STATE OF CALIFORNIA JERRY BROWN, GOVERNOR

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102-3298



## **Mitigated Negative Declaration**

# Pacific Gas & Electric Company's Sanger Substation Expansion Project Application No. A 15-09-012

1	Lead Agency:	California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)
2		Energy Division
3		505 Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor
4		San Francisco, California 94102
5		
6	Contact:	Billie Blanchard, Project Manager
7		(415) 703-2068 or billie.blanchard@cpuc.ca.go
8		
9	1. Mitigat	ed Negative Declaration
10	i. Wiitigat	ed Negative Declaration
11	1.1 Project Information	
12		
13	Project:	Sanger Substation Expansion Project
14	1 Tojeet.	Unincorporated Fresno County, California
15		omneorporated resno county, Camorna
	Duananante	Posific Cos and Floatric Company (PC &F)
16	Proponent:	Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E)

## 1.2 Background and Description of Project

77 Beale Street

(800) 743-5000

San Francisco, California 94105

17

18

19

20

21 22 23

24

25

2627

28

Pursuant to California Public Utilities Commission's (CPUC) General Order 131-D, Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E) has filed an application (A.15-09-012) with the CPUC for a Permit to Construct the Sanger Substation Expansion Project (proposed project). The application was filed on September 30, 2015, and includes the Proponent's Environmental Assessment (PEA) prepared by PG&E pursuant to the CPUC's Rules of Practice and Procedure Rule 2.4 (CEQA Compliance). The proposed project includes the expansion of the existing Sanger Substation in unincorporated Fresno County,

Draft Final IS/MND 1-1 January March 2017

California, to contain a new breaker-and-a-half bus configuration. The proposed expansion consists of the following components:

• **Substation Expansion:** PG&E would install equipment including circuit breakers, switches/disconnects, steel support structures for disconnect switches, bus supports and Capacitor Coupling Voltage Transformer equipment, two Modular Protection Automation Control (MPAC) buildings, and a microwave tower for communications. PG&E would also elevate the existing transfer bus and make alterations to interconnect reconfigured power lines.

• **Substation Equipment Removal:** PG&E would remove obsolete circuit breakers, switches, steel support structures, and the concrete control building at the existing substation.

• Power Line Reconfiguration: PG&E would rearrange existing power lines leading to the substation by removing existing lattice steel towers (LSTs) and wood poles and installing tubular steel poles (TSPs) in a different alignment. Existing power lines would be relocated to change their angle.

• Existing Substation Changes: On transformer bank 1, PG&E would remove wood poles that support a temporary line from the dead end structure and would replace them with a new TSP to terminate the new 115kV line for bank 1. On transformer bank 3, PG&E would relocate the existing dead end structure to terminate at the new 115kV line for bank 3 using new TSPs.

• **Telecommunications Receiver:** PG&E would install two antenna dishes on an existing microwave tower at the Fence Meadow Repeater Station.

The proposed project would be located in the San Joaquin Valley in Fresno County near the cities of Sanger and Fresno. PG&E has stated that the project is necessary to increase reliability of the transmission system in Fresno County. Construction would begin as early as fall of 2017, depending on CPUC approval. In accordance with the CPUC's General Order 131-D, approval of this project must comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

The CPUC has prepared this Initial Study (IS) pursuant to CEQA for the proposed project to determine if any significant adverse effects on the environment would result from project implementation. The IS utilizes the significance criteria outlined in Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines. If the IS for the project indicates that a significant adverse impact that could not be mitigated to a less than significant level could occur, the CPUC would be required to prepare an Environmental Impact Report.

According to Article 6 (Negative Declaration Process) and Section 15070 (Decision to Prepare a Negative Declaration or Mitigated Negative Declaration) of the CEQA Guidelines, a public agency shall prepare or have prepared a proposed Negative Declaration or Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) for a project subject to CEQA when:

(a) The initial study shows that there is no substantial evidence, in light of the whole record before the agency, that the project may have a significant effect on the environment, or

(b) The initial study identifies potentially significant effects, but:

(1) Revisions in the project plans or proposals made by, or agreed to by the applicant before a proposed mitigated negative declaration and initial study are released for public review would avoid the effects or mitigate the effects to a point where clearly no significant effects would occur, and

 (2) There is no substantial evidence, in light of the whole record before the agency, that the project as revised may have a significant effect on the environment.

Requirement

PG&E would disturb more than 1 acre of

PG&E would conduct work within Fresno

County roadways (East Jensen Avenue and South McCall Avenue) and construct two new driveways off South McCall Avenue for substation access.

PG&E would construct two Modular **Protection Automation Control buildings** 

PG&E would disturb more than 5 acres

PG&E would install an antenna system

at the Fence Meadow Repeater Station.

during proposed project construction.

and a 9-foot-tall security fence.

land during proposed project

construction.

1 2

> 3 impacts would be reduced to a less than significant level with the incorporation of feasible mitigation 4 measures. Therefore, adoption of an MND will satisfy the requirements of CEQA. The mitigation 5 measures included in this MND are designed to reduce or eliminate the potentially significant 6 environmental impacts described in the IS. The analysis in the IS explains when a measure described in 7 this document has been previously incorporated into the project, either as a specific project design 8 feature or as an applicant proposed measure (APM). Mitigation measures are structured in accordance

9 10

11 12 13

14

15

Table 1-1 Potential Permits and Approvals

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (General Construction Storm

water Permit) Roadway Encroachment Permit

**Building Permit** 

Informal Notification

**Dust Control Plan** 

Key: PG&E

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

16

**Environmental Determination** 

with the criteria in Section 15370 of the CEQA Guidelines.

permits and approvals that may be required for project construction.

1.3 Required Approvals

Permit/Approval

Pursuant to the Public Resource Code and CEQA Guidelines, the Lead Agency (CPUC) has prepared an IS for the proposed project to evaluate the project's potential effects on the environment and to evaluate the level of significance of these effects. The IS relies on information in PG&E's PEA filed on September 30, 2015; PG&E's responses to data requests; project site reconnaissance by the CPUC environmental team in February 2016; the CPUC's independent analysis; and other environmental analyses.

Based on the analysis in the Initial Study, it has been determined that all project-related environmental

PG&E would obtain permits for the project, as needed, from state and local agencies. Table 1-1 lists

Agency

State Water Resources Control Board

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control

United States Forest Service, Sierra

Fresno County

Fresno County

National Forest

District

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

comments from one public agency, one tribal government office, and the applicant were received during the public review period. Following closure of the public review period on February 20, 2017, the CPUC

On January 20, 2017, the CPUC circulated the Draft IS/MND for the Sanger Substation Expansion

Project for public review in compliance with CEQA and CPUC Rule 17.1. The Draft IS/MND was also

filed with the State Clearinghouse on January 20, 2017, initiating a 30-day public review period. Written

prepared responses to comments received, and the IS/MND was revised, as appropriate to reflect these comments. The comments and associated responses are presented in Chapter 7.0 of this document.

Based on the IS, it is determined that the proposed project would not have a significant effect on the environment with the incorporation of the proposed APMs, and mitigation measures. The IS/MND is available for review at the CPUC, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, California, 94102 and at:

- Fresno County Library, Sanger Branch, at 1812 7th Street, Sanger, California, 93657; and
- Fresno County Library, Fresno Branch, at 2420 Mariposa Street, Fresno, California, 93721.

> Billie Blanchard Project Manager

3/13/2017 Date

## 1.5 Applicant Proposed Measures and Mitigation Measures

in Wahre

PG&E's PEA identifies measures to address potentially significant impacts—the APMs—and these APMs are considered to be part of the description of the proposed project and are listed in Table 4-5, "Applicant Proposed Measures," in the IS. Based on the IS analysis, additional mitigation measures are identified for adoption to ensure that impacts of the proposed project would be less than significant. The additional mitigation measures supplement or supersede the APMs. PG&E has agreed to implement all of the additional recommended mitigation measures as part of the proposed project.

Section 6 of this document includes a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan that has been prepared to ensure that the APMs and mitigation measures presented below are properly implemented. The plan describes specific actions required to implement each APM and mitigation measure, including information on timing of implementation and monitoring requirements. Following project approval, the CPUC would prepare and implement a Mitigation Monitoring Compliance and Reporting Program to ensure compliance with mitigation measures approved in the Final IS/MND.

Implementation of the following mitigation measures would avoid potentially significant impacts identified in the IS or reduce them to less than significant levels.

#### **Aesthetics**

36 MM AES-1 (supplements APM AES-2): Lighting utilized for night-time construction and for security during construction shall be shielded and oriented away from sensitive receptors.

MM AES-2 (supplements APM AES-3): All conductor used for the proposed project shall be non-specular.

#### Agriculture and Forest Resources

 MM AGR-1: Farmland Construction Impact Mitigation (supplements APM AGR-1). PG&E shall implement the following measures for temporarily disturbed Farmland:

• The applicant shall survey agricultural fields prior to construction and return all temporary disturbance areas to pre-construction conditions (i.e, meeting the definition of Prime Farmland,

- Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance, as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency) after the completion of construction, except that crops will not be replanted.
- If topsoil is removed from an area to accommodate temporary construction activities, it shall be restored to preconstruction conditions within two months of the completion of construction, except that crops will not be replanted by PG&E.

#### **Biological Resources**

MM BIO-1: Biological Resources Worker Environmental Awareness Program. The applicant shall develop a Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP). Prior to the start of construction, all construction crew members and contractors shall be required to attend the WEAP training presented by a CPUC-approved, qualified biologist. All construction crew members and contractors who attend the training shall sign a form indicating that they attended the training and understood the information. Follow-up training shall be conducted as needed; new workers shall attend WEAP training prior to beginning at the work site. A record of all trained personnel shall be kept on site, and a sticker indicating training completion shall be worn on all worker hard hats.

The WEAP training shall include a review of the special status species and other sensitive resources (e.g., nesting birds) that could exist in the project area, the locations where sensitive biological resources do or may occur, the limits of the work area, applicable laws and regulations, penalties for non-compliance, and APMs and mitigation measures to be implemented for avoidance of these sensitive resources. Additionally, personnel shall be trained for situations where it is necessary to contact a qualified biologist (e.g., should any sensitive biological resources such as an active nest be found during construction). If sensitive resources are found, the qualified biologist shall provide guidelines for the personnel to avoid impacts on them. All WEAP participants shall receive a brochure that outlines all this information including contact information for the appropriate environmental personnel.

MM BIO-2: Pre-activity surveys for sensitive species. A CPUC-approved qualified biologist shall conduct a pre-activity survey for all activities occurring near where sensitive resources may be found within 7 days prior to work commencing. If there is no work in an area for 7 days, it shall be considered a new work area if construction begins again. The biologist shall survey all suitable habitat for sensitive species within 100 feet of the activities (see MM BIO-4, MM BIO-6, or MM BIO-7 for additional nesting bird procedures). If any species listed by the state or federal endangered species acts or protected by other statutes, or their signs, are found, the CPUC and the appropriate wildlife agencies shall be notified within 48 hours to confirm appropriate avoidance measures. If it is determined that construction activity cannot avoid areas where sensitive biological resources are present, the qualified biologist shall coordinate with the CPUC, CDFW, and/or USFWS, as necessary.

If a potential San Joaquin kit fox den is found then a minimum buffer of 50 feet shall be implemented. For a known den, the buffer shall be 100 feet and for a natal den the avoidance buffer shall be determined on a case-by-case basis in coordination with CDFW and USFWS. If dens cannot be avoided by these distances, a CPUC-qualified biologist shall determine occupation following the procedures outlined in USFWS Standardized Recommendations for Protection of the Endangered San Joaquin Kit Fox Prior to and During Ground Disturbance (USFWS 2011) and consult and coordinate with CDFW and USFWS.

Draft Final IS/MND 1-5 January March 2017

MM BIO-3: Biological Monitoring. A CPUC-approved qualified biological monitor shall develop an appropriate schedule of monitoring to ensure that disturbance is minimized to sensitive resources to the greatest extent possible during project activities. The schedule shall ensure that a CPUC-approved qualified biological monitor (1.) visits the project area regularly (at a minimum of every 7 days); (2.) is present to monitor all ground disturbing activities, such as grading and trenching; and (3.) is present to monitor any observed special status species (observed sign or individual) that may be disturbed by project activities. Biological monitors shall be familiar with San Joaquin kit fox and burrowing owl. Avian biologists present during nesting bird season may act as the biological monitor if qualified.

The biological monitor shall be responsible for ensuring that impacts on special status species, their associated habitat, and/or sensitive resources are avoided to the fullest extent possible, and the monitor shall have full authority to halt construction if the monitor observes actual or potential disturbances to sensitive resources. At a minimum of once per 7 days, the monitor shall survey all project components near where construction activities may occur in the next 7 days, as well as the irrigation ditch area. Where appropriate, monitors shall flag the boundaries of areas where activities need to be restricted to protect special status species. If a special status species is present in the project area while construction activities are occurring, the restricted areas shall be monitored to ensure their protection during construction.

MM BIO-4: Mitigation for nesting birds (Supersedes APM BIO-14). The applicant shall implement the measures below in all work areas where any construction-related activities are conducted during the nesting bird season (February 1 to September 15) for all species except Swainson's hawk and white-tailed kite (see MM BIO-7), and burrowing owl (see MM BIO-6).

**Nesting Bird Survey Requirements.** If work is scheduled to occur during nesting bird season, then the following provisions shall be employed:

• A CPUC-approved qualified avian biologist shall conduct surveys for nesting birds within 7 days prior to the start of any construction-related activities. Areas shall be re-surveyed every 7 days while construction activities are occurring. If there is no work in an area for 7 days, it shall be considered a new work area if construction resumes. In addition, a CPUC-approved qualified monitor shall conduct pre-construction clearance sweeps for nesting birds at all access, staging and, work areas where suitable habitat is present within approximately 24 hours of construction activities each day during the nesting season.

• Surveys shall be conducted with the appropriate buffer, duration, level of effort, and timing based on level of construction disturbance, time of day, and environmental factors. Surveys shall be conducted within a 500 foot buffer of active work areas for raptors and a 250 foot buffer for non-raptors, at a minimum.

• Surveys shall be conducted at a minimum between February 1 and September 15; however, the survey season may need to begin earlier or end later depending on species and weather conditions.

• Survey results shall be provided to the CPUC each week.

#### Avoid Impacts on Nesting Birds.

 • When a nest of any avian or raptor species is located within 500 feet of a construction site, a CPUC-approved qualified avian biologist shall determine whether the nest is active. A nest shall be defined as active once a bird begins nest construction or when a raptor begins "nest"

- decoration." An inactive nest is defined as a nest that has been abandoned by the adult bird or once fledglings are no longer dependent on the nest site or parental care.
  - If the nest is active, then the qualified biologist shall implement an exclusionary buffer to prevent construction activities from occurring within a specified distance from the active nest. For active raptor nests located more than 500 feet from the nearest work site, and non-raptor active nests located more than 250 feet from the nearest work site, no additional measures shall be implemented. A minimum standard buffer of 500 feet for an active raptor nest or 250 feet for an active non-raptor nest, as recommended by CDFW (Bahm pers. comm. 2016), shall be implemented when construction activities are occurring. Buffers shall not apply to construction-related traffic using existing roads that are not limited to project-specific use (i.e., county roads, highways, etc.).
  - If any active nest of a species listed by the state or federal endangered species acts or fully protected species (other than those specified MM BIO-7) is found, then the minimum standard buffer shall be implemented and the CPUC and the appropriate wildlife agencies shall be notified immediately (within 48 hours).
  - As appropriate, nest deterrent strategies may be used to prevent birds from nesting in construction equipment or staged materials. This includes covering equipment with tarps or covering small holes. Bird netting may not be used due to risk of entanglement.
  - If construction requires removal of a structure or tree that contains a known or historic nest, then removal of that structure must occur when the nest is determined to be inactive and, if feasible, outside of nesting season.
  - PG&E shall adhere to recommendations published by APLIC's Reducing Avian Collisions with Power Lines: The State of the Art in 2012 (APLIC 2012), as feasible.

**Monitoring and Reporting.** Nest locations and exclusion buffers shall be mapped (using a geographic information system [GIS]) for all identified nests. The information shall be maintained in a database; shall be provided to the CPUC weekly and to USFWS and CDFW monthly; and shall include the following information:

- Date, time, and length of observation period
- Status (active or inactive)
- 32 Species
- Nest location, including nest height
  - Behavioral observations
- Site conditions, including construction activities
- Nest exposure
- Estimated date of nest establishment
- Estimated fledge date
- Number of eggs or hatchlings, if observed
- Buffer size implemented

Draft Final IS/MND 1-7 January March 2017

30

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26 27

28

29

34

41

Nests protected by a standard buffer shall be observed by a CPUC-approved qualified avian biologist at a frequency and length of time the avian biologist deems necessary to ensure activities are not causing disturbance to the nest (minimum of once a week during construction) until the biologist has determined that the nest is inactive or until after construction ends in the work area (whichever occurs first). If the biologist observes the birds becoming agitated or the incubating adult leaves the nest as a result of construction activities, he or she shall have the authority to halt work and expand the buffer. No avian reporting shall be required for construction outside of the nesting season unless species are observed nesting outside of the normal season or special status bird species are observed in the project area.

 **Buffer Reductions.** The specified buffer sizes for nests may be reduced on a case-by-case basis based on compelling biological and ecological reasoning (e.g., the biology of the bird species, concealment of the nest by topography, land use type, vegetation, and the level of project activity), and if a CPUC-approved qualified avian biologist determines that a reduced buffer size would not result in the abandonment of the nest or failure. Buffer reduction requests shall be submitted to the independent avian biologist (a qualified avian biologist approved by the CPUC and who reports directly to the CPUC) to be reviewed and approved. The independent avian biologist shall respond to PG&E's request for a buffer reduction within 48 hours. Buffer reduction requests for special status species (other than those specified in MM BIO-6 and MM BIO-7) shall be submitted to the appropriate wildlife agencies and to the CPUC for approval. The request must include the following:

- Species
- Location
  - Pre-existing conditions present on site
  - Description of the work to be conducted within the reduced buffer, including equipment type and start date
- Size and expected duration of proposed buffer reduction
  - Reason for buffer reduction
    - Name and contact information of the CPUC-approved qualified avian biologist who requested the buffer reduction and who shall conduct subsequent monitoring
    - Proposed frequency and methods of monitoring necessary for the nest given the type of bird and surrounding conditions as recommended by the CPUC-approved qualified avian biologist

Nests shall be monitored until the avian biologist has determined that the nest is inactive; or construction ends within the standard buffer (whichever occurs first). The biologist shall halt construction and increase the reduced buffer size if it is determined that the nesting bird(s) are agitated or the incubating adult leaves the nest as a result of construction activities.

Nesting in Active Work Areas. Non-special status species found building nests within the standard buffer zone after specific project activities begin and the activities are not expected to increase in duration, intensity, or distance from the nest, shall be assumed tolerant of that specific project activity and such nests shall be protected by the immediate implementation of the maximum buffer practicable (as determined by the CPUC-approved avian biologist). Notification, which includes the same data in the above reduction request, shall then be sent to the CPUC's independent avian biologist within 24 hours and the independent avian biologist shall have the authority to increase the buffer distance. These nests shall be monitored on a schedule determined by the qualified CPUC-approved avian biologist during construction activities until the avian biologist has determined that the nest is inactive; or construction

ends within the standard buffer zone (whichever occurs first). If the CPUC-approved avian biologist determines that the nesting bird(s) are not tolerant of project activities, the buffer shall be expanded, and may be expanded beyond the standard buffer distance.

MM BIO-5: Wildlife Protection (Supersedes APMs BIO-4, -5, and -10). The applicant shall implement the following measures to ensure protection of all wildlife species.

• Vehicle speed limits on existing unpaved access routes shall not exceed 15 miles per hour and shall not exceed 10 miles per hour on overland access roads. County speed limits shall be followed on existing paved roads. Construction personnel shall avoid collision with wildlife.

• If night work is required, all lighting shall be shielded and point downward and away from any identified sensitive biological resources.

 All trash and debris shall be secured in animal-proof containers before the end of each workday.
 Containers shall be emptied at least once per week and disposed of at an appropriate off-site location.

• All construction personnel shall not harass any wildlife and shall allow wildlife to leave the work area on their own volition.

 • Disturbance limits shall be visibly flagged to ensure construction personnel minimize the construction footprint.

MM BIO-6: Specific Requirements for Burrowing Owl (Supersedes APM BIO-13). A CPUC-approved qualified avian biologist familiar with burrowing owl biology and survey methods shall conduct a pre-construction survey for this species no more than 30 days prior to construction activities during the non-breeding season and no more than 14 days prior to construction during the breeding season (February 1 to August 31 with some variance by geographic location and climatic conditions; CDFW 2012). The biologist shall confirm whether the owls are occupying the site and whether they are actively nesting. If any burrowing owl or sign of an occupied burrow is observed, the CPUC shall be informed as soon as possible (and within 48 hours). Surveys shall include the irrigation ditch and any area with suitable habitat within 656 feet (200 meters) of the project activities. If access to areas with suitable habitat is restricted, the biologist shall visually survey with a spotting scope, binoculars, or other visual techniques.

If an occupied burrow is identified, the CPUC-approved qualified biologist shall immediately implement a minimum 200 meter (656 foot) buffer. Then an appropriate burrow-specific buffer shall be recommended by the CPUC-approved qualified biologist based on the circumstances (e.g., owl tolerance and construction activity level) and as explained by the Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation (CDFW 2012 or more recent), which shall be approved by the CPUC and then implemented.

In areas where owl presence or owl sign is not found, weekly surveys for burrowing owl and its sign shall be conducted for the remainder of the first breeding season and all following breeding seasons. Survey areas shall include work areas where construction-related activities are occurring, and surveys shall adhere to the following procedures:

• A CPUC-approved qualified avian biologist shall conduct surveys for nesting birds within 7 days prior to the start of any construction-related activities. Areas shall be re-surveyed every 7 days while construction activities are occurring. If there is no work in an area for 7 days, it shall be considered a new work area if construction resumes. In addition, a CPUC-approved qualified

- monitor shall conduct pre-construction clearance sweeps for nesting birds at all work areas where suitable habitat is present within approximately 24 hours of construction activities each day during the nesting season.
- Surveys shall be conducted with the appropriate duration, level of effort, and timing based on level of construction disturbance, time of day, and environmental factors. Surveys shall be conducted in the irrigation ditch, and any area with suitable habitat within 656 feet (200 meters) of project activities, at a minimum. If access to areas with suitable habitat is restricted, the biologist shall visually survey with a spotting scope, binoculars, or other visual techniques.
- Surveys shall be conducted at a minimum between February 1 and September 15; however, the survey season may need to begin earlier or end later depending on species and weather conditions.
- Survey results shall be provided to the CPUC each week.

### MM BIO-7: Specific Requirements for Special Status Raptors (Supersedes APM BIO-12). A

CPUC-approved qualified avian biologist shall conduct pre-construction surveys for Swainson's hawk and white-tailed kite in appropriate habitat within 0.5 miles of project construction activities prior to the start of construction during breeding season (i.e., the "first" breeding season). The avian biologist shall be familiar with the survey methods and biology of these species. Surveys for Swainson's hawk shall follow the protocols outlined in the Recommended Timing and Methodology for Swainson's Hawk Nesting Surveys in California's Central Valley (CDFW 2000a or more recent).

If an active nest (i.e., when nest decoration begins) is identified within 0.5 miles of construction activities, then a CPUC-approved qualified avian biologist shall implement a 0.5 miles buffer around the nest. The CPUC and CDFW shall be informed of the nest as soon as possible (and within 48 hours). Requests to reduce standard buffers must be sent to the CPUC to be reviewed in coordination with CDFW.

If no indication of Swainson's hawk or white-tailed hawk nesting (indications include vocalizations or observations of nesting activities, nests, perched adults, displaying adults, eggs, chicks) is found during protocol-level surveys, weekly surveys for nesting Swainson's hawk and white-tailed kite shall be conducted for the remainder of the breeding season in all work areas where any construction-related activities are occurring, according to the following procedures:

- A CPUC-approved qualified avian biologist shall conduct surveys for nesting birds within 7 days prior to the start of any construction-related activities. Areas shall be re-surveyed every 7 days while construction activities are occurring. If there is no work in an area for 7 days, it shall be considered a new work area if construction resumes. In addition, a CPUC-approved qualified monitor shall conduct pre-construction clearance sweeps for nesting birds at all work areas where suitable habitat is present within approximately 24 hours of construction activities each day during the nesting season.
- Surveys shall be conducted with the appropriate duration, level of effort, and timing based on level of construction disturbance, time of day, and environmental factors. Survey areas shall include work areas and a 500-foot buffer, at a minimum.
- Surveys shall be conducted at a minimum between February 1 and September 15; however, the survey season may need to begin earlier or end later depending on species and weather conditions.

Survey results shall be provided to the CPUC each week.

During subsequent breeding seasons following the first season, reconnaissance surveys for Swainson's hawk and white-tailed kite shall be performed in appropriate habitat and at the appropriate time within 0.5 miles of project construction activities in order to detect any new nesting activity. If no indication of nesting is found during reconnaissance surveys, weekly surveys for nesting Swainson's hawk and white-tailed kite shall be conducted for the remainder of the breeding season in all work areas where any construction-related activities are occurring (following procedures in the bullet points above).

#### **Cultural Resources**

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

2324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

MM CUL-1: Cultural Resources Monitoring and Treatment (supersedes APM CUL-3). A CPUC-approved archaeologist that meets the Secretary of Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards for archaeology shall implement the following procedures if an unanticipated cultural resource is discovered during construction.

Work shall be halted and excluded from within 100 feet of the resource. Protective barriers shall be installed with signage identifying the area as an "environmentally sensitive area." The CPUC shall be notified of the find. The CPUC will notify parties who have requested notification of the find to the extent allowed, in consideration of confidentiality requirements. Total avoidance of the resource is preferred, and no additional mitigation is necessary if it is avoided. The resource shall be recorded on California Department of Parks and Recreation 523 forms and filed at the South San Joaquin Valley Information Center.

If the resource cannot be avoided, the CPUC-approved archaeologist shall determine in consultation with the CPUC if there is a potential for the resource to be historical (CEQA Guidelines section 15064.5(a)) or a unique archaeological resource (Public Resources Code 21083.2(g)). The CPUC must provide a response to the CPUC-approved archaeologist within seven days regarding a resource that the CPUCapproved archaeologist has found not to be potentially historical or a unique archaeological resource. If the resource is not potentially a historical or unique archaeological resource, work can resume after the CPUC's concurrence. If the resource is potentially a historical or unique archaeological resource, the CPUC-approved archaeologist shall prepare an Evaluation Plan that details the procedures to be used to determine whether the resource is a historical or unique archaeological resource. The Evaluation Plan shall be submitted to the CPUC for review. The CPUC will approve or request changes to the Evaluation Plan within 7 days of submittal by PG&E. Once approved, the Evaluation Plan shall be implemented, and a report shall be prepared that indicates whether the resource is a historical resource or unique archaeological resource. If the discovery is not historical or a unique archaeological resource and the CPUC concurs with that determination, work may proceed in the area of the discovery. If the discovery is historical or a unique archaeological resource, PG&E shall prepare a Data Recovery Plan that would reduce impacts to less than significant.

41 The Data Recovery Plan shall be prepared in accordance with CEQA Guidelines section 42 15126.4(b)(3)(C) and PRC section 21083.2 and shall describe methods that will yield relevant 43 information. The Data Recovery Plan shall be submitted to the CPUC for review and approval. The 44 CPUC will approve or request changes to the Data Recovery Plan within 7 days of submittal by PG&E. 45 Once approved, the applicant shall implement the plan. When the field work is completed, a Data Recovery Field Memo shall be prepared that briefly describes the data and materials recovery. The Data 46 Recovery Field Memo shall be submitted to the CPUC for review and approval. The CPUC will approve 47 48 or request changes to the Data Recovery Field Memo within 7 days of submittal by PG&E. Once the 49 Data Recovery Field Memo has been approved, construction may proceed in the area of the discovery. A 1 more detailed Data Recovery Report shall be prepared within 90 days of the Data Recovery Field Memo. 2 The Data Recovery Report shall present thorough results of the data recovery efforts, conclusions drawn 3

from the work, and where materials will be curated and shall also contain completed California

Department of Parks and Recreation 523 forms. The Data Recovery Report shall be submitted to the

CPUC for review and approval. Once approved, the Data Recovery Report and 523 forms shall be filed with the South San Joaquin Valley Information Center.

6 7 8

9 10

11

12

4

5

#### MM CUL-2: Worker Education Program (supersedes APM CUL-1, supplements APM CUL-4).

PG&E shall design and implement a Worker Education Program that shall be provided to all project personnel who may encounter and/or alter historical resources or unique archaeological resources, including construction supervisors and field personnel. No construction worker will be involved in field operations without having participated in the Worker Education Program. The Worker Education Program shall include, at a minimum:

13 14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

- A review of archaeology, history, prehistory and Native American cultures associated with historical resources in the project vicinity;
- A review of the types of resources that could be uncovered in the area, including historical artifacts associated with the nonextant historical complex at the Sanger Substation site;
- A review of applicable local, state, and federal ordinances, laws, and regulations pertaining to historic preservation and Native American resources;
- A discussion of procedures to be followed in the event that unanticipated cultural resources or human remains are discovered during implementation of the project;
- A discussion of disciplinary and other actions that could be taken against persons violating historic preservation laws and PG&E policies; and
- A statement by the construction company or applicable employer agreeing to abide by the Worker Education Program, PG&E policies and procedures, and other applicable laws and regulations.

27 28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

#### MM CUL-3 (supersedes APM PAL-2): Unanticipated paleontological resource discovery protocol.

If a previously unidentified paleontological resource is discovered during construction, PG&E shall immediately require that work be halted within 100 feet of the resource; measures be put in place to prevent further impacts to the resources, such as protective barriers and/or signs, and/or coverings; that PG&E's CPUC-approved Cultural Resources Specialist (CRS) and paleontological resource specialist be notified; and that the CRS notify the CPUC. PG&E's CPUC-approved paleontological resource specialist shall examine the find and determine whether it is unique under Part V of CEQA Guidelines Appendix G. The CPUC-approved paleontologist may develop significance criteria for the fossils likely to be yielded by the Riverbank Formation, subject to CPUC-approval (such criteria will be documented in the PRMMP discussed in MM CUL-4). In the absence of other agreed-upon criteria, a paleontological resource shall be considered unique if it meets the definition of a significant paleontological resource under the 2010 Society of Vertebrate Paleontology Standard Procedures for the Assessment of Adverse Impacts to Paleontological Resources definition:

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

Significant paleontological resources are fossils and fossiliferous deposits, here defined as consisting of identifiable vertebrate fossils, large or small, uncommon invertebrate, plant, and trace fossils, and other data that provide taphonomic, taxonomic, phylogenetic, paleoecologic, stratigraphic, and/or biochronologic information. Paleontological resources are considered to be older than recorded human history and/or older than middle Holocene (i.e., older than about 5,000 radiocarbon years).

The results of the evaluation will be submitted to the CPUC, and the CPUC must determine whether or not the resource is unique. The CPUC must respond in writing within seven days stating whether the resource is unique and provide reasoning if it disagrees with the conclusion. If the resource is determined not to be unique, work may commence in the area. If the resource is significant and can be avoided and thus not impacted, PG&E shall document the resource in accordance with professional standards, continue to flag the area for avoidance during construction, and take no further action. Preservation in place, i.e., avoidance, is the preferred method of mitigation for impacts to unique paleontological resources. However, if the resource is unique and cannot feasibly be avoided, PG&E shall consult with the CPUC to determine appropriate mitigation measures.-Mitigation methods may include ensuring that fossils are recovered, prepared, identified, catalogued, and analyzed according to current professional standards under the direction of a qualified paleontologist. Methods of recovery, testing, and evaluation shall adhere to current professional standards for recovery, preparation, identification, analysis, and curation, such as the 2010 Society of Vertebrate Paleontology Standard Procedures for the Assessment of Adverse Impacts to Paleontological Resources. Work may commence after data recovery (if undertaken) and upon approval by the CPUC.

1 2

## MM CUL-4 (supersedes APM PAL-3): Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan. A qualified professional paleontologist shall prepare a Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan (PRMMP) for the project before the onset of ground disturbing activities. The PRMMP shall be submitted to the CPUC for review and approval at least 30 days prior to the start of any

shall be submitted to the CPUC for review and approval at least 30 days prior to the start of any excavation to 5 feet below ground surface. PG&E's CPUC-approved paleontological resource specialist shall direct implementation of the PPMMP.

shall direct implementation of the PRMMP.

The PRMMP shall include full-time monitoring of excavations extending more than 5 feet deep and auguring/boring extending to more than 5 feet deep and more than 3 feet in diameter, or in lieu of full-time monitoring, the PRMMP shall include the following requirements:

#### **Initial Monitoring:**

- 1. Prior to the start of construction, PG&E's CPUC-approved paleontological resource specialist shall identify a minimum number and array of excavation types (i.e. TSP foundation drilling, grading, retention pond) extending more than 5 feet deep and auguring/boring extending to more than 5 feet deep and more than 3 feet in diameter sufficient to obtain data to determine whether the project area is likely to yield significant paleontological resources. The placement of the locations requiring monitor will be developed by the paleontologist in consultation with PG&E's construction team, and will focus on volume of soil to be disturbed to produce a representative sample. The PRMMP shall identify the methods used (e.g., microscopic examination of matrix samples, visual examination of excavated material) to make the determination.
- 2. At all sites identified by PG&E's CPUC-approved paleontological resource specialist, a CPUC-approved paleontological field monitor shall monitor the excavation and auguring during the initial stages of construction (i.e., from the beginning of construction until a determination is made after initial monitoring as described in this item) to determine whether the project area is likely to yield significant paleontological resources.

 <u>Subsequent Monitoring</u>: The results of initial monitoring shall be described in a memo, to be submitted to CPUC for review and approval. CPUC will review and either request revisions or approve the memo within 2 business days of submittal by PG&E. PG&E shall not reduce or stop monitoring until CPUC approves the memo. Based on the results of initial monitoring, the following measures shall be required and described in the PRMMP:

• If PG&E's CPUC-approved paleontological resource specialist determines that no part of the project area is likely to yield significant paleontological resources, further monitoring shall not be required. PG&E must still make available the paleontological resource specialist and paleontological field monitor (available to go to the work site as needed). Training provided pursuant to APM PAL-1 will enable work crews to identify likely fossils, and inform the appropriate parties if such deposits are identified.

• If PG&E's CPUC-approved paleontological resource specialist discovers significant paleontological resources or determines the project area is likely to yield significant paleontological resources, then continued monitoring shall be required as deemed appropriate by the paleontological resource specialist, in consultation with the CPUC and PG&E's construction team, based on the nature, location, and geologic context of the fossil(s), as well as the potential for further disturbance.

 If a paleontological resource is discovered at any time during initial monitoring, continued monitoring, or unmonitored construction, PG&E shall notify the CPUC immediately and the paleontological resource specialist will inspect the matrix for fossils. If a paleontological resource is discovered, MM CUL-3 shall be implemented.

MM CUL-5: Undiscovered potential Tribal Cultural Resources. The following procedure shall be employed (after stopping work and following the procedure for determining eligibility in MM CUL-1) if a resource is encountered and determined by the project's qualified archaeologist to be eligible for the CRHR or a local register of historic resources and is associated with a California Native American Tribe(s) with a traditional and cultural affiliation with the geographic area of the proposed project:

 • The project's qualified archaeologist shall notify the CPUC for appropriate action. PG&E will assist the CPUC if needed to identify the lead contact person for the California Native American Tribe(s) potentially associated with the cultural resource and with a traditional and cultural affiliation with the geographic area of the proposed project. The CPUC will contact the lead contact person to set up a meeting with PG&E and the CPUC.

• The project's qualified archaeologist shall participate with the CPUC in discussions with the California Native American Tribe(s) whether the resource is a "tribal cultural resource" as defined by PRC section 21084.3(b) and the tribe(s)' preferred method of mitigation, if the resource is determined to be a TCR.

• If no agreement can be reached for mitigation after discussions with the California Native American Tribe(s) or it is determined that the tribe(s)' preferred mitigation is not feasible, PG&E will implement one of the example mitigation measures listed in PRC section 21080.3(b), or other feasible mitigation.

#### Hazards and Hazardous Materials

46 MM HAZ-1: Hazardous Materials Management Plan (supersedes APM HAZ-2 and APM HAZ-4).

47 Prior to construction, the applicant shall prepare a Hazardous Materials Management Plan, which shall be

implemented during construction to prevent the release of hazardous materials and hazardous waste. The plan shall include the following requirements and procedures:

- 1. Training requirements for construction workers in appropriate work practices, including spill prevention and response measures. Additional training requirements for those performing excavation activities shall be required and shall include training on types of contamination and contaminants (e.g., petroleum hydrocarbons, asbestos, and hazardous materials [as defined by the California HSC]) and identifying potentially hazardous contamination (e.g., stained or discolored soil and odor).
- 2. Contain all hazardous materials at work sites and properly dispose of all such materials.
  - a. Hazardous materials shall be stored on pallets within fenced and secured areas and protected from exposure to weather and further contamination.
  - b. Fuels and lubricants shall be stored only at designated staging areas.
  - 3. Maintain hazardous material spill kits for small spills at all active work sites and staging areas. Thoroughly clean up all spills as soon as they occur.
  - 4. Store sorbent and barrier materials at all construction staging areas, including staging areas used during activities for decommissioning. Sorbent and barrier materials will be used to contain runoff from contaminated areas and from accidental releases of oil or other potentially hazardous materials
  - 5. Perform all routine equipment maintenance at a shop or at the staging area and recover and dispose of wastes in an appropriate manner.
  - 6. Monitor and remove vehicles used for construction-related activities with chronic or continuous leaks from use and complete repairs before returning them to operation.
  - 7. Store shovels and drums at the staging areas. If small quantities of soil become contaminated, use shovels to collect the soil and store in drums before proper offsite disposal. Large quantities of contaminated soil may be collected using heavy equipment and stored in drums or other suitable containers prior to disposal. Should contamination occur adjacent to staging areas because of runoff, shovels and/or heavy equipment shall be used to collect the contaminated material. Only trained construction workers shall handle hazardous, and potentially hazardous, materials.
  - 8. Transporting, shipping, and disposal procedures for hazardous waste.
  - 9. Procedures for notifying applicant and agency personnel in the event of the discovery of contaminated soil and/or groundwater. Contact information for federal, regional, and local agencies, the applicant's environmental coordinator(s) responsible for the cleanup of contaminated soil or groundwater, and licensed disposal facilities and haulers.

This plan will be submitted to the CPUC for review and approval <u>at least</u> 30 days prior to the start of construction of the proposed project.

**MM HAZ-2: Fire Control Measures.** PG&E shall implement the following measures prior to and during work at the Fence Meadow Repeater Station:

1. As part of the Worker Training Program, workers will be trained in fire prevention and response practices to be implemented to minimize the risk of fire, and in the event of fire, trained to provide immediate response. At minimum, construction personnel shall be trained in fire reporting and incipient-stage fire prevention, control, and extinguishing (i.e., the fire can be

- 1 controlled or extinguished by portable fire extinguishers, small hose systems, or portable water 2 supplies without the need for protective clothing or breathing apparatus.)
  - 2. Prohibit smoking at the worksites other than in designated areas chosen that are free of ignitable material. Require disposal of cigarette butts in a way that will not ignite vegetation or other materials.
  - 3. Ensuring an appropriate fire extinguisher is present before initiating and during each hot-work activity (e/g/, welding, brazing, soldering, grinding, and arc cutting).
  - 4. Preventing vehicles with hot exhaust manifolds from idling on roads with combustible vegetation under the vehicles.
  - 5. Do not park vehicles in areas with vegetation prone to ignition.
  - 6. Equip all vehicles with a fire extinguisher.

#### **Transportation and Traffic**

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12 13

14

15 16

17 18 19

20

212223

2425

2627

30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

- **MM TRAN-1: Traffic Management Plan (supersedes APM TRAN-1).** A Traffic Management Plan shall be prepared upon determination of the final construction schedule and precise locations and durations of lane closures and other project details. Measures to be included in the plan that would allow for:
  - Safe vehicle passage shall adhere to the California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.
  - Avoidance of truck queuing on South McCall Avenue of trucks waiting to enter the substation construction site.

#### Potential measures include:

- Flaggers and/or signage to halt traffic and direct traffic at lane closures and to allow traffic to pass when construction is halted.
- Scheduling lane closures at off-peak times.
- Notification of emergency services providers of the timing, location, and duration of lane closures.
  - Requirement that emergency vehicle access is maintained at all times.
  - Scheduling construction deliveries and employee arrival to be spread out throughout the day.
    - Implementing traffic control within the substation site to move vehicles to allow arriving vehicles to enter the site.
  - The Traffic Management Plan shall also include the following measures:
    - **Limit Vehicle Speeds:** Vehicle speeds shall be limited to 15 miles per hour on unpaved roadways used to access the site during construction. PG&E shall notify owners of property on which internal access roads are located at least one week in advance that the internal access road will be used for construction traffic.
    - **Slow Truck Warning:** During truck delivery and exit hours, PG&E shall post signage at appropriate locations (e.g., along South McCall and East Jensen Avenues) warning drivers when

Draft Final IS/MND 1-16 January March 2017

- there is a possibility for slow trucks to exit the substation site onto South McCall Avenue. Signage shall adhere to the California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.
  - Road Damage Repair: PG&E shall repair to pre-project conditions any roads damaged by
    project vehicle traffic. PG&E shall document roadway conditions with photographs prior to
    project activities along East Jensen Avenue and South McCall Avenue adjacent to the project
    area and extending 0.25 miles from the project area. PG&E shall also take photographs after the
    project is completed and after any repairs that document restoration of pre-project pavement
    conditions.
  - Emergency Service Provider Notification: PG&E shall notify the provider of the location, date, time, and duration of the lane closure. PG&E shall make provisions to maintain emergency vehicle access at all times in coordination with local emergency service providers, such as allowing for bypass of slow vehicle traffic during lane closures.

To the extent that compliance with applicable permit requirements, e.g., obtaining the required encroachment permit from Fresno County, would reduce identified significant traffic impact(s) consistent with the performance standards set forth in MM TRAN-1, PG&E may submit such permit(s) in lieu of addressing that impact, subject to review and approval by CPUC prior to the start of construction.

### 1.6 Findings

 The IS was prepared to identify the potential effects on the environment from the expansion of the existing Sanger Substation and to evaluate the significance of these effects. Based on the IS and Findings listed below, the Lead Agency (CPUC) has determined that the proposed project would not have a significant effect on the environment.

- With the implementation of the above APMs and mitigation measures, the proposed project would not significantly degrade the quality of the environment.
- With the implementation of the above mitigation measures, both short-term and long-term environmental effects associated with the proposed project would be less than significant.
- When impacts associated with implementing the proposed project are considered cumulatively, the incremental contribution of the project-related impacts are insignificant.
- Based on the IS, there is no evidence that implementing the proposed project would have any adverse impacts on people.

Billie Blanchard

Project Manager

 $\frac{3/13/2017}{Date}$ 

DRAFT FINAL IS/MND

1 - 17

This page intentionally left blank.