land Uses	Clarify whether actual (i.e., prior to re-categorization) existing/general plan land use data was available for mapping, and if so, please provide.
LU-2	PEA Section 4.10.2 Existing Conditions – Existing Land Uses and Designated Land Uses	Explain why the existing land use categories described in PEA Section 4.10.2 are not the same as the General Plan land use categories. Provide an explanation as to the use of each.
LU-3	PEA Section 4.10.2 Existing Conditions – Existing Land Uses and Designated Land Uses	Provide a map and, if available, the GIS files of the zoning for the Proposed Project to illustrate the contents of Table 4.10-1.
<b>Noise</b>		
NOI-1	PEA Section 4.12, Table 4.12-4	Clarify whether any hospitals are located within 500 feet of the Proposed Project area. Table 4.12-4 notes that the nearest receptor for hospitals is within 4,000 feet, but the table title indicates receptors within 500 feet.  Please also clarify what is meant by “crossed” in the distance to schools and parks/outdoor areas.
NOI-2	Section 4.12.2 Existing Conditions – Existing Noise Sources and Section 4.12.3 Impacts	The description of existing noise sources notes heavy on-road traffic as the dominant noise source in the Proposed Project area. Provide a reference for ambient noise from the dominant sources identified. Also, provide noise levels from the operation of the existing TL674A and TL666, if available.
NOI-3	PEA Section 4.12.3 Impacts – Question 4.12a	Confirm that the proposed relocation of residents discussed under Question 4.12a would be temporary.
<b>Recreation</b>		
REC-1	Section 4.15.3 Impacts – Question 4.15a	The PEA indicates that no single recreational facility would be closed for the entire construction period. Provide an estimate of the longest closure time and the potential location where it would occur.
REC-2	Section 4.15.4 – Applicant-Proposed Measures	APM-REC-01 notes that signage would be posted no less than four weeks prior to the beginning of construction; however, APM-REC-02 indicates that authorities will be contacted no less than four weeks prior to construction. Clarify how the timing would be coordinated to ensure that authorities are consulted well in advance of notifications being posted.

<i>No.</i>	<i>Reference</i>	<i>Description of data being requested</i>
<b>Transportation and Traffic</b>		
TT-1	General	Provide a preliminary description of the traffic management plan that would be implemented during the construction of the Proposed Project. At a minimum, include information known to date regarding the potential for sidewalk closures, lane closures, hazards associated with spanning traffic, and temporary loss of access for alternative transportation facilities, as discussed in Section 4.16.3.
<b>Utilities and Service Systems</b>		
USS-1	4.17.3 Impacts – Question 4.17f	Provide additional detail on the disposal of treated wood poles.