

January 6, 2020

Mr. Michael Rosauer
Project Manager
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Monthly Report Summary #10 for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project

Dear Mr. Rosauer,

Construction for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project began on November 5, 2018. This report provides a summary of the compliance monitoring activities that occurred during the period from **August 1 to 31, 2019**, for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project in Fresno County, California. Compliance monitoring was performed to ensure that all project-related activities conducted by Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E) and their contractors comply with the requirements of the Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (Final IS/MND) for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project, as adopted by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) on July 13, 2017.

Table 1 summarizes CPUC-approved Notice to Proceed (NTP) activities to-date for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project, based on activities proposed in PG&E's Notice to Proceed Requests (NTPRs).

Table 1 CPUC-approved NTP Activities for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project

NTP#	Final NTPR Submittal Date	CPUC NTP Issuance Date	Description of Approved Activities
NTP #1	11/1/2018	11/2/2018	Work within both the existing Sanger Substation footprint and the expansion area, including laydown/staging area setup; installation of access driveways, fencing, foundations, substation equipment, and a microwave tower; and installation of two antenna dishes at an off-site location (Fence Meadow Repeater Station).
NTP #2	6/6/2019	6/7/2019	Work within both the existing Sanger Substation footprint and the expansion area, including laydown/staging area setup; installation of pole foundations, installation of poles, power line stringing, removal of pull sites, and restoration of impacted property.

Table 2 summarizes all CPUC-approved Minor Project Refinements (MPRs) to-date for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project.

Table 2 CPUC-approved MPRs for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project

MPR#	Final MPR Submittal Date	CPUC MPR Approval Date	Description of Minor Project Refinement
MPR #001	5/24/2018	6/12/2018	Minor modifications to the placement and types of poles in the "power line reconfiguration" project component to suit engineering refinements that were made after Final IS/MND approval. The

Table 2 CPUC-approved MPRs for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project

MPR#	Final MPR Submittal Date	CPUC MPR Approval Date	Description of Minor Project Refinement
			modifications would occur approximately 2,100 feet west; 750 feet east; and 165 feet south of the existing Sanger Substation footprint. In total, there would be modifications to seven poles.
MPR #002	7/17/2018	7/20/2018	An additional temporary laydown yard/staging area (approximately 974 feet by 112 feet) located north of the retention basin, running north between the western boundary of the substation expansion area and the western boundary of the existing Sanger Substation footprint. This area is owned in fee title by PG&E.
MPR #003	11/13/2018	11/14/2018	Use of an existing water well approximately 100 feet north of approved NTP #1 work areas, within the same parcel as the Sanger Substation footprint. PG&E has obtained permission from the landowner to use this well for a specified timeframe. PG&E will access the well pump by foot, and will obtain water from this well for dust control purposes. MPR #3 adds no additional ground disturbance to the existing disturbance footprint, other than impacts from light foot traffic and temporary ground placement of a water hose.

Project Compliance Incidents

Onsite compliance monitoring by the Ecology and Environment, Inc., member of WSP (hereafter referred to as E & E) compliance team during this reporting period focused on spot-checks of ongoing construction activities. Compliance Monitor Evan Studley visited the Sanger Substation construction site on **August 1 and 15, 2019**. CPUC Compliance Monitoring Reports that summarize observed construction activities and compliance events and verify mitigation measures (MMs) and applicant proposed measures (APMs) were completed for the site visits. These reports are attached below (Attachment 1).

Overall, the Sanger Substation Expansion Project has maintained compliance with the Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program’s (MMCRP) Compliance Plan. Communication between the CPUC/E & E compliance team and PG&E has been regular and effective; the correspondence discussed and documented compliance events, upcoming compliance-related surveys and deliverables, and the construction schedule. Agency calls between the CPUC/E & E and PG&E, along with daily schedule updates and database notifications, provided additional compliance information and construction summaries. Furthermore, PG&E’s weekly compliance status reports provided a compliance summary, a description of construction activities that occurred each week, a summary of compliance with MMCRP conditions (MMs/APMs) for biological, cultural and paleontological resources; the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP); noise and traffic control; onsite hazards; and the Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP), as well as any non-compliance issues and resolutions, and public complaints and notifications.

Compliance Incidents and Minor Compliance Observations

During the August 2019 reporting period, PG&E did not self-report any compliance incidents, and the CPUC did not issue any compliance incident reports.

Noise Compliance

During the August 2019 reporting period, there were no exceedances of the stipulated noise levels.

Mr. Michael Rosauer
January 6, 2020
Page 3

Public Concerns

No public concerns were reported during August 2019.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Silvia Yanez', written over a horizontal line.

Silvia Yanez
Project Manager, Ecology and Environment, Inc.

cc:

Michael Calvillo, PG&E
Carie Montero, Parsons
Lincoln Allen, SWCA

ATTACHMENT 1

CPUC COMPLIANCE MONITORING REPORTS

AUGUST 1 AND 15, 2019



Sanger Substation Expansion Project CPUC Compliance Monitoring Report

Project Proponent	Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E)	Report No.	CM-CPUCDG-080119
Lead Agency	California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	Date (mm/dd/yy)	08/01/19
CPUC Project Manager	Michael Rosauer	Monitor(s)	Evan Studley
CPUC (Ecology and Environment, Inc., member of WSP [E & E]) Monitoring Manager	Silvia Yanez	AM/PM Weather	Clear, 101°F, calm
CPUC (E & E) Monitoring Supervisor	Fernando Guzman	Start/End Time	3:40 PM – 4:35 PM
Project Notices to Proceed NTP(s)	NTP #2		

SITE INSPECTION CHECKLIST *(Based on monitor's observations during site visit; responses do not imply that monitor observed all staff, crews, and parts of the project during this inspection)*

Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP) Training	Yes	No	N/A
Is the WEAP training in place and does it appear to have been completed by all onsite personnel (e.g., construction workers, managers, inspectors, monitors)?	X		
Erosion and Dust Control (Air and Water Quality)	Yes	No	N/A
Have temporary erosion and sediment control measures (best management practices [BMPs]) been installed in accordance with the project's Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)?	X		
Are erosion and sediment control measures (BMPs) installed correctly (without apparent deficiencies) and functioning as intended during rain events?	X		
Are measures in place to avoid/minimize mud tracking onto public roadways?	X		
Is dust control being implemented, in accordance with the Dust Control Plan (e.g., access roads watered, haul trucks covered, dirt piles are covered with tarps, pull-outs and streets cleaned on a regular basis)?	X		
Are work areas being effectively watered prior to excavation or grading?	X		
Are measures in place to stabilize soils and effectively suppress fugitive dust?	X		
Equipment	Yes	No	N/A
Are vehicles maintaining speed limits: 15 miles per hour (mph) on unpaved roads/10 mph off-road?	X		
Are observed vehicles/equipment arriving onsite clean of sediment/mud and noxious weeds or other plant debris?	X		

Are observed vehicles/equipment turned off when not in use?	X		
Work Areas	Yes	No	N/A
Is vegetation disturbance within work areas minimized?	X		
Is exclusionary fencing or flagging in place to protect sensitive biological or cultural resources, as appropriate?	X		
Are observed vehicles, equipment, and construction personnel staying within approved work areas and on approved roads?	X		
Are trenches/excavations covered at night, or when not possible, are wildlife escape ramps installed, constructed of earth fill or wooden planks no less than 10 inches wide?	X		
Biology	Yes	No	N/A
Have required preconstruction surveys been completed for biological resources (special status species, raptors and other nesting birds, burrowing owls, San Joaquin kit fox), as appropriate?	X		
Are appropriate measures in place to protect sensitive habitat and/or drainages (i.e., flagging, signage, exclusion fencing, appropriate buffer distance enacted)?	X		
Is project complying with biological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
If there are wetlands or water bodies near construction activities, are adequate measures in place to avoid impacts on these features (e.g., is the refueling/maintenance buffer in place within 100 feet of the irrigation ditch)?	X		
Has wildlife (sensitive species or not) been relocated from work areas? If yes, describe below.		X	
Have impacts occurred on adjacent habitat (sensitive or not sensitive)? If yes, describe below.		X	
Did you observe any threatened or endangered species? If yes, describe below.		X	
Have there been any work stoppages for biological resources? If yes, describe below.		X	
Cultural and Paleontological Resources	Yes	No	N/A
Are appropriate buffers/exclusion zones for identified sensitive cultural/paleo resources (e.g., cultural sites) clearly marked and being maintained?			X
Is the project in compliance with cultural/archaeological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
Is the project in compliance with paleontological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
Have there been any work stoppages for potential archaeological, cultural, or paleontological resources? If yes, describe below.		X	
Hazardous Materials	Yes	No	N/A
Are hazardous materials that are stored or used onsite properly managed?	X		
Are procedures in place to prevent spills and accidental releases?	X		

Are required fire prevention and control measures in place, and no crew members, managers, or monitors are smoking onsite?	X		
Are contaminated soils properly managed for onsite storage or offsite disposal?	X		
Work Hours and Noise	Yes	No	N/A
Are required night lighting reduction measures in place?	X		
Is construction occurring within approved work hours?	X		
Are required noise control measures in place?	X		

AREAS MONITORED

Project areas on and near the substation expansion footprint, existing substation, tubular steel pole (TSP) areas to the east and west, and the temporary laydown/staging area.

DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVED ACTIVITIES

3:40 PM- I arrived onsite. I met with Chennie Castañon (PG&E Environmental Inspector) and discussed the current project activities. Ms. Castañon summarized the construction activities and stated that no nests were located onsite. The buffer around Killdeer (*Charadrius vociferous*) Nest 6, located north of the expansion footprint, close to the dirt road that runs parallel to the substation expansion footprint outside the project limits, was being removed. The eggs had hatched and fledging was displayed (MM BIO-5). Jeff Clarkson (PG&E Senior Civil Inspector) was off-site, so Jim Shephard replaced him as the PG&E Senior Civil Inspector for the day. Crews were finishing up construction work for the day.

3:55 PM- I checked the SWPPP documents for compliance. I spoke with Josh in the trailer. Upon leaving the trailer, I observed a crew drilling footing holes for TSP foundation in the existing substation footprint (Photo 1).

4:05 PM- I walked the perimeter along southern boundary. I observed BMPs installed along Jensen Avenue to reduce/prevent sediment runoff (Photo 2). I walked north along the eastern boundary to check the temporary laydown/staging areas. All trucks and heavy machinery not in use were clean of any oil spills, and those with minor leaks had a retention pan placed underneath (MM HAZ-1). I observed a water truck in use to keep fugitive dust in control (APM AIR-1).

4:20 PM- I observed that all materials were neatly stockpiled in the laydown area (Photo 3). I noted that heavy machinery was turned off while not in use (APM GHG-1). I observed TSP foundation excavations to be covered and delineated with caution tape (Photo 4). Silt fence was placed along the northern boundary to protect the drainage ditch, which appeared to be in good condition (Photo 5).

4:30 PM- I walked the eastern boundary and observed neatly stockpiled materials, and all tools and supplies in the trailers were organized. All BMPs were installed correctly and were well maintained. I spoke with Mr. Shephard at the northern gate. Both trackouts were in good shape and functioning as intended (Photo 6).

4:35 PM- I left the project site.

NEW SENSITIVE RESOURCE DISCOVERIES

MITIGATION MEASURES VERIFIED

MM BIO-3, APM HAZ-3, MM HAZ-2, MM HAZ-1, APM GEO-2/APM WQ-1

See additional applicant proposed measures (APMs) and mitigation measures (MMs) listed in the Description of Observed Activities section.

RECOMMENDED FOLLOW-UP

COMPLIANCE SUGGESTIONS OR ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS

COMPLIANCE SUMMARY Below please describe any Compliance Incidents (Level 1, 2, or 3) that have occurred since your last visit. If you observe a compliance issue in the field, please note this on this monitoring form. In addition, Level 1, 2 or 3 Compliance Incidents, fill out and submit a separate Compliance Incident Report Form.

- Level 0 Acceptable.** (*no compliance incidents*)
- Level 1: Minor Problem.** An event or observation that slightly deviates from project requirements, but does not put a resource at unpermitted risk. If you checked this box, describe the incident below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.
- Level 2: Compliance Deviation.** An event or observation that deviates from project requirements and puts a resource at risk, or shows a trend toward placing resources at risk, but is corrected without affecting the resource. Repeated Level 1 Minor Problems left unaddressed may also rise to a Level 2 Compliance Deviation. If box is checked, summarize below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.
- Level 3: Non-Compliance.** An event or observation that violates project requirements and affects a resource. Repeated Level 2 Compliance Deviations left unaddressed may also rise to a Level 3 Incident. An action that deviates from project requirements and has caused, or has the potential to cause major impacts on environmental resources. These actions are not in compliance with the Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program (MMCRP) APMs or MMs, permit conditions, or approval requirements (e.g., minor project changes, NTP), and/or violates local, state, or federal law. If box is checked, summarize below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.

Compliance Incidents reported by PG&E's Compliance Team

- PG&E's Compliance Team reported Compliance Incidents since last CPUC Compliance Monitor visit. If boxed checked, describe issues and resolution status below.

Description: (*include PG&E's report number*)

New Sensitive Resources

- New biological, environmental, cultural/archaeological, or paleontological discovery requiring compliance with mitigation measures, permit conditions, etc., has occurred since last CPUC Compliance Monitoring visit. If checked, please describe the new discoveries and documentation/verification below.

Description:
None.




Date	Level	Compliance Incident and Resolution	Relevant Mitigation Measure	Corresponding Level 1, 2, or 3 Report #

PREVIOUS COMPLIANCE INCIDENTS OR ITEMS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP OR RESOLVED TODAY:

REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Date	Location	Photo	Description
08/01/19	Existing substation footprint		Photo 1- Crew drilling hole for TSP foundation. Photo facing southeast.
08/01/19	Expansion footprint		Photo 2- Straw wattle along Jensen Avenue in place to reduce potential for sediment/pollutant runoff from Jensen Avenue. Photo facing southwest.
08/01/19	Expansion footprint		Photo 3- Materials and equipment were neatly stockpiled. Photo facing northwest.

REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Date	Location	Photo	Description
08/01/19	Laydown yard		<p>Photo 4- TSP foundation excavations were covered and delineated. Photo facing northeast.</p>
08/01/19	Expansion footprint		<p>Photo 5- Silt fence installed along the northern boundary and appeared to be in good condition. The fence serves to protect the drainage ditch from construction sediment. Photo facing north.</p>
08/01/19	Expansion footprint		<p>Photo 6-Northern trackout appeared to be in good condition. No sediment was noted on roads from either ingress/egress points. Photo facing north.</p>

Completed by:	Evan Studley
Firm:	Soar Environmental Consulting, Inc.
Date:	08/01/19
Reviewed by:	Patrick Sauls
Firm:	Soar Environmental Consulting, Inc.
Date:	08/01/19



Sanger Substation Expansion Project CPUC Compliance Monitoring Report

Project Proponent	Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E)	Report No.	CM-CPUCDG-081519
Lead Agency	California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	Date (mm/dd/yy)	08/15/19
CPUC Project Manager	Michael Rosauer	Monitor(s)	Evan Studley
CPUC (Ecology and Environment, Inc., member of WSP [E & E]) Monitoring Manager	Silvia Yanez	AM/PM Weather	Clear, 101°F, calm
CPUC (E & E) Monitoring Supervisor	Fernando Guzman	Start/End Time	7:25 AM – 9:35 AM
Project Notices to Proceed NTP(s)	NTP #2		

SITE INSPECTION CHECKLIST *(Based on monitor's observations during site visit; responses do not imply that monitor observed all staff, crews, and parts of the project during this inspection)*

Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP) Training	Yes	No	N/A
Is the WEAP training in place and does it appear to have been completed by all onsite personnel (e.g., construction workers, managers, inspectors, monitors)?	X		
Erosion and Dust Control (Air and Water Quality)	Yes	No	N/A
Have temporary erosion and sediment control measures (best management practices [BMPs]) been installed in accordance with the project's Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)?	X		
Are erosion and sediment control measures (BMPs) installed correctly (without apparent deficiencies) and functioning as intended during rain events?	X		
Are measures in place to avoid/minimize mud tracking onto public roadways?	X		
Is dust control being implemented, in accordance with the Dust Control Plan (e.g., access roads watered, haul trucks covered, dirt piles are covered with tarps, pull-outs and streets cleaned on a regular basis)?	X		
Are work areas being effectively watered prior to excavation or grading?	X		
Are measures in place to stabilize soils and effectively suppress fugitive dust?	X		
Equipment	Yes	No	N/A
Are vehicles maintaining speed limits: 15 miles per hour (mph) on unpaved roads/10 mph off-road?	X		
Are observed vehicles/equipment arriving onsite clean of sediment/mud and noxious weeds or other plant debris?	X		

Are observed vehicles/equipment turned off when not in use?	X		
Work Areas	Yes	No	N/A
Is vegetation disturbance within work areas minimized?	X		
Is exclusionary fencing or flagging in place to protect sensitive biological or cultural resources, as appropriate?	X		
Are observed vehicles, equipment, and construction personnel staying within approved work areas and on approved roads?	X		
Are trenches/excavations covered at night, or when not possible, are wildlife escape ramps installed, constructed of earth fill or wooden planks no less than 10 inches wide?	X		
Biology	Yes	No	N/A
Have required preconstruction surveys been completed for biological resources (special status species, raptors and other nesting birds, burrowing owls, San Joaquin kit fox), as appropriate?	X		
Are appropriate measures in place to protect sensitive habitat and/or drainages (i.e., flagging, signage, exclusion fencing, appropriate buffer distance enacted)?	X		
Is project complying with biological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
If there are wetlands or water bodies near construction activities, are adequate measures in place to avoid impacts on these features (e.g., is the refueling/maintenance buffer in place within 100 feet of the irrigation ditch)?	X		
Has wildlife (sensitive species or not) been relocated from work areas? If yes, describe below.		X	
Have impacts occurred on adjacent habitat (sensitive or not sensitive)? If yes, describe below.		X	
Did you observe any threatened or endangered species? If yes, describe below.		X	
Have there been any work stoppages for biological resources? If yes, describe below.		X	
Cultural and Paleontological Resources	Yes	No	N/A
Are appropriate buffers/exclusion zones for identified sensitive cultural/paleo resources (e.g., cultural sites) clearly marked and being maintained?			X
Is the project in compliance with cultural/archaeological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
Is the project in compliance with paleontological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
Have there been any work stoppages for potential archaeological, cultural, or paleontological resources? If yes, describe below.		X	
Hazardous Materials	Yes	No	N/A
Are hazardous materials that are stored or used onsite properly managed?	X		
Are procedures in place to prevent spills and accidental releases?	X		

Are required fire prevention and control measures in place, and no crew members, managers, or monitors are smoking onsite?	X		
Are contaminated soils properly managed for onsite storage or offsite disposal?	X		
Work Hours and Noise	Yes	No	N/A
Are required night lighting reduction measures in place?	X		
Is construction occurring within approved work hours?	X		
Are required noise control measures in place?	X		

AREAS MONITORED

Project areas on and near the substation expansion footprint, existing substation, and the temporary laydown/staging area.

DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVED ACTIVITIES

7:25 AM- I arrived onsite through the north gate. I observed fugitive dust control completed earlier that morning, and continuous application underway (Photo 2). I observed oil stains on the north entrance driveway. I met Chennie Castañon (PG&E Environmental Inspector), Jeff Clarkson (PG&E Senior Civil Inspector), and Jim Shephard, and we discussed current project activities. Chennie confirmed they were performing daily wildlife sweeps before construction activities (MM BIO-3). Jeff Clarkson was leaving site soon, so Jim Shephard replaced him as the PG&E Senior Civil Inspector for the day. Crews were augering foundation holes, setting up poles, and setting breakers that day.

7:55 AM- I observed material stockpiled neatly (Photo 1); restrooms and handwashing station were secondarily contained (MM HAZ-1). Vaults were roped off to prevent pedestrian access, and contained a wildlife escape ramp (Photo 3). At the southern boundary of the expansion footprint, the boundary of the active substation was roped off to prevent pedestrian access, and newly constructed foundations were delineated for increased visibility (Photo 4).

8:15 AM- I checked SWPPP documents for compliance at the project trailer (APM GEO-2/APM WQ-1). I met Jim Casera, PG&E construction foreman.

8:50 AM- I entered the laydown yard through east entrance. Materials and equipment were stockpiled neatly (Photo 5). All equipment with observed leaks had drip containers. I noted that no vehicles or equipment were left idling (APM NOI-4). A water tank generator had a drip pan to contain oil leaks (Photo 6).

9:35 AM- I discussed findings with Ms. Castañon and Mr. Shephard. Future work would include re-grading the boundary and constructing a V-ditch and lining with shotcrete next month, before the rainy season begins. I left the project site through the north gate.

NEW SENSITIVE RESOURCE DISCOVERIES

MITIGATION MEASURES VERIFIED

APM AIR-1, MM BIO-2, APM GEO-2/APM WQ-1, APM HAZ-3, MM HAZ-1, APM NOI-3, APM NOI-4

See additional applicant proposed measures (APMs) and mitigation measures (MMs) listed in the Description of Observed Activities section.

RECOMMENDED FOLLOW-UP

COMPLIANCE SUGGESTIONS OR ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS

COMPLIANCE SUMMARY Below please describe any Compliance Incidents (Level 1, 2, or 3) that have occurred since your last visit. If you observe a compliance issue in the field, please note this on this monitoring form. In addition, Level 1, 2 or 3 Compliance Incidents, fill out and submit a separate Compliance Incident Report Form.

- Level 0 Acceptable.** (*no compliance incidents*)
- Level 1: Minor Problem.** An event or observation that slightly deviates from project requirements, but does not put a resource at unpermitted risk. If you checked this box, describe the incident below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.
- Level 2: Compliance Deviation.** An event or observation that deviates from project requirements and puts a resource at risk, or shows a trend toward placing resources at risk, but is corrected without affecting the resource. Repeated Level 1 Minor Problems left unaddressed may also rise to a Level 2 Compliance Deviation. If box is checked, summarize below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.
- Level 3: Non-Compliance.** An event or observation that violates project requirements and affects a resource. Repeated Level 2 Compliance Deviations left unaddressed may also rise to a Level 3 Incident. An action that deviates from project requirements and has caused, or has the potential to cause major impacts on environmental resources. These actions are not in compliance with the Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program (MMCRP) APMs or MMs, permit conditions, or approval requirements (e.g., minor project changes, NTP), and/or violates local, state, or federal law. If box is checked, summarize below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.

Compliance Incidents reported by PG&E’s Compliance Team

- PG&E’s Compliance Team reported Compliance Incidents since last CPUC Compliance Monitor visit. If boxed checked, describe issues and resolution status below.

Description: (*include PG&E’s report number*)




New Sensitive Resources

- New biological, environmental, cultural/archaeological, or paleontological discovery requiring compliance with mitigation measures, permit conditions, etc., has occurred since last CPUC Compliance Monitoring visit. If checked, please describe the new discoveries and documentation/verification below.



Description:
None.

Date	Level	Compliance Incident and Resolution	Relevant Mitigation Measure	Corresponding Level 1, 2, or 3 Report #

PREVIOUS COMPLIANCE INCIDENTS OR ITEMS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP OR RESOLVED TODAY:

REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS			
Date	Location	Photo	Description
08/15/19	Expansion footprint	 <p>Latitude: 36.709863 Longitude: -119.610604 Elevation: 116.02m Accuracy: 3.2m Time: 08-15-2019 07:53 Note: s</p>	Photo 1- Materials and equipment neatly stockpiled. Photo facing south.
08/15/19	Expansion footprint	 <p>Latitude: 36.709844 Longitude: -119.610609 Elevation: 115.02m Accuracy: 4.3m Time: 08-15-2019 07:53 Note: w</p>	Photo 2- Fugitive dust control underway. Photo facing west.
08/15/19	Expansion footprint	 <p>Latitude: 36.708036 Longitude: -119.610768 Elevation: 112.02m Accuracy: 3.2m Time: 08-15-2019 08:11 Note: sw</p>	Photo 3- Concrete vaults are roped off and have wildlife escape ramps. Photo facing southwest.
08/15/19	Expansion footprint	 <p>Latitude: 36.708038 Longitude: -119.610769 Elevation: 115.02m Accuracy: 3.2m Time: 08-15-2019 08:11 Note: se</p>	Photo 4- Completed foundation caps are delineated for visibility. Active substation is taped off. Photo facing southeast.

REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Date	Location	Photo	Description
08/15/19	Temporary laydown/ staging area	 <p data-bbox="456 596 602 680">Latitude: 36.708027 Longitude: -119.611888 Elevation: 98.02m Accuracy: 3.2m Time: 08-15-2019 08:53 Note: laydown facing n</p>	Photo 5- Materials and equipment are neatly stockpiled at the temporary laydown area. Photo facing north.
08/15/19	Temporary laydown/ staging area	 <p data-bbox="456 1016 602 1092">Latitude: 36.709881 Longitude: -119.611999 Elevation: 106.02m Accuracy: 3.2m Time: 08-15-2019 08:59 Note: wtr tank gen</p>	Photo 6- Water tank generator contained with drip pan. Photo facing northwest.

Completed by:	Evan Studley
Firm:	Soar Environmental Consulting, Inc.
Date:	08/15/19
Reviewed by:	Patrick Sauls
Firm:	Soar Environmental Consulting, Inc.
Date:	08/21/19